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## Section SM-I: General Information

All the reactions were performed in oven-dried glassware under an inert atmosphere. Solvents were dried under the standard protocol and were degassed and stored over activated molecular sieves ( $4 \AA$ ). The chemicals were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, Avra Synthesis, TCI, Alfa Aesar, Spectrochem, BLDpharm, and Combi-Blocks, and used without further purification unless otherwise mentioned. For thin-layer chromatography (TLC) analysis, Merck precoated TLC plates (silica gel 60 F254/ 0.25 mm ) were used. Visualization was accomplished by UV light ( 254 nm and 365 nm ). Thermal reactions have been performed under oil-bath, the reaction temperatures are disclosed as the bath temperature surrounding the vessel unless otherwise mentioned.

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were collected using BRUKER ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: 126 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}: 471 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}: 162 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ and JEOL $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 400 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: 101 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right.$ : $\left.376 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}: 130 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ instrument. NMR data was taken in the ppm unit and referenced against the TMS residual peaks. Coupling constants ( $J$ ) are reported in Hertz (Hz). Coupling patterns are indicated as s (singlet), $d$ (doublet), $t$ (triplet), $q$ (quartet), $p$ (pentet), sep (septet), dd (doublet of doublet), ddd (doublet of doublet of doublet), td (triplet of doublet), dt (doublet of triplet), tt (triplet of triplet) or m (multiplet).

Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) was performed on a Thermo Scientific ISQ QD Mass Spectrometer attached with Thermo Scientific TRACE 1300 gas chromatograph using an HP- 5 ms capillary column ( $30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, J\&W Scientific) with helium as the carrier gas.

High-resolution electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-HRMS) was performed in Bruker microTOF-Q II Spectrometer. The samples were prepared simply by dissolving solid or liquid substrates in MeOH or $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\left(10^{-3}\right.$ to $\left.10^{-5} \mathrm{M}\right)$.

Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) data were collected by using a XEUSS system using a Genix micro source from Xenocs operated at 50 kV and 0.6 mA . The $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda=$ $1.54 \AA$ ) was collimated with FOX2D mirror and two pairs of scattering less slits from Xenocs. The 2D patterns were recorded on a Mar345 image plate and processed using Fit2D software. All the measurements have been made in the transmission mode. The sample to detector distance calibrated with silver behenate standard is 220.8 mm for PXRD measurement.

Single Crystal X-Ray Diffraction (SCXRD) data for the crystal was collected at 100 K or 113 K on Rigaku (dual, $\mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{Mo}$ at zero, Eos) diffractometer using monochromatic $\mathrm{CuK} \alpha$ ( $\lambda=$ $1.54184)$ and $\mathrm{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$, respectively. Structures were solved by Using Olex2 in the Superflip structure solution program by charge flipping and refined with the least squares minimization SHELXL refinement program.

Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra of the solid samples were recorded on a Bruker Optics ALPHA II spectrometer with a universal Zn -Se ATR (attenuated total reflection) accessory. All the data have been reported in the wavenumber $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ scale.

Nitrogen adsorption experiments ( 0 to 1 bar) were performed using Quantachrome Quadrasorb automatic and Autosorb iQ instrument. The nitrogen adsorption isotherms were collected at 77 K using a liquid nitrogen bath. Before performing gas adsorption experiments, PKPOP and Pd@ PKPOP were degassed at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 hrs under vacuum. Surface areas were
calculated using the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) model applied between $\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{o}}$ values of 0.05 and 0.3 for microporous and mesoporous PKPOP. Corresponding pore size distributions were calculated using the non-localized density functional theory (NLDFT).

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out on a Mettler-Toledo TG50 and SDT Q600 TG-DTA analyzer under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere from $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ along with a ramp rate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$. Before carrying out the TGA, the samples were activated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes to eliminate the water from the samples.

Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM) images were obtained using Zeiss SUPRA 55 VP FESEM operating at 10 kV using tungsten filament as the electron source. The samples were sputtered with gold (nano-sized film) before the imaging using an SCD 040 Balzers Union sputterer to avoid charging during FESEM analyses. The samples were prepared simply by putting a drop of dispersed samples (PKPOP and Pd@PKPOP) in isopropanol on a clean piece of silicon wafer. Elemental mapping of the PKPOP and Pd@PKPOP were also recorded using FESEM with energy dispersive X-ray analysis (EDAX). In these cases, samples were prepared by solid sample coating on a non-porous and conductive adhesive carbon strip.

Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) images were obtained using UHR FEGTEM, DST-FIST facility of IISER Kolkata at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV . The samples were prepared by direct drop casting (dispersed in isopropanol) onto copper grids TEM Window (TED PELLA, INC. 200 mesh).

Inductively Coupled Plasma - Optical Emission Spectrometer (ICP-OES) analysis by the Thermo Scientific instrument (Model no. ThermoiCAP-7400) with Qtegra software. Analytical wavelength for metals detection was selected based on intensity counts and background interference. Operating condition for ICP-OES are given as follow- [Power-1150, Coolant Flow-12 L/min, Auxiliary Flow-0.50 L/min, Nebulizer Flow-0.50 L/min, Additional Flow-0 L/min, Nebulizer gas pressure-210 kPa, Plasma torch- Quartz, Spray chamber- Cyclonic, Carrier gas- Argon].

X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) analysis was carried out using Thermo Scientific's K-Alpha+ spectrometer. The pass energy for the survey scan and the high-resolution scan was maintained at 100 eV and 50 eV , respectively, where the angle between the analyzer and sample surface was $90^{\circ}$. In the case of deconvoluted spectra, first, the spectra were analyzed and fitted by Fityk 1.3.1 software.

Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) measurement was carried out using Malvern Zetasizer Nano ZS (Malvern Instruments Ltd., UK) equipped with a He-Ne laser (wavelength: 633 nm ). First, 0.5 mg PKPOP was dispersed into 5.0 mL 2-isopropanol for 2 h . Thereafter, 200.0 uL was taken out and further diluted into 1.0 mL fresh 2-isopropanol. It was further dispersed for another 2 hr .20 uL of the solution was taken into a quartz cuvette and filled with 0.8 mL 2 isopropanol to carry out the experiment for the determination of the size of hollow spheres.

Synthesis of 4,4',4"-phosphanetriyltribenzaldehyde (BB1)


Figure S1: Schematic protocol for the synthesis of 4,4',4"-phosphanetriyltribenzaldehyde (BB1)
(BB1) was synthesized by following a reported procedure with some modification ${ }^{1}$. 4bromobenzaldehyde ( $18.50 \mathrm{~g}, 100.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was taken in 250 mL oven-dried RB charged with a magnetic bar. The reactant was dried over a high vacuum for 5 min before adding trimethoxymethane ( $10.62 \mathrm{~g}, 100.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to give a turbid solution. Dried $p$-toluenesulphonic acid (PTSA) ( $0.86 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added as a catalyst, making the solution clear with a small amount of heat liberation. The solution was capped tightly and kept for stirring for 16 h at room temperature. After confirming from TLC monitoring, sodium methoxide NaOMe ( $0.32 \mathrm{~g}, 6.0$ mmol ) was added and allowed to stir for another 4 h to quench the acid. The whole solution was directly transferred to the column chromatography. Hexane:ethylacetate (99:1) mixture was used as eluent to purify the product. After removing the solvent under reduced pressure, the colorless oily compound S1a was obtained with $99 \%$ yield.

Oven-dried Mg turnings ( $0.53 \mathrm{~g}, 22.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were taken in oven-dried Schleck RB, equipped with a magnetic stir bar. The whole set up was flame dried under an inert atmosphere. After cooling to $\mathrm{rt}, 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ of anhydrous THF was poured into the RB. A pinch of molecular iodine was added that turned the color of the solution brown. Under stirring conditions, S1a (4.62 g, 20 mmol ) was added slowly. After the addition was completed, the set up was capped with rubber septa and allowed to stir at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a water bath under inert conditions. The solution was observed carefully, and once it started becoming colorless, it was removed from the water bath and continued stirring further at room temperature for 1 h . Further, the whole set up was kept under an ice bath, and freshly distilled $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}(0.69 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise and continued stirring for 1 h under the ice bath. Then-after, the reaction was allowed to stir at room temperature for 24 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution under an ice bath. The quenched reaction mixture was filtered with a cotton pad, and the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. Further, ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was used to extract the organic phase from the aqueous phase. The organic layer was washed with fresh distilled $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude compound (S1b) was diluted with 20 mL of 2 N HCl in THF ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) and was refluxed for 2 h . The mixture was allowed to attain room temperature, and a saturated solution of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ was added slowly to neutralize HCl with vigorous stirring under an ice bath. The reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), washed with brine, and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The organic layer was concentrated through rotary evaporator, and a freeflowing slurry was made using 240-400 mesh silica gel, and a column was packed to purify the compound. The column was drained using ethyl acetate: hexane (10:90) to obtain the off-white
desired product BB1 in $65 \%$ yield ( $4.5 \mathrm{~g}, 13.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The purity of the compound was checked with NMR and found to be matched with the reported value in the literature. ${ }^{11} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 500 $\mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 191.7,143.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 136.9,134.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=20.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.8(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}){ }^{\mathbf{3 1}} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{1 6 2} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta-3.38$.

## Section SM-III: Synthesis of monomers and their characterizations

## Synthesis of PKMONO



Figure S2: Schematic protocol for the synthesis of PKMONO
In a 16 mL reaction tube, 4-formyl(triphenyl)phosphine (BB1, $0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 69.2 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and 2phenylacetonitrile (BB3, $1.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 210.6 \mathrm{mg}, 206.5 \mathrm{uL}$ ) were taken and dissolved in 5.0 mL methanol. Sodium methoxide ( $0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 32.4 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was then added. The solution was degassed for 0.5 h by passing nitrogen, thereafter capped tightly. It was allowed to stir for 3 days at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The light greenish color precipitate was filtered and washed thoroughly with methanol. The collected precipitate was dried under vacuum overnight to get $80 \%$ yield (103.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.3$, $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 141.3,139.4$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 134.6,134.4,134.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=20.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.6,129.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.3$, 126.2, 117.9, 112.8. ${ }^{\mathbf{3 1}} \mathbf{P}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{2 0 3} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta-4.91$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{D M S O}-\boldsymbol{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.08$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 6H), 7.55 - 7.52 (m, 6H), $7.50-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, DMSO-d $\mathbf{6}$ ) $\delta 142.0,138.7$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 134.5,133.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=19.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 133.6,129.42,129.36,129.2,125.8,117.7$, 111.2. ${ }^{\mathbf{3 1}} \mathbf{P}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{2 0 3} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{D M S O}-\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{6}}$ ) $\delta-6.08$. IR(ATR/cm ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ ) 3060.9, 3025.8, 2962.7, 2927.7, 2850.5, 2213.6, 1588.2, 1546.1, 1497.0, 1447.9, 1398.8, 1356.7, 1314.7, 1251.6, 1188.4, 1160.4, 1118.3, 1097.3, 1090.3, 1076.2, 1034.6, 1013.1, 900.9, 816.7, 753.6, 683.5, 641.4, 627.4. HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{P}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right) 644.2250$, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 644.2277$

Synthesis of (Z)-2,3-diphenylacrylonitrile (BB5)


Figure S3: Schematic protocol for the synthesis of ( $Z$ )-2,3-diphenylacrylonitrile (BB5)

A 16 mL reaction tube was charged with benzaldehyde ( $2.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 212.2 \mathrm{mg}, 204.0 \mathrm{uL}$ ) (BB4), and 2-phenylacetonitrile ( $2.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 245.7 \mathrm{mg}, 240.9 \mathrm{uL}$ ) (BB3) has been taken and dissolved in 2.0 mL methanol. Further, sodium methoxide ( $0.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 43.2 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was added and capped tightly. It was allowed to reflux overnight. The clear solution was kept aside to attain RT where the product (Z)-2,3-diphenylacrylonitrile (BB5) was precipitated. The solid product was filtered and washed with cold ethanol and water. It was vacuum dried to get $70 \%$ yield (287.1 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol})$. The purity of the compound was checked with NMR and found to be matched with the reported values. ${ }^{2} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) \cdot{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 142.3,134.6$, 133.8, 130.6, 129.4, 129.3, 129.2, 129.0, 126.1, 118.1, 111.8. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d6) $\delta 8.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $\boldsymbol{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 142.9$, 133.73, 133.69, 130.5, 129.2, 129.12, 129.06, 128.9, 125.7, 117.8, 110.4. $\mathbf{I R}\left(\mathbf{A T R} / \mathbf{c m}^{-1}\right.$ ) 3036.4, 2216.9, 1601.3, 1482.7, 1445.9, 1349.3, 1247.2, 1011.7, 982.25, 929.0, 903.0, 749.3, 684.8, 629.0, 574.0.

## Section SM-IV: Synthesis and characterization of PKPOP

## Synthesis of PKPOP



Figure S4: Schematic protocol for the synthesis of PKPOP
In a 16 mL reaction tube, 4-formyl(triphenyl)phosphine (BB1, $0.036 \mathrm{mmol}, 8.3 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and phenyl-1,4-diacetonitrile (BB2, $0.048 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.7 \mathrm{mg}$ ) were taken and degassed using Schleck line. Under inert conditions, pre-degassed 1,4-dioxane (three times freeze-pump- thaw cycle) $(0.9 \mathrm{~mL})$ was poured into the reaction tube. 4 M aq. KOH solution $(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was then added. The reaction was capped under an inert condition and sonicated for 5 min . Thereafter, it was kept in a pre-heated oil bath at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 days without stirring. This reaction was kept in multiple batches. After completion of the reaction, a greenish color precipitate (ppt.) was obtained and was filtered using general filter paper and sequentially washed with dimethylformamide (DMF), methanol, acetone, 1 M aq. HCl solution, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, acetone, and methanol. Upon washing with 1 M aq. HCl solution, the color of the ppt. changed to bright yellow. Further, it was stirred for 2 days in the DMF solution at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, collected after centrifugation, and further washed with refluxing methanol for 1 day. Finally, it was centrifuged and dried under high vacuum at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h to obtain bright yellow color solid in $95 \%$ yield. The material is named PKPOP.

## Characterization of PKPOP



Figure S5: FT-IR spectrum of 4-formyl(triphenyl)phosphine (BB1), phenyl-1,4-diacetonitrile (BB2), PKMONO, PKPOP and Pd@PKPOP. The corresponding wavenumbers related to different functional groups in monomer as well as in polymer have been depicted schematically.

The Fourier-Transform Infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy confirmed the formation of PKPOP. The disappearance of the formyl C-H band of 4-formyl(triphenyl)phosphine at $2700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $2796 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, authenticated the elimination of water molecules. Shifting of the CN band stretching towards a lower frequency ( $2248 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in phenyl-1,4-diacetonitrile to $2217 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in PKPOP) corroborated the fully conjugative effect in the PKPOP due to partial conversion of the hybridization from sp to $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$.


Figure S6: PXRD spectra of PKPOP. A broad peak from $20^{\circ}$ to $40^{\circ}$ indicates the $\pi$ - $\pi$-stacked amorphous nature of the material.


Figure S7: FESEM images of PKPOP. (a) low magnification, (b) high magnification. TEM images of PKPOP. (c) low magnification, (d) high magnification. (e) EDAX shows the elemental mapping of elements present in PKPOP.


