Carbon layer-confined MoS2/Ni3S² heterostructure with

enhanced sodium and potassium storage performance

Jin Bai,‡^a Jianguo Si,‡^d Yunjie Mao,^{ab} Hongyang Ma,^{ab} Peiyao Wang,^{ab} Wanyun Li,^{ab} Ke Xiao,^{ab} Guofeng Zhang,^a Yiyong Wei,^e Xuebin Zhu,^{*a} Bangchuan Zhao^{*a} and Yuping Sun^{ac}

^aKey Laboratory of Materials Physics, Institute of Solid State Physics, HFIPS, Chines e Academy of Sciences, Hefei 230031, People's Republic of China

^bUniversity of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, People's Republic of China

^cHigh Magnetic Field Laboratory, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hefei 230031,

People's Republic of China

dSpallation Neutron Source Science Center, Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Dongguan 523803, People's Republic of China

Prof. Y. Wei

^eSchool of Physics and Materials Engineering, Hefei Normal University, Anhui Hefei,

230601, China

Corresponding Authors E-mail: [xbzhu@issp.ac.cn;](mailto:xbzhu@issp.ac.cn) bchzhao@issp.ac.cn

‡ These authors contributed equally to this work.

Experimental section

Materials synthesis: Firstly, the $NiMoO₄$ precusor material was synthesized by a simple one-pot hydrothermal process. In detail, 0.25 g glucose was uniformly dispersed in 60 ml DI water under continuous stirring for 0.5 h. Then, 0.8724 g Ni $(NO₃)₂·6H₂O$, 0.5297 g $(NH_4)_6Mo_7O_{24}$ 4H₂O and 1.4019 g hexamethylenetetramine were dissolved in the above solution and stirred for 1h. Next, the mixed solution was transferred to a 100 ml Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave and kept temperature at 200 °C for 24 h. After cooling to room temperature, the brown sediment was washed several times by centrifugation using DI water and ethanol, and dried under a vacuum at 60 °C for 12 h. Secondly, the sulfur powder and the obtained $NiMoO₄$ precusor were put at the upstream and downstream of the tube furnace, respectively, and annealed at 500 °C for 2 h under H₂/Ar atmosphere to obtain the final $MoS_2/Ni_3S_2@C$ material. For comparison, $Ni₃S₂/C$, $MoS₂/C$ and $MoS₂/Ni₃S₂$ materials were also synthesized via the similar process just without Mo or Ni sources or glucose additives.

Materials characterizations: The phase purity and structure of the obtained materials were verfied by X-ray diffraction (XRD) with Cu Kα radiation. The morphology and microstructure were probed by the scaning electron microscopy (SEM, SU 8020, HITACHI), transmission electron microscopy (TEM, Tecnai G2F20), and highresolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM). The elemental mapping measurement was carried out in the energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscope (EDS) attached to TEM. Raman spectroscopy measurements were performed on a Horiba Jobin Yvon T6400 with a 514.5 nm laser excitation. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was collected by a Thermo Scientific ESCALAB 250 with Al Kα as the excitation source. The specific surface area and pore size distribution were provided by $N₂$ adsorption and desorption test (Autosorb-iQ-Cx) at 77 K using the Bruauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) and Barret-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) methods. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was implemented by the Pyris 1 Thermogravimetric Analyzer. The electrical conductivity measurements were carried out on a commercial Physical Property Measurement System (PPMS, 1.8 K≤T≤400 K, 0 T≤H≤9 T) using the four-probe

method.

Electrochemical measurements: Electrochemical performance was evaluated using CR2032 coin-type cells, which were assembled in an argon-filled glove box with moisture and oxygen less than 0.1 ppm. The working electrode was prepared by mixing the as-prepared materials, Super P, and sodium carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) in a weight ratio of 7:2:1 into a certain amount of DI water. The uniformly mixed slurry was pasted onto Cu foil and then dried in a vacuum oven at 80 °C overnight. The loading mass of the working electrodes is about 1-2 mg/cm². For SIBs, Na foil was employed as the counter electrode, glass microfiber filters as the separator, and 1 M NaClO⁴ dissolved in PC (propylene carbonate) and EC in a volume ratio of 1:1 with 5% FEC (fluoroethylene carbonate) as the electrolyte. For PIBs, K foil was served as the counter electrode, glass microfiber filters as the separator, and 3 M KFSI in DME (1, 2- Dimethoxyethane) as the electrolyte. Galvanostatic charge and discharge (GCD) measurements were carried out on a LAND battery test system in a voltage window of 0.01-3.0 V. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurements from 0.01 to 3.0 V at different scan rates and electrochemical impendence spectra (EIS) at the frequency range of $10⁵$ -0.01 Hz were performed using a CHI 660E electrochemical workstation.

