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## 1 Reagents and Apparatus

All chemical reagents were purchased from J\&K Scientific, TCI, Energy Chemical, Bide Pharm, and Xi'an Confluore Biological Technology. $\mathrm{Na}\left[{ }^{[31} \mathrm{I}\right]$ was obtained from Syncor Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Chengdu, China).

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were taken on Bruker nuclear magnetic resonance spectrometer $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}, 400\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}, 101 \mathrm{MHz}$ ). High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on a Bruker micro-TOF-QII time of flight mass spectrometer with electrospray ionization. Confocal fluorescence images were recorded on a LSM 880 system (ZEISS, Germany). Pre-targeted single photon emission computed tomography/computed tomography imaging was performed using an NM/CT 670 system (GE, USA).

## 2 Synthesis

### 2.1 Synthesis of PLAA (8)






Scheme S1. Synthesis of PLAA (8).

## $N^{6}$-(5-(1,2-Dithiolan-3-yl)pentanoyl)- $N^{2}$-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)lysine (3)

Compounds $\mathbf{1}^{1}$ ( $200.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.600 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $2(J \& K$ Scientific, $198.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.800 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) were dissolved in DMF ( 5.0 mL ). $N, N$-Diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA) ( $195.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.500 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.5 equiv) was then added, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature (rt) overnight. The reaction mixture was diluted with water and extracted with DCM. The combined organic phase was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The desired product (3) was obtained in $90 \%$ yield ( $312.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.719 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) after purification by silica gel column chromatography (DCM: $\mathrm{MeOH}=60: 1 \sim 20: 1$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 4.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{dq}, J=8.8,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.21-3.06$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.51-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}$, $15 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 176.24,175.97,158.15,80.45,57.53,54.81,41.30,40.05,39.35$, $36.91,35.74,32.46,29.98,29.88,28.75,26.77,24.31$. HRMS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{NaO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right]^{+} 457.1801$, found 457.1784.

Tri-tert-butyl 6-(4-(5-(1,2-dithiolan-3-yl)pentanamido)butyl)-2,2-dimethyl-4,7,15-trioxo-3-oxa-5,8,14,16-tetraazanonadecane-13,17,19-tricarboxylate (5)

Compounds 3 ( $150.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.350 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv), compound $\mathbf{4}^{2}(247.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.520 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv), and
(2-(1H-benzotriazol-1-yl)-1,1,3,3-tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate (HBTU, $196.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.520$ mmol, 1.5 equiv) were dissolved in DCM ( 5.0 mL ). DIPEA ( $89.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.690 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) was then added, and the mixture was stirred at rt overnight. The reaction solution was concentrated in vacuo. Purification by silica gel column chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM}: \mathrm{MeOH}=40: 1$ ) afforded compound $\mathbf{5}$ in $35 \%$ yield ( $109.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}$ ).
${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.73(\mathrm{q}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.9,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $8.6,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{q}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22-2.94(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{dq}$, $J=12.5,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.14(\mathrm{~m}$, $56 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 172.25,171.89,171.86,171.69,171.40,157.09,155.25,80.57$, $80.24,79.71,77.88,56.10,54.26,53.01,52.15,39.90,39.42,38.21,38.09,35.24,34.13,31.81,31.70$, 30.86, 28.89, 28.72, 28.34, 28.18, 27.72, 27.64, 27.63, 25.07, 22.93, 22.43. HRMS (ESI) [M + H ${ }^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{78} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right]^{+} 904.5134$, found 904.5133 .