Figure S8: BET isotherm of PKPOP. Steep rise of curve at low pressure of Type II curve shows the filling of micropores and formation of monolayers afterwards. BET surface area measured was $108 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{g}$


Figure S9: (a) Pore size distribution of PKPOP shows micropores having average diameter of 1.4 nm ; (b, c) Upon optimization of energy and hexagonal geometry using Force Field method in Material Studio software, we found the pore size of $2.8 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{CN}$ to CN ) (Structure 1) and $2.9 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{H}$ to H$)$ (Structure 2). The decrement in the experimental pore size value shows that major part of PKPOP comprises of distorted or broken hexagonal structure


Figure S10: TGA curve showing thermal stability of PKPOP upto $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$


Figure S11: FESEM image and TEM image for the random measurement of the size of the spheres by measuring the diameter using Image $\mathbf{J}$ software

| S. No. | Label | Length (nm) | Round off | S. No. | Label | Length (nm) | Round off |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3D-R_02 | 409.158 | 410 | 49 | 3D-R_02 | 328.666 | 330 |
| 2 | 3D-R_02 | 434.851 | 430 | 50 | 3D-R_02 | 514.692 | 510 |
| 3 | 3D-R_02 | 365.685 | 370 | 51 | 3D-R_02 | 585.539 | 590 |
| 4 | 3D-R_02 | 384.199 | 380 | 52 | 3D-R_02 | 546.898 | 550 |
| 5 | 3D-R_02 | 392.204 | 390 | 53 | 3D-R_02 | 407.992 | 410 |
| 6 | 3D-R_02 | 395.909 | 400 | 54 | 3D-R_02 | 429.542 | 430 |
| 7 | 3D-R_02 | 393.172 | 390 | 55 | 3D-R_02 | 392.221 | 390 |
| 8 | 3D-R_02 | 367.147 | 370 | 56 | 3D-R_02 | 383.916 | 380 |
| 9 | 3D-R_02 | 366.006 | 370 | 57 | 3D-R_02 | 496.05 | 500 |
| 10 | 3D-R_02 | 102.104 | 100 | 58 | 3D-R_02 | 383.528 | 380 |
| 11 | 3D-R_02 | 150.472 | 150 | 59 | 3D-R_02 | 364.3 | 360 |
| 12 | 3D-R_02 | 100.698 | 100 | 60 | 3D-R_02 | 362.492 | 360 |
| 13 | 3D-R_02 | 77.218 | 80 | 61 | 3D-R_02 | 358.849 | 360 |
| 14 | 3D-R_02 | 132.043 | 130 | 62 | 3D-R_02 | 368.361 | 370 |
| 15 | 3D-R_02 | 114.765 | 110 | 63 | 3D-R_02 | 395.745 | 400 |
| 16 | 3D-R_02 | 193.742 | 190 | 64 | 3D-R_02 | 681.879 | 680 |
| 17 | 3D-R_02 | 196.316 | 200 | 65 | 3D-R_02 | 381.844 | 380 |
| 18 | 3D-R_02 | 157.161 | 160 | 66 | 3D-R_02 | 415.309 | 420 |
| 19 | 3D-R_02 | 393.641 | 390 | 67 | 3D-R_02 | 345.437 | 350 |
| 20 | 3D-R_02 | 108.09 | 110 | 68 | 3D-R_02 | 381.454 | 380 |
| 21 | 3D-R_02 | 369.478 | 370 | 69 | 3D-R_02 | 394.49 | 390 |
| 22 | 3D-R_02 | 347.137 | 350 | 70 | 3D-R_02 | 338.916 | 340 |
| 23 | 3D-R_02 | 382.882 | 380 | 71 | 3D-R_02 | 396.496 | 400 |
| 24 | 3D-R_02 | 408.477 | 410 | 72 | 3D-R_02 | 406.225 | 410 |
| 25 | 3D-R_02 | 463.16 | 460 | 73 | 3D-R_02 | 367.417 | 370 |
| 26 | 3D-R_02 | 380.413 | 380 | 74 | 3D-R_02 | 390.543 | 390 |
| 27 | 3D-R_02 | 354.373 | 350 | 75 | 3D-R_02 | 418.994 | 420 |
| 28 | 3D-R_02 | 195.557 | 200 | 76 | 3D-R_02 | 366.201 | 370 |
| 29 | 3D-R_02 | 432.626 | 430 | 77 | 3D-R_02 | 314.322 | 310 |
| 30 | 3D-R_02 | 390.812 | 390 | 78 | 3D-R_02 | 373.175 | 370 |
| 31 | 3D-R_02 | 345.553 | 350 | 79 | 3D-R_02 | 398.99 | 400 |
| 32 | 3D-R_02 | 333.31 | 330 | 80 | 3D-R_02 | 356.04 | 360 |
| 33 | 3D-R_02 | 366.877 | 370 | 81 | 3D-R_02 | 392.095 | 390 |
| 34 | 3D-R_02 | 332.993 | 330 | 82 | 3D-R_02 | 607.484 | 610 |
| 35 | 3D-R_02 | 132.324 | 130 | 83 | 3D-R_02 | 331.033 | 330 |
| 36 | 3D-R_02 | 380.486 | 380 | 84 | 3D-R_02 | 370.608 | 370 |
| 37 | 3D-R_02 | 592.714 | 590 | 85 | 3D-R_02 | 325.139 | 330 |
| 38 | 3D-R_02 | 387.236 | 390 | 86 | 3D-R_02 | 428.328 | 430 |
| 39 | 3D-R_02 | 445.25 | 450 | 87 | 3D-R_02 | 378.551 | 380 |
| 40 | 3D-R_02 | 637.358 | 640 | 88 | 3D-R_02 | 371.043 | 370 |
| 41 | 3D-R_02 | 682.978 | 680 | 89 | 3D-R_02 | 302.751 | 300 |
| 42 | 3D-R_02 | 588.901 | 590 | 90 | 3D-R_02 | 388.41 | 390 |
| 43 | 3D-R_02 | 459.061 | 460 | 91 | 3D-R_02 | 380.511 | 380 |
| 44 | 3D-R_02 | 370.106 | 370 | 92 | 3D-R_02 | 328.364 | 330 |
| 45 | 3D-R_02 | 414.742 | 410 | 93 | 3D-R_02 | 338.623 | 340 |
| 46 | 3D-R_02 | 295.289 | 300 | 94 | 3D-R_02 | 639.145 | 640 |
| 47 | 3D-R_02 | 595.531 | 600 | 95 | 3D-R_02 | 358.676 | 360 |
| 48 | 3D-R_02 | 378.191 | 380 | 96 | 3D-R_02 | 321.342 | 320 |


| S. No. | Label | Length (nm) | Round off | S. No. | Label | Length (nm) | Round off |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 97 | 3D-R_02 | 409.78 | 410 | 145 | 3D-R_02 | 127.269 | 130 |
| 98 | 3D-R_02 | 418.016 | 420 | 146 | 3D-R_02 | 628.348 | 630 |
| 99 | 3D-R_02 | 560.731 | 560 | 147 | 3D-R_02 | 447.575 | 450 |
| 100 | 3D-R_02 | 416.263 | 420 | 148 | 3D-R_02 | 692.182 | 690 |
| 101 | 3D-R_02 | 362.97 | 360 | 149 | 3D-R_02 | 390.321 | 390 |
| 102 | 3D-R_02 | 413.304 | 410 | 150 | 3D-R_02 | 403.93 | 400 |
| 103 | 3D-R_02 | 419.112 | 420 | 151 | 3D-R_02 | 329.72 | 330 |
| 104 | 3D-R_02 | 416.977 | 420 | 152 | 3D-R_02 | 373.972 | 370 |
| 105 | 3D-R_02 | 254.72 | 250 | 153 | 3D-R_02 | 442.226 | 440 |
| 106 | 3D-R_02 | 250.598 | 250 | 154 | 3D-R_02 | 405.798 | 410 |
| 107 | 3D-R_02 | 285.035 | 290 | 155 | 3D-R_02 | 414.203 | 410 |
| 108 | 3D-R_02 | 360.331 | 360 | 156 | 3D-R_02 | 404.084 | 400 |
| 109 | 3D-R_02 | 150.121 | 150 | 157 | 3D-R_02 | 315.11 | 320 |
| 110 | 3D-R_02 | 179.252 | 180 | 158 | 3D-R_02 | 392.221 | 390 |
| 111 | 3D-R_02 | 371.043 | 370 | 159 | 3D-R_02 | 407.505 | 410 |
| 112 | 3D-R_02 | 435.503 | 440 | 160 | 3D-R_02 | 404.911 | 400 |
| 113 | 3D-R_02 | 388.506 | 390 | 161 | 3D-R_02 | 453.518 | 450 |
| 114 | 3D-R_02 | 362.492 | 360 | 162 | 3D-R_02 | 368.932 | 370 |
| 115 | 3D-R_02 | 399.114 | 400 | 163 | 3D-R_02 | 399.114 | 400 |
| 116 | 3D-R_02 | 388.538 | 390 | 164 | 3D-R_02 | 401.344 | 400 |
| 117 | 3D-R_02 | 391.557 | 390 | 165 | 3D-R_02 | 351.414 | 350 |
| 118 | 3D-R_02 | 302.874 | 300 | 166 | 3D-R_02 | 431.615 | 430 |
| 119 | 3D-R_02 | 412.554 | 410 | 167 | 3D-R_02 | 412.554 | 410 |
| 120 | 3D-R_02 | 388.666 | 390 | 168 | 3D-R_02 | 333.31 | 330 |
| 121 | 3D-R_02 | 450.254 | 450 | 169 | 3D-R_02 | 354.399 | 350 |
| 122 | 3D-R_02 | 418.283 | 420 | 170 | 3D-R_02 | 448.267 | 450 |
| 123 | 3D-R_02 | 300.779 | 300 | 171 | 3D-R_02 | 341.974 | 340 |
| 124 | 3D-R_02 | 639.281 | 640 | 172 | 3D-R_02 | 662.908 | 660 |
| 125 | 3D-R_02 | 573.215 | 570 | 173 | 3D-R_02 | 359.504 | 360 |
| 126 | 3D-R_02 | 395.495 | 400 | 174 | 3D-R_02 | 559.912 | 560 |
| 127 | 3D-R_02 | 309.353 | 310 | 175 | 3D-R_02 | 641.314 | 640 |
| 128 | 3D-R_02 | 257.045 | 260 | 176 | 3D-R_02 | 383.399 | 380 |
| 129 | 3D-R_02 | 379.891 | 380 | 177 | 3D-R_02 | 372.077 | 370 |
| 130 | 3D-R_02 | 322.459 | 320 | 178 | 3D-R_02 | 422.764 | 420 |
| 131 | 3D-R_02 | 340.339 | 340 | 179 | 3D-R_02 | 420.176 | 420 |
| 132 | 3D-R_02 | 421.236 | 420 | 180 | 3D-R_02 | 371.711 | 370 |
| 133 | 3D-R_02 | 456.624 | 460 | 181 | 3D-R_02 | 406.347 | 410 |
| 134 | 3D-R_02 | 358.572 | 360 | 182 | 3D-R_02 | 377.009 | 380 |
| 135 | 3D-R_02 | 410.022 | 410 | 183 | 3D-R_02 | 347.726 | 350 |
| 136 | 3D-R_02 | 348.011 | 350 | 184 | 3D-R_02 | 608.198 | 610 |
| 137 | 3D-R_02 | 427.546 | 430 | 185 | 3D-R_02 | 406.469 | 410 |
| 138 | 3D-R_02 | 438.679 | 440 | 186 | 3D-R_02 | 304.099 | 300 |
| 139 | 3D-R_02 | 424.403 | 420 | 187 | 3D-R_02 | 321.342 | 320 |
| 140 | 3D-R_02 | 347.833 | 350 | 188 | 3D-R_02 | 336.603 | 340 |
| 141 | 3D-R_02 | 392.221 | 390 | 189 | 3D-R_02 | 424.403 | 420 |
| 142 | 3D-R_02 | 405.278 | 410 | 190 | 3D-R_02 | 423.028 | 420 |
| 143 | 3D-R_02 | 381.454 | 380 | 191 | 3D-R_02 | 320.725 | 320 |
| 144 | 3D-R_02 | 435.56 | 440 | 192 | 3D-R_02 | 418.728 | 420 |


| S. No. | Label | Length (nm) | Round off | S. No. | Label | Length (nm) | Round off |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 193 | 3D-R_02 | 407.961 | 410 | 204 | Grid. 21622 | 224.608 | 220 |
| 194 | 3D-R_02 | 382.914 | 380 | 205 | Grid. 21622 | 665.931 | 670 |
| 195 | 3D-R_02 | 312.542 | 310 | 206 | Grid. 21622 | 397.215 | 400 |
| 196 | 3D-R_02 | 429.772 | 430 | 207 | Grid. 21622 | 355.347 | 360 |
| 197 | 3D-R_02 | 439.977 | 440 | 208 | Grid. 21622 | 599.505 | 600 |
| 198 | 3D-R_02 | 436.81 | 440 | 209 | Grid. 21622 | 676.075 | 680 |
| 199 | 3D-R_02 | 454.447 | 450 | Round-off has been done in Microsoft Excel using the formula =MROUND(XX,10), in the multiple of 10 . |  |  |  |
| 200 | 3D-R_02 | 443.15 | 440 |  |  |  |  |
| 201 | Grid. 21622 | 240.589 | 240 |  |  |  |  |
| 202 | Grid. 21622 | 203.864 | 200 |  |  |  |  |
| 203 | Grid. 21622 | 205.122 | 210 |  |  |  |  |

Table ST1: Representation of the diameter size of spheres in the tabular form. Measured in Image J software and calculated using Microsoft excel 16.0.

| Round off size(nm) | Freq. | Round off size(nm) | Freq. | Round off size(nm) | Freq. | Round off size(nm) | Freq. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 | 0 | 240 | 1 | 430 | 7 | 620 | 0 |
| 60 | 0 | 250 | 2 | 440 | 7 | 630 | 1 |
| 70 | 0 | 260 | 1 | 450 | 6 | 640 | 4 |
| 80 | 1 | 270 | 0 | 460 | 3 | 650 | 0 |
| 90 | 0 | 280 | 0 | 470 | 0 | 660 | 1 |
| 100 | 2 | 290 | 1 | 480 | 0 | 670 | 1 |
| 110 | 2 | 300 | 5 | 490 | 0 | 680 | 3 |
| 120 | 0 | 310 | 3 | 500 | 1 | 690 | 1 |
| 130 | 3 | 320 | 5 | 510 | 1 | 700 | 0 |
| 140 | 0 | 330 | 8 | 520 | 0 | Mean $=387.65 \mathrm{~nm}$ <br> (without round-off) |  |
| 150 | 2 | 340 | 5 | 530 | 0 |  |  |
| 160 | 1 | 350 | 9 | 540 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean = 387.70 nm } \\ & \text { (with round-off) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 170 | 0 | 360 | 11 | 550 | 1 |  |  |
| 180 | 1 | 370 | 17 | 560 | 2 |  |  |
| 190 | 1 | 380 | 16 | 570 | 1 | $72.25 \%$ of sphereshave $320-450 \mathrm{~nm}$ |  |
| 200 | 3 | 390 | 17 | 580 | 0 |  |  |
| 210 | 1 | 400 | 12 | 590 | 3 |  |  |
| 220 | 1 | 410 | 17 | 600 | 2 | size |  |
| 230 | 0 | 420 | 14 | 610 | 2 |  |  |

Table ST2 : Representation of rounded-off size and frequency in the tabular form. Refined using Microsoft Excel 16.0


Figure S12: Graphical representation of measured diameter of spheres using Image J software showing average diameter of 387.7 nm


Figure S13 : DLS spectra for the measured diameter of spheres. The average size of spheres is 398.5 nm , whereas $72.80 \%$ of spheres fall in $340-460 \mathrm{~nm}$ range

## Section SM-V: Synthesis and characterization of Pd@PKPOP

## Synthesis of Pd@PKPOP



Figure S14: Schematic post-metalation of PKPOP to obtain Pd@PKPOP


Figure S15: Plausible structure of Pd@PKPOP
Methanol ( 5 mL ) was taken in a clean reaction tube with a magnetic stir bar. 94 mg PKPOP was suspended into it and stirred for 2 h at RT. A pre-formed solution of 6.0 mg PdCl 2 in 5.0 mL methanol was mixed with the suspension and allowed to stir for 24 h at RT. Further, it was
centrifuged and washed with fresh methanol five times to ensure the removal of extra unsupported $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$. It was dried under vacuum at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h to obtain Pd@PKPOP. A similar procedure has been applied for the metalation of PKPOP with other variations of $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ loading like $2 \mathrm{wt} \%, 4 \mathrm{wt} \%$, and $8 \mathrm{wt} \%$.

