Supplementary Information

Performance evaluation lithium metal rechargeable batteries with lithium excess cation-disordered rocksalt based positive electrode under high mass loading and lean electrolyte condition

Jittraporn Saengkaew^a, Emiko Mizuki^a, Shoichi Matsuda^{a,b,c*}

^a Research Center for Energy and Environmental Materials (GREEN), National Institute for Material Science (NIMS), 1-1 Namiki, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0044, Japan

^b Center for Advanced Battery Collaboration, Center for Green Research on Energy and Environmental Materials, National Institute for Materials Science (NIMS), 1-1 Namiki, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0044, Japan

 ^c NIMS-SoftBank Advanced Technologies Development Center, National Institute for Materials Science, 1-1 Namiki, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0044, Japan

	thickness	porosity	density
	mm	%	mg/cm ²
Aluminum foil	0.01	0	2.67
Cupper foil	0.002	0	1.80
Glass fiber separator	0.26	91	5.12
Polyorefin separator	0.02	45	1.08

 Table S1. Parameters of the employed LMBs.

Table S2. Energy density simulation of LMB equipped with positive electrode material withcapacity of 300 mAh/g and average discharge voltage of 3.1 V.

Active material	10	10	30	mg/cm ²
Active material ratio	0.7	0.7	0.95	
Al foil	2.67	2.67	2.67	mg/cm ²
Conductive additives and binder	3	3	1.5	mg/cm ²
Separator	5.12	1.08	1.08	mg/cm ²
Electrolyte in positive electrode	1.67	1.67	5	mg/cm ²
Electrolyte in separator	24	0.9	0.9	mg/cm ²
Lithium	2.67	2.67	2.67	mg/cm ²
Cu foil	1.8	1.8	1.8	mg/cm ²
Total mass	50.93	23.79	45.62	mg/cm ²
Areal capacity	2.1	2.1	8.55	mAh/cm ²
Average discharge voltage	3.1	3.1	3.1	V
Energy density	127.8	273.6	580.9	Wh/kg
E/C	12.2	1.2	0.7	g/Ah

Table S2. Energy density simulation of LMB equipped with positive electrode material withcapacity of 200 mAh/g and average discharge voltage of 3.7 V.

Active material	10	10	30	mg/cm ²
Active material ratio	0.7	0.7	0.95	
Al foil	2.67	2.67	2.67	mg/cm ²
Conductive additives and binder	3	3	1.5	mg/cm ²
Separator	5.12	1.08	1.08	mg/cm ²
Electrolyte in positive electrode	1.67	1.67	5	mg/cm ²
Electrolyte in separator	24	0.9	0.9	mg/cm ²
Lithium	2.67	2.67	2.67	mg/cm ²
Cu foil	1.8	1.8	1.8	mg/cm ²
Total mass	50.93	23.79	45.62	mg/cm ²
Areal capacity	1.4	1.4	5.7	mAh/cm ²
Average discharge voltage	3.7	3.7	3.7	V
Energy density	101.7	217.7	462.3	Wh/kg
E/C	18.3	1.83	1.03	g/Ah



Figure S4. XRD profiles of Li₂RuO₃/Li₂SO₄ samples.



Figure S5. Particle size distribution of (a) pristine Li₂RuO₃ and (b-g) Li₂RuO₃/Li₂SO₄ samples.



Figure S6. Cross sectional SEM images of Li_2RuO_3/Li_2SO_4 electrodes with x = 0.2.

		Cell A	Cell B	
Aluminum foil	Mass loading	2.7	2.7	mg/cm ²
	Thickness	10	10	μm
Positive Electrode	Mass loading	20	30	mg/cm ²
Electrolyte	Composition	1M LiFSI	4M LiFSI	
		in sulfolane	In DME	
	Mass loading	15	10	mg/cm ²
PO separator	Mass loading	1.08	1.08	mg/cm ²
	Thickness	20	20	μm
Ceramic separator	Mass loading	29.3		mg/cm ²
	Thickness	90		μm
PO separator	Mass loading	1.08		mg/cm ²
	Thickness	20		μm
Electrolyte	Composition	4M LiFSI		
		In DME		
	Mass loading	2.5		mg/cm ²
Lithium foil	Mass loading	2.67	2.67	mg/cm ²
	Thickness	100	20	μm
Copper foil	Mass loading	10.8	1.8	mg/cm ²
	Thickness	12	2	μm
Total Weight		85.1	48.2	mg/cm ²
Average Discharge Voltage		3.15	3.12	V
Areal Capacity		5.92	7.77	mAh/cm ²
E/C		2.95	1.28	g/Ah
Energy density		219.0	502.3	Wh/kg

Table S7. Technological parameters for cell A and B.



Figure S8. SEM images of Li_2RuO_3/Li_2SO_4 electrodes with x = 0.2 (a) before and after 40th cycle taken out from (b) cell A and (c) cell B. Scale bar is 1 μ m.



Figure S9. XRD profiles of Li_2RuO_3/Li_2SO_4 electrodes before and after 40th cycle