# **Supporting Information**

# Cyclic Secondary Amine Functionalized Perylene Diimide Polymers for Solution Processed Electrochromic Devices

Irene E. Park,<sup>a</sup> Anderson Hoff,<sup>a</sup> Catherine Beaumont,<sup>b</sup> Benjamin S. Gelfand,<sup>a</sup> Richard D. Pettipas,<sup>a</sup> and Gregory C. Welch <sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of Calgary, 2500 University Drive N.W., Calgary, Alberta, T2N 1N4, Canada, E-mail: <u>\*gregory.welch@ucalgary.ca</u>

<sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry, Université Laval, Québec, QC G1V 0A6, Canada

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.	Materials and Methods	2
2.	Synthetic Procedure	4
3.	<sup>1</sup> H NMR Spectroscopy	8
4.	<sup>13</sup> C NMR Spectroscopy1	.2
5.	2D NMR Spectroscopy1	.4
6.	MALDI-TOF Mass Spectroscopy1	.8
7.	Elemental Analysis2	20
8.	Size-Exclusion Chromatography2	22
9.	Single Crystal X-Ray Diffraction2	24
10.	Electrochemical Analysis2	25
11.	Optical Absorption and Photoluminescence Spectra	2
12.	Electrochromic Devices	0
13.	Atomic Force Microscopy Images4	2
14.	X-Ray Diffraction Images4	3
15.	References	4

## 1. Materials and Methods

**Materials:** All reagents and solvents were purchased from Millipore-Sigma and used without further purification. Toluene solvent was dried by storing over 3 Å molecular sieves and purged with N2 gas before use. **PDI-NH<sub>2</sub>**, **X1**, and **Br<sub>2</sub>-X1** were synthesized as previously reported.<sup>1-3</sup>

**Microwave Reactions:** All microwave reactions were carried out using a Biotage<sup>®</sup> Initiator + microwave reactor. The operational power range 0-400 W from magnetron at 2.45 GHz.

**Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR):** <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C and 2D NMR spectroscopy experiments were recorded using a Bruker Avance - 500 MHz, or 400 MHz spectrometer at 300 K. All experiments were performed in chloroform-d (CDCl<sub>3</sub>). Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm). Multiplicities are reported as: singlet (s), doublet (d), triplet (t), quartet (q), pentet (p), multiplet (m), doublet of doublets (dd), doublet of quartets (dq), triplet of doublets (td), quartet of doublets (qd), overlapping (ov), and broad (br).

**High-resolution Mass Spectrometry (HRMS):** High-resolution MALDI mass spectrometry measurements were performed courtesy of Johnson Li in the Chemical Instrumentation Facility at the University of Calgary. A Bruker Autoflex III Smartbeam MALDI-TOF (Na: YAG laser, 355 nm), setting in positive reflective mode, was used to acquire spectra.

**CHN Elemental Analysis:** Elemental analyses were performed by Johnson Li in the Chemical Instrumentation Facility at the University of Calgary. A Perkin Elmer 2400 Series II CHN Elemental Analyzer was used to obtain CHN data, using ~1.5 mg of sample (with particle sizes ranging between 0.2 and 0.5 mm in diameter).

**Single Crystal XRD:** SC-XRD was done by Benjamin S. Gelfand from the University of Calgary. Single crystals of  $C_{48}H_{38}Cl_3N_3O_4$ , **F1**, were grown by slow evaporation in chloroform at 298 K. A suitable crystal was selected and mounted on a glass loop using Paratone. Diffraction experiments were performed on a Bruker Smart diffractometer equipped with an Incoatec Microfocus (Cu K $\alpha$ ,  $\lambda = 1.54178$  Å) and an APEX II CCD detector. The crystal was kept at 173 K during data collection. Diffractions spots were integrated and scaled with SAINT,<sup>4</sup> and the space group was determined with XPREP.<sup>5</sup> Using Olex2,<sup>5</sup> the structure was solved with the ShelXT,<sup>6</sup> structure solution program using Intrinsic Phasing and refined with the ShelXL,<sup>7</sup> refinement package using Least Squares minimisation. CCDC #2284202

**UV-Visible Spectroscopy (UV-Vis):** Optical absorption measurements were performed using Agilent Technologies Cary 60 UV-Vis spectrometer at room temperature. All solution experiments were run in CHCl3 using 2 mm quartz cuvettes. Films were spin-cast from 40 uL of 10 mg/mL solution of compounds onto quartz glass substrate that were cleaned with acetone and isopropanol, followed by UV/ozone treatment using a Novascan UV/ozone cleaning system.