## Characterization of Pd@PKPOP



Figure S16: (a) FESEM image of Pd@PKPOP; (a1) TEM image of Pd@PKPOP; (b) EDAX shows the presence of $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Pd}$, and Cl through elemental mapping of Pd@PKPOP

Quantifying the Amount of Pd in different catalysts
2 mg of the catalyst has been digested in 2 mL aqua regia solution for 30 h . It was diluted with 8 mL Milli-Q water. 1 mL aliquot was again taken and diluted with $2 \%$ concentrated $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ ( 9 $\mathrm{mL}) .2 \mathrm{ml}$ of the final solution was filtered with $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ syringe filter, and measurement was carried out on ICP-OES. Commercially available $\mathrm{Pd}(1000 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{Pd}$ in $10 \% \mathrm{HCl})$ solution has been used as standard. After calculation, we got the following result.

| Catalysts | Expt. Found Pd (wt \%) | Theoretically PdCl $_{2}$ taken (wt \%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pd@PKPOP | 1.12 | 2 |
| Pd@PKPOP | 1.89 | 4 |
| Pd@PKPOP | 2.70 | 6 |
| Pd@PKPOP | 3.52 | 8 |

Table ST3: Quantity of Pd in different catalysts quantified by ICP-OES. Wt $\%$ was calculated with respect to PKPOP. So, $2.0 \mathrm{mg} 6 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathbf{P d} @ \mathbf{P K P O P}$ contains $0.054 \mathrm{mg}\left(0.5074 \times 10^{-3}\right.$ mmol) Pd


Figure S17: XPS spectra for PKPOP and Pd@PKPOP. (a) P in pristine PKPOP has 130.6 eV for $\mathrm{P} 2 \mathrm{p}_{3 / 2}$ while 131.7 eV for $\mathrm{P} 2 \mathrm{p}_{3 / 2}$ after metalation. Some unmetalated P has been left out in the Pd@PKPOP. (b) In $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} 3 \mathrm{~d}_{5 / 2}$ and $\mathrm{Pd} 3 \mathrm{~d}_{3 / 2}$ have 337.8 eV and 343.2 eV , respectively. Interaction with $P$ has been reflected by shifting the binding energy towards the lower side for Pd(II). Pd@PKPOP has Pd $3 \mathrm{~d}_{5 / 2}$, and $\mathrm{Pd} 3 \mathrm{~d}_{3 / 2}$ has 337.5 eV and 342.9 eV , respectively


Figure S18: BET isotherm of Pd@PKPOP. Steep rise of curve at low pressure of Type II curve shows the filling of micropores (similar to PKPOP) and formation of monolayers afterwards. BET surface area measured was $49 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{g}$


Figure S19: Pore size distribution spectra of Pd@PKPOP shows micropores having average diameter of 1.2 nm


Figure S20: FTIR analysis of Pd@PKPOP showing unaltered olefinic C-N stretching frequency


Figure S21: PXRD analysis of Pd@PKPOP having broad peak between $20^{\circ}$ to $40^{\circ}$ showing similar stacking as PKPOP

## Section SM-VI: Synthesis of homogeneous metal complexes and their characterizations

Synthesis of Bis-((Z)-2,3-diphenylacrylonitrile)Palladium dichloride, $\mathbf{P d C l}_{2}(\mathbf{B B 5})_{2}$


Method 1:- A 16 mL reaction tube was charged with (Z)-2,3-diphenylacrylonitrile (BB5) (0.2 $\mathrm{mmol}, 41.0 \mathrm{mg})$, methanol $(3.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 17.7 \mathrm{mg})$. The whole solution was allowed to stir for 12 h at room temperature. A dull green-colored precipitate was filtered out and washed thoroughly with methanol. It was vacuum dried to get $82 \%$ yield.

Method 2:- A 16 mL reaction tube was charged with (Z)-2,3-diphenylacrylonitrile (BB5) (0.2 $\mathrm{mmol}, 41.0 \mathrm{mg})$, chloroform $(3.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 17.7 \mathrm{mg})$. The whole solution was allowed to stir for 12 h at room temperature. A yellow-colored solution formed, which on concentration, gave yellow color powder. Further, it was washed with methanol and vacuum dried to get $77 \%$ yield with its retained yellow color.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.83-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.67-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.58-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.38$ (m, 2H), $7.38-7.36$ (m, 2H), $7.35-7.31$ (m, 2H). ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 ~ M H z, ~ C D C l 3\right) ~ \delta ~ 148.1, ~$ 142.4, 134.6, 133.9, 132.8, 132.6, 132.2, 130.7, 130.1, 129.9, 129.59, 129.56, 129.42, 129.36,
129.2, 129.1, 126.2, 126.1, 126.0, 122.2, 118.1, 111.9, 108.8. $\mathrm{mp}=162-164^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(\mathbf{5 0 0}$ MHz, DMSO-d $\mathbf{d}_{6}$ ) $8.06(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, DMSO- $\boldsymbol{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 143.5, 134.3, 134.2, 131.1, 129.8, 129.7, 129.6, 129.4, 126.3, 118.4, 110.9. $\mathbf{I R}\left(\mathbf{A T R} / \mathbf{c m}^{-1}\right.$ ) 3059.6, 3022.3, 2277.4, 1590.3, 1566.3, 1492.9, 1447.1, 1353.3, 1314.8, 1253.4, 1241.4, 1206.5, 1188.4, 1180.0, 1155.9, 1106.6, 1080.1, 1029.6, 1006.7, 986.3, 934.5, 899.6, 843.1, 827.4, 797.3, 761.2, 749.2, 689.0, 621.7.

## Synthesis of $\mathbf{P d C l}_{2}(\mathbf{P K M O N O})_{2}$

A 16 mL reaction tube was charged with PKMONO ( $0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 32.2 \mathrm{mg}$ ), chloroform ( 2.0 $\mathrm{mL})$, and $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.4 \mathrm{mg})$. The whole solution was allowed to stir for 24 h at room temperature. A yellow-colored solution was concentrated to get a solid product, which was washed thoroughly with methanol. Further, it was vacuum dried to get $85 \%$ yield with retained yellow color.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 7.82-7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.33$ (m, 18H). ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 140.8,136.3$, 135.6, 134.3, 131.0, 129.8, 129.3, 129.2, 126.4, 117.8, 114.0. ${ }^{\mathbf{3 1}} \mathbf{P}$ NMR (203 MHz, CDCl3) $\delta$ 23.80. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, DMSO-d6) $\delta 8.12$ (s, 6H), $8.11-8.04$ (m, 12H), $7.95-7.87$ (m, $12 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.77(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, DMSO- $\boldsymbol{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 141.6,136.2,135.0,133.5,132.1,129.7,129.3,129.0,126.0,117.6,112.3 .{ }^{31} \mathbf{P}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{2 0 3} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, DMSO-d $\mathbf{6}$ ) $\delta$ 23.79. IR(ATR/ $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ) 3059.3, 3030.5, 2213.6, 1593.2, 1544.1, 1494.9, 1445.8,1396.6, 1354.2, 1313.6, 1261.0, 1189.8, 1094.9, 1018.6, 903.4, 811.9, 759.3, 684.7, 638.9, 625.4, 611.9.

## Synthesis of $\left(\mathbf{P d C l}_{2}\right)_{4}(\mathbf{P K M O N O})_{2}$

Method 1:- A 16 mL reaction tube was charged with PKMONO ( $0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 64.3 \mathrm{mg}$ ), methanol $(3.0 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 35.4 \mathrm{mg})$. The whole solution was allowed to stir for 24 h at room temperature. A light green colored precipitate was filtered out and washed thoroughly with methanol. It was vacuum dried to get $91 \%$ yield.

Method 2:- A 16 mL reaction tube was charged with PKMONO ( $0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 64.3 \mathrm{mg}$ ), chloroform ( 3.0 mL ), and $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 35.4 \mathrm{mg})$. The whole solution was allowed to stir for 24 h at room temperature. A yellow-colored precipitate was filtered out and thoroughly washed with chloroform and methanol. Further, it was vacuum dried to get $84 \%$ yield with retained yellow color.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.96-7.87(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 7.82-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 7.67-7.59(\mathrm{~m}$, 12 H ), $7.52-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 140.8,135.6,135.5,132.7,129.5,129.4,129.34,129.32,126.4,117.8,114.0 .{ }^{31} \mathbf{P}$ NMR ( $203 \mathbf{~ M H z}$, CDCl3 $_{3}$ ) $\delta 22.99 .^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~ D M S O - d 6 ) ~} \delta 8.12$ (s, 6H), 8.06 (dd, $J=8.5,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.9,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, DMSO- $\boldsymbol{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 141.5$, 136.5, 135.3 (d, $J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 133.5,130.6,129.8,129.3,128.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 126.0,117.5,112.6 .{ }^{31} \mathbf{P}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{2 0 3} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 30.68. IR(ATR/cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) 3057.6, 3032.2, 2213.5, 1594.9, 1545.8, 1496.6, 1445.8, 1400.0, 1355.9, 1250.8, 1193.2, 1101.7, 1008.5, 901.7, 815.3, 755.9, 686.4, 637.3

## Synthesis of $\operatorname{Pd}(0)(\mathbf{P K M O N O})_{4}$

A 16 mL reaction tube was charged with PKMONO ( $0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 64.3 \mathrm{mg}$ ), anhydrous DMSO $(0.3 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.6 \mathrm{mg})$. It was capped under Ar and continuously stirred at $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 2 h , L-ascorbic acid ( $0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 17.6 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in 50 uL anhydrous DMSO was poured through a syringe under Ar, keeping continuous stirring at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. It was allowed to stir for another 15 min and kept to attain room temperature. Unlike $\mathrm{Pd}(0)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) 4$, it did not form a precipitate. The yield was not quantified. The solution was found to be dark yellowishbrown. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, DMSO- $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{6}}$ ) $\delta 8.09-8.05(\mathrm{~m}, 36 \mathrm{H}), 7.86-7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}), 7.77$ $7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, DMSO-d $\mathbf{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 141.7,137.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 133.5,132.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 130.1,129.6,129.4,129.3$, 126.3, 117.7, 113.3. ${ }^{\mathbf{3 1}} \mathbf{P}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{2 0 2} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~ D M S O - d 6 ) ~} \delta 25.05$. IR(ATR/cm ${ }^{\mathbf{- 1}}$ in DMSO- $\boldsymbol{d}_{6}$ solvent) 2250.8, 1054.2, 1022.0,1006.8, 991.5,825.4, 757.6.

## NMR analysis of $\left[\mathbf{P d}(0)(\mathbf{P K M O N O})_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$ and $\left[\mathbf{P d}(0)(\mathbf{O A c})(\mathbf{P K M O N O})_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{D M S O}-\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{6}}$ ) $\delta 7.89$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 12 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 24 \mathrm{H}), 7.64-7.58$ (m, 24H), $7.40-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 60 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, DMSO-d $\mathbf{d}_{6} \delta$ 142.1, 134.1, 133.8, 133.3, 129.7, 129.6, 128.3, 127.9, 126.0, 116.9, 111.4. ${ }^{31} \mathbf{P}$ NMR (202 MHz, DMSO-d6) $\delta 8.63$.

## Attempted synthesis of Tetrakis-((Z)-2,3-diphenylacrylonitrile)Palladium(0)

Method 1:- A 16 mL reaction tube was charged with BB5 ( $0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 20.5 \mathrm{mg}$ ), anhydrous DMSO ( 0.3 mL ), and $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.4 \mathrm{mg})$. It was capped under Ar and continuously stirred at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 2 h , L-ascorbic acid ( $0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 17.6 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in 50 uL anhydrous DMSO was poured through a syringe under Ar , continuously stirring at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. It was allowed to stir for another 15 min and kept to attain room temperature. A transparent clear solution was observed, and along with it, some settled black particles were at the bottom of the reaction tube. It seemed BB5 could not stabilize $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ through this method.

Method 2:- A 16 mL reaction tube was charged with BB5 ( $0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 20.5 \mathrm{mg}$ ), methanol ( 0.5 mL ), and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{OAc}(0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 16.4 \mathrm{mg})$ under Ar. It was capped and allowed to stir at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . Further, freshly prepared methanolic solution of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{4}(0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 7.3 \mathrm{mg})$ was poured slowly using a syringe on continuous stirring condition at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. It was allowed for another 2 h to stir. Finally, after attaining room temperature, a similar transparent solution with settled black particles at the bottom of the reaction tube, like method 1 was observed. Through this method, also it seemed BB5 was unable to stabilize $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$, resulting in the formation of black Pd nanoparticles.

## Synthesis of Sodium tetrachloropalladate ( $\mathbf{N a}_{2} \mathbf{P d C l}_{4}$ )

A 16 mL reaction tube was charged with $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 17.7 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{NaCl}(0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 14.6$ mg ), and anhydrous methanol ( 2 mL ). It was allowed to stir at rt overnight. The dark yellow solution formed was filtered out with a syringe filter and kept aside for use.

NMR analysis of different homogeneous metal complexes in DMSO- $d 6$ and $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solvent
We have compared the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{P K M O N O}, \quad \mathbf{P d C l}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{P K M O N O})_{2}$, $\left(\mathbf{P d C l}_{2}\right)_{4}(\mathbf{P K M O N O})_{2}$ and $\mathbf{P d}(\mathbf{0})(\mathbf{P K M O N O})_{4}$ using DMSO- $d_{6}$ as NMR solvent (Figure S22). In $\mathbf{P d C l}_{2}(\mathbf{P K M O N O})_{2}$, the ortho-protons near to P shifted downfield with splitting (orange ball), showing Pd binding with P. Similar peak patterns can be seen even after using excess $\mathrm{Pd}^{\text {in }}\left(\mathbf{P d C l}_{2}\right)_{4}(\mathbf{P K M O N O})_{2}$ while in case of $\mathbf{P d}(\mathbf{0})(\mathbf{P K M O N O})_{4}$, spectra shifted
upfield maintaining similar peak positions with respect to each other except overlapping of olefinic proton (blue ball) and ortho-protons of benzylic nitrile (pink ball). Moreover, the other broad peaks (asterisks) hinted the presence of equilibrium between the probable complexes, $\left[\mathbf{P d}(0)(\mathbf{P K M O N O})_{\mathbf{n}}\right]$ and $\left[\mathbf{P d}(0)(\mathbf{O A c})(\mathbf{P K M O N O})_{\mathbf{n}}\right] .{ }^{3}$ Concurrently, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{B B 5}$ and $\mathbf{P d C l}_{2}(\mathbf{B B 5})_{2}$ displayed exactly the same spectra with exact peak positions in DMSO- $d_{6}$ (Figure S22A). Here, it can be concluded, Pd-P binding took place and same was depicted by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR in DMSO- $d_{6}$ while Pd-olefinic CN binding cannot be depicted as probably Pd-olefinic CN binding affinity might be overcome by DMSO- $d_{6}$.