## 14-Amino-24-(1,2-dithiolan-3-yl)-5,13,20-trioxo-4,6,12,19-tetraazatetracosane-1,3,7-tricarboxylic acid (6)

Trifluoroacetic acid (TFA, 1.0 mL ) was added upon stirring to a solution of compound 5 ( 101.0 mg , 0.110 mmol ) in anhydrous DCM ( 1.0 mL ). After stirring at rt for 6 h , the reaction solution was added dropwise into 20.0 mL of diethyl ether. The mixture was then cooled at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and filtered. Compound 6 was obtained as a white precipitate without further purification $(70.5 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%, 0.110 \mathrm{mmol})$.
${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 4.30(\mathrm{ddd}, J=21.1,8.5,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{dq}$, $J=9.0,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-3.09(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.52-2.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{dt}, J=21.3,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.77$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.51-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right]^{+}$636.2732, found 636.2742.

## ( E)-14-(((cyclooct-4-en-1-yloxy)carbonyl)amino)-24-(1,2-dithiolan-3-yl)-5,13,20-trioxo-4,6,12,19-tetraazatetracosane-1,3,7-tricarboxylic acid (8, PLAA)

Compounds 6 ( $9.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.015 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and 7 (Xi'an Confluore Biological Technology, 6.5 mg , 0.018 mmol , 1.2 equiv) were dissolved in DMF ( 1.0 mL ). Triethylamine (TEA, $9.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.080 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.3$ equiv) was then added, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 6 h . After reaction completion, the reaction solution was added dropwise into 25.0 mL of diethyl ether. The mixture was then cooled at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the formed precipitate was filtered out, then purified by thin-layer chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM}: \mathrm{MeOH}=15: 1$ ) to afford PLAA as a white solid in $79 \%$ yield ( $9.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol}$ ).
${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 5.61(\mathrm{dt}, J=14.9,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.48(\mathrm{ddd}, J=15.7,10.6,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.37-4.22(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.06-3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{dq}, J=8.8,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24-3.07(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.48-2.28(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{dt}, J=18.9,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.56-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 176.49,176.12,176.01,175.09,174.39,160.12,158.25$, $136.04,133.79,82.24,57.56,56.49,54.00,53.65,42.23,41.33,40.01,39.77,39.56,39.37,39.14,36.94$, $35.76,35.17,33.49,33.00,32.17,31.51,31.19,30.01,29.91,29.06,26.79,24.29,23.63$. HRMS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} m / z$ calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{58} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right]^{+} 788.3569$, found 788.3572 .

### 2.2 Synthesis of $I^{\text {nat } I J T z}$ and compound 15




Scheme S2. Synthesis of [ ${ }^{\text {nat } I] T z ~ a n d ~ c o m p o u n d ~} 15$.

## tert-Butyl (2-(6-(4-iodophenyl)-1,2,4,5-tetrazin-3-yl)ethyl)carbamate (11)

Compound 9 ( $3.3 \mathrm{~g}, 14.410 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv), compound $10(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 5.880 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.4$ equiv), and $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}(632.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.930 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1)$ were dissolved in dioxane $(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. Hydrazine hydrate $(98 \%$, $4.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 82.301 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.7$ equiv) was then added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the mixture was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. After reaction completion, the reaction solution was cooled in an ice bath, and a cold aqueous $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}$ solution ( $4.46 \mathrm{~g}, 100.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 64.637 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.5$ equiv) was added, followed by slow addition of 1 M HCl with vigorous stirring. The reaction mixture turned bright red, and gas production was observed. The addition of HCl was continued until gas production stopped, and the pH reached 3.0-4.0. Then, the mixture was extracted with $\operatorname{DCM}(50.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$, and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. The obtained residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM}: \mathrm{MeOH}=50: 1 \sim 30: 1$ ) to afford a pink solid in $24 \%$ yield $(600.0 \mathrm{mg}$, 1.40 mmol ).
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO $\left._{6} d_{6}\right) \delta 8.30-8.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.10-8.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{q}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.38$ (dd, $J=6.9,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 168.53,163.52,156.03,138.90$, 131.83, 129.51, 101.15, 78.23, 35.98, 28.58.

