## **Supporting Information**

## **Improving the Optoelectronic Properties of Single-Crystal Antimony Sulfide Rods through Simultaneous Defect Suppression and Surface Cleaning**

Xiaohui Liu<sup>a</sup>, Shunhong Dong<sup>a</sup>, Xiaolu Zheng<sup>a</sup>, Yicheng Zhang<sup>b</sup>, Yuan Yao<sup>c</sup>, Weibin Zhang<sup>a</sup>, Zhiyong Liu<sup>a</sup>, Ting Zhu<sup>a</sup>, Hong-En Wang<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> College of Physics and Electronic Information, Yunnan Key Laboratory of Optoelectronic Information Technology, Key Laboratory of Advanced Technique & Preparation for Renewable Energy Materials, Ministry of Education, Yunnan Normal University, Kunming 650500, China.

<sup>b</sup> College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Yunnan Normal University, 650500 Kunming, China.

<sup>c</sup> Beijing National Laboratory of Condensed Matter Physics, Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China.

\* Correspondence: [hongen.wang@outlook.com](mailto:hongen.wang@outlook.com); [hongen.wang@ynnu.edu.cn](mailto:hongen.wang@ynnu.edu.cn)



Figure S1. A representative low-magnification SEM image of pristine Sb<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> (p-Sb<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>) rods obtained by hydrothermal reaction at 180 ℃ for 24 h.



**Figure S2.** (a, c) SEM images and (b, d) corresponding size distribution histograms of the  $Sb_2S_3$ rods prepared by hydrothermal reactions at 180 ℃ for (a, b) 8 h and (c, d) 16 h, respectively.



**Figure S3.** SEM images of the  $Sb_2S_3$  rods hydrothermally synthesized at (a) 160 °C, (b) 180 °C,

and (c) 200  $\rm{^oC}$  for 24 h, respectively.



**Figure S4.** Rietveld refinement analysis for the XRD pattern of the Sb<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>/Ar sample.



**Figure S5.** XRD pattern of the hydrothermally prepared pristine  $Sb_2S_3$  rods (p- $Sb_2S_3$ ) containing trace sulfur impurity.



**Figure S6.** XRD pattern of the hydrothermally prepared pristine  $Sb_2S_3$  rods followed by annealing in nitrogen  $(Sb_2S_3-N_2)$  containing residual sulfur impurity.



**Figure S7.** Adsorption configurations of one (a)  $S_8$  and (b)  $S_2$  molecule on  $Sb_2S_3$  (010) surface after geometry optimization, leading to an adsorption energy of -0.69 and -1.22 eV, respectively. The  $S_8$ (left) and  $S_2$  (right) molecules have been marked by dotted ellipses for clarity.



**Figure S8.** EPR spectra of the (a)  $p-Sb_2S_3$ , (b)  $Sb_2S_3-N_2$ , and (c)  $Sb_2S_3-H_2/Ar$  samples.



Figure S9. Linear sweep Raman spectra of (b, right) a single  $Sb_2S_3-H_2/Ar$  rod at several different

positions (a, left).



**Figure S10.** Survey XPS spectrum of the Sb<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>/Ar sample.



**Figure S11.** High-resolution XPS spectra of (a) S  $2p$  and (b) Sb 3*d* of p-Sb<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> and Sb<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>/Ar samples.



**Figure S12.** Current voltage (*I-V*) curves of the Sb<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>/Ar rod photodetector devices measured under dark and illumination with a 560 nm monochromatic light at a light intensity of 110  $\mu$ W/cm<sup>2</sup>, respectively.



**Figure S13**. *I-V* curves of the Sb<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>/Ar rod photodetector device under dark and illumination of 110  $\mu$ W/cm<sup>2</sup>, respectively. It is noted that the photocurrent of the device after storage in air for 1 week decreases slightly compared to the fresh one.