**Cyclic Voltammetry (CV):** Electrochemical measurements were performed using a CH Instruments Inc. Model 1200B Series Handheld Potentiostat. A standard 3-electrode setup was

utilized, consisting of a freshly polished glassy carbon disk working electrode (0.071 cm<sup>2</sup>), Pt-wire counter electrode, and Ag-wire pseudo-reference electrode (for solution CV) or Ag/Ag<sup>+</sup> non-aqueous reference electrode (for film CV, filled with 10 mM silver nitrate (AgNO<sub>3</sub>) and 0.1 M lithium perchlorate (LiClO<sub>4</sub>) in acetonitrile). All measurements were referenced to ferrocene (Fc+/0) as external standard. For the solution CV, 1 mM compound and 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (TBAPF6) supporting electrolyte, were prepared in anhydrous dichloromethane. For the film CV, 0.1 M LiClO<sub>4</sub> supporting electrolyte was prepared in anhydrous acetonitrile. All electrochemical solutions were sparged with dry gas (N<sub>2</sub>) for 15 minutes to deoxygenate the system prior to measurements.

**Size-exclusion Chromatography (SEC):** Size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) was done by Catherine Beaumont from the Université Laval. SEC was used to obtain the number-average (Mn) and the weight-average (Mw) of the polymers. The Tosoh EcoSEC HLC-8321GPC/HT apparatus equipped with an RI detector was used. The column consists of a TSKgel GMHhr-H(20) HT2, 20  $\mu$ m, mixed bed, 7.8 mm ID x 30 cm column and a TSKgel GMHhr-H guard column (30  $\mu$ m, 7.5 mm ID x 7.5). BHT (0.0125 % w/v) was added to 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene (TCB). This TCB mixture was used as the eluent with a flow rate of 1 mL min<sup>-1</sup> and the temperature of the system was set to 130 °C. Samples were prepared by dissolving the polymers in TCB with a concentration of 1 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>. Prior to the analysis, the samples were stirred overnight at 110 °C to ensure complete dissolution. The calibration was performed using polystyrene narrow standards dissolved in TCB.

**Device Fabrication:** Electrochromic devices (ECDs) were fabricated using a structure ITO/ polymer film/ gel electrolyte/ ITO, as shown in Figure S31. The ITO glass was cleaned via sonication with water, acetone, and isopropyl alcohol, for 15 min each, and dried with N<sub>2</sub>. The gel electrolyte was prepared by dissolving poly (methyl methacrylate) (PMMA,  $M_w \sim 120,000$ ) in a 1 M lithium perchlorate (LiClO<sub>4</sub>) in propylene carbonate (PC) solution at a ratio of 30 wt% and placed in an oven at 90 °C until a clear gel has formed.<sup>9</sup> Then, devices were assembled with two ITO/glass substrates (one with the polymer film), together with the gel electrolyte in contact. Double sided scotch tape was used as a spacer (~80 µm) between the ITO pieces. The ECDs have an active area of 3 cm<sup>2</sup> (3 cm x 1 cm). The working electrode is the ITO with the polymer film, whereas the counter electrode is the ITO glass only. External potentials of - 3.6 V and + 1.2 V were applied to the ECDs to achieve the switchable color changes by using an EC Epsilon Eclipse Potentiostat, whereas the change in absorption was measured with an Agilent Technologies Cary 60 UV-Vis spectrometer.

Atomic Force Microscopy: All AFM images were measured using a TT-2 AFM (AFM workshop) with tapping mode. The images were processed using Gwyddion. The films were spincoated on ITO glass (20 mm x 15 mm) using 100  $\mu$ L of 20 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> solution in chlorobenzene at 2000 rpm for 60 seconds. The ITO glass was cleaned via sonication with water, acetone, and isopropyl alcohol, for 15 min each, and dried with N<sub>2</sub>.

