## **Supplementary Information**

## Synthesis of nitrogen-doped carbon nanoboxes with pore structure derived from zeolite and their excellent performance in capacitive deionization

Keyang Li<sup>a</sup>, Shaoqing Zhu<sup>b</sup>, Shunan Zhao<sup>b</sup>, Ming Gong<sup>a</sup>, Xiaohuan Zhao <sup>a, c, d</sup>, Jie Liang <sup>a,c</sup>, Jianning Gan<sup>a</sup>, Yilun Huang<sup>d, \*</sup>, Ming Zhao<sup>a</sup>, Daming Zhuang<sup>a</sup>, Qianming Gong<sup>a, \*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Key Laboratory for Advanced Materials Processing Technology, School of Materials Science and Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, PR China

 <sup>b</sup> Center for Water and Ecology, State Key Joint Laboratory of Environment Simulation and Pollution Control, School of Environment, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China.

 <sup>c</sup> School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, PR China

<sup>d</sup> SINOPEC Beijing Research Institute of Chemical Industry, Beijing 100013, PR China

\* Corresponding authors. e-mail address: gongqianming@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn (Q. Gong).



Figure S1: Programmed high-temperature treatment of the HCNBs-samples. Thenumerical postfix of the sample names was added according to the temperature of thefinalprocessinthetreatment.

**Table S1** The conductivities of densely compacted HCNBs by Hall EffectMeasurement System .

Sample	HCNBs-	HCNBs-	HCNBs-
	800	1000	1200
Conductivity/(S·cm <sup>-1</sup> )	3.43±0.12	4.72±0.07	6.25±0.09

	Specific	Pore	Micropore	Atomic
Sample name	surface area	volume	volume	percentage
	$(m^{2}/g)$	(mL/g)	(mL/g)	of N (%)
HCNBs-1500	107.8	0.042	0.031	1.12
HCNBs-2000	98.2	0.019	0.014	0.76

**Table S2** Porous structure and nitrogen atomic percentage of the HCNBssamples carbonized at relative high temperature (1500 °C and 2000 °C).



Fig S2 SEM image of the ZSM-5 particles.



**Fig S3** SEM image of the ZSM-5 particles coated by the in-situ grown 3aminophenol-formaldehyde resin (ZSM-5@AFP precursor). Yellow arrows are added on the image to point out the obvious polymer layers.



Fig S4 TEM images of the ZSM-5 particle coated by APF derived carbon (ZSM-5@AFP carbon).



Fig S5. TGA analysis of ZSM@APF samples.

	HCNBs-800	HCNBs-1000	HCNBs-1200
Pyridinic N (%)	28.68	30.94	17.64
Pyrrolic N (%)	14.44	23.52	15.08
Graphitic N (%)	39.13	28.68	30.19
Oxidized N (%)	17.75	16.86	37.26
Atomic percentage of N (%)	7.11	6.29	4.92
C=O (%)	18.86	23.53	30.34
С-О-О/С-ОН (%)	45.80	43.10	39.32
СООН (%)	35.34	33.37	30.36
Atomic percentage of O (%)	7.43	6.39	5.61

Table S3 Existing forms of the doped nitrogen and oxygen atoms and their atomic percentage according to the XPS  $N_{1s}$  and  $O_{1s}$  spectrum.



Fig S6 (a) Contact angle comparation results of the HCNBs samples. (b) Dynamic contact angle measurements of HCNBs



**Fig S7** Image of the three electrodes system applicated to investigate the electrochemical performance of the HCNBs-based electrodes.



**Fig S8** CV profiles of HCNBs-800 (a) and HCNBs-1200 (b) electrodes under different scanning rate from 5 mV s-1 to 100 mV s-1 in a voltage window from -1.0 V to 0 V



Fig S9 GCD profiles of HCNBs-800 (a) and HCNBs-1200 (b) electrodes at different current density from 1 A $\cdot$ g-1 to 20 A $\cdot$ g-1



Fig S10 Ragone plot of the HCNBs-1000-based electrodes.



Figure S11: Linear correlation between NaCl concentration (mg  $L^{-1}$ ) and solution conductivity ( $\mu$ S cm<sup>-1</sup>)

Materials	NaCl	Operation	Desalination	Ref.
	concentration	potential	capacity	
	$(mg \cdot L^{-1})$	(V)	$(mg \cdot g^{-1})$	
GO/CNF	450	1.2	13.2	1
webs				
mycelium	500	1.4	24.17	2
derived				
carbon				
PPCP800	1000	1.2	14.62	3
PCNSs	500	1.1	15.6	4
C-Zn	500	1.5	16.2	5
Mg-MOFs	500	1.2	16.82	6
derived				
carbon				
PDLCN	500	1.2	18.8	7
rGO/PC-	500	1.2	25.1	8
10				
foamy	500	1.2	30.2	9
carbon				
P-CNF	500	1.2	30.4	10
NP-EHPC	500	1.2	24.14	11
PPD-	600	1.2	17.5	12
CNTs@M				
С				
HCNBs	500	1.4	32.3	This work

**Table S4**: Desalination capacities of different carbon and carbon-based materialsfrom the previously reported works under different voltage and NaCl concentration.



