## Electronic Supplementary Information

## Sn-doped thioantimonate superionic conductors with high air stability and enhanced Li-ion

## conduction for all-solid-state lithium batteries

Zhihui Ma<sup>a</sup>, Jie Shi<sup>a</sup>, Di Wu<sup>a</sup>, Dishuang Chen<sup>a</sup>, Shuai Shang<sup>a</sup>, Xuanhui Qu<sup>a</sup>, Ping Li\*<sup>ab</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Beijing Advanced Innovation Center for Materials Genome Engineering, Institute for Advanced Materials and Technology, University of Science and Technology Beijing, Beijing 100083, PR China

<sup>b</sup> Shanxi Beike Qiantong Energy Storage Science and Technology Research Institute Co. Ltd., Gaoping 048400, PR China

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: ustbliping@126.com.



Fig. S1. XRD pattern of the synthesized LSSSI-1.0 material.



**Fig. S2**. Sb 3d XPS spectra for LSSSI-*x* ( $0.0 \le x \le 0.6$ ) electrolytes. The minor peak at 531.6 eV (green)

is attributed to antimony oxide caused by surface contaminations.<sup>1-3</sup>



Fig. S3. Rietveld refinements of (a) LSSSI-0, (b) LSSSI-0.2 and (c) LSSSI-0.6 materials. (d)

Compositional dependence of the lattice parameter for LSSSI-*x*.



Fig. S4. Arrhenius profile of LSSSI-1.0 electrolyte.



Fig. S5. Impedance spectra of LSSSI-x ( $0.0 \le x \le 1.0$ ) electrolytes at different temperatures from 30

to 80 °C, the inset is the corresponding equivalent circuit.

The ionic conductivity can be obtained as follows,<sup>4</sup>

$$\sigma = \frac{R}{LS} \tag{1}$$

where  $\sigma$  is the ionic conductivity, *R* is the total resistance of SSEs, L is the sample thickness, S is the area of the sample.

The activation energy is calculated by 5

$$\sigma = A \exp\left(\frac{E_a}{RT}\right) \tag{2}$$

where A is the pre-exponential factor,  $E_a$  is the activation energy, R is the gas constant, T is the absolute temperature.



Fig. S6. DC polarization profiles of ion-blocking In/LSSSI-0.4/In cell at 0.2 V.



Fig. S7. (a) XRD pattern of prepared LSSS electrolyte. (b) Nyquist plot for LSSS electrolyte at 30 °C.



**Fig. S8.** Storage time dependence of EIS spectra at room temperature for (a) LSSS and (b) LSSSI-0.4, respectively. (c) The area specific resistance of Li/LSSS/Li and Li/LSSSI-0.4/Li cells as a function of storage time.



Fig. S9. Galvanostatic cycling of the Li symmetric cell at step-increased current densities of (a) Li/LSSS/Li and (b) Li/LSSSI-0.4/Li symmetric cells.



Fig. S10. The interfacial resistances of the Li/LSSSI-0.4/Li symmetric cell before and after cycling for

450 h, the inset is the corresponding equivalent circuit.



**Fig. S11.** (a) The morphology of the pristine Li metal. SEM images and corresponding EDS element mappings of the Li surface (b) after storage of 60 h in the Li/LSSS/Li cell and (c) after cycling at a current density of 0.1 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> for 36 h.



Fig. S12. XRD patterns of the interfacial compositions at Li/SSEs interfaces after 60 h of storage and

36 h cycling in the Li/LSSS/Li symmetric cell.



**Fig. S13.** SEM images and corresponding EDS element mappings of the Li surface (a) after storage of 60 h in the Li/LSSSI-0.4/Li cell and (b) after cycling at a current density of 0.1 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> for 36 h.



Fig. S14. (a) Li 1s, (b) S 2p, (c) Sn 3d and (d) I 3d XPS spectra of the interfacial compositions at

Li/SSEs interface before and after cycling.



**Fig. S15.** Voltage profiles as a function of time during galvanostatic Li plating/stripping for (a) Li-In/LSSS/Li-In symmetric cell and (b) Li/LSSSI-0/Li symmetric cell at a current density of 0.1 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>.