**X-Ray Diffraction:** XRD experiments were performed on a PROTO AXRD Benchtop Diffractometer using coupled  $2\theta/\theta$  scans and CuK- $\alpha$  radiation source with wavelength of 0.15418 nm. The X9 and F9 films were spin-coated on glass substrates (20 mm x 15 mm) using 100 µL of 20 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> solution in chlorobenzene at 2000 rpm for 60 seconds.

### 2. Synthetic Procedure



### Synthesis of F1

To a 150 mL pressure vial equipped with a stir bar, **PDI-NH**<sub>2</sub>(332 mg, 0.608 mmol, 1.0 eq.), fluoren-9-one (149 mg, 0.827 mmol, 1.4 eq.), *p*-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (350 mg, 1.840 mmol, 3.0 eq.) and 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene (9 mL) were added. The reaction mixture was purged with N<sub>2</sub> for 10 minutes and capped under N<sub>2</sub> before being placed on heat at 185 °C for 6 hours. The reaction mixture turned from purple to dark blue to green. Then, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, before being transferred to a separatory funnel, which was diluted with dichloromethane (DCM), then washed with water (3 x 50 mL), then once with brine. Collected organic phase was dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, then the solvent was removed *in vacuo*. The resulting crude solid was subjected to silica column chromatography using toluene (1% ethyl acetate) as eluent to collect green band. Then, solvent was removed *in vacuo*. The resulting solid was slurried in water:methanol (1:1, v:v) and the solid flakes were collected *via* vacuum filtration to obtain pure **F1** as a dark green solid (287.8 mg, 67%).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.60 – 8.56 (ov, 2H, H<sub>A</sub> and H<sub>B</sub>), 8.54 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H, H<sub>C</sub>), 8.42 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, H<sub>D</sub>), 7.88 (s, 1H, H<sub>E</sub>), 7.78 (dd, J = 7.7, 0.9 Hz, 2H, H<sub>F</sub>), 7.63 (dd, J = 7.6, 0.9 Hz, 2H, H<sub>G</sub>), 7.50 – 7.43 (ov, 3H, H<sub>H</sub> and H<sub>I</sub>), 7.28 (td, J = 7.5, 1.1 Hz, 2H, H<sub>J</sub>), 5.17 (s, 1H, H<sub>K</sub>), 4.99 (s, 2H, H<sub>L</sub>), 2.28 – 2.06 (m, 4H, H<sub>M</sub>), 1.85 (m, 4H, H<sub>M</sub>), 0.88 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 6H, H<sub>N</sub>), 0.81 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 6H, H<sub>N</sub>).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 154.5, 142.9, 137.3, 136.0, 132.4, 130.5, 130.4 (1), 129.9, 129.4, 129.2 (2), 127.3 (3) 126.6, 125.9, 125.7 (4), 123.9 (5), 123.5, 121.9 (6), 120.9 (7), 111.7, 69.7, 60.5, 57.8 (8), 57.5 (8), 25.2 (9), 25.1 (9), 21.2, 14.4, 11.5 (10), 11.4 (10).

MALDI-TOF Mass Spectrometry: Calculated (M-2H)+H<sup>+</sup> for  $C_{47}H_{37}N_3O_4$ : 706.2700; detected (M-2H)+H<sup>+</sup>: 706.2695.

CHN Elemental Analysis: Theoretical (%) C: 79.75, H: 5.27, N: 5.94; Found (%) C: 79.69, H: 5.29, N: 5.79.



### Synthesis of Br2-F1

To a 20 mL pressure vial equipped with a stir bar, F1 (194 mg, 0.274 mmol, 1.0 eq.) and 15 mL of dichloromethane (DCM) were added. To this mixture was added liquid bromine (0.3 mL, 5.482 mmol, 20 eq.). The pressure vial was capped and stirred at 40 °C for 16 hours. Then, excess bromine in the reaction mixture was fully quenched with saturated Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> aqueous solution. The reaction mixture was transferred into a 250 mL separatory funnel and diluted with DCM. The organic phase was washed with water twice and once with brine. The collected organic phase was dried with anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, then gravity filtered. The solvent was removed *in vacuo*. The resulting crude product was redissolved in DCM and wet loaded and subjected to silica gel column chromatography using DCM (20% hexane) as eluent. The second green-band fraction was collected, and the solvent was removed *in vacuo*. The resulting solid was slurried in methanol and subjected to vacuum filtration to obtain pure **Br2-F1** as a black solid (206 mg, 87%).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3)  $\delta$  9.91 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, H<sub>A</sub>), 8.88 (s, 1H, H<sub>B</sub>), 8.54 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, H<sub>C</sub>), 7.80 (dt, J = 7.6, 0.9 Hz, 2H, H<sub>D</sub>), 7.54 (dt, J = 7.6, 0.9 Hz, 2H, H<sub>E</sub>), 7.50 (s, 1H, H<sub>F</sub>) 7.50 – 7.47 (dt, J = 7.6, 1.1 Hz, 2H, H<sub>G</sub>), 7.28 (dt, J = 7.6, 1.1 Hz, 2H, H<sub>H</sub>), 6.22 (s, 1H, H<sub>I</sub>), 5.11 – 5.02 (m, 1H, H<sub>J</sub>), 4.89 (m, 1H, H<sub>J</sub>), 2.24 (m, 2H, H<sub>K</sub>), 2.09 (m, 2H, H<sub>K</sub>), 1.95 (m, 2H, H<sub>K</sub>), 1.81 (m, 2H, H<sub>K</sub>), 0.93 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 6H, H<sub>L</sub>), 0.80 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 6H, H<sub>L</sub>).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 154.1, 141.1, 139.2 (1) 137.4, 134.5, 131.6, 131.5, 130.6 (2), 129.3 (3), 128.8 (4), 128.6, 128.2, 127.9, 127.1 (5), 126.1, 125.7 (6), 123.9, 121.0 (7), 119.6, 111.9, 77.4, 69.7, 58.4 (8), 57.8 (8), 25.1 (9), 25.0 (9), 11.5 (10), 11.4 (10).

MALDI-TOF Mass Spectrometry: Calculated (M-2H)+H<sup>+</sup> for  $C_{47}H_{35}Br_2N_3O_4$ : 862.0911; detected (M-2H)+H<sup>+</sup>: 862.0938.

CHN Elemental Analysis: Theoretical (%) C: 65.22, H: 4.08, N: 4.85; Found (%) C: 65.51, H: 4.10, N: 4.70.



Synthesis of X9 (Microwave Assisted)

In a 0.2-0.5 mL microwave vial equipped with a stir-bar, Br2-X1 (102 mg, 0.12 mmol, 1.0 eq.) was added. Under a nitrogen atmosphere, 2,5-bis(trimethylstannyl)thiophene (57.8 mg, 0.12 mmol, 1.0 eq.) and Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (2.9 mg, 0.002 mmol, 0.02 eq.) were added, then sealed with a Teflon<sup>®</sup> cap. Then, dry toluene (3 mL) was added with a syringe under nitrogen. The polymerization reaction mixture was subjected to multistep heating condition at 80 °C (10 minutes), 110 °C (10 minutes), 140 °C (3 hours), and at 150 °C (1 hour) in a microwave reactor. After the reaction mixture was cooled down, the polymer was end-capped by adding 2tributylstannylthiophene (0.060 mmol) via syringe, and the mixture was further subjected to microwave reaction at 80 °C (5 minutes), 110 °C (5 minutes), and at 140 °C (15 minutes). The reaction mixture was again cooled down, then 2-bromothiophene (0.120 mmol) was added via syringe, and was subjected to microwave reaction at 80 °C (5 minutes), 110 °C (5 minutes), and at 140 °C (15 minutes). After the reaction, the reaction mixture was precipitated out by pouring into the methanol. The resulting precipitate was subjected to Soxhlet extraction for purification using methanol, acetone, hexane, and chloroform, sequentially. The extracted **X9** solution in chloroform was reduced in volume upto  $\sim 5 \text{ mL}$  in vacuo. which was precipitated into methanol. The resulting precipitate was subjected to vacuum filtration to obtain X9 as a black solid (87.6 mg, 83%).  $M_n$  = 21.4 kDa;  $M_W$  = 62.3 kDa; PDI = 2.92