Simultaneously, downfield shift of $\beta$-olefinic C (blue ball) (Figure S23) in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra in DMSO- $d 6$, slightly upfield shift of $\alpha$-olefinic C (pink ball) and no shift in nitrile C (cyan ball) of $\mathbf{P d C l}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{P K M O N O})_{2}$, in comparison with $\mathbf{P K M O N O}$ has observed because of purely $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})-$ $P$ binding. Further slight downfield shift in $\beta$-olefinic $C$ (blue ball) of $\left(\mathbf{P d C l}_{2}\right)_{\mathbf{4}}(\mathbf{P K M O N O})_{2}$ gave hint of interaction between $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ and olefin which can also be sensed via ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR in DMSO- $d 6$ (Figure S22) as the separation between olefinic proton (blue ball) and ortho-protons of benzyl nitrile (pink ball) increased. $\mathrm{Pd}(0)$-P binding was also well observed via downfield shift of $\beta$-olefinic C (blue ball) of $\mathbf{P d}(\mathbf{0})(\mathbf{P K M O N O}) 4$ (Figure S23). Conclusion can be drawn here as $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ as well as $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ were stabilized by P . On the other hand, olefin also helped to stabalize $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$.

Similar downfield shift was observed for ortho-protons near to P (orange ball) of $\mathbf{P d C l}_{2}(\mathbf{P K M O N O})_{2}$ (fully soluble in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) and $\left(\mathbf{P d C l}_{2}\right)_{4}(\mathbf{P K M O N O})_{2}$ (slightly soluble in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (Figure S24) with respect to $\mathbf{P K M O N O}$. But olefinic proton (blue ball) and meta-protons near to P (maroon ball) of $\left(\mathbf{P d C l}_{2}\right) 4(\mathbf{P K M O N O})_{2}$ splitted much like $\mathbf{P d C l}_{2}(\mathbf{B B 5})_{2}$ while corresponding protons of $\mathbf{P d C l}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{P K M O N O})_{\mathbf{2}}$ remained unsplitted. As a conclusion, both P as well as CN could stabilize Pd (II). Concomitantly, ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR in DMSO- $d_{6}$ has also presented different chemical environment of P in various complexes (Figure S25).

In short, $\mathrm{Pd}($ II $)$ has been stabalized by P, olefin and CN of PKMONO (Figure S22, S23 and S24) homogeneously which can be extended to stabalize heterogeneously too. ${ }^{4}$ Similarly, $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ has been stabalized by P of PKMONO (Figure S22 and S23) as well as olefinic compounds ${ }^{5}$ homogeneously which guided to envision that P , olefin and CN stabalized Pd nanoparticles in extended form of PKMONO i.e PKPOP heterogeneously. ${ }^{6,7,8}$


Figure S22: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR in DMSO- $d_{6}$


Figure S22A: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR in DMSO- $d_{6}$

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pd}(0)[\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{Sll} \\ & \mathrm{Pd}(\mathbf{O})(\mathbf{P K M O N O})_{\mathbf{4}} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \left({\mathrm{PdCl} 1_{2}}^{2}[\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{NC}\right. \\ & \left.\left.\mathbf{( P d C l}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{( P K M O N O}\right)_{2} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}[\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{NC} \\ & \left.\mathbf{P d C l}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{( P K M O N O}\right)_{\mathbf{2}} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | PKMONO |
|  |  |

Figure S23: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR in DMSO- $d_{6}$


Figure S24: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


Figure S25: ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR in DMSO- $d_{6}$


Figure S26: Iodoarenes used in this study. Except for I20, all are commercially available


Figure S27: Boronic acids used in this study. All are commercially available


Figure S28: Internal alkynes used in this study. Except for A2, all are reported in the literature

${ }^{1}{ }^{1}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 7.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6}$ $\mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 176.8,145.0,144.2,136.6,128.3,126.1,100.8,52.4,45.9,33.8,26.6,14.5$. IR(ATR/cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) 2968.8, 2949.3, 2932.5, 2872.7, 2840.3, 1728.6, 1598.0, 1546.1, 1484.9, $1470.9,1461.2,1433.0$, 1386.2, 1368.4, 1253.2, 1189.6, 1144.2, 1103.9, 1061.0, 1027.3, 1014.3, 988.3, 927.3, 879.2, 849.3, 828.6, 803.9, 774.0, 715.6, 664.9, 628.6, 611.7. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{IO}_{2}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right) 333.0346$, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 333.0354$.

## Synthesis of internal alkynes

General Procedure A: The reported procedure was followed with some modifications. ${ }^{9}$ Corresponding aryl halide ( 5.0 mmol ) was taken into 50 mL two neck RB with magnetic stirring bar under argon atmosphere. It was vacuumed for 5 min and filled back with argon. 10 mL pre-degassed triethylamine $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right)$ was added and charged with $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(5.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ along with $\mathrm{CuI}(1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ under inert atmosphere. The whole solution was again degassed for 5 min . Lastly, corresponding aryl acetylene ( 5.1 mmol ) was added and stoppered tightly. Further, it was allowed to run at rt for 12 h . Thereafter, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ was neutralized via slow addition of 1 M aqueous HCl solution under stirring mode. The neutralized reaction mixture was extracted using DCM $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The extracted organic layer underwent washing with brine solution ( 10 mL ) followed by drying using anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Furthermore, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, and a slurry was prepared. The slurry was packed into a column, and the corresponding compound was purified using ethyl acetate and hexane as eluent. Finally, the solvent was reduced to get desired internal aryl alkynes.


Figure S29: Schematic protocol for the synthesis of internal alkynes
General Procedure B: This procedure was followed according to the reported literature with some modifications. ${ }^{10}$ Aryl halide ( 4.0 mmol ) was introduced into 25 ml two neck RB equipped with magnetic bead followed by $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \quad(5.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, $1,1^{\prime}$ 'bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene (dppf) ( $10.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), DMSO ( 2.0 mL ) and $1,8-$ diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) $(4.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ under inert atmosphere. It was stirred for a minute, and then after, propiolic acid ( 2.0 mmol ) was charged, followed by capping the RB tightly. After stirring at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h , the reaction mixture was allowed to attain room temperature. Further, the reaction mixture was extracted using brine solution and ethyl acetate $(5 \mathrm{x} 4 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with fresh distilled water ( 4.0 mL ) and dried using anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. A slurry was prepared after evaporating the solvent under a rotary evaporator. The slurry was charged into the column afterward, and the product was eluted using ethyl acetate and hexane as eluent. Finally, the desired compound was obtained after evaporating the solvent under reduced pressure.


1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)ethyne (A1) has been synthesized following general procedure A. It is a colorless solid compound with $84 \%$ yield ( $1000.1 \mathrm{mg}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The NMR was found to be matched with reported value in literature. ${ }^{10} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}){ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.5,133.0$, 115.8, 114.1, 88.1, 55.4.


2,2'-(ethyne-1,2-diylbis(4,1-phenylene))diacetonitrile (A2) has been synthesized following general procedure B. It is a yellowish solid compound with $70 \%$ yield ( $358.6 \mathrm{mg}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}$, 4H). ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 132.4, 130.2, 128.1, 123.1, 117.5, 89.4, 23.7. IR(ATR/cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) 2943.8, 2917.8, 2244.6, 1511.5, 1410.2, 1186.6, 1103.4, 1020.2, 921.5,833.3, 796.7, 708.3 HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Na}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$279.0893, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 279.0923.


1,1'-(ethyne-1,2-diylbis(4,1-phenylene))bis(ethan-1-one) (A3) has been synthesized following general procedure B. It is a colorless solid compound with $68 \%$ yield ( $356.4 \mathrm{mg}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The NMR was found to be matched with reported value in literature. ${ }^{10}{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{~ N M R}(\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta$ 197.4, 136.8, 132.0, 128.5, 127.6, 91.8, 26.8.


1,2-di(quinolin-3-yl)ethyne (A4) has been synthesized following general procedure B. It is a yellowish solid compound with $72 \%$ yield ( $403.4 \mathrm{mg}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The NMR was found to be matched with reported value in literature. ${ }^{11}{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 9.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{t}, J$ $\left.=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 1 2 6 ~ M H z , ~ C D C l 3}\right) ~ \delta 151.9,147.0,138.7$, 130.5, 129.5, 127.7, 127.5, 127.2, 116.8, 89.9.

(A5)
1,2-di(thiophen-2-yl)ethyne (A5) has been synthesized following general procedure B. It is a colorless solid compound with $74 \%$ yield ( $281.2 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The NMR was found to be matched with reported value in literature. ${ }^{10}{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97-6.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}(\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}) \delta 132.2$, 127.7, 127.3, 123.0, 86.3.

## Section SM-VIII: Catalytic activity of Pd@PKPOP

General procedure for optimization of reaction condition
To a 16 mL culture tube equipped with a magnetic stirrer bar, the required amount of Pd@PKPOP, 1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)ethyne (A1), p-tolylboronic acid (B1), 1-iodo-2methylbenzene (I1) $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard were taken
under inert atmosphere, followed by dried DMF was added. Thereafter, it was capped tightly and kept in an ambient pre-heated oil bath at 250 rpm stirring. After stirring for the speculated time, it was kept to attain rt .2 mL brine solution, and 5 mL ethyl acetate was poured into the same culture tube. The mixture was shaken well and allowed the layer to be separated. 2 mL aliquot of separated ethyl acetate was dried under anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The ethyl acetate was well evaporated, and the residue was dissolved into $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solvent. Further, it was transferred into an NMR tube, and the product was quantified through ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis. 1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)ethyne A1 was treated as limiting reagent.

Table ST4: Temperature variation


| Entry | Temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$ | Yield (\%) <br> $(\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{Z - 1})$ | Selectivity <br> $(\mathbf{1}: \mathbf{Z - 1})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 90 | 70 | $87: 13$ |
| 2 | 100 | 90 | $88: 12$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 6}(\mathbf{9 1})^{\mathbf{b}}$ | $\mathbf{9 0}: \mathbf{1 0}$ |
| 4 | 120 | 94 | $89: 11$ |
| 5 | 130 | 91 | $89: 11$ |
| 6 | 140 | 91 | $88: 12$ |
| 7 | 150 | 73 | $88: 12$ |

Reaction conditions: A1 ( 0.1 mmol ), B1 $(0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathbf{I 1}(0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$, DMF $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 0.3 mmol ), Pd@PKPOP ( $3.0 \mathrm{mg}, 2.7 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{Pd}$ ), $24 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{~N}_{2} .{ }^{a}$ Yield was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard. ${ }^{b}$ Isolated yield

Table ST5: Amount of reactants variation


| Entry | Amount of reactants <br> $\left(10^{-1} \mathbf{m m o l}\right)$ | Yield (\%) <br> $(\mathbf{1 + \mathbf { Z - 1 } )}$ | Selectivity <br> $(\mathbf{1}: \mathbf{Z - 1})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $1: 2: 3$ | 96 | $90: 10$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1 : 1 . 5 : 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 ( 9 1 ) ^ { \mathbf { b } }}$ | $\mathbf{9 0}: \mathbf{1 0}$ |
| 3 | $1: 1.2: 1.5$ | 88 | $89: 11$ |
| 4 | $1: 1.1: 1.2$ | 81 | $89: 11$ |

Reaction conditions: DMF ( 1.0 mL ), $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$, Pd@PKPOP ( $3.0 \mathrm{mg}, 2.7 \mathrm{wt} \%$ $\mathrm{Pd}), 110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{~N}_{2} .{ }^{a} \mathrm{Y}$ ield was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard. ${ }^{b}$ Isolated yield

Table ST6: Solvents variation


| Entry | Solvent <br> $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ | Yield (\%) <br> $(\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{Z - 1})$ | Selectivity <br> $(\mathbf{1}: \mathbf{Z - 1})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Tetrahydrofuran (THF) | trace | - |
| 2 | ter-Amylalcohol ( $\left.{ }^{( } \mathrm{AmOH}\right)$ | trace | - |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | DMF | $\mathbf{9 6}(\mathbf{9 1})^{\mathbf{b}}$ | $\mathbf{9 0}: \mathbf{1 0}$ |
| 4 | Toluene | trace | - |
| 5 | Acetonitrile (MeCN) | 10 | $85: 15$ |
| 6 | Water (H2O) | 10 | $100: 0$ |
| 7 | 1,2-dichloroethane (DCE) | trace | - |
| 8 | Dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO) | 80 | $78: 22$ |
| 9 | Chlorobenzene (PhCl) | trace | - |
| 10 | Benzene | trace | - |
| 11 | 1,4-dioxane | 20 | $95: 05$ |

Reaction conditions: A1 ( 0.1 mmol ), B1 $(0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathbf{I 1}(0.2 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$, Pd@PKPOP ( $3.0 \mathrm{mg}, 2.7 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{Pd}$ ), $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{~N}_{2} .{ }^{a}$ Yield was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard. ${ }^{b}$ Isolated yield

Table ST7: Volume of DMF variation


| Entry | Volume of DMF <br> $(\mathrm{mL})$ | Yield (\%) $)^{\text {a }}$ <br> $(\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{Z - 1})$ | Selectivity <br> $(\mathbf{1}: \mathbf{Z - 1})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.1 | 83 | $86: 14$ |
| 2 | 0.25 | 84 | $86: 14$ |
| 3 | 0.5 | 92 | $89: 11$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 6}(\mathbf{9 1})^{\mathbf{b}}$ | $\mathbf{9 0}: \mathbf{1 0}$ |
| 5 | 1.5 | 92 | $90: 10$ |

Reaction conditions: A1 ( 0.1 mmol ), B1 $(0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathbf{I 1}(0.2 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$, Pd@PKPOP ( $3.0 \mathrm{mg}, 2.7 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{Pd}$ ), $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{~N}_{2} .{ }^{a}$ Yield was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard. ${ }^{b}$ Isolated yield

Table ST8: Time variation


| Entry | Time (h) | Yield (\%) <br> $(\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{Z - 1 )}$ | Selectivity <br> $(\mathbf{1}: \mathbf{Z - 1})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 16 | 72 | $89: 11$ |
| 2 | 20 | 81 | $89: 11$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 6}(\mathbf{9 1})^{\mathbf{b}}$ | $\mathbf{9 0}: \mathbf{1 0}$ |
| 4 | 36 | 95 | $90: 10$ |
| 5 | 48 | 95 | $90: 10$ |

Reaction conditions: A1 ( 0.1 mmol ), B1 $(0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathbf{I 1}(0.2 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$, Pd@PKPOP ( $3.0 \mathrm{mg}, 2.7 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{Pd}$ ), $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. ${ }^{a}$ Yield was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR using 1,3,5trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard. ${ }^{b}$ Isolated yield

Table ST9: $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ loading at PKPOP variation


| Entry | Theoretical loading of $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ over PKPOP (wt\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield (\%) }{ }^{\text {a }} \\ & (\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{Z - 1}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Selectivity } \\ (\mathbf{1 : Z - 1}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 46 | 89:11 |
| 2 | 4 | 81 | 89:11 |
| 3 | 6 | 96 | 90:10 |
| 4 | 8 | 96 | 90:10 |
| $5{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 6 | $96(91)^{\text {b }}$ | 90: 10 |
| $6^{\text {a }}$ | 6 | 71 | 90:10 |

Reaction conditions: A1 ( 0.1 mmol ), B1 $(0.15 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathbf{I 1}(0.2 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$, Pd@PKPOP ( 3.0 mg ), $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{~N}_{2} .{ }^{a}$ Yield was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR using $1,3,5-$ trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard. ${ }^{b}$ Isolated yield. ${ }^{c}$ Pd@PKPOP ( 2.0 mg ), ${ }^{d} \mathbf{P d} @ \mathbf{P K P O P}(1.5 \mathrm{mg})$

Table ST10: Amount of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ variation


| Entry | Amount of <br> $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{mmol})$ | Yield (\%) <br> $(\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{Z - 1})$ | Selectivity <br> $(\mathbf{1}: \mathbf{Z - 1})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.05 | 72 | $90: 10$ |
| 2 | 0.10 | 81 | $90: 10$ |
| 3 | 0.15 | 87 | $90: 10$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 ( 9 1 )}$ | $\mathbf{9 0}: \mathbf{1 0}$ |
| 5 | 0.30 | 96 | $90: 10$ |

Reaction conditions: A1 $(0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$, B1 $(0.15 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathbf{I 1}(0.2 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathbf{P d} @ \mathbf{P K P O P}(2.0 \mathrm{mg}$, $2.7 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{Pd}), 110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{~N}_{2} .{ }^{a}$ Yield was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR using 1,3,5trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard. ${ }^{b}$ Isolated yield

Table S11: Final optimized condition


General procedure for palladium-catalyzed trans-selective dicarbofunctionalization of internal alkynes via Pd@PKPOP

To a 16 mL culture tube equipped with a magnetic stirrer bar, Pd@PKPOP ( $2.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ $\mathrm{Pd})$, diarylacetylene $\mathbf{A x}(0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$, aryl boronic acid $\mathbf{B x}(0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$, aryl halide $\mathbf{I x}(0.2$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ were taken under inert atmosphere. Dried DMF $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was then added, and the tube was capped tightly and kept in a pre-heated $\left(110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ oil bath at 250 rpm stirring speed. After 24 h , the oil bath was removed, and the mixture was allowed to attain rt . The reaction mixture was transferred into a centrifuge tube, and the catalyst was centrifuged. The mother liquor was added to brine ( 5 mL ) and then extracted using ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was washed with distilled water ( 5 mL ) and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Further, the organic layer was evaporated under reduced pressure, and a slurry was prepared. The slurry was packed into a silica gel column and eluted using ethyl acetate and hexane as eluent. The final product was obtained after reducing the eluent under the rota-evaporator. The major product $E$ has been given w.r.t internal alkyne.
Note:- The general reaction time was 24 h unless explicitly stated.