Fig. S16. The Cyclic Voltammetry of LSSSI-0.4 from 0 to 5 V vs. Li/Li<sup>+</sup>.



Fig. S17. (a) XRD pattern and (b) Nyquist plot of prepared LPSC electrolyte at room temperature.



Fig. S18. Galvanostatic charge and discharge curves of the optimized ASSLBs using (a) LSSSI-0.2,

(b) LSSSI-0.4 and (c) LSSSI-0.6 electrolytes at 0.1C.



Fig. S19. Charge-discharge curves of the optimized ASSLB from 2.0 to 3.7 V (vs. Li<sup>+</sup>/Li-In) at 0.05C.



Fig. S20. The cycling performance of ASSLMB at 0.05C.



Fig. S21. XRD pattern of synthesized LPSI electrolyte.



**Fig. S22.** Amount of H<sub>2</sub>S gas generated when LSSSI-*x* ( $0.0 \le x \le 0.6$ ) and LSSS powders were exposed

to humid air.



Fig. S23. In-situ Raman spectra of (a) LSSSI-0 and (b) LSSSI-0.4 after exposure to humid air (~71%

RH, 26 °C).



Fig. S24. SEM and corresponding EDS elemental mapping images of dried LSSSI-0.4 electrolyte.



Fig. S25. XRD patterns of the as-synthesized LSSSI-0.4 and air exposed LSSSI-0.4 electrolytes.

$a = 10.43676$ Å, $R_p = 4.89\%$ , $R_{wp} = 6.87\%$							
Atom	Wyckoff site	х	у	Z	Occupancy		
Sb1	4b	0.5	0.5	0.5	1		
S1	4c	0.25	0.25	0.25	1		
S2	16e	0.37253	0.37253	0.62777	1		
I1	4a	0.5	0.5	0	1		
Li1	48h	0.28167	0.53204	0.78237	0.5		

**Table S1.** XRD Rietveld refinement results of LSSSI-0 with space group F4m.

a = 10.45685Å; R <sub>p</sub> = 5.23%; R <sub>wp</sub> = 6.88%; 5.28 wt% LSS, 2.24 wt% Li <sub>2</sub> S						
Atom	Wyckoff site	x	у	Z	Occupancy	
Sb1	4b	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.808	
Sn1	4b	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.192	
S1	4c	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.952	
S2	16e	0.37203	0.37203	0.62866	1	
I1	4a	0.5	0.5	0	1	
I2	4c	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.048	
Li1	48h	0.28322	0.53192	0.78022	0.516	

**Table S2.** XRD Rietveld refinement results of LSSSI-0.2 with space group F4m.

a = 10.46601Å; R <sub>p</sub> = 5.55%; R <sub>wp</sub> = 7.46%; 17.06 wt% LSS, 4.35 wt% Li <sub>2</sub> S, 0.73 wt% LiI						
Atom	Wyckoff site	х	у	Z	Occupancy	
Sb1	4b	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.616	
Sn1	4b	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.384	
S1	4c	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.952	
S2	16e	0.37169	0.37169	0.62915	1	
I1	4a	0.5	0.5	0	1	
I2	4c	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.048	
Li1	48h	0.28480	0.53280	0.79200	0.533	

**Table S3.** XRD Rietveld refinement results of LSSSI-0.4 with space group F4m.

Sn <sub>4</sub> SI <sub>6</sub>							
Atom	Wyckoff site	х	у	Z	Occupancy		
Sb1	4b	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.432		
Sn1	4b	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.568		
S1	4c	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.952		
S2	16e	0.37057	0.37057	0.63036	1		
I1	4a	0.5	0.5	0	1		
I2	4c	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.048		
Li1	48h	0.28631	0.53378	0.77723	0.550		

a = 10.48021Å;  $R_p = 6.18\%$ ;  $R_{wp} = 8.37\%$ ; 36.30 wt% LSS, 7.38 wt% Li<sub>2</sub>S, 3.04 wt% LiI, 0.09 wt%