DFT Calculation: The density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed by the Vienna Ab-initio Simulation Package (VASP).[1] According to the generalized gradient approximation (GGA), the projector-augmented wave (PAW) pseudopotential with Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) parametrization were used.^[2] The cut-off energy for the wave function is set as 450 eV, and the Brillouin zone was sampled via a Γ centered *k*-mesh scheme with a $0.02 \times 2\pi$ Å⁻¹ space. The energy and force were converged to 1.0×10^{-5} eV/atom and 0.02 eV/ Å, respectively. A 20 Å vacuum layer thickness was applied to avoid virtual interaction. The adsorption energy of Na on $MoS₂$ or MoS_2/Ni_3S_2 heterostructure was calculated by $E_{ads} = E_{total} - E_{Na} - E_{host}$, where the E_{total} is the total energy of Na-absorbed $MoS₂$ or $MoS₂/Ni₃S₂$ heterostructure, E_{Na} is the energy of isolated Na atom and E_{host} is the energy of MoS₂ or MoS₂/Ni₃S₂ heterostructure framework.

Fig. S1. XRD pattern of the NiMoO₄ precursor material.

Fig. S2. a-c) SEM, d-f) TEM and g-i) HRTEM images of the MoS_2/Ni_3S_2 , MoS_2/C and Ni_3S_2/C samples.

Fig. S3. XRD patterns of the MoS_2/Ni_3S_2 , MoS_2/C and Ni_3S_2/C samples.

Fig. S4. Raman spectrum of the MoS_2/Ni_3S_2 sample.

Fig. S5. XPS survey spectrum the $MoS_2/Ni_3S_2@C$ material.

Fig. S6. a) Isothermal N₂ adsorption and desorption curves and b) pore size distribution of the MoS_2/Ni_3S_2 sample.

Detailed process about TGA calculation of the MoS2/Ni3S2@C sample: In the temperature range from 50 to 700 °C in air, the main part of the weight loss is composed of three parts: the oxidation of MoS_2 , $Ni₃S₂$ and carbon layer. The total weight loss is about 46.5 wt.% in the experimental process. Assumed to the final product is $MoO₃$ and NiO, the corresponding reaction equations can be written as follows:

$$
2MoS_2 + 7O_2 \rightarrow 2MoO_3 + 4SO_2 \tag{1}
$$

$$
2Ni3S2 + 7O2 \rightarrow 6NiO + 4SO2
$$
 (2)

$$
C + O_2 \rightarrow CO_2 \tag{3}
$$

The carbon content of the $Ni₃S₂/MoS₂/C$ heterostructure material was calculated based on the following procedures:

Assumed to molar mass of $MoS_2 = M_1$, $Ni₃S₂ = M_2$, $MoO_3 = M_3$, $NiO = M_4$, the total content of the heterostructure material = m_0 , the content of MoS₂ in the heterostructure $= m_1$, Ni₃S₂ content in the heterostructure $= m_2$, MoO₃ content in the heterostructure $=$ m_3 , NiO content in the heterostructure = m_4 and carbon content in the heterostructure = $m₅$.

Due to the final product is $MoO₃$ and NiO, so $m_3 + m_4 = 0.535 m_0$.

According to Equation (1) and (2), m_3 and m_4 can be deduced from $m_3 = M_3 \times m_1/M_1 =$ 0.90 m_1 , and $m_4 = M_4 \times 3m_2/M_2 = 0.90m_2$, respectively.

Combining the EDS results, the atomic ratio of Ni and Mo is about 2:1, we can calculate that m_1/m_2 is about 1:1. So, we can obtain $0.9m_1 + 0.90m_2 = 0.535m_0$, $m_1 = m_2 = 0.3m_0$, Thus, carbon content of the heterostructure $m_5 = m_0 - 0.6m_0 = 0.4m_0$.

So, the contents of MoS_2 , $Ni₃S₂$ and carbon in the heterostructure material are about 30

wt%, 30 wt% and 40 wt%, respectively.

Fig. S7. The SEM images of (a) before cycling and (b) after 200 cycles for the MoS₂/Ni₃S₂@C electrode for SIBs.