(1)
(Z)-4,4'-(1-(o-tolyl)-2-(p-tolyl)ethene-1,2-diyl)bis(methoxybenzene) (1) has been obtained as a colorless solid in $91 \%$ yield. $\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.4$, Eluent $=\mathrm{EtOAc}$ : hexane ( $0.5: 99.5$ ), Amount $=38.2$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.091 \mathrm{mmol}$, Selectivity $=90: 10$. The NMR data was found to be matched with the reported literature value. ${ }^{12}{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~ C D C l} 3$ ) $\delta 6.98-6.90(\mathrm{~m}, \mathbf{4 H}), 6.90-6.85$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.80-6.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 158.0$, 157.8, 143.7, $141.0,140.2,138.4,136.7,136.6,136.0,135.8,131.91,131.88,131.5,130.2,128.6,126.7$, $125.5,113.1,112.8,55.17,55.17,21.3,20.3$.

(2)
(Z)-4-(1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-(o-tolyl)vinyl)benzaldehyde (2) has been obtained as a light yellowish green solid in $91 \%$ yield. $\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.3$, Eluent $=$ EtOAc : hexane ( $0.5: 99.5$ ), Amount $=39.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.091 \mathrm{mmol}$, Selectivity $=92: 8 .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl ${ }^{2}$ ) $\delta 9.83$ (s, 1 H ), 7.57 (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.17 (d, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.98-6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.76$ (d, $J=3.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H), 3.59 (s, 3H), 1.99 (s, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 1 ~ M H z , ~ C D C l} 3$ ) $\delta$ 192.0, 158.4, 158.3, 151.0, $142.9,140.9,139.1,136.5,135.4,134.8,134.4,132.2,132.0,131.9,131.6,130.3,129.4,127.1$, 125.7, 113.3, 113.1, 55.1, 20.3. IR (ATR/(cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) 3011.4, 2975.0, 2941.0, 2915.2, 2839.8, 2730.6, 1698.7, 1594.7, 1508.9, 1451.8, 1246.6, 1173.6, 1022.9, 809.7 HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NaO}_{3}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right) 457.1774$, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 457.1797$.

(Z)-4-(2-(2-ethylphenyl)-1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)vinyl)benzaldehyde (3) has been obtained as a light yellowish green solid compound in $92 \%$ yield. $\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.3$, Eluent $=\mathrm{EtOAc}$ : hexane ( $0.5: 99.5$ ), Amount $=41.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.092 \mathrm{mmol}$, Selectivity $=94: 6 . \mathbf{m p}=146-148{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97-6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93-6.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.50$ $(\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.48(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.52-2.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.38-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.87$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 192.0,158.4,158.2,151.1,142.3,141.0$, 138.9, 135.3, 135.1, 134.4, 132.2, 132.1, 131.9, 131.7, 129.4, 128.5, 127.3, 125.5, 113.3, 113.1, 55.2, 55.1, 26.0, 14.4. IR (ATR/cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) 2964.6, 2930.8, 2839.8, 2730.6, 1693.5, 1597.3, 1506.3, 1243.8, 1171.0, 1022.9, 833.1, 812.3, 760.3 HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NaO}_{3}$ $\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right) 471.1931$, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 471.1928$.

(Z)-4-(2-(2-isopropylphenyl)-1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)vinyl)benzaldehyde (4) has been obtained as an orange solid in $71 \%$ yield. $\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.3$, Eluent $=$ EtOAc : hexane ( $0.5: 99.5$ ), Amount $=32.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.071 \mathrm{mmol}$, Selectivity $=95: 5 .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) $\delta 9.86(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97-6.92(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{~h}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.72$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 192.1,158.4,158.3,151.1,147.2,141.7$, 141.1, 138.9, 135.6, 135.3, 134.4, 132.3, 132.2, 131.6, 129.4, 127.6, 126.1, 125.5, 113.3, 113.2, 55.2, 30.2, 24.7, 23.2. IR (ATR/cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) 2954.2, 2930.8, 2839.8, 2735.9, 1698.7, 1599.9, 1508.9, 1454.9, 1290.6, 1241.2, 1171.0, 1030.7, 833.1, 807.1, 757.7 HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NaO}_{3}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right) 485.2087$, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 485.2089$.

(Z)-4-(2-(2-((benzyloxy)methyl)phenyl)-1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)vinyl)benzaldehyde has been obtained as a light green solid in $78 \%$ yield. $\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.4$, Eluent $=$ EtOAc : hexane ( 0.5 : 99.5), Amount $=42.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.078 \mathrm{mmol}$, Selectivity $=89: 11 .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.22$ - $7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4$, $1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77$ (d, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$

NMR (126 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 192.1,158.5,158.4,150.9,142.3,140.1,139.3,138.5,136.7$, 135.1, 134.7, 134.4, 132.23, 132.17, 132.0, 129.4, 128.6, 128.5, 127.9, 127.7, 127.5, 127.4, 113.3, 113.2, 72.8, 70.5, 55.23, 55.18. IR(ATR/ $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ) 2962.0, 2925.6, 2852.8, 2733.2, 1693.5, 1602.5, 1501.1, 1456.4, 1243.8, 1173.6, 1106.0, 1069.7,1028.1, 822.7, 796.7, 713.7, 697.9 HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{NaO}_{4}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right) 563.2193$, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 563.2184$.

methyl (Z)-2-(2-(2-(4-formylphenyl)-1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)vinyl)phenyl)acetate (6) has been obtained as a yellow solid in $91 \%$ yield. $\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.2$, Eluent $=$ EtOAc : hexane ( $0.5: 99.5$ ), Amount $=45.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.091 \mathrm{mmol}$, Selectivity $=89: 11 .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(500 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 9.85$ (s, 1H), $7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.08-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.63$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 192.0, 172.2, 158.5, 158.4, 150.8, 143.1, 140.0, 139.6, 134.9, $134.8,134.5,132.7,132.4,132.3,132.2,132.1,130.6,129.4,127.5,127.1,113.4,113.2,55.18$, 55.16, 51.9, 38.7. IR(ATR/cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) 3003.6, 2959.4, 2928.2, 2342.4, 2738.4, 1735.1, 1690.9, 1690.9, 1602.5, 1503.7, 1290.6, 1246.4, 1207.4, 1171.0, 1028.1, 822.7, 799.3, 752.5 HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NaO} 5\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right) 515.1829$, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 515.1823$.

(Z)-4-(2-([1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)vinyl)benzaldehyde (7) has been obtained as a yellowish green solid in $72 \%$ yield. $\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.4$, Eluent $=$ EtOAc : hexane $(0.5$ : 99.5), Amount $=35.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.072 \mathrm{mmol}$, Selectivity $=90: 10 .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(500 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta$ 9.79 (s, 1H), 7.50 (d, $J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.19 - 7.12 (m, 3H), $7.11-7.08$ (m, 2H), $7.07-7.05$ (m, 2H), $7.03-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 192.0, 158.4, 158.1, 151.5, 142.1, 141.9, 141.5, 139.3, 138.6, $135.9,135.1,134.2,132.8,132.3,131.9,130.5,129.3,128.8,127.7,127.6,127.1,126.5,113.2$, 112.8, 55.24, 55.22. IR(ATR/cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) 3011.4, 2959.4, 2933.4, 2837.2 2811.2, 2727.5, 1696.1, 1599.9, 1507.7, 1446.6, 1243.8, 1176.8, 1033.3, 835.7, 812.3, 714.3, 700.5 HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NaO}_{3}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right) 519.1931$, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 519.1925$

(8)
(Z)-4-(1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-(2-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)vinyl)benzaldehyde (8) has been obtained as a light yellow solid in $34 \%$ yield. Reaction time $=60 \mathrm{~h}, \mathbf{R}_{f}=0.2$, Eluent $=$ EtOAc : hexane ( $0.5: 99.5$ ), Amount $=16.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.034 \mathrm{mmol}$, Selectivity $=87: 13 .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.37$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}$, 3 H ), $3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 191.9,158.5,158.3$, 150.5, 141.7 (q, $J=$ $0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 139.6,138.9,134.7,134.4,133.9,133.7,132.1,132.0,131.7$ (q, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 131.3$, $129.3,129.2,127.1,126.91(\mathrm{q}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.16(\mathrm{q}, J=272.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 113.1,55.08,55.06 .{ }^{19}$ F NMR (471 MHz, CDCl $\mathbf{C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta$-58.7. $\mathbf{I R ( A T R / \mathbf { c m } ^ { - 1 } ) ~ 2 9 5 6 . 8 , ~ 2 9 2 0 . 4 , ~ 2 8 4 7 . 6 , ~ 2 7 4 1 . 0 , ~ 1 7 0 1 . 3 , ~}$ $1602.5,1506.3,1456.9,1308.8,1246.4,1171.0,1124.2,1106.0,1125.4,827.9,814.9,775.9$ HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NaO}_{3}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right) 511.1492$, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 511.1487$.

(9)
(Z)-4-(2-(2,3-dimethylphenyl)-1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)vinyl)benzaldehyde (9) has been obtained as a light yellowish green solid in $93 \%$ yield $\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.3$, Eluent $=\mathrm{EtOAc}$ : hexane ( $0.5: 99.5$ ), Amount $=42.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.093 \mathrm{mmol}$, Selectivity $=97: 3 . \mathbf{m p}=140-142{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 9.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91-$ $6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.50$ (d, $J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 192.1,158.3,158.2,151.1,143.0,141.5,138.9,137.0,135.6,135.2,135.0,134.4$, 132.3, 132.0, 131.8, 129.4, 129.3, 128.6, 125.2, 113.3, 113.1, 55.23, 55.20, 20.6, 16.8. IR $\mathbf{( A T R}^{\left(\mathbf{c m}^{-1}\right)}$ 2969.8, 2941.2, 2834.6, 2735.8, 1703.9, 1597.3, 1506.3, 1462.2, 1251.6, 1168.4, 1022.9, 825.3, 791.5, 708.3. HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NaO}_{3}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$471.1931, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 471.1926$.

(10)
(Z)-4-(2-(3-chloro-2-methylphenyl)-1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)vinyl)benzaldehyde (10) has been obtained as an orange solid in $90 \%$ yield. $\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.3$, Eluent $=$ EtOAc : hexane ( $0.5: 99.5$ ), Amount $=42.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.090 \mathrm{mmol}$, Selectivity $=90: 10 .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(500 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l} 3) \delta 9.86$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.8,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90$ $-6.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $\left.6.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 1 2 6 ~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 192.0,158.5,158.5,150.5,145.0,140.3,139.8,135.3,135.0,134.8,134.6,134.3,132.2$, 131.9, 131.8, 130.2, 129.5, 128.0, 126.5, 113.5, 113.3, 55.24, 55.22, 17.6. IR (ATR/cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) 2951.6, 2928.2, 2834.6, 2738.4, 1701.2, 1602.5, 1514.1, 1462.2, 1246.4, 1171.0, 1028.1, 817.5, 786.3, 726.5, 697.9. HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{ClNaO}_{3}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right) 491.1384$, found m/z 491.1376.

(Z)-4-(2-(3-fluoro-2-methylphenyl)-1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)vinyl)benzaldehyde (11) has been obtained as a light yellowish green solid in $92 \%$ yield. $\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.3$, Eluent $=\mathrm{EtOAc}:$ hexane ( $0.5:$ : 99.5), Amount $=41.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.092 \mathrm{mmol}$, Selectivity $=91: 9 .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 5 0 0 ~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{td}, J=7.5,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78$ (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.76$ (d, $J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.53$ (d, $J=$ $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 1 2 6 ~ M H z , ~ C D C l} 3$ ) $\delta 192.0,161.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=244.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 158.5,158.5,150.6,145.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 139.9$, $139.6(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 135.1,134.6,134.5,132.2,131.9,131.8,129.5,127.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 126.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 113.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=24.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 113.5,113.3,55.2,55.2,12.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ 5.0 Hz ). ${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $471 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta$-116.2. IR (ATR/cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) 2964.6, 2930.8, 2839.8, 2741.0, 2738.4, 1709.1, 1597.3, 1501.1, 1457.0, 1236.0, 1176.2, 1025.5, 830.5, 781.1, 708.3. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{FNaO}_{3}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right) 475.1680$, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 475.1676$.

(12)
(Z)-4-(2-(2,4-dimethylphenyl)-1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)vinyl)benzaldehyde (12) has been obtained as a light yellowish green solid in $92 \%$ yield. $\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.3$, Eluent $=$ EtOAc : hexane ( 0.5 : 99.5), Amount $=41.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.092 \mathrm{mmol}$, Selectivity $\left.=91: 9 .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 4 0 0 ~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta$ $9.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.77-6.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 192.1, 158.3, 158.2, 151.3, 141.1, 140.0, 139.0, 136.7, 136.3, 135.7, 135.2, 134.4, 132.3, 132.0, 131.9, $131.5,131.1,129.4,126.5,113.3,113.1,55.23,55.20,21.3,20.2$. IR(ATR/cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) 2951.6, 2925.6, 2842.4, 2730.6, 1698.7, 1599.9, 1519.3, 1454.3, 1295.8, 1214.8, 1171.0, 1035.9, 833.1, 794.1. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NaO}_{3}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right) 471.1931$, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 471.1937$.

(Z)-4-(2-(4-chloro-2-methylphenyl)-1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)vinyl)benzaldehyde (13) has been obtained as an orange solid in $81 \%$ yield. $\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.3$, Eluent $=$ EtOAc : hexane ( $0.5: 99.5$ ), Amount $=38.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.081 \mathrm{mmol}$, Selectivity $=91: 9 .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) $\delta 9.86(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 192.0$, $158.6,158.6,150.5,144.6,140.0,139.4,135.0,134.9,134.6,132.2,131.9,131.8,131.7,131.2$, 131.1, 129.4, 129.1, 113.5, 113.3, 55.21, 55.19, 19.7. IR(ATR/cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) 3050.4, 2954.2, 2936.0, 2834.6, 2741.0, 1701.3, 1599.9, 1511.5, 1290.6 1241.2, 1171.0, 1030.7, 830.5, 736.9. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{ClNaO}_{3}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right) 491.1384$, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 491.1391$.

