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## $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Figure S1. Optical image of the exfoliated $\mathrm{Nb}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{8}$ nanowires.


Figure S2. (a) AFM image of exfoliated $\mathrm{Nb}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{8}$ nanowire. b) Height profiles corresponding to the line in (a).

(b)


Figure S3. (a) Schematic diagram of the experimental setup for the polarized Raman test. (b) Optical image of the bulk $\mathrm{Nb}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{8}$.
From a semi-classical perspective, the Raman intensity of $\mathrm{Nb}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{8}$ can be identified by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I} \propto\left|e_{i} \cdot R \ldots \cdot e_{s}\right|^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{i}$ and $e_{s}$ are the unit polarization vectors of incident and scattered lasers, respectively, and $R$ is the Raman tensor. The unit polarization vector is $e_{i}=(\cos \theta, 0$, $\sin \theta$ ), where $\theta$ is the angle between incident light polarization and a axis direction of the $\mathrm{Nb}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{8}$. And $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{s}}=(\cos \theta, 0, \sin \theta)$ and $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{s}}=(-\sin \theta, 0, \cos \theta)$ correspond to $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{s}}$ in parallel and perpendicular configurations, respectively. For an absorptive material, the

Raman tensor elements are complex values, with real and imaginary parts. ${ }^{1}$ Bulk $\mathrm{Nb}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{8}$ belongs to the Pbam space group. Thus, the Raman tensor can be expressed as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
|a| e^{i \varphi_{a}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & |b| e^{i \varphi_{b}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & |c| e^{i \varphi_{c}}
\end{array}\right) & \mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & |d| e^{i \varphi_{d}} & 0 \\
|d| e^{i \varphi_{d}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & |e| e^{i \varphi} e \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
|e| e^{i \varphi_{e}} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) & \mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{3 \mathrm{~g}}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & |f| e^{i \varphi_{f}} \\
0 & |f| e^{i \varphi_{f}} & 0
\end{array}\right) \tag{2}
\end{array}
$$

where $\varphi_{\mathrm{a}}, \varphi_{\mathrm{b}}, \varphi_{\mathrm{c}}, \varphi_{\mathrm{d}}, \varphi_{\mathrm{e}}$, and $\varphi_{\mathrm{f}}$ are the corresponding phases of the Raman tensor elements. ${ }^{2}$ Then, the Raman scattering intensities of different modes can further be expressed as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{g}}, / /\right) \propto  \tag{3}\\
|c|^{2}\left\{\left(\sin ^{2} \theta+\frac{|a|^{2}}{|c|^{2}} \cos \varphi_{c a} \cos ^{2} \theta\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{|a|}{|c|} \sin \varphi_{c a} \cos ^{2} \theta\right)^{2}\right\}  \tag{4}\\
\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}, / /\right) \propto|e|^{2} \sin ^{2} 2 \theta
\end{gather*}
$$

where // represents parallel polarizations, and $\varphi_{c a}=\varphi_{c}-\varphi_{\mathrm{a}}$ is the phase difference. It can be seen that the calculated curves fitted well with the experimental data in Figure 2f.


Figure S4. Angle-resolved polarized Raman spectra acquired in the (a) parallel configuration and (d) perpendicular configuration.


Figure S5. Optical image of the $\mathrm{Nb}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{8}$ device.


Figure S6. Photocurrent response of the $\mathrm{Nb}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{8}$ device along a line cut in Figure 4 b . The blue portions represent the Au electrodes of the device.


Figure S7. The responsivity of the $\mathrm{Nb}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{8}$ photodetector under different temperatures.


Figure S8. (a) Photoresponse of the $\mathrm{Nb}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{8}$ photodetector with a bias of 1 mA to different light intensities under 532 nm light illumination. (b) A good linear relationship between photoresponse and light intensities in the experimental range.


Figure S9. Optical image of the $\mathrm{Nb}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{8}$ device for broadband detection.


Figure S10. Absorption spectrum of the $\mathrm{Nb}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{8}$.

Table S1. Comparison of Photodetectors Reported in the Literature.

| Materials | Responsivity | Response <br> time | Polarization <br> extinction ratio | Spectral Range <br> $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{PtTe}_{2}$ | $0.04 \mathrm{~mA} \mathrm{~W}^{-1}$ | $34 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ | $1.11(633 \mathrm{~nm})$ | $0.532-4$ | 3 |
| $\mathrm{NdSb}_{2}$ | $0.49 \mathrm{~mA} \mathrm{~W}^{-1}$ | $15 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ | $1.6(532 \mathrm{~nm})$ | $0.532-4$ | 4 |
| $\mathrm{MoTe}_{2}$ | $0.4 \mathrm{~mA} \mathrm{~W}^{-1}$ | $43 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ | $1.19(633 \mathrm{~nm})$ | $0.532-10.6$ | 5 |
| $\mathrm{TaIrTe}_{4}$ | 0.02 mA W |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cd}_{3} \mathrm{As}_{2}$ | $5.9 \mathrm{~mA} \mathrm{~W}^{-1}$ | $27 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ | $1.13(633 \mathrm{~nm})$ | $0.532-10.6$ | 6 |
| $\mathrm{Nb}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{8}$ | $2.74 \mathrm{~mA} \mathrm{~W}^{-1}$ | 55 ms | - | $0.532-10.6$ | 7 |
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