**Table S4.** XRD Rietveld refinement results of LSSSI-0.6 with space group F4m.

Composition	Conductivity [S cm <sup>-1</sup> ]	$E_{\rm a}  [{\rm eV}]$	Ref.
$Li_{9.54}Si_{1.74}P_{1.44}S_{11.7}Cl_{0.3}$	2.5 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>	0.24	[6]
$Li_{9.54}[Si_{0.6}Ge_{0.4}]_{1.74}P_{1.44}S_{11.1}Br_{0.3}O_{0.6}$	$3.2 \times 10^{-2}$	0.24	[7]
Li <sub>6</sub> PS <sub>5</sub> I	$2.8 imes10^{-6}$	0.42	[8]
$Li_{6.2}Sn_{0.2}P_{0.8}S_5I$	$3.5  imes 10^{-4}$	0.30	[8]
Li <sub>6</sub> PS <sub>5</sub> Cl	$2.4 \times 10^{-3}$	0.32	[9]
$Li_4SnS_4$	$7.0 imes10^{-5}$	0.41	[10]
$Li_{3.875}Sn_{0.875}As_{0.125}S_4$	$1.5 \times 10^{-3}$	0.27	[11]
$Li_{3.85}Sn_{0.85}Sb_{0.15}S_4$	$4.6 \times 10^{-4}$	0.50	[12]
Li <sub>6</sub> SbS <sub>5</sub> I	$3.0 \times 10^{-6}$	0.38	[3]
$Li_{6.5}Ge_{0.5}Sb_{0.5}S_5I$	1.61 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>	0.18	[3]
$Li_{6.7}Si_{0.7}Sb_{0.3}S_5I$	1.12 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>	0.26	[13]
LSSSI-0.4	$3.49 \times 10^{-4}$	0.31	This work

 Table S5. Ionic conductivities and activation energies of sulfide solid state electrolytes.

	Voltage	Loading	T	Cycle life	Reference
Assembled batteries	(V vs.	mass			
	Li/Li+)	(mg cm <sup>-2</sup> )	capacity (mAh g <sup>-1</sup> )		
			184.0		
	2.6~4.3	8.92	(0.1C, 30 °C)	>600	This work
NCM811/LSSSI-0.4/L1-In			131.7		
			(0.2C, 30 °C)		
	2 0 4 2	16.00	~118		54.43
0.4L1I-0.6L1 <sub>4</sub> SnS <sub>4</sub> ( <i>a</i> )L1CoO <sub>2</sub> /L1 <sub>3</sub> PS <sub>4</sub> /L1-In	3.0~4.3	16.23	(0.1C, 30 °C)	-	[14]
	3.0~4.3	16.23	~110	30	[15]
$L_{14}SnS_4(@L_1CoO_2/L_{1_{10}}GeP_2S_{12}/L_{1_3}PS_4/L_1-In$			(0.1C, 30 °C)		
	25.42	8.92	126.0	>50	[16]
$L_1CoO_2/L_{1_10}Ge(P_{0.925}Sb_{0.075})_2S_{12}/In$	2.5~4.2		(0.1C, RT)		
		1.27	188.4	210	[11]
$L_1CoO_2/L_{13.875}Sn_{0.875}As_{0.125}S_4/L_{14}I_{15}O_{12}$	0.6~3.2		(0.1C, 30 °C)		
	0.5.4.0	2.0	182.4	30	[17]
$NCM811/L_{19.54}S_{11.74}(P_{9.903}Sb_{0.097})_2S_{11.7}Cl_{0.3}/graphite/L_1$	2.5~4.3		(0.5C, 55 °C)		
	2.5~4.3	8.79	~120.0	40	[18]
NCM622/L1 <sub>6.04</sub> P <sub>0.98</sub> B1 <sub>0.02</sub> S <sub>4.97</sub> O <sub>0.03</sub> Cl/L1			(0.2C, RT)		
where RT stands for root	m t	emperatur	e.		

 Table S6. The comparison of electrochemical properties of this work with other published ASSLBs

 based on air-exposed SSEs.