## 2-(6-(4-Iodophenyl)-1,2,4,5-tetrazin-3-yl)ethan-1-amine (12)

TFA ( 1.0 mL ) was added dropwise upon stirring to a solution of compound $11(600.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.410 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous DCM ( 4.0 mL ) in an ice bath. After stirring at rt for 1 h , the reaction solution was concentrated in vacuo, and the obtained residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM}: \mathrm{MeOH}=10: 1$ ) to afford a pink solid in $93 \%$ yield $(428.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.30 \mathrm{mmol})$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.65$
$(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 167.31, 163.87, 139.01, 131.70, 129.63, 101.45, 37.34, 32.70. HRMS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} m / z$ calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{IN}_{5}\right]^{+} 328.0054$, found 328.0060 .

## tert-Butyl (21-(6-(4-iodophenyl)-1,2,4,5-tetrazin-3-yl)-18-oxo-3,6,9,12,15-pentaoxa-19azahenicosyl)carbamate (14)

Compounds $\mathbf{1 2}$ ( $150.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.460 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and $\mathbf{1 3}$ (Xi'an Confluore Biological Technology, 200.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.390 \mathrm{mmol}$, 0.8 equiv) were dissolved in $\mathrm{DCM}(10.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. TEA ( $185.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.850 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) was then added, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 3 h . After reaction completion, the mixture was concentrated in vacuo, and the obtained residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (DCM:MeOH = 100:1 ~50:1) to afford a pink solid in $85 \%$ yield ( $198.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.365 \mathrm{mmol}$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.39-8.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.04-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88$ (q, $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.49(m, 23 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(q, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(t d, J=5.7,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(s$, $10 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 173.24,170.82,168.49,163.63,158.96,158.75,156.05$, $138.93,131.87,129.57,118.61,116.63,101.15,78.03,70.23,70.08,69.97,69.94,69.62,67.13,46.04$, 37.55, 36.54, 35.29, 28.67, 25.67.

## 1-Amino- $N$-(2-(6-(4-iodophenyl)-1,2,4,5-tetrazin-3-yl)ethyl)-3,6,9,12,15-pentaoxaoctadecan-18amide ([ $\left.{ }^{\text {nat }} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{Tz}$ )

TFA ( 1.0 mL ) was added dropwise upon stirring to a solution of compound $\mathbf{1 4}$ ( $194.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.230 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DCM ( 4.0 mL ) in an ice bath. After stirring at rt for 3 h , the reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo, and the obtained residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM}: \mathrm{MeOH}=10: 1$ ) to afford a pink solid in $93 \%$ yield ( $155.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.350 \mathrm{mmol}$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.99-3.93$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{q}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.67-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 3.15-3.07$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 173.23,170.82,168.48,163.63$, 138.94, 131.85, 129.57, 101.19, 70.20, 70.13, 70.08, 69.93, 67.14, 67.12, 60.22, 39.08, 37.56, 36.51, 35.29, 25.69, 21.22, 14.54. HRMS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{IN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right]^{+} 619.1736$, found 619.1618 .

## tert-Butyl(18-oxo-21-(6-(4-(trimethylstannyl)phenyl)-1,2,4,5-tetrazin-3-yl)-3,6,9,12,15-pentaoxa-19-azahenicosyl)carbamate (15)

Compound 14 ( $142.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.190 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(46.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.040 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2$ equiv), and LiCl ( $50.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.179 \mathrm{mmol}$, 6.2 equiv) were dissolved in toluene ( 5.0 mL ) under argon. $\mathrm{Sn}_{2} \mathrm{Me}_{6}$ ( 84.0 mg , 0.260 mmol , 1.4 equiv) was then added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . Next, the reaction solution was filtered and washed twice with DCM. The combined organic layers were concentrated in vacuo, and the obtained residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography
(DCM: $\mathrm{MeOH}=100: 1 \sim 50: 1$ ) to afford a dark red solid in $30 \%$ yield ( $37.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.064 \mathrm{mmol}$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.57-8.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.82-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90$ $(\mathrm{q}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.65-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 19 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{t}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.36(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 172.12,168.33$, $164.80,149.55,136.82,131.57,127.06,70.70,70.66,70.57,70.39,70.35,70.28,67.25,40.51,37.38$, 36.99, 35.04, 28.57, -9.33. HRMS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} m / z$ calcd. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{NaO}_{8} \mathrm{Sn}\right]^{+} 779.2761$, found 779.2781 .

### 2.3 Preparation of $\left[{ }^{131} I\right] T z$



## Scheme S3. Preparation of $\left[{ }^{131} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{Tz}$.

A solution of compound $\mathbf{1 5}(2.0 \mathrm{mg})$ in dichloromethane $(200.0 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was added to a mixture of acetic acid $(300.0 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ and NIS methanol solution $(0.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, 300.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}) . \mathrm{Na}\left[{ }^{[31} \mathrm{I}\right](40.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 11.5 \mathrm{mCi})$ was subsequently added, and the mixture was vortexed for several seconds, then incubated at room temperature for 45 min . The reaction was quenched with sodium citrate in deionized water ( $300.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), then the resulting solution was diluted in acetonitrile, extracted three times with dichloromethane $(100.0 \mu \mathrm{~L})$, and the isolated compound $\mathbf{1 4}$ was incubated with $200.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of TFA at rt for 2 h to give the ${ }^{131} \mathrm{I}$-labeled tetrazine derivative $\left[{ }^{[131} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathbf{T z}(8.5 \mathrm{mCi})$, which was purified by radio-HPLC.



Figure S1. HPLC profile of $\left[{ }^{[31} \mathrm{I}\right] 14$ and compound 14.

## 3 Experimental procedures and supplementary figures

### 3.1Preparation and characterization of cPLANPs.

A $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ solution of PLAA in PBS $(\mathrm{pH}=7.2)$ was left at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1-3$ minutes, and nanoparticles formed spontaneously. The resulting pale blue emulsion was irradiated under UV light ( 365 nm ) for 2 h to give cPLANPs through a ring-opening disulfide exchange polymerization reaction. The size and zeta potential of cPLANPs were determined by DLS (Brookhaven NanoBrook 90plus Zeta, NY, USA) and their morphology was observed after negative staining with $2 \%$ phosphotungstic acid solution by TEM (FEI Talos F200S, OR, USA)

### 3.2 Cellular uptake of cPLANPs.

LNCaP and PC3 cells were seeded into $35-\mathrm{mm}$ glass-bottom dishes, incubated for 48 h at a density of $\sim 80 \%$, and then treated with cPLANPs ( $10 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) for 2 h . Afterward, the culture medium was removed, and the cells were washed three times with PBS and incubated with Tz-Cy5 ( $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) for another 1 h . The cells were finally washed briefly with PBS and the cell nuclei were stained with Hoechst $33342(2 \mu \mathrm{M})$ for 5 min , washed three times with PBS, and imaged with a confocal fluorescence microscope (Zeiss 880, Germany).

### 3.3 Synergistic killing effect of cPLANPs on LNCaP cells.

LNCaP cells were incubated with different concentrations of cPLANPs ( $0,50,200,500,1000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under normoxic conditions $\left(20 \% \mathrm{O}_{2} / 5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$ and the harvested cells were analyzed by Western blotting. Briefly, the protein bands were incubated at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight with primary antibodies (1:500) against BCL-2 (Abcam, Cambridge, UK), caspase-3 (Abcam, Cambridge, UK), or $\beta$-actin (SAB, College Park, USA), then washed three times with Tris-buffered saline containing $0.1 \%$ Tween-20 (TBST), and incubated with secondary antibodies ((SAB, College Park, USA), diluted at $1: 5000$ ) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The bands were washed again three times with TBST and visualized with ECL reagents (Millipore, Massachusetts, USA) and a ChemiDoc XR+UV illuminator (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA).

### 3.4 Simulation of cPLANPs-based, pre-targeted radiotherapy in LNCaP cells.

LNCaP cells were seeded in six-well plates ( $3 \times 10^{6}$ cells/well) and incubated in culture medium overnight at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under normoxic conditions $\left(20 \% \mathrm{O}_{2} / 5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$. The culture medium was then removed, and the cells were incubated with cPLANPs ( $10 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) for 2 h . The culture medium was removed again and the cells were washed three times with PBS, then treated for 1 h with fresh culture medium containing $\left.{ }^{[131} \mathbf{I}\right] \mathbf{T z}$ of different radioactivity. Afterward, the cells were washed again with PBS, incubated for another 48 h , and stained with calcein-AM and PI for live-or-dead cell imaging or with Hoechst 33342 for nuclei imaging. Stained cells were visualized by confocal fluorescence microscopy (Zeiss 880, Germany). The same process was used to determine cell apoptosis by Annexin-V-FITC/PI staining and flow cytometry.

## 3.5 r-H2AX immunofluorescence assay.

LNCaP cells were seeded on $35-\mathrm{mm}$ glass bottom dishes, incubated in culture medium at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under normoxic conditions ( $20 \% \mathrm{O}_{2} / 5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ) for 48 h , then treated with cPLANPs ( $10 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) for 2 h . The culture medium was then removed, and the cells were washed three times with PBS and treated with fresh culture medium containing $\left[{ }^{[31} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathbf{T z}(100 \mu \mathrm{Ci})$ for 1 h . The solution was decanted and the cells were incubated for another 48 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under normoxic conditions after washing several times with PBS. The $\Upsilon$-H2AX immunofluorescence assay was then performed following the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, the cells were fixed with $4 \%$ paraformaldehyde at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min , washed with PBS, permeabilized by incubation with $0.3 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ Triton X-100 at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , blocked with $5 \%$ bovine serum albumin solution at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , washed again three times with PBS, and incubated with anti- $\Upsilon-$ H2AX antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, Boston, USA, diluted at $1: 500$ ) at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The antibody solution was then removed, and the cells were incubated with AlexaFluor 488-conjugated secondary antibody (Jackson Immuno Reseacher, Pennsylvania, USA, diluted at 1:1000) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h. Nuclei were stained with Hoechst 33342 . Finally, cells were observed under a confocal fluorescence microscope (Zeiss 880).

### 3.6 Tumor model.

Male Balb/c nude mice ( 5 weeks old, 20 g ) were obtained from Chengdu Dossy Experimental Animals

Co., Ltd. (Chengdu, China) and housed under specific pathogen-free conditions in the animal care facility at Sichuan University. All in vivo experiments were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee and Ethics Committee of West China Hospital, Sichuan University (Approval No. 20220512004). LNCaP cells ( $\sim 10^{7}$ cells) suspended in $100 \mu \mathrm{LPBS} /$ matrigel ( $3: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) were subcutaneously injected into the right axillary of each mouse. When the tumor volume reached $90-120 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$, the length and width of the tumors were measured using a vernier caliper, and the tumor volume was calculated as follows: tumor volume $=0.5 \times$ width $^{2} \times$ length .

### 3.7 In vivo anti-tumor activity.

The in vivo anti-tumor efficacy of the developed strategy was evaluated in male Balb/c nude mice bearing LNCaP tumors. When the tumor volume reached $90-120 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$, the mice were randomly divided into five groups ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ). In three groups, cPLANPs were intravenously administered every three days at a dose of $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, for a total of five times. At 24 h after each injection, $\left[{ }^{131} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathbf{T z}(200 \mu \mathrm{Ci}),\left[{ }^{\text {nat } I] T z}\right.$, or saline was intravenously injected via the tail vein. In another group, mice were intravenously administered with saline every three days for five times. At 24 h after each injection, $\left[{ }^{131} \mathbf{I}\right] \mathbf{T z}(200 \mu \mathrm{Ci})$ was intravenously injected via the tail vein. In the last group, mice were treated with saline alone; these animals served as the control.