(Z)-4-(2-(4-fluoro-2-methylphenyl)-1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)vinyl)benzaldehyde (14) has been obtained as a light green solid in $84 \%$ yield. $\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.3$, Eluent $=\mathrm{EtOAc}:$ hexane $(0.5$ : 99.5), Amount $=38.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.084 \mathrm{mmol}$, Selectivity $=92: 8{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta$ $9.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.72-6.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 1 2 6 ~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 192.0$, $161.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=243.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 158.59,158.57,150.6,144.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 139.8,135.0$, 134.6, $134.25,132.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 132.20,132.0,131.9,131.8,131.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.5,118.0$ (d, $J=20.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $114.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=20.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 113.5,113.3,55.3,55.2,19.5 .{ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $\mathbf{4 7 1} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta-118.3$. $\mathbf{I R}\left(\mathbf{A T R} / \mathbf{c m}^{-1}\right) 2969.8,2907.4,2837.2,2730.7,1696.1,1599.9,1293.2$, 1249.0, 1168.4, 1025.5, 827.9, 783.7. HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{FNaO}_{3}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$ 475.1680, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 475.1659$.

methyl (Z)-4-(2-(4-formylphenyl)-1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)vinyl)-3-methylbenzoate (15) has been obtained as a yellow solid in $67 \%$ yield. $\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.3$, Eluent = EtOAc : hexane (1:99), Amount $=33.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.067 \mathrm{mmol}$, Selectivity $=88: 12{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 9.86$ (s, 1H), $7.68-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 1} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 192.0,167.3,158.6$, $150.4,148.1,140.0,137.0,134.9,134.6,134.1,132.2,132.0,131.9,131.7,129.5,128.7,126.9$, 113.5, 113.3, 55.2, 52.1, 20.3. IR(ATR/cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) 2951.6, 2920.4, 2837.2, 2738.4, 1693.5, 1599.9, 1506.3, 1438.7, 1293.4, 1249.0, 1163.2, 1106.0, 1038.5, 827.9, 786.3. HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NaO}_{5}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right) 515.1829$, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 515.1832$.

(Z)-4-(1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-(2-methyl-5-nitrophenyl)vinyl)benzaldehyde (16) has been obtained as an orange solid in $44 \%$ yield. $\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.2$, Eluent $=$ EtOAc : hexane ( $1: 99$ ), Amount $=21.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.044 \mathrm{mmol}$, Selectivity $=87: 13{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 9.87$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90-7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.63$ (s, 3H), 2.11 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 1} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~ C D C l} 3$ ) $\delta$ 192.0, 158.8, 149.9, 146.3, 144.8, 144.4,
141.1, 138.6, 134.8, 134.4, 133.4, 132.1, 131.9, 131.8, 131.3, 129.5, 126.7, 122.0, 113.8, 113.6, 55.3, 20.6. IR (ATR/cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) 2951.6, 2928.2, 2839.8, 2741.0, 1696.1, 1599.9, 1508.9, 1345.3, 1241.2, 1171.0, 1030.7, 827.9, 807.1, 736.9. HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNaO}_{5}$ $\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right) 502.1625$, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 502.1679$.

methyl (Z)-2-(4-ethyl-3-(2-(4-formylphenyl)-1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)vinyl)phenyl)-2methylpropanoate (17) has been obtained as a yellow solid in $85 \%$ yield. $\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.3$, Eluent $=$ EtOAc : hexane (0.5:99.5), Amount $=46.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.085 \mathrm{mmol}$, Selectivity $=95: 5{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-$ $7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76$ (d, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.38-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta$ 192.1, 177.4, 158.4, 158.3, 150.8, 142.2, 141.8, 141.5, 141.1, 139.1, 135.5, 134.6, 134.4, 132.2, 132.0, 131.9, 129.7, 129.4, 128.5, 124.2, 113.3, 113.2, 55.2, 52.1, 46.0, 26.6, 26.3, 25.8, 14.3. IR $\left(\mathbf{A T R}^{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{c m}^{-1}\right)$ 2964.6, 2928.2, 2855.4, 2735.9, 1729.9, 1698.7, 1602.5, 1506.3, 1464.8, 1288.0, $1243.8,1176.2,1150.2,1025.5,820.1,773.3$. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{NaO}_{5}$ ([M+Na] ${ }^{+}$) 571.2455, found m/z 571.2460.

(Z)-4-(2-(2-fluorophenyl)-1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)vinyl)benzaldehyde (18) has been obtained as light green solid compound in $53 \%$ yield. Reaction time $=48 \mathrm{~h}, \mathbf{R}_{f}=0.4$, Eluent $=$ EtOAc : hexane ( $0.5: 99.5$ ), Amount $=23.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.053 \mathrm{mmol}$, Selectivity $=88: 12 .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 9.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-$ $7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02-6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.82-6.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H), 3.65 (s, 3H). ${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $126 \mathbf{~ M H z , ~ C D C l 3 ) ~} \delta 192.1,160.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=247.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 158.62, $158.59,150.3,141.1,135.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 134.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 132.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $132.1,131.9,131.84,131.81,131.3$ (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 129.4, 129.0 (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 123.9 (d, $J=$ $4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 115.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=22.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 113.5,113.3,55.2 .{ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $\mathbf{4 7 1} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta-113.3$. IR (ATR/cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) 3081.5, 3011.3, 2972.4, 2837.2, 2733.2, 1696.4, 1602.5, 1506.3, 1454.4, 1243.8, 1171.0, 1022.9, 822.7, 757.7 HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{FNaO}_{3}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$461.1523, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 461.1522$.

(19)
(Z)-4-(2-(2-fluoro-4-methylphenyl)-1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)vinyl)benzaldehyde (19) has been obtained as a light yellowish green solid in $75 \%$ yield. Reaction time $=48 \mathrm{~h}, \mathbf{R}_{f}=0.4$, eluent $=\operatorname{EtOAc}:$ hexane ( $0.5: 99.5$ ), Amount $=34.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol}$, Selectivity $=82: 18$ ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=7.8,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 192.1,160.13$ (d, $J=$ $247.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 158.6,158.5,150.6,140.8,139.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 135.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 134.7$, $134.4,132.1,131.9,131.8,131.3,129.3,128.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $116.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 113.4,113.3,55.2,21.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 9}} \mathbf{F}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{4 7 1} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta$-114.2. IR (ATR/cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) 2954.2, 2923.0, 2850.2, 2733.2, 1698.7, 1597.3, 1503.7, 1457.0, 1301.0, 1246.4, 1173.6, 1103.4, 1028.1, 830.5, 794.1. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{FNaO}_{3}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right) 475.1680$, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 475.1678$.

(Z)-4-(2-(2,4-difluorophenyl)-1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)vinyl)benzaldehyde (20) has been obtained as a yellow solid in $67 \%$ yield. Reaction time $=48 \mathrm{~h}, \mathbf{R}_{f}=0.3$, Eluent $=$ EtOAc : hexane ( $0.5: 99.5$ ), Amount $=30.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.067 \mathrm{mmol}$, Selectivity $=78: 22{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 500 $\left.\mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 9.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97-6.93$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.62-6.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.59$ (d, $J=$ $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.55-6.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 192.0,162.3(\mathrm{dd}, J=248.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 158.74, 158.70, 158.3 (dd, $J=253.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 150.1,141.5,135.1,134.6,134.5,134.2,133.6$ (dd, $J=9.5,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 132.0, 131.9, 131.8, $129.4,127.6$ (t, $J=18.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 113.5, 113.4, $111.3(\mathrm{dd}, J=21.0,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 104.2(\mathrm{t}, J=25.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 55.2. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{F}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{4 7 1} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 111.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 109.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$. IR (ATR/cm ${ }^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$ ) $2962.0,2928.2,2858.0,2735.9,1696.1,1605.1,1501.1,1459.5,1293.2,1238.6,1171.0$, 1139.8, 1178.8, 1028.1, 960.5, 827.9, 791.5, 768.1, 744.7. HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{NaO}_{3}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right) 479.1429$, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 479.1422$.

(Z)-4-(2-(2,5-difluorophenyl)-1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)vinyl)benzaldehyde (21) has been obtained as a yellow solid in $47 \%$ yield. Reaction time $=48 \mathrm{~h}, \mathbf{R}_{f}=0.3$, Eluent $=\mathrm{EtOAc}$ : hexane ( $0.5: 99.5$ ), Amount $=21.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.047 \mathrm{mmol}$, Selectivity $=80: 20{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 500 $\left.\mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 9.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.89-6.86$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.77-6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $\left.9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 1 2 6 ~ M H z , ~ C D C l} 3\right)$ $\delta 192.0,159.6(\mathrm{dd}, J=243.2,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 158.9,158.8,156.3(\mathrm{dd}, J=245.2,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 149.9$, $141.9,134.7,133.8,132.5,132.0,131.9,131.8,131.2,129.4,118.9$ (dd, $J=23.8,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $116.7(\mathrm{dd}, J=25.0,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 115.5(\mathrm{dd}, J=23.9,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 114.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 113.94,113.92$, $113.6,113.5,55.3 .{ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $471 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 101.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $100.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.3$ Hz). IR (ATR/cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) 2964.6, 2928.2, 2855.4, 2735.8, 1696.1, 1602.5, 1514.1, 1485.5, 1457.0, 1296.8, 1249.0, 1168.4, 1030.7, 820.1, 757.7, 734.3, 713.5. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{NaO}_{3}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right) 479.1429$, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 479.1427$.

(Z)-4,4'-(1-(2-ethylphenyl)-2-(p-tolyl)ethene-1,2-diyl)bis(methoxybenzene) (22) has been obtained as a yellow solid in $96 \%$ yield. $\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.4$, Eluent $=$ EtOAc : hexane ( $0.5: 99.5$ ), Amount $=41.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.096 \mathrm{mmol}$, Selectivity $=91: 9$. The NMR data was found to be matched with the reported literature value. ${ }^{12}{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92-6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{dq}, J$ $=15.0,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{dq}, J=15.0,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 157.9,157.8,143.1,142.4,141.1,139.9,138.4,136.5,136.1$, $136.0,132.01,131.96,131.9,131.5,128.6,128.3,126.9,125.4,113.0,112.8,55.2,55.1,26.0$, 21.3, 14.5. IR(ATR/cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) 2959.4, 2928.2, 2873.6, 2842.4, 1607.7, 1508.9, 1467.3, 1298.4, 1246.4, 1176.2, 1111.2, 1025.5, 833.1, 812.3, 752.5.

(Z)-4-(2-(2-ethylphenyl)-1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)vinyl)benzonitrile (23) has been obtained as a light green solid in $88 \%$ yield. $\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.3$, Eluent $=$ EtOAc : hexane ( $1: 99$ ), Amount $=39.0$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.088 \mathrm{mmol}$, Selectivity $=94: 6{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~ C D C l} 3$ ) $\delta 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.11 (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.95-6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.61$ (s, 3H), 2.46 (dq, $J=15.1,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{dq}, J=15.0,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 158.5,158.3,149.3,142.3,142.1,141.4,138.3,135.0$, $134.8,132.3,132.1,131.9,131.7,131.6,128.5,127.4,125.6,119.2,113.4,113.2,109.8,55.22$, 55.16, 26.0, 14.4. IR (ATR/cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) 2959.4, 2928.2, 2839.8, 2223.8, 1605.1, 1511.5, 1462.2, 1246.4, 1241.2, 1173.6, 1160.0, 1022.9, 827.9, 749.9. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NNaO}_{2}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right) 468.1934$, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 468.1924$.

(Z)-1-(4-(2-(2-ethylphenyl)-1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)vinyl)phenyl)ethan-1-one (24) has been obtained as an orange solid in $93 \%$ yield. $\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.3$, Eluent $=$ EtOAc : hexane (1:99), Amount $=43.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.093 \mathrm{mmol}$, Selectivity $=93: 7{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.98-6.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{dq}, J=15.0,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{dq}, J=15.0,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 0.87 (t, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~ C D C l} 3$ ) $\delta 198.0,158.3,158.2,149.6,142.5$, $142.4,140.6,139.0,135.6,135.3,135.0,132.1,131.9,131.8,131.7,128.4,128.1,127.3,125.5$, 113.3, 113.1, 55.20, 55.15, 26.7, 26.0, 14.5. IR (ATR/cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) 2964.6, 2933.4, 2876.2, 2837.2, 1680.5, 1599.9, 1508.9, 1464.8, 1241.2, 1176.2, 1108.8, 1030.8, 952.7, 825.3, 729.1, 643.4. HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NaO}_{3}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right) 485.2087$, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 485.2087$.

(E)-3-(2-(2-ethylphenyl)-1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)vinyl)benzonitrile (25) has been obtained as a light yellow solid in $86 \%$ yield. $\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.3$, Eluent $=$ EtOAc : hexane (1:99), Amount $=$ $38.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.086 \mathrm{mmol}$, Selectivity $=88: 12{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 7.34-7.31(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.9,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.96-6.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.75$ (d, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.55-6.48(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.48(\mathrm{dq}, J=14.8,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{dq}, J=14.8,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 158.3$, 158.2, 145.4, 142.2, 142.1, 140.9, 137.6, 136.0, 135.0, $134.9,134.7,132.0,131.8,131.5,129.9,128.6,128.4,127.3,125.5,118.9,113.3,113.1,112.0$, 55.13, 55.08, 25.9, 14.3. IR (ATR/cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) 2956.8, 2928.2, 2873.6, 2234.1, 1605.1, 1506.3, 1464.8, 1246.4, 1171.0, 1030.7, 830.5, 799.3, 734.3, 690.1. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NNaO}_{2}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right) 468.1934$, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 468.1932$.

(E)-3-(2-(2-ethylphenyl)-1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)vinyl)benzaldehyde (26) has been obtained as a light yellowish green solid in $82 \%$ yield. $\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.3$, Eluent $=$ EtOAc : hexane ( $0.5: 99.5$ ), Amount $=37.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.082 \mathrm{mmol}$, Selectivity $=95: 5{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) $\delta 9.78$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.6,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{t}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26$ $-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.9,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.98-6.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{dq}, J=15.0$, $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{dq}, J=15.1,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 192.6, 158.23, 158.18, 145.3, 142.45, 142.37, 140.4, 138.6, 137.8, 136.4, 135.5, 135.2, 133.4, 132.1, 131.9, 131.7, 128.7, 128.5, 127.4, 125.6, 113.3, 113.1, 55.22, 55.19, 26.0, 14.4. IR (ATR/cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) 2962.0, 2933.4, 2834.6, 2725.5, 1696.1, 1602.5, 1508.9, 1459.6, 1290.6, 1241.2, 1171.0, 1113.8, 1033.3, 835.7, 794.1, 768.1, 752.5. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NaO}_{3}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right) 471.1931$, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 471.1930$.

( $E$ )-4,4'-(1-(2-ethylphenyl)-2-(3-fluorophenyl)ethene-1,2-diyl)bis(methoxybenzene) (27) has been obtained as a light yellow solid in $86 \%$ yield. $\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.3$, Eluent $=\mathrm{EtOAc}:$ hexane $(0.5$ : 99.5), Amount $=37.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.086 \mathrm{mmol}$, Selectivity $=96: 4^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta$ $7.17-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.09-7.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.94-6.88(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.88-6.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{dq}, J=15.1,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{dq}, J=15.0,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta$ $162.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=245.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 158.15,158.13,146.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 142.6,142.4,139.8,138.6(\mathrm{~d}$,
$J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 135.7,135.4,131.94,131.89,131.8,129.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.4,127.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 127.2,125.5,118.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 113.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 113.2,113.0,55.2,55.1$, $26.0,14.5$. ${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $471 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta$-114.0. IR (ATR/cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) 2959.4, 2930.8, 2876.2, $2839.8,1610.3,1508.9,1459.5,1295.8,1243.8,1171.0,1111.2,1035.8,830.5,791.5,744.2$, 684.9. HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{FNaO}_{2}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right) 461.1893$, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 461.1883$.