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.69 - 8.66 (m, 1H, H<sub>A</sub>), 8.44 - 8.42 (m,1H, H<sub>B</sub>), 8.21 - 8.19 (m, 1H, H<sub>C</sub>), 7.98 (s, 1H, H<sub>D</sub>), 7.38 - 7.35 (m, 6H, H<sub>E</sub>), 7.33 - 7.32 (m, 2H, H<sub>F</sub>), 7.31 - 7.30 (m, 1H, H<sub>G</sub>), 7.23 - 7.22 (m, 4H, H<sub>H</sub>), 6.99 - 6.96 (m, 1H, H<sub>I</sub>), 5.92 - 5.89 (m, 1H, H<sub>J</sub>), 5.02 - 4.89 (m, 2H, H<sub>K</sub>), 2.21 - 1.82 (m, 8H, H<sub>L</sub>), 0.92 - 0.85 (m, 12H, H<sub>M</sub>).



Synthesis of F9 (Microwave Assisted)

In a 0.2-0.5 mL microwave vial equipped with a stir-bar, Br2-F1 (104 mg, 0.120 mmol, 1.0 eq.) was added. Under a nitrogen atmosphere, 2,5-bis(trimethylstannyl)thiophene (59.1 mg, 0.120 mmol, 1.0 eq.) and Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (2.8 mg, 0.002 mmol, 0.02 eq.) were added, then sealed with a Teflon<sup>®</sup> cap. Then, dry toluene (3 mL) was added with a syringe under nitrogen. The polymerization reaction mixture was subjected to multistep heating condition at 80 °C (10 minutes), 110 °C (10 minutes), 140 °C (3 hours), and at 150 °C (1 hour) in a microwave reactor. After the reaction mixture was cooled down, the polymer was end-capped by adding 2tributylstannylthiophene (0.060 mmol) via syringe, and the mixture was further subjected to microwave reaction at 80 °C (5 minutes), 110 °C (5 minutes), and at 140 °C (15 minutes). The reaction mixture was again cooled down, then 2-bromothiophene (0.120 mmol) was added via syringe, and was subjected to microwave reaction at 80 °C (5 minutes), 110 °C (5 minutes), and at 140 °C (15 minutes). After the reaction, the reaction mixture was precipitated out by pouring into the methanol. The resulting precipitate was subjected to Soxhlet extraction for purification using methanol, acetone, hexane, and chloroform, sequentially. The extracted F9 solution in chloroform was reduced in volume upto  $\sim 5$  mL *in vacuo*. which was precipitated into methanol. The resulting precipitate was subjected to vacuum filtration to obtain F9 as a green solid (98.7 mg, 91%).  $M_n$  = 29.7 kDa;  $M_W$  = 122.6 kDa; PDI = 4.59

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.63, 8.52 (s, 1H, H<sub>A</sub>), 8.46 - 8.33 (m, 1H, H<sub>B</sub>), 8.24 - 8.10 (m, 1H, H<sub>C</sub>), 7.79-7.74 (m, 2H, H<sub>D</sub>), 7.67 - 7.56 (m, 2H, H<sub>E</sub>), 7.49 - 7.40 (ov, 2H, H<sub>F</sub> and H<sub>G</sub>), 7.33 - 7.18 (ov, 4H, H<sub>H</sub> and H<sub>I</sub>), 7.13 - 6.99 (m, 1H, H<sub>I</sub>), 6.80 - 6.65 (m, 1H, H<sub>I</sub>), 5.27 - 4.48 (m, 8H, H<sub>L</sub>), 0.95 - 0.78 (m, 12H, H<sub>M</sub>).

# 3. <sup>1</sup>H NMR Spectroscopy



Figure S1. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of F1 (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)



Figure S2. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of Br2-F1 (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>).



Figure S3. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of X9 (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>).



Figure S4. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of F9 in (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>).

