Supplementary material:

Preconcentration-Enhanced Electrochemical Detection of Paraoxon in Food and Environmental Samples Using Reduced Graphene Oxide-Modified Disposable

Sensors

Rafael L. Zamboni^a, Cristiane Kalinke^{a,b*}, Luís M. C. Ferreira^a, Maurício A. P. Papi^a, Elisa S. Orth^a, Craig E. Banks^c, Luiz H. Marcolino-Júnior^a and Márcio F. Bergamini^{a*}

SUMMARY

Graphene Oxide Synthesis (Figure S1)	1
SEM Analyses (Figure S2)	1
Water Contact Angle Study (Figure S3)	2
Influence of the SPCE modified with rGO (Figure S4 and Table S1)	2
Pulse current response (Figure S5)	3
Scan Rate Study (Figure S7)	4
Interferents Study (Figure S8 and Table S2)	5

^{*}Corresponding authors: <u>cristiane.kalinke@gmail.com</u> / <u>ckalinke@unicamp.br</u> (C. Kalinke); <u>bergamini@ufpr.br</u> (M. F. Bergamini).

Graