Supplementary Information (SI) for Analyst. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2025

Supplementary information:

A Non-Enzymatic Highly Stable Electrochemical Sensing Platform Based on Allylamine Capped Copper Nanoparticles for the Detection of Soil Nitrate Content

Bimalendu Mukherjee^{1,2}, Mukti Mandal¹, Raghavv Raghavender Suresh¹, Shantanu Kar⁴, Binaya Kumar Parida⁴, Somsubhra Chakraborty³, and Gorachand Dutta^{1, *}

¹NanoBiosensors and Biodevices Lab, School of Medical Sciences and Technology, Indian

Institute of Technology, Kharagpur 721302, West Bengal, India

²School of Nano Science and Technology, Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur 721302, West

Bengal, India

³Agricultural and Food Engineering Department, Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur

721302, West Bengal, India

⁴Coromandel International Limited, Coromandel House, Secunderabad, Telangana 500003, India

*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: g.dutta@smst.iitkgp.ac.in

S1. Preparation of electrodeposited copper nanoparticles (eCuNPs) and uncapped copper

nanoparticles (uCuNPs)

For electrodeposition of copper, 10 mM of $CuSO_4$ in 0.1 M H_2SO_4 medium was used as the electrolytic medium. The glassy carbon electrode (GCE) was used as the working electrode which was modified with 3 µL of Exf-CNT prior to its use for electrodeposition. Followed by 10 minutes of N_2 gas purging in the $CuSO_4$ medium, the 3 conventional electrode set up was dipped into the N_2 purged 10 mL of 10 mM $CuSO_4$ in 0.1 M H_2SO_4 solution for the electrodeposition process. The chronoamperometry technique was used for 400 s with -1.0 V of initial potential input. A uniform reddish-brown coloured copper nanoparticle was observed over the surface of the electrode.

For wet chemical synthesis of uncapped copper nanoparticles, $CuSO_4$ (2 g) was taken in a 50 ml conical flask and 20 ml of deionized water type 1 (DI type 1) was added to it at 600 rpm. Glycerine (2 ml) was added using an addition funnel and stirred for 3 minutes. Finally, hydrazine (4 ml) was added dropwise, keeping the temperature and stirring for 30 min more, then kept for 30 mins at room temperature to cool it down. After this treatment, the nanoparticles were recovered by centrifugation at 12,000 rpm and washed two times with ethanol and DI type 1 water. The supernatant was finally discarded and a residue nanoparticle was kept at hot air oven for 2 hours maintaining 60 degrees Celsius. The dried nanoparticles obtained as a black colour powder and labelled as uCuNPs.



Scheme S1. Pictorial representation of step-wise wet chemical synthesis of allylamine capped copper nanoparticles (Alym@CuNPs) and its purification steps.

Table S1. Real sample analysis and % recovery calculation by comparison with Ion chromatography technique

<i>NO</i> ⁻ ₃ ion content obtained from Ion chromatogrphy	<i>NO</i> ³ ion content from Ion chromatography converted to mM (Soil helath: L/G/H/V)	<i>NO</i> ⁻³ / ₃ ion content obtained from proposed sesnor data (Soil helath: L/G/H/V)	% Recovery
509.80 ppm	8.21 mM (H)	7.71 mM (H)	94.0%
21.54 ppm	1.73 mM (G)	1.54 mM (G)	92.0%
	<i>NO</i> ⁻³ ion content obtained from Ion chromatogrphy 509.80 ppm 21.54 ppm	NO 3ion contentobtained from Ion chromatogrphyNO 3ion content from Ion chromatography converted to mM (Soil helath: L/G/H/V)509.80 ppm8.21 mM (H)21.54 ppm1.73 mM (G)	NO 3ion contentNO 3ion contentNO 3obtained from Ion chromatogrphyfrom Ion chromatography converted to mM (Soil helath: L/G/H/V)NO 3ion content obtained from proposed sesnor data (Soil helath: L/G/H/V)509.80 ppm8.21 mM (H)7.71 mM (H)21.54 ppm1.73 mM (G)1.54 mM (G)

Detection Mechanism :-Reduction: $NO_3^- + 10H^+ + 8e^- \longrightarrow NH_4^+ + 3H_2O$ Oxidation: $6H_2O \longrightarrow 2O_2 + 10H^+ + 8e^- + 20H^-$ Overall: $NO_3^- + 3H_2O \longrightarrow NH_4^+ + 2O_2 + 2 OH^-$



Scheme S2. Schematic diagram showing the fabrication of a non-enzymatic NO_3^- ion sensor using a Alym@CuNPs-NF/Exf-CNT/GCE arrangement and its detection mechanism in acidic environment (pH=2)





Fig. S2. XRD spectra of (a) uCuNPs (b) ITO/eCuNPs



Fig. S3. (a) Cathodic peak current vs Scan rate^{0.5} of 500 μ M ^{NO₃⁻} ion on Alym@CuNPs-NF/Exf-CNT/GCE in 0.1 M Na₂SO₄ (pH=2.0) (b) Calibration plot of change in cathodic peak current vs ^{NO₃⁻} ion concentration in μ M (linearity in high concentration range from 1000 μ M to 6000 μ M in 0.1M Na₂SO₄ (pH=2)



Fig. S4. (a) Concentration optimisation of Alym@CuNPs obtained from DPV study on Alym@CuNPs-NF/Exf-CNT/GCE in the presence of 80 μ M NO₃⁻ ion (b) Reproducibility study obtained from DPV for Alym@CuNPs-NF/Exf-CNT/GCE in presence of 200 μ M NO₃⁻ ion