# 4. <sup>13</sup>C NMR Spectroscopy



Figure S5. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of F1 (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)



Figure S6. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of Br2-F1 (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)

# 5. 2D NMR Spectroscopy



Figure S7. <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H correlation spectroscopy (COSY) spectrum of F1 (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>).



Figure S8. <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H correlation spectroscopy (COSY) spectrum of Br2-F1 (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>).



**Figure S9.** <sup>13</sup>C-<sup>1</sup>H heteronuclear single quantum coherence (HSQC) spectrum of **F1** (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>).



**Figure S10.** <sup>13</sup>C-<sup>1</sup>H heteronuclear single quantum coherence (HSQC) spectrum of **Br2-F1** (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>).



6. MALDI-TOF Mass Spectroscopy

Figure S11. MALDI mass spectrometry of F1: Calculated (M-2H)+H<sup>+</sup> for  $C_{47}H_{37}N_3O_4$ : 706.2700; detected (M-2H)+H<sup>+</sup>: 706.2695.



Figure S12. MALDI mass spectrometry of  $Br_2$ -F1: Calculated (M-2H)+H<sup>+</sup> for  $C_{47}H_{35}Br_2N_3O_4$ : 862.0911; detected (M-2H)+H<sup>+</sup>: 862.0938.

# 7. Elemental Analysis

University o Department	f Calgary of Chemistr	y EA Date:	6-1-2022	
Name:	IRENE	Group: GW		
Sample:	IP271-2	Weight (mg):	2.139	
%C (Actual):	79.69	%C (Theorectical):	79.75	
%H (Actual):	5.29	%H (Theoretical):	5.27	
%N (Actual):	5.79	%N (Theoretical):	5.94	F1
%S (Actual):	0.07	%S (Theoretical):		Chemical Formula: C <sub>47</sub> H <sub>37</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> Exact Mass: 707.28 Elemental Analysis: C, 79.75: H, 5.27: N, 5.94: O

**Figure S13.** CHN elemental analysis **F1**. Theoretical (%) C: 79.75, H: 5.27, N: 5.94; Found (%) C: 79.69, H: 5.29, N: 5.79.

University Departmer	of Calgant of Ch	ary iemistry	EA	Date:	1-25-20	23	
Name:	IRENE		Group:	GW			
Sample:	IP316-2		Weight (m	g):	1.566		Br
%C (Actual):		65.51	%C (Theore	ectical):	6	5.22	Br
%H (Actual):		4.10	%H (Theore	etical):		4.08	
%N (Actual):		4.70	%N (Theore	etical):		4.85	Br2-F1
%S (Actual):		0.00	%S (Theore	tical):			Exact Mass: 863.10 Elemental Analysis: C, 65.22; H, 4.08; Br, 18.46; N, 4.85; O, 7.39

**Figure S14.** CHN elemental analysis **Br<sub>2</sub>-F1**. Theoretical (%) C: 65.22, H: 4.08, N: 4.85; Found (%) C: 65.51, H: 4.10, N: 4.70.

### 8. Size-Exclusion Chromatography



#### Chromatogram report

#### Chromatogram report

Header				
Title	Э		Data acquisition date and time	2023-06-20 10:06:25
Sar	nple name	F9	Calculation date and time	2023-06-20 10:28:23
Dat nan	abase ne	Catherine	Acquisition time [min]	0.000 - 25.000
Dat Met	a name thod name	RSLT0002 TCB JUIN 2023	Sampling interval [ms] Cup number	100 2
Cha	annel	RI	Calculation type	– Molecular Mass



## Figure S16. GPC traces of F9

#### Molecular mass calculation result (RI)

Peak 1 Base Peak

	[min]	[mV]	[mol]	Mn	26,734
Peak start	8.890	-28.692	3,239,906	Mw	122,634
Peak top	10.533	21.159	88,609	Mz	365,031
Peak end	12.908	-31.145	1,156	Mz+1	816,935
				Μv	122,634
Height [mV]			50.854	Мр	82,453
Area [mV*s]			4830.308	Mz/Mw	2.977
Area% [%]			100.000	Mw/Mn	4.587
[eta]			122633.70073	Mz+1/Mw	6.662