(E)-3-(2-([1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)vinyl)benzonitrile (28) has been obtained as off colorless solid in $72 \%$ yield. $\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.3$, Eluent $=$ EtOAc : hexane (1:99), Amount $=35.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.072 \mathrm{mmol}$, Selectivity $=88: 12{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 7.28$ $7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.67$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 158.4,158.2$, 146.0, 142.1, 141.7, 141.5, $139.3,137.4,135.8,135.6,134.80,134.78$, 132.8, 132.7, 132.3, 130.5, 129.9, 128.8, 128.6, 127.73, 127.67, 127.2, 126.6, 119.1, 113.4, 112.9, 112.0, 55.31, 55.29. IR (ATR/cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) 3060.7, 3042.6, 3027.0, 2951.6, 2907.4, 2829.4, 2221.2, 1602.5, 1508.9, 1446.6, 1295.8, 1241.2, 1173.6, 1038.5, 838.3, 739.5, 697.9. HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+}\right)$493.2042, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 493.2015$.

(E)-3-(2-([1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)vinyl)benzaldehyde (29) has been obtained as a light yellow solid in $70 \%$ yield. $\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.4$, Eluent $=$ EtOAc : hexane ( $0.5: 99.5$ ), Amount $=34.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.070 \mathrm{mmol}$, Selectivity $=90: 10{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) $\delta 9.73$ (s, 1H), $7.53-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 6.27 (d, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.65 (s, 3H), 3.64 (s, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~ C D C l 3 ) ~} \delta$ 192.5, 158.1, 145.7, 142.1, 142.0, 141.6, 138.7, 138.3, 137.4, 136.3, 136.0, 135.2, 133.2, 132.80, 132.78 , 132.3, 130.5, 128.9, 128.5, 127.7, 127.5, 127.2, 127.1, 126.5, 113.2, 112.9, 55.29, 55.25. IR (ATR/cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) 3055.5, 3026.9, 2954.2, 2938.6, 2910.0, 2834.6, 2730.6, 1693.5, 1599.9, 1503.7, 1467.3, 1285.4, 1238.6, 1173.6, 1028.8, 908.5, 835.7, 729.1, 695.3. HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NaO}_{3}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right) 519.1931$, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 519.1962$.

(E)-3-(2-(2-ethylphenyl)-1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)vinyl)furan (30) has been obtained as off colorless solid in $77 \%$ yield. $\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.4$, Eluent $=$ EtOAc : hexane ( $0.5: 99.5$ ), Amount $=31.6$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.077 \mathrm{mmol}$, Selectivity $=98: 2{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02-6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.94-6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{dq}, J=15.0,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{dq}, J=15.0,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $158.4,158.2,143.4,142.7,142.3,141.9,139.0,136.0,135.4,131.74,131.72,131.5,130.8$, 128.3, 128.1, 126.9, 125.3, 113.4, 112.9, 112.6, 55.3, 55.2, 26.1, 14.5. IR (ATR/cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) 2964.8, 2928.2, 2967.4, 2834.6, 1599.9, 1503.7, 1459.5, 1288.0, 1236.0, 1173.6, 1150.0, 1030.7, 835.7, 791.5, 778.5, 747.3 HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NaO}_{3}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right) 433.1774$, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 433.1831 .

(Z)-2,2'-((1-(2-ethylphenyl)-2-(p-tolyl)ethene-1,2-diyl)bis(4,1-phenylene))diacetonitrile (31) has been obtained as a yellow solid in $72 \%$ yield. $\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.2$, Eluent $=$ EtOAc : hexane (5:95), Amount $=32.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.072 \mathrm{mmol}$, Selectivity $=99: 1^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, CDCl 3 ) $\delta 7.08$ $7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.95-6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{dq}, J=15.1,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.33 (dq, $J=15.0,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 143.5,143.0,142.3,142.0,141.1,139.8,139.5,136.8,131.7,131.4,131.3,131.2$, $128.9,128.5,127.9,127.7,127.5,127.3,127.1,125.6,118.0,117.9,26.0,23.4,23.3,21.3$, 14.4. IR (ATR/cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) 3019.2, 2964.6, 2871.0, 2254.9, 1677.9, 1599.9, 1511.5, 1415.4, 1256.8, 1178.8, 1116.4, 1020.3, 913.7, 817.5, 721.3. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Na}$ $\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right) 475.2145$, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 475.2155$.

(Z)-1,1'-((1-(2-ethylphenyl)-2-(p-tolyl)ethene-1,2-diyl)bis(4,1-phenylene))bis(ethan-1-one)
(32) has been obtained as a yellow solid in $67 \%$ yield. $\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.2$, Eluent $=\mathrm{EtOAc}$ : hexane (5 : 95), Amount $=30.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.067 \mathrm{mmol}$, Selectivity $\left.=99: 1{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 5 0 0 ~ M H z , ~ C D C l 3}\right) ~ \delta 7.61$ $-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.08-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.93-6.89(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.53-2.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.85$ (t, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 197.9, 197.8, 148.5, 148.1, 142.4, 142.2, 141.4, 140.5, 139.3, 137.3, 135.3, 135.1, 131.8, 131.3, 131.0, 130.9, 129.1, 128.7, 127.93, 127.91, 127.7, 125.8, 26.7, 26.6, 26.1, 21.4, 14.4. IR (ATR/cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) 2964.6, 2925.6, 2855.4, 1677.9, 1602.5, $1506.3,1399.8,1358.2,1256.8,1178.8,1116.4,1017.7,955.3,825.3,782.3,782.5,703.1$. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NaO}_{2}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right) 481.2138$, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 481.2152$.

(E)-3,3'-(1-(2-ethylphenyl)-2-(p-tolyl)ethene-1,2-diyl)diquinoline (33) has been obtained as a light yellow solid in $65 \%$ yield. $\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.2$, Eluent $=$ EtOAc : hexane (5:95), Amount $=31.0$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.065 \mathrm{mmol}$, Selectivity $=99: 1^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.47(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.57(\mathrm{t}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{t}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $\left.2.54-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 1 2 6 ~ M H z , ~ C D C l ~}{ }_{3}\right) \delta$ 153.1, 152.7, 146.9, 146.4, 142.3, 141.6, 140.1, 139.5, 138.5, 138.3, 137.3, 137.2, 136.2, 136.0, 131.9, 130.7, 129.8, 129.6, 129.4, 129.2, 128.72, 127.70, 127.96, 128.93, 127.90, 127.85, 127.8, 127.0, 126.9, 126.0, 26.2, 21.3, 14.4. IR (ATR/cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) 3058.2, 3021.8, 2964.6, 2925.6, 2855.4, 1716.9, 1576.9, 1490.8, 1459.6, 1020.3, 788.3, 744.7. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Na}\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+}\right) 477.2325$, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 477.2328$.

(E)-4-(2-(2-ethylphenyl)-1,2-di(thiophen-2-yl)vinyl)benzaldehyde (34) has been obtained as a yellowish green solid in $87 \%$ yield. $\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.4$, Eluent $=$ EtOAc : hexane ( $0.5: 99.5$ ), Amount $=34.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.087 \mathrm{mmol}$, Selectivity $=80: 20^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 10.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.5,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{dt}, J=9.8,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.22$ (dd, $J=13.7,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.61-2.46(\mathrm{~m}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 192.1, 148.6, $145.0,144.6,143.3,140.2,136.1,132.4,132.1$, $132.0,131.1,130.5,129.9,129.14,119.10,129.0,127.6,127.2,126.9,126.2,125.9,25.7,14.2$.

# Section SM-IX: Practical usability of Pd@PKPOP 

General procedure for the scale-up reaction
To a 100 mL Schlenk tube equipped with a magnetic stirrer bar, Pd@ PKPOP ( $60.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5$ $\mathrm{mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}$ ), 1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)ethyne A1 ( $715 \mathrm{mg}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-formylphenylboronic acid $\mathbf{B 4}$ ( $675 \mathrm{mg}, 4.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-ethyliodobenzene $\mathbf{I 2}(1392 \mathrm{mg}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(636$ $\mathrm{mg}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ were taken under inert atmosphere. Dried DMF ( 30.0 mL ) was then added, and the tube was stoppered tightly and kept in a pre-heated $\left(110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ oil bath at 250 ppm stirring. After 24 h , the oil bath was removed, and the mixture was cooled to rt. The reaction mixture was transferred into a centrifuge tube, and the catalyst was centrifuged. The mother liquor was then mixed with brine ( 150 mL ) and extracted using ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 60 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was washed with distilled water $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Further, the organic layer was evaporated under reduced pressure, and a slurry was made. The slurry was packed into a silica gel column and eluted using ethyl acetate and hexane ( $0.5: 99.5$ ). The final product was obtained after reducing the eluent under a rota-evaporator. Finally, it was crystallized in the ethyl acetate and hexane solution mixture to get 1.20 g of the light yellowishgreen solid product with $89 \%$ yield and 92 : 08 selectivity.


Figure S30: Scale-up reaction

## General procedure for recyclability test

To a 16 mL culture tube equipped with magnetic stirrer bar, $\mathbf{P d} @ \mathbf{P K P O P}(2.0 \mathrm{mg}), 1,2$-bis(4methoxyphenyl)ethyne A1 ( 0.1 mmol ), 4-formylphenylboronic acid $\mathbf{B 4}$ ( 0.15 mmol ), 2ethyliodobenzene $\mathbf{I} \mathbf{2}(0.2 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $1,3,5$-trimethoxybenzene ( 0.1 mmol ) were taken under inert atmosphere. Dried DMF ( 1.0 mL ) was then added, and the tube was stoppered tightly and kept in a pre-heated $\left(110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ oil bath at 250 ppm stirring. After 24 h , the oil bath was removed, and the mixture was cooled to rt. The reaction mixture was transferred into a centrifuge tube, and the catalyst was centrifuged. The mother liquor was taken out using a syringe under an inert atmosphere. The reaction mixture was analyzed using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR to obtain yield and selectivity by following the initially described standard workup and NMR sample preparation procedure. The fresh batch of reactants, internal standard, base, and solvent were transferred into the same culture tube used above, maintaining an inert atmosphere. Again, the reaction was run for another 24 h . The above protocols were repeated for 7 times to get the recyclability result.


Figure S31: Schematic protocol for recyclability test


Figure S32: Recyclability test graph shows that $\mathbf{P d} @ \mathbf{P K P O P}$ maintains high activity up to $7^{\text {th }}$ cycle

General procedure for calculating turnover number (TON) and turnover frequency (TOF)
To a 16 mL culture tube equipped with magnetic stirrer bar, Pd@PKPOP $(0.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ Pd), 1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)ethyne A1 ( 0.5 mmol ), 4-formylphenylboronic acid $\mathbf{B 4}$ ( 0.75 $\mathrm{mmol})$, 2-ethyliodobenzene $\mathbf{I 2}(1.0 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $1,3,5$-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard ( 0.5 mmol ) were taken under inert atmosphere. Dried DMF ( 5.0 mL ) was then added, and the tube was stoppered tightly and kept in a pre-heated $\left(110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ oil bath at 250 ppm stirring. After 60 h , the oil bath was removed, and the mixture was cooled to rt. 1 mL aliquot was taken into 5 mL glass vial and mixed with $1 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and 2 mL ethyl acetate. It was shaken well and kept for the layer to be separated. 1 mL aliquot of separated ethyl acetate was dried under anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The ethyl acetate was evaporated. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis determines $65 \%$ yield of the product.

## Calculation of TON

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{TON} & =\text { mol of desired product } / \mathrm{mol} \text { of } \mathrm{Pd} \text { used } \\
& =(0.3250 \mathrm{mmol} \text { product }) /\left(0.1269 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Pd}\right) \\
& =2561 \\
& >2500
\end{aligned}
$$

## Calculation of TOF

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { TOF } & =\text { TON } / \text { time } \\
& =2561 / 60 \mathrm{~h} \\
& =42.7
\end{aligned}
$$

## Quantifying the amount of Pd in Pd@PKPOP after catalysis

2 mg catalyst has been digested in 2 mL piranha solution for 30 h . It was diluted with 8 mL Milli-Q water. 1 mL aliquot was again taken and diluted with $9 \mathrm{~mL} 2 \%$ concentrated $\mathrm{HNO}_{3} .2$ ml of the final solution was filtered with $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ syringe filter, and measurement was carried out on ICP-OES. Commercially available Pd ( 1000 ppm Pd in $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ ) solution has been used as standard. After calculation, we got the following result.

| Catalysts | Expt. Found Pd (wt \%) | Theoretically $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ taken (wt \%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pd@PKPOP | 2.70 | 6 |
| Pd@PKPOP $\left(1^{\text {st }}\right.$ cycle $)$ | 2.41 | - |
| Pd@PKPOP $\left(7^{\text {th }}\right.$ cycle $)$ | 0.28 | - |

Table ST12 : Quantity of Pd in different catalysts quantified by ICP-OES. Wt $\%$ was calculated with respect to PKPOP. So, $2.0 \mathrm{mg} 6 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathbf{P d} @ \mathbf{P K P O P}$ contains $0.054 \mathrm{mg} \operatorname{Pd}\left(0.5074 \times 10^{-3}\right.$ mmol)

## General procedure for hot filtration test

To a 16 mL culture tube equipped with magnetic stirrer bar, Pd@PKPOP ( $2.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ Pd), 1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)ethyne A1 ( 0.1 mmol ), 4-formylphenylboronic acid $\mathbf{B 4}$ ( 0.15 $\mathrm{mmol})$, 2-ethyliodobenzene $\mathbf{I} 2(0.2 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $1,3,5$-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard ( 0.1 mmol ) were taken under inert atmosphere. Dried DMF $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was then added, and the tube was stoppered tightly and kept in a pre-heated $\left(110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ oil bath at 250 ppm stirring.

After $12 \mathrm{~h}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ reaction mixture was taken out via a syringe and filtered through $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ syringe filter into a new 16 mL culture tube equipped with a magnetic bar under an inert atmosphere. This changed reaction setup was again allowed to run for another 12 h at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ aliquot was taken from both batches and analyzed through GCMS according to the workup and sample preparation procedure described.

Note: A GCMS calibration was made by taking an equal amount of the product $\mathbf{3}$ and 1,3,5trimethoxybenzene. After optimal dilution, 3 batches were measured, and the ratio of average retention time of internal standard with the product was calculated.