Fig. S8. EIS comparison of the for the $MoS_2/Ni_3S_2@C$ and MoS_2/Ni_3S_2 electrodes for SIBs.

Fig. S9. The relationship of temperature dependent electrical conductivity at the range of 250-340 K for (a) MoS_2/Ni_3S_2 and (b) $MoS_2/Ni_3S_2@C$ electrodes.

Fig. S10. Rate performance comparison of this work with the previously reported MoS₂-based heterostructure anodes for SIBs.

Table S1 The detailed comparison of the sodium storage performance this work with the previously reported $MoS₂$ -based heterostructure anodes for SIBs.

Fig. S11. The cycling performance of the MoS_2/Ni_3S_2 electrode for PIBs at 1 A g⁻¹.

Fig. S12. The rate performance of the MoS_2/Ni_3S_2 electrode for PIBs.

Fig. S13. The fitted *b* values at the different redox peaks for the $MoS_2/Ni_3S_2@C$ heterostructure electrode in SIBs.

Fig. S14. *Ex-situ* XRD patterns of the MoS₂/N₁₃S₂@C heterostructure electrode for SIBs at the different discharge/charge states.

Fig. S15. *Ex-situ* XRD patterns of the MoS₂/Ni₃S₂@C heterostructure electrode for PIBs at the different discharge/charge states.

Fig. S16. EDS-mapping images of the $MoS₂/Ni₃S₂@C$ electrode heterostructure electrode for SIBs at the full de-sodiation state.

Fig. S17. EDS-mapping images of the $MoS_2/Ni_3S_2@C$ electrode heterostructure electrode for PIBs at the full de-potassiation state.

References

- [1] Kresse, G.; Furthmüller, J., Efficiency of ab-initio total energy calculations for metals and semiconductors using a plane-wave basis set. *Compos. Mater. Sci.* **1996**, *6*, 15-50.
- [2] Perdew, J.P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M., Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1996**, *77*, 3865-3868.
- [3] Yang, F.; Wang, S.; Guan, J.; Shao, L.; Shi, X.; Cai, J.; Sun, Z., Hierarchical MoS₂-NiS nanosheet-based nanotubes@N-doped carbon coupled with ether-based electrolytes towards high-performance Na-ion batteries. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2021**, *9*, 27072-27083.
- [4] Huang, J.; Yao, Y.; Huang, M.; Zhang, Y.; Xie, Y.; Li, M.; Yang, L.; Wei, X.; Li, Z., Creating Unidirectional Fast Ion Diffusion Channels in G/NiS_2-MoS_2 Heterostructures for High-Performance Sodium-Ion Batteries. *Small* **2022**, *18*,

2200782.

- [5] Xie, H.; Chen, M.; Wu, L., Hierarchical Nanostructured NiS/MoS₂/C Composite Hollow Spheres for High Performance Sodium-Ion Storage Performance. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2019,** *11*, 41222-41228.
- [6]. Cao, L.; Liang, X.; Ou, X.; Yang, X.; Li, Y.; Yang, C.; Lin, Z.; Liu, M., Heterointerface Engineering of Hierarchical Bi₂S₃/MoS₂ with Self-Generated Rich Phase Boundaries for Superior Sodium Storage Performance. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2020**, *30*, 1910732.
- [7] Chen, F.; Shi, D.; Yang, M.; Jiang, H.; Shao, Y.; Wang, S.; Zhang, B.; Shen, J.; Wu, Y.; Hao, X., Novel Designed MnS-MoS₂ Heterostructure for Fast and Stable Li/Na Storage: Insights into the Advanced Mechanism Attributed to Phase Engineering. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2020**, *31*, 2007132.
- [8] Choi, J. H.; Park, S.-K.; Kang, Y. C., N-Doped Carbon Coated Ni-Mo Sulfide Tubular Structure Decorated with Nanobubbles for Enhanced Sodium Storage Performance. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2020**, *383*, 123112.
- [9] Fang, Y.; Luan, D.; Chen, Y.; Gao, S.; Lou, X. W. D., Rationally Designed Three-Layered $Cu_2S@Carbon@MoS_2$ Hierarchical Nanoboxes for Efficient Sodium Storage. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 7178-7183.
- [10] Shi, N.; Xi, B.; Huang, M.; Ma, X.; Li, H.; Feng, J.; Xiong, S., Hierarchical Octahedra Constructed by Cu₂S/MoS₂⊂Carbon Framework with Enhanced Sodium Storage. *Small* **2020**, *16*, 2000952.