100.000

122633.70073

Mw/Mn

Mz+1/Mw

#### Molecular mass calculation result (RI)

[eta]

Total [min] [mV] Mn [mol] 3,239,906 Peak start 8.890 -28.692 Mw Peak top 10.533 21.159 88,609 Mz . Peak end 12.908 -31.145 1,156 Mz+1 Μv Height [mV] 50.854 Мр Area [mV\*s] 4830.308 Mz/Mw Area% [%]

26,734

122,634

365,031

816,935

122,634

82,453

2.977

4.587

6.662

# 9. Single Crystal X-Ray Diffraction

Identification code	F1
Empirical formula	C <sub>48</sub> H <sub>38</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>
Formula weight	827.16
Temperature/K	173.0
Crystal system	monoclinic
Space group	P2 <sub>1</sub> /n
a/Å	12.1058(3)
b/Å	17.1587(4)
c/Å	20.0948(5)
α/°	90
β/°	106.5645(11)
γ/°	90
Volume/Å <sup>3</sup>	4000.86(17)
Z	4
$\rho_{calc}g/cm^3$	1.373
μ/mm <sup>-1</sup>	2.479
F(000)	1720.0
Crystal size/mm <sup>3</sup>	$0.466 \times 0.168 \times 0.134$
Radiation	$CuK\alpha \ (\lambda = 1.54178)$
2Θ range for data collection/°	6.9 to 136.796
Index ranges	$-13 \le h \le 14, -19 \le k \le 17, -24 \le l \le 24$
Reflections collected	33525
Independent reflections	7181 [ $R_{int} = 0.0295, R_{sigma} = 0.0235$ ]
Data/restraints/parameters	7181/138/564
Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup>	1.035
Final R indexes [I>=2 $\sigma$ (I)]	$R_1 = 0.0541, wR_2 = 0.1513$
Final R indexes [all data]	$R_1 = 0.0628, wR_2 = 0.1621$
Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å <sup>-3</sup>	0.72/-0.56

 Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement for F1. CCDC #2284202



Figure S17. (Top) Cyclic Voltammogram of F1 (Bottom) Differential pulse voltammogram of F1. Measured in  $CH_2Cl_2$ , recorded at 100 mV/s. Fc/Fc<sup>+</sup> couple shown (Fc: ferrocene).



**Figure S18.** (Top) Cyclic Voltammogram of **X9** (Bottom) Differential pulse voltammogram of **X9**. Measured in  $CH_2Cl_2$ , recorded at 100 mV/s. Fc/Fc<sup>+</sup> couple shown (Fc: ferrocene).



Figure S19. (Top) Cyclic Voltammogram of F9 (Bottom) Differential pulse voltammogram of F9. Measured in  $CH_2Cl_2$ , recorded at 100 mV/s. Fc/Fc<sup>+</sup> couple shown (Fc: ferrocene).



**Figure S20.** (Top) Cyclic voltammogram of electrochemically conditioned (10 initial cycles at 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup>) **X9** film at different scan rates of 10, 25, 50, 75, 100, 150, 200, 300 and 500 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. The film CV measurement was done in the supporting electrolyte (0.1 M LiClO4 in ACN), referenced to the Fc/Fc+ couple (Fc: ferrocene). (Bottom) Peak current density as a function of square root of scan rates.



**Figure S21.** (Top) Cyclic voltammogram of electrochemically conditioned (10 initial cycles at 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup>) **F9** film at different scan rates of 10, 25, 50, 75, 100, 150, 200, 300 and 500 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. The film CV measurement was done in the supporting electrolyte (0.1 M LiClO4 in ACN), referenced to the Fc/Fc+ couple (Fc: ferrocene). (Bottom) Peak current density as a function of square root of scan rates.



**Figure S22.** Cyclic voltammogram of a full redox cycle of **X9** film (not electrochemically conditioned). The film CV measurement was done in the supporting electrolyte (0.1 M LiClO4 in ACN), referenced to the Fc/Fc+ couple (Fc: ferrocene), at a scan rate of 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. The reduction onset and oxidation onsets are -0.76 V and 0.63 V, respectively.