Soil sample	50 μM ^{NO 3} Spike % recovery	100 μM ^{NO 3} Spike % recovery
S-1	90.8%	87.5%
S-2	96.7%	86.3%
S-3	89.1%	88.7%
S-4	96.1%	88.4%
S-5	90.6%	86.8%

Fig. S5. (a) Repeatability study obtained from DPV for Alym@CuNPs-NF/Exf-CNT/GCE electrode in presence of 1 mM $^{NO_{3}^{-}}$ ion (b) Spiking studies with % recovery for 50 μ M and 100 μ M $^{NO_{3}^{-}}$ ion in 10 times diluted 1:5 extracted soil sample (overall 50 times dilution)



Fig. S6. (a) Peak potential (V) vs log (scan rate) obtained from CVs of scan rates ranging from 200 mVs⁻¹ to 800 mVs⁻¹ for 500 μ M^{NO_{3}^{-1}} on Alym@CuNPs-NF/Exf-CNT/GCE (b) Current vs scan rate plot obtained from CVs of non Faradic region between -0.1 V to -0.25V on Alym@CuNPs-NF/Exf-CNT/GCE



Fig. S7. (a) Calibration plot obtained from UV-VIS data of range of standard concentration of NO_{3}^{-1} ion (b) Table representing NO_{3}^{-1} ion concentration in ppm obtained from UV-VIS data for different soil samples

S2. Electrocatalytic performance of eCuNPs and uCuNPs modified electrodes towards reduction of NO_{3}^{-1} ion

S2.1 For NF/eCuNPs/Exf-CNT/GCE

Concentration studies were done on NF/eCuNPs/Exf-CNT/GCE (Fig. S8a) ; 10 μ M to 1000 μ M of NO_{3}^{-} ion was added gradually in the 0.1 M Na₂SO₄ (pH=2) electrolytic medium and a delta current (μ A) versus concentration of NO_{3}^{-} (μ M) graph was plotted to obtain the calibration curve. The calibration curve was found to be linear within the range of concentrations of 10 μ M to 1000 μ M, with a R² value of 0.989, as depicted in Fig. S8b. The limit of detection (LOD) was calculated to be 13.2

 μ M which was obtained from 3.3 (SD_B / S), where SD_B is the standard deviation of current value of three blank data (absence of NO_{3}^{-1} ion) and S is the slope of the calibration curve.



Fig. S8. (a) DPV study of NO_3^- ion concentration studies from 10 μ M to 1000 μ M on NF/eCuNPs/Exf-CNT/GCE in 0.1 M Na₂SO₄ (pH=2) (b) Calibration plot of change in cathodic peak current vs NO_3^- ion concentration in μ M on NF/eCuNPS/Exf-CNT/GCE in 0.1 M Na₂SO₄ (pH=2)

S2.2 For uCuNPs-NF/Exf-CNT/GCE

 NO_{3}^{-1} ion concentration studies were also done on uCuNPs-NF/Exf-CNT/GCE, for a range of 50 μ M to 1000 μ M concentration. But generation of multiple peaks were observed between -0.35 V and -0.6 V due to which it becomes quite complex to interpret the correct peak position, so further calibration studies were not done for uCuNPs modified electrode.



Fig. S9. DPV study of $^{NO_{3}}$ ion concentration studies from 50 μ M to 1000 μ M on uCuNPs-NF/Exf-CNT/GCE in 0.1 M Na₂SO₄ (pH=2)



Fig. S10. DPV comparison study of 500 μ M ^{NO₃⁻} ion on three different sets of modified electrodes in 0.1 M Na₂SO₄ (pH=2) ; (a) NF-eCuNPs/Exf-CNT/GCE, (b) uCuNPs-NF/Exf-CNT/GCE, (c) Alym@CuNPs-NF/Exf-CNT/GCE



Fig. S11. CV comparison study of 500 μ M ^{NO₃⁻} ion concentration on different modified electrodes in 0.1 M Na₂SO₄ (pH=2)



Fig. S12. Storage stability obtained from DPV study for (a) uCuNPs-NF/Exf-CNT/GCE (b) NF/eCuNPs/Exf-CNT/GCE in the presence of 1 mM $^{NO_{3}^{-}}$ ion in 0.1 M Na₂SO₄ (pH=2)

S3. Delta current response for peak current measurement of NO_{3}^{-1} ion reduction

Delta current response means difference in peak current of the NO_{3}^{-1} ion at a concentration and blank current (absence of NO_{3}^{-1} ion) at the same potential. In the absence of NO_{3}^{-1} ion, the background current attributed to the blank signal can vary a bit due to the hierarchical non-uniform porous structure of Alym@CuNPs which is evident from the FESEM image in Fig.2a. In the presence of NO_{3}^{-1} ion, the catalytic activity of Alym@CuNPs facilitates consistent electron transfer efficiency, resulting in a comparable increment in current response for a fixed concentration of NO_{3}^{-1} ion in different modified electrodes. For further clarification, it has been shown here in Fig.S13 that two

different Alym@CuNPs-NF/Exf-CNT/GCE electrodes 1 and 2 give almost same delta current response, 23.8 μ A (1) and 24.1 μ A (2) respectively for 1 mM $^{NO_{3}}$ ion concentration

0 Current (I,µA) -10 23.8 μΑ 24.1 μΑ Blank (1) 1 mM NO⁻₃(2) -20 Blank (2) 1 mM NO⁻₃(1) -30 -40 -0.5 -0.4 -0.3 -0.2 -0.7 -0.6 -0.1 Potential vs Ag/AgCl(V)

Fig. S13. DPV study for comparison of blank current respone and 1 mM NO_{3}^{-1} ion current response for two electrodes (1 and 2) in 0.1 M Na₂SO₄ (pH=2)