Fig S12 Comparation of the SAC capacity of this work and previously reported carbon-based materials.



**Fig S13.** Mearsurement of pH during the desalination process under different voltage (1 V, 1.2 V and 1.4 V, HCNBs-1000-based electrodes, 500 mg $\cdot$ L<sup>-1</sup>NaCl solution)

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{O}_{2} + 2H_{2}O + 4e^{-} \rightarrow 4OH^{-}, \varphi^{\theta} &= 0.4009 \, V\#SR(1) \\ \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}_{2} + H_{2}O + 2e^{-} \rightarrow HO^{-}_{2} + OH^{-}, \varphi^{\theta} &= -0.065 \, V \\ HO^{-}_{2} + H_{2}O + 2e^{-} \rightarrow 3OH^{-}, \varphi^{\theta} &= 0.867 \, V \\ \end{cases} \\ 2Cl^{-} \rightarrow Cl_{2} + 2e^{-}, \varphi^{\theta} &= 1.360 \, V\#SR(3) \\ Cl_{2} + H_{2}O \rightarrow HCl + HOCl\#SR(4) \end{split}$$



Fig S14. The conductivity fluctuation of NaCl solution during 50 cycles of charging/discharging for HCNBs-1000 electrodes (500 mg $\cdot$ L<sup>-1</sup>, 1.4 V).

**Table S5.** Charge efficiencies of HCNBs-1000 electrode at different charging voltageswith an initial NaCl concentration of 500 mg  $L^{-1}$ .

Charging voltage /V	1	1.2	1.4
Charge efficiency	0.703	0.755	0.812

**Table S6.** Charge efficiencies of HCNBs-1000 electrode at different initial NaClconcentrations at charging voltage of 1.4 V.

Initial concentration /mg L <sup>-1</sup>	125	250	500
Charge efficiency	0.847	0.826	0.812

## **Reference:**

- 1. Y. Bai, Z. H. Huang, X. L. Yu and F. Y. Kang, *Colloids and Surfaces a-Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 2014, 444, 153-158.
- C. Zhao, Q. Wang, S. Z. Chang, S. Zhang, Z. H. Li, Z. H. Shen, X. Jin, H. Xiao and H. G. Zhang, *Carbon*, 2022, **196**, 699-707.
- 3. W. L. Xing, M. Zhang, J. Liang, W. W. Tang, P. C. Li, Y. Luo, N. Tang and J. Y. Guo, *Separation and Purification Technology*, 2020, **251**.
- 4. T. T. Wu, G. Wang, Q. Dong, F. Zhan, X. Zhang, S. F. Li, H. Y. Qiao and J. S. Qiu, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 2017, **51**, 9244-9251.
- 5. Z. Z. Xie, X. H. Shang, J. B. Yan, T. Hussain, P. F. Nie and J. Y. Liu, *Electrochimica Acta*, 2018, **290**, 666-675.
- 6. C. P. Li, Y. Q. Wu, F. Y. Zhang, L. X. Gao, D. Q. Zhang and Z. X. An, *Separation and Purification Technology*, 2021, **277**.
- 7. C. P. Li, Y. Q. Wu, L. X. Gao, D. Q. Zhang and Z. X. An, *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, 2022, **10**.
- 8. B. F. Li, Z. H. Xiao, Y. T. Cao, Z. Q. Yu, Y. K. Sun, Z. C. Li, Y. X. Wang, Z. F. Gao and C. M. Xu, *Fuel*, 2023, **352**.
- 9. C. P. Li, Y. Q. Wu, J. J. An, L. X. Gao, D. Q. Zhang, J. Li and Z. X. An, *Desalination*, 2023, **559**.
- 10. P. F. Nie, S. P. Wang, X. H. Shang, B. Hu, M. H. Huang, J. M. Yang and J. Y. Liu, *Desalination*, 2021, **520**.
- H. Zhang, C. H. Wang, W. X. Zhang, M. Zhang, J. W. Qi, J. S. Qian, X. Y. Sun, B. Yuliarto, J. Na, T. Park, H. G. A. Gomaa, Y. V. Kaneti, J. W. Yi, Y. Yamauchi and J. S. Li, *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*, 2021, 9, 12807-12817.
- 12. Y. Lian, D. W. Wang, H. X. Guo, Z. L. Cao, J. Zhao and H. H. Zhang, *Carbon*, 2023, **204**, 50-56.