## **References:**

- 1 T. J. Whittles, T. D. Veal, C. N. Savory, A. W. Welch, J. T. Gibbon, M. Birkett, R. J. Potter,
- D. O. Scanlon, A. Zakutayev and V. R. Dhanak, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, 9, 41916.
- 2 N. Fleck, O. S. Hutter, L. J. Phillips, H. Shiel, T. D. Hobson, V. R. Dhanak, T. D. Veal, F. Jäckel, K. Durose and J. D. Major, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **12**, 52595.
- 3 Y. Lee, J. Jeong, H. J. Lee, M. Kim, D. Han, H. Kim, J. M. Yuk, K.-W. Nam, K. Y. Chung, H.-G. Jung and S. Yu, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2022, **7**, 171.
- 4 M. B. Preefer, J. H. Grebenkemper, F. Schroeder, J. D. Bocarsly, K. Pilar, J. A. Cooley, W. Zhang, J. Hu, S. Misra, F. Seeler, K. Schierle-Arndt and R. Seshadri, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, **11**, 42280.
- 5 G. Liu, D. Xie, X. Wang, X. Yao, S. Chen, R. Xiao, H. Li and X. Xu, *Energy Storage Mater.*, 2019, **17**, 266.
- 6 Y. Kato, S. Hori, T. Saito, K. Suzuki, M. Hirayama, A. Mitsui, M. Yonemura, H. Iba and R. Kanno, *Nat. Energy*, 2016, **1**, 16030.
- 7 Y. Li, S. Song, H. Kim, K. Nomoto, H. Kim, X. Sun, S. Hori, K. Suzuki, N. Matsui, M. Hirayama, T. Mizoguchi, T. Saito, T. Kamiyama and R. Kanno, *Science*, 2023, **381**, 50.
- 8 F. Zhao, J. Liang, C. Yu, Q. Sun, X. Li, K. Adair, C. Wang, Y. Zhao, S. Zhang, W. Li, S.
- Deng, R. Li, Y. Huang, H. Huang, L. Zhang, S. Zhao, S. Lu and X. Sun, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2020, **10**, 1903422.
- 9 H. Liu, Q. Zhu, Y. Liang, C. Wang, D. Li, X. Zhao, L. Gao and L.-Z. Fan, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2023, **462**, 142183.
- 10 T. Kaib, S. Haddapour, M. Kapitein, P. Bron, C. Schröder, H. Eckert, B. Roling and S. Dehnen, *Chem. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 2211.
- 11 P. Lu, L. Liu, S. Wang, J. Xu, J. Peng, W. Yan, Q. Wang, H. Li, L. Chen and F. Wu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2021, **33**, 2100921.
- 12 H. Kwak, K. H. Park, D. Han, K.-W. Nam, H. Kim and Y. S. Jung, J. Power Sources, 2020,

**446**, 227338.

- 13 L. Zhou, A. Assoud, Q. Zhang, X. Wu and L. F. Nazar, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2019, 141, 19002.
- 14 K. H. Park, D. Y. Oh, Y. E. Choi, Y. J. Nam, L. Han, J.-Y. Kim, H. Xin, F. Lin, S. M. Oh and Y. S. Jung, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **18**, 1874.
- 15 Y. E. Choi, K. H. Park, D. H. Kim, D. Y. Oh, H. R. Kwak, Y.-G. Lee and Y. S. Jung, *ChemSusChem*, 2017, **10**, 2605.
- 16 J. Liang, N. Chen, X. Li, X. Li, K. R. Adair, J. Li, C. Wang, C. Yu, M. N. Banis, L. Zhang,
- S. Zhao, S. Lu, H. Huang, R. Li, Y. Huang and X. Sun, Chem. Mater., 2020, 32, 2664.
- 17 L. Ye, E. Gil-González and X. Li, Electrochem. Commun., 2021, 128, 107058.
- 18 H. Liu, Q. Zhu, C. Wang, G. Wang, Y. Liang, D. Li, L. Gao and L.-Z. Fan, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2022, **32**, 2203858.