During treatment, the length and width of tumors and the body weight of mice were recorded. At the end of the experiment, all animals were sacrificed, and their tumors and visceral organs were collected for additional experiments. The tumor tissues were snap-frozen in optimal cutting temperature and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The tumor tissues were sectioned into $5-\mu \mathrm{m}$ slices, stained with TUNEL reagent, and observed on a microscope (VS2000, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). In order to determine the expression of BCL-2 and caspase-3 in vivo, tumor tissues were cut into pieces and sonicated in RIPA buffer. Total protein levels were measured using the bicinchoninic acid protein assay kit (Beyotime, Shanghai, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions, then lysates were analyzed by Western blotting as described above. Brain, heart, liver, spleen, lung, and kidney samples were also fixed in $4 \%$ paraformaldehyde for 24 h , embedded into paraffin, sectioned into slices, and stained with hematoxylin-eosin to assess the safety profile of cPLANP-based, pre-targeted radiotherapy.

### 3.8 The critical aggregation concentration of PLAA

A mixture of Nile Red (final concentration, $1.0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) and PLAA (final concentration, $0.03-40 \mathrm{mM}$ ) in PBS were shaken at rt overnight. The fluorescence emission intensity of Nile Red at 525 nm ( $\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}}=485$ nm ) was measured with a FluoroMax-4 fluorometer (Horiba, Kyoto, Japan). The critical aggregation concentration of PLAA in PBS was determined at 2.1 mM as the intersection of the tangents to the two linear segments of the graph.


Figure S2. Fluorescence emission intensity of Nile red $(1.0 \mu \mathrm{M})$ at 525 nm as a function of the concentration of PSMA-targeted lipoic acid amphiphile (PLAA).

### 3.9 In vitro stability of cPLANPs

cPLANPs at different concentrations (10, 100, $200 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) were dissolved in RPMI-1640 by itself or supplemented with $10 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ FBS, and their average size was monitored by DLS at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 h .


Figure S3. In vitro stability of cross-linked, PSMA-targeted lipoic acid-based nanoparticles upon incubation in serum-free RPMI-1640 at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 3.10 In vitro cytotoxicity of cPLANPs

The cytotoxicity of cPLANPs against PC3 cells (PSMA-negative cells), LNCaP cells (PSMA-positive cells), and MSC cells was evaluated using the CCK-8 assay. LNCaP cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 supplemented with $10 \%$ FBS, while PC3 and MSC cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium containing $10 \%$ FBS. All cells were seeded into 96 -well plates at a density of $1 \times 10^{5}$ cells $/$ well and incubated for another 24 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a humidified atmosphere containing $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. The cells were then treated with various cPLANP concentrations ( $0,1,10,50,100,200,500 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) for another 24,48 ,
and 72 h . Afterward, the culture medium was removed and replaced with $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of fresh medium containing $10 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ CCK8 solution, followed by incubation for another 2 h . Absorbance at 450 nm was measured using a microplate reader (Tecan Spark, Maennedorf, Switzerland).


Figure S4. Viability of (A) PC3 cells (PSMA-negative) and (B) MSC cells (normal control) after 24-h treatment with the indicated concentrations of cPLANPs.

### 3.11 In vivo SPECT/CT imaging

LNCaP tumor-bearing mice were randomly divided into three groups. In the first group, mice received $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of cPLANPs ( $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) intravenously through the tail vein, followed 24 h later by $\left.{ }^{131} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathbf{T z}(200$ $\mu \mathrm{Ci})$. In the second group, mice were administered only with $\left[{ }^{[131} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathbf{T z}(200 \mu \mathrm{Ci})$, while in the third group, mice were first injected with PLAA, followed 24 h later by $\left[{ }^{131} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathbf{T z}(200 \mu \mathrm{Ci})$.