Figure S33: Schematic protocol for hot filtration test


Figure S34: Hot filtration test to probe the heterogeneity showing yield obtained in 12 h up to $54 \%$ and after filtering catalyst, $6 \%$ yield obtained in next 12 h

Section SM-X: Characterization of Pd@PKPOP after catalysis


Figure S35: FT-IR spectra of Pd@PKPOP before and after catalysis


Figure S36: Comparative Pd ( $3 d_{5 / 2}$ ) and ( $3 d_{3 / 2}$ ) XPS spectra of Pd@PKPOP before and after catalysis. $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ in the form of nanoparticles is deconvoluted after catalysis


Figure S37: (a.)TEM image of Pd@PKPOP after catalysis (1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ cycle) with unchanged morphology containing Pd nanoparticles; (a1.) TEM images with burst morphology (after $7^{\text {th }}$ cycle); (b.) Elemental analysis of Pd@PKPOP after catalysis through EDAX. Cl is absent after catalysis; (c.) XPS analysis also supported the absence of counter anion like Cl and I after catalysis

General procedure for kinetic study

To a 16 mL culture tube equipped with magnetic stirrer bar, Pd@PKPOP ( $2.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ Pd), 1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)ethyne A1 ( 0.1 mmol ), 4-formylphenylboronic acid $\mathbf{B 4}$ ( 0.15 $\mathrm{mmol})$, 2-ethyliodobenzene $\mathbf{I 2}(0.2 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard ( 0.1 mmol ) were taken under inert atmosphere. Dried DMF ( 1.0 mL ) was then added, and the tube was capped with rubber septa, fitted with an argon-filled balloon, and kept in a pre-heated $\left(110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ oil bath at 250 ppm stirring. After respective times $(1 \mathrm{~h}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$, $4 \mathrm{~h}, 5 \mathrm{~h}, 6 \mathrm{~h}, 10 \mathrm{~h}, 15 \mathrm{~h}, 20 \mathrm{~h}, 25 \mathrm{~h}$, and 30 h .), $90 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of aliquot was taken into a vial and mixed it with 1 mL brine and 1 mL ethyl acetate. After working up via shaking, $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ aliquot of the organic phase was passed through $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and celite pad and mixed with 0.9 mL fresh ethyl acetate to make 1 mL GCMS samples and analyzed.


Figure S38: Schematic protocol for the kinetic study


Figure S39: In the kinetic investigation, the blue line shows the formation of the product, and the red line shows the conversion of the internal alkynes against time

General procedure for comparative kinetic analysis with different catalysts
To six 16 mL culture tubes equipped with magnetic stirrer bar, Pd@PKPOP ( 2.0 mg ), $\operatorname{Pd} @ \operatorname{KAPs}\left(\mathrm{Ph}^{\left.-\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)(2.0 \mathrm{mg}), \operatorname{Pd} @ \operatorname{Phos-polymer}(2.0 \mathrm{mg}), 5 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(1.1 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(0.09}\right.$ $\mathrm{mg})$ and $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(0.36 \mathrm{mg})$ were taken seperately. 1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)ethyne A1 ( 0.1 mmol ), 4-formylphenylboronic acid $\mathbf{B 4}(0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$, 2-ethyliodobenzene $\mathbf{I 2}(0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $1,3,5$-trimethoxybenzene $(0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ were then subsequently introduced under inert atmosphere. Dried DMF ( 1.0 mL ) was then added, and the tube was capped with rubber septa, fitted with an argon-filled balloon, and kept in a pre-heated $\left(110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$
oil bath at 250 ppm stirring. After respective times ( $2 \mathrm{~h}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 8 \mathrm{~h}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$ and 30 h ), 100 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of aliquot was taken into a vial and mixed with 1 mL brine and 1 mL ethyl acetate. After working up via shaking, $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ aliquot of the organic phase was passed through $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and celite pad and mixed with 0.9 mL fresh ethyl acetate to make 1 mL GCMS samples and analyzed.

Note:- Solution of $5.0 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ was made into 2.0 mL dry DMF. $36.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the solution was taken and used as the catalyst.

Note:- Solution of $5.0 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{PdCl} 2\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ was made into 1.0 mL dry DMF. $72.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the solution was taken out and used as the catalyst.


Figure S40: Schematic protocol for comparative catalysis


Figure S41: Comparative rate of product formation in different heterogeneous and homogeneous catalysts


Figure S42: Plausible active sites to stabilize $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ formed during the catalysis
Controlled experiments to determine trans-selective product
We performed some controlled reactions to get insight into the selectivity and the choice of substituents at different reactants. Under optimized conditions, when we reacted 4methyliodobenzene ( $\mathbf{I 2 1}$ ) instead of 2-methyl iodobenzene ( $\mathbf{I 1}$ ), we found $\mathbf{3 5} \mathbf{: Z - 3 5}=55: 45$ selectivity (A). On the other hand, when 2-methylphenylboronic acid (B9) was reacted instead of 4-methylphenylboronic acid (B1), the reaction still gave 36:Z-36 = 55:45 selectivity (B). This result suggested that 2-methyliodobenzene plays a vital role in stereoselectivity and that trans-metalation with aryl boronic acid took place after the $Z$ to $E$ isomerization of the palladium(II) intermediate.
(B)


Figure S43: Controlled experiments to determine trans- selective product

## Proposed mechanism

Eventually, we assume the possible pathway of the reaction mechanism based on the previous report and our experimental observations. $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ pre-catalyst is reduced to $\mathrm{Pd}(0)$ under the reaction conditions with the aid of base and ligand. The oxidative addition with aryl iodide gives complex II, which undergoes carbopalladation diarylacetylene to form complex III. In this complex, steric hindrance between $\mathrm{Ar}^{3}$ and PKPOP ligand pushes isomerization to form complex IV. Bulkier ortho-substituent facilitates the isomerization and thereby yield higher trans-selective product. At this stage, arylboronic acid, in collaboration with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, undergoes trans-metalation to form complex $\mathbf{V}$. Finally, reductive elimination expels the product and regenerates the catalyst.


Figure S44: Plausible catalytic cycle


Figure S45: Molecular structure for compound $\mathbf{P d C l}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{B B 5})_{2}$. Crystallization procedure: 10.0 mg solid compound was taken in a glass vial and dissolved in $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CHCl}{ }_{3}$ and kept at ambient temperature ( $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) for crystalization. CCDC No.-2265650

Crystal data and structure refinement for $\mathbf{P d C l}_{2}(\mathbf{B B 5})_{2}$

Identification code
Empirical formula
Formula weight
Temperature/K
Crystal system
Space group
a/Å
b/Å
c/Å
$\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$
$\beta /{ }^{\circ}$
$\gamma^{\circ}$
Volume/ $/{ }^{3}$
Z
$\rho_{\text {calcg }} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
$\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$
F(000)
Crystal size/ $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$
Radiation

PKA730_Pd_auto_1
$\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}$
587.79
99.98(10)
triclinic
P-1
7.6299(2)
8.9441(2)
10.0875(2)
97.816(2)
106.126(2)
102.556(2)
631.18(3)

1
1.546
0.969
296.0
$0.1 \times 0.1 \times 0.02$
Mo K $\alpha(\lambda=$
0.71073)
$2 \Theta$ range for data collection $/{ }^{\circ}$
Index ranges
Reflections collected
Independent reflections
Data/restraints/parameters
Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
Final R indexes $[\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})]$
Final R indexes [all data]
Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$
4.298 to 53.98
$-9 \leq h \leq 9,-11 \leq k \leq$
$11,-12 \leq 1 \leq 12$
10383
$2627\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0568\right.$,
$\left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0559\right]$
2627/0/160
1.072
$\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0402, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=$ 0.0826
$\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0479, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=$
0.0883
1.09/-0.53


Figure S46: Molecular structure for compound 3. Crystallization procedure: 10.0 mg solid compound was taken in a glass vial and dispersed in 0.5 mL ethyl acetate: hexane ( $0.5: 99.5$ ). It was dissolved on reflux and kept at ambient temperature ( $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) for crystalization. CCDC No. 2221369

Crystal data and structure refinement for compound 3

Identification code
Empirical formula

PK-CHOOME_auto_1
$\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{3}$

Formula weight
448.53

Temperature/K
Crystal system
Space group
a/Å
b/Å
c/Å
$\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$
$\beta /{ }^{\circ}$
$\gamma^{\circ}$
Volume $/ \AA^{3}$
Z
$\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
$\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$
F(000)
Crystal size $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$
Radiation
$2 \Theta$ range for data collection $/{ }^{\circ}$
Index ranges
Reflections collected
Independent reflections
Data/restraints/parameters
Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
Final R indexes $[\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})]$
Final R indexes [all data]
Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$
100.00(10)
monoclinic
$\mathrm{P}_{1} / \mathrm{n}$
11.2216(2)
15.1257(3)
14.4955(3)

90
108.837(2)

90
2328.61(8)

4
1.279
0.639
952.0
$0.1 \times 0.1 \times 0.07$
$\mathrm{CuK} \alpha(\lambda=1.54184)$
8.702 to 136.27
$-13 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 12,-18 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 17,-17 \leq 1 \leq 17$
16598
$4212\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0912, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0471\right]$
4212/0/310
1.077
$\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0567, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1582$
$\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0592, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1626$
0.41/-0.37


Figure S47: Molecular structure for compound 9. Crystallization procedure: 10.0 mg solid compound was taken in a glass vial and dispersed in 0.5 mL ethyl acetate: hexane ( 0.5 : 99.5). It was dissolved on reflux and kept at ambient temperature ( $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) for crystalization. CCDC No. 2232806

## Crystal data and structure refinement for compound 9

Identification code
Empirical formula
Formula weight
Temperature/K
Crystal system
Space group
a/Å
b/Å
c/Å
$\alpha{ }^{\circ}$
$\beta /{ }^{\circ}$
$\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$
Volume/Å3
Z
pcalcg/cm3
$\mu / \mathrm{mm}-1$

PKA_538A_auto_1
C31H28O3
448.53

113(18)
monoclinic
P21/n
10.9595(4)
15.8984(5)
14.7337(5)

90
111.118(4)

90
2394.77(15)

4
1.244
0.079

F(000)
Crystal size/mm3
Radiation
$2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$
Index ranges
Reflections collected
Independent reflections
Data/restraints/parameters
Goodness-of-fit on F2
Final R indexes $[\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})]$
Final R indexes [all data]
Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å-3
952.0
$0.5 \times 0.1 \times 0.1$
Mo K $\alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$
3.918 to 54.134
$-13 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 13,-20 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 19,-18 \leq 1 \leq 18$
29857
5010 [Rint $=0.0387$, Rsigma $=0.0297]$
5010/0/311
1.047
$\mathrm{R} 1=0.0428, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1101$
$\mathrm{R} 1=0.0540, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1177$
0.29/-0.21

## Section SM-XIII: Synthesis, characterizations and catalytic activity of other polymeric ligands for dicarbofunctionalization reaction

Synthesis of KAPs(Ph-PPh3), Pd@KAPs(Ph-PPh3) and their preliminary characterizations
In a 16 mL culture tube equipped with a magnetic bar, anhydrous ferric chloride $\left(\mathrm{FeCl}_{3}\right)(975$ $\mathrm{mg}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, triphenylphosphine $(525 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, dimethoxymethane ( $456 \mathrm{mg}, 6.0$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and benzene ( $156 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were taken under inert atmosphere. Further, 2.0 mL dichloroethane was poured, and it was capped tightly. Initially, the solution was stirred at rt for 5 min and then at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . Finally, it was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 67 h for a complete reaction. The resulting precipitate was filtered and washed with methanol multiple times before soxhleting it for another 24 h in methanol. At last, it was dried at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under vacuum for 24 h to get dark maroon colored powder (KAPs(Ph-PPh3)) in quantitative yield.


Figure S48: Schematic protocol for the synthesis of KAPs(Ph-PPh3)
Methanol ( 2.5 mL ) was taken in a clean reaction tube with a magnetic stir bar. 47 mg $\mathbf{K A P s}\left(\mathbf{P h}-\mathbf{P P h}_{3}\right)$ was suspended into it and stirred for 2 h at rt . A pre-formed solution of 3.0 $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ in 2.5 mL methanol was mixed with the suspension and allowed to stir for 24 h at rt . Further, it was centrifuged and washed with fresh methanol five times to ensure the removal of extra unsupported $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$. It was dried under vacuum at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h to obtain $\mathbf{P d} @ \operatorname{KAPs}\left(\mathbf{P h}-\mathbf{P P h}_{3}\right)$.


Figure S49: The bands in the FTIR spectrum around 1600-1450, 1250-950, and $900-650 \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$ can be assigned to benzene skeleton stretching, C-H out-of-plane bending, and in-plane bending vibrations of the benzene ring, respectively. The peaks at $1437 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ correspond to the vibrations of the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ bond and data matching with the literature ${ }^{13}$


Figure S50: (a) FESEM image of $\mathbf{K A P s}\left(\mathbf{P h}-\mathbf{P P h}_{3}\right)$ and (b) FESEM image of $\mathbf{P d} @ \mathbf{K A P s}(\mathbf{P h}-$ $\mathbf{P P h}_{3}$ ). The scale is $2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ unless otherwise stated. Also, the morphology is similar to the report in literature ${ }^{13}$


Figure S51: XPS spectrum of $\mathbf{P d} @ \mathbf{K A P s}(\mathbf{P h}-\mathbf{P P h} 3)$ showing elemental constituency after metalation and data matching with literature ${ }^{13}$

Synthesis of Phos-polymer, Pd@Phos-polymer, and their preliminary characterizations
In a 16 mL reaction tube, $\mathbf{B B 1}(104 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}), 1,4$-diaminobenzene ( $48.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45$ $\mathrm{mmol}), 6 \mathrm{M}$ acetic acid $(0.6 \mathrm{~mL}), 1,4$-dioxane $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and mesitylene $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added and was degassed three times through freeze-pump-thaw technique, and capped under inert condition. The mixture was allowed to attain rt before keeping it at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 h . The yellowcolored precipitate was filtered and washed with DMF and THF multiple times and dried under vacuum at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to yield the Phos-polymer in a quantitative amount.


Figure S52: Schematic protocol for the synthesis of Phos-polymer

Methanol ( 2.5 mL ) was taken in a clean reaction tube with a magnetic stir bar. 47 mg Phospolymer was suspended into it and stirred for 2 h at rt . A pre-formed solution of $3.0 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{PdCl}{ }_{2}$ in 2.5 mL methanol was mixed with the suspension and allowed to stir for 24 h at rt . Further, it was centrifuged and washed with fresh methanol five times to ensure the removal of extra unsupported $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$. It was dried under vacuum at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h to obtain $\mathbf{P d} @ P h o s-p o l y m e r$.


Figure S53: In the FT-IR spectrum, $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ stretching at $\approx 1617 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ shows the formation of imine bonds, and remain intact even after metalation. This data is well matching with literature ${ }^{14}$


Figure S54: (a) FESEM image of Phos-polymer (b) FESEM image of Pd@Phos-polymer. The scale is $2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ unless otherwise stated. Also, the morphology is similar to the report in literature ${ }^{14}$


Figure S55: XPS spectrum of Pd@Phos-polymer showing elemental constituency after metalation and data is matching with literature ${ }^{14}$

## Catalytic activity of $\mathbf{P d} @ \mathbf{K A P s}(\mathbf{P h}-\mathbf{P P h} 3)$ and $\mathbf{P d} @ \mathbf{P h o s}-$ polymer



Figure S56 : Schematic protocol for checking catalytic activity of $\mathbf{P d} @ \mathbf{K A P s}(\mathbf{P h}-\mathbf{P P h} 3)$ and Pd@Phos-polymer

In two 16 mL culture tubes equipped with magnetic stirrer bars, $\mathbf{P d @ K A P s}(\mathbf{P h}-\mathbf{P P h} 3)(2.0$ mg ) and Pd@Phos-polymer ( 2.0 mg ) were taken separately. 1,2-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)ethyne A1 ( 0.1 mmol ), 4-formylphenylboronic acid $\mathbf{B 4}(0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$, 2-ethyliodobenzene $\mathbf{I 2}$ ( 0.2 $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene ( 0.1 mmol ) were then subsequently introduced under inert atmosphere. Dried DMF ( 1.0 mL ) was then added, and the tube was capped tightly and kept in a pre-heated $\left(110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ oil bath at 250 rpm stirring speed. After 24 h , the oil bath was removed, and the mixture was allowed to attain rt . The reaction mixture was transferred into a centrifuge tube, and the catalyst was centrifuged. The mother liquor was added to brine $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then extracted using ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was washed with distilled water ( 5 mL ) and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Further, the organic layer was evaporated under reduced pressure, and NMR analysis of the crude sample was done in
$\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solvent. The quantification of the product has been given w.r.t internal alkyne. The crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum has been given below.
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Section SM-XV: Copies of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C},{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra
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