Figure S23. Cyclic voltammogram of a full redox cycle of F9 film (not electrochemically conditioned). The film CV measurement was done in the supporting electrolyte (0.1 M LiClO4 in ACN), referenced to the Fc/Fc+ couple (Fc: ferrocene), at a scan rate of 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. The reduction onset and oxidation onsets are -0.79 V and 0.61 V, respectively.





Figure S24. Absorbance calibration curve of F1 in CHCl<sub>3</sub> ( $\epsilon_{671} = 25,900 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$ ).



Figure S25. Absorbance calibration curve of Br2-F1 in CHCl<sub>3</sub> ( $\epsilon_{661} = 24,397 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$ ).



**Figure S26.** Comparison of the normalized optical absorption spectra of F1 solution (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) and film (spin-casted from 40 uL of 10 mg/mL in CHCl<sub>3</sub> at 1000 rpm for 60 seconds).



**Figure S27.** Comparison of the normalized optical absorption spectra of **Br2-F1** solution (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) and film (spin-casted from 40 uL of 10 mg/mL in CHCl<sub>3</sub> at 1000 rpm for 60 seconds).



**Figure S28.** Comparison of the normalized optical absorption spectra of **X9** solution (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) and film (spin-casted from 40 uL of 10 mg/mL in CHCl<sub>3</sub> at 1000 rpm for 60 seconds).



**Figure S29.** Comparison of the normalized optical absorption spectra of **F9** solution (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) and film (spin-casted from 40 uL of 10 mg/mL in CHCl<sub>3</sub> at 1000 rpm for 60 seconds).



Figure S30. Normalized optical absorption spectra of X9 based ECD before and after the electrochemical conditioning (10 cycles).



Figure S31. Normalized optical absorption spectra of F9 based ECD before and after the electrochemical conditioning (10 cycles).

# **12. Electrochromic Devices**



Figure S32. Schematic structure of the ECD fabricated with X9 or F9 polymer film as electrochromic active film.



Figure S33. X1 and F1 spin-casted films (10 mg/mL in chloroform) showing no solvent resistance to the gel electrolyte used (LiClO<sub>4</sub>-PC-PMMA).

## 13. Atomic Force Microscopy Images



**Figure S34.** AFM images of **a**) ITO glass substrate as a reference **b**) **X9** film spin coated onto ITO glass substrate and **c**) **F9** film spin coated onto ITO glass substrate. The films were spin-coated on ITO glass (20 mm x 15 mm) using 100  $\mu$ L of 20 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> solution in chlorobenzene at 2000 rpm for 60 seconds.

## 14. X-Ray Diffraction Images



**Figure S35.** XRD images of **X9** and **F9** films spin coated onto glass substrates. The films were spin-coated on glass substrate (20 mm x 15 mm) using 100  $\mu$ L of 20 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> solution in chlorobenzene at 2000 rpm for 60 seconds.

### **15. References**

- 1. H.-Y. Tsai, K.-Y. Chen, *Dyes Pigm.* **2013**, *96*, 319–327.
- 2. R. D. Pettipas, A. Hoff, B. S. Gelfand, G. C. Welch, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2022, 14, 3103–3110
- 3. I. E. Park, S. Brixi, M. Martell, M. U. Ocheje, R. D. Pettipas, D. H. Harris, B. S. Gelfand, S. Rondeau Gagné, B. H. Lessard, G. C. Welch, *J. Mater. Chem. C* **2021**, *9*, 13630–13634.
- 4. Bruker-AXS. SAINT; Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 2017.
- 5. Bruker-AXS. XPREP; Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 2017.
- 6. O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard, H. Puschmann, J. Appl. Cryst. 2009, 42, 339-341.
- 7. G.M. Sheldrick, Acta Cryst. **2015**, *A71*, 3-8.
- 8. G.M. Sheldrick, Acta Cryst. **2015**, *C71*, 3-8.
- 9. Q. Liu, G. Dong, Y. Xiao, M.-P. Delplancke-Ogletree, F. Reniers, X. Diao, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* **2016**, *157*, 844–852.