Figure S5. Pre-targeted single photon emission computed tomography/computed tomography imaging of mice bearing LNCaP tumors at different time points after intravenous injection of $\left[{ }^{131} \mathbf{I}\right] \mathbf{T z}(200 \mu \mathrm{Ci})$. Imaging was performed using an NM/CT 670 system (GE, USA)

### 3.12 supplementary figures S6



Figure S6. Appearance of mice bearing LNCaP tumors at different time points after the indicated treatments. cPLANPs, cross-linked, PSMA-targeted lipoic acid-based nanoparticles; $\left[{ }^{131} \mathbf{I}\right] \mathbf{T z},{ }^{131} \mathrm{I}$ labeled 1,2,4,5-tetrazine derivative.

### 3.13 Western Blot

The expression levels of BCL-2 and caspase-3 in cells were evaluated by Western Blot Assay. First, LNCaP cells treated with $0-1000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ cPLANPs for 48 h were collected and lysed with RIPA lysis buffer. The total protein concentration was measured using a BCA kit. The concentration of SDS-PAGE gel should be determined according to the size of the protein band, and the preparation method followed the instructions of the kit. After the SDS-PAGE gel was prepared, the same amount of protein was added into each well of SDS-PAGE gel, running at 90 V for 40 min , and then 120 V for another 1 h . After that, the gel was transferred to a PVDF membrane (MerckMillipore, IPVH00010), cleaned with TBST buffer 3 times, and sealed with skim milk for 1 h . Following cleaning with TBST buffer 3 times again, and incubated for 12 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with specific antibodies: rabbit polyclonal antibody to Bcl-2 (Affinity, AF6139, dilution $1 / 500$ ) and rabbit monoclonal [EPR18297] to Caspase-3 (abcam, ab184787, dilution 1/500). On the second day, the PVDF membrane was added to the secondary antibody and incubated at room temperature for 1 h . Finally, the ECL substrate was added and the protein blots were visualized on the ChemiDoc Imaging System (ChemiScope 6100, China).

### 3.14 Statistical analysis.

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 7.0 software (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA). Differences between two groups were assessed for significance using Student's $t$ test, while differences among multiple groups were assessed using analysis of variance. All data were expressed as mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD). Differences associated with $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ were considered statistically significant.

### 3.15 Raw western bot data for Figure 2D.



Figure S7. Raw western bot data for Figure 2D.

### 3.16 Raw western bot data for Figure 5C.



Figure S8. Raw western bot data for Figure 5C.
3.17 Raw western bot data for poly ADP-ribose polymerase (PARP) in LNCaP cells.


Figure S9. Representative Western blots against poly ADP-ribose polymerase (PARP) in LNCaP cells.


Figure S10. Relative expression of poly ADP-ribose polymerase (PARP) in LNCaP cells.

### 3.19 Raw western bot data for C4-2.



Figure S11. Representative Western blots against B-cell lymphoma-2 (BCL-2), caspase-3 and poly ADP-ribose polymerase (PARP) in C4-2 cells.


Figure S12. Relative expression of poly ADP-ribose polymerase (PARP) in C4-2 cells.

### 3.21 Pre-targeted fluorescence imaging in C4-2 cells.

C4-2 cells were seeded into $35-\mathrm{mm}$ glass-bottom dishes, incubated for 48 h at a density of $\sim 80 \%$, and then treated with cPLANPs $(10 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL})$ for 2 h . Afterward, the culture medium was removed, and the cells were washed three times with PBS and incubated with Tz-Cy5 $(1 \mu \mathrm{M})$ for another 1 h . The cells were finally washed briefly with PBS, and imaged with a confocal fluorescence microscope (Zeiss 880, Germany).


Figure S13. Pre-targeted fluorescence imaging of C4-2 cells treated with cPLANPs ( $10 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) and $\mathbf{T z}$ Cy5 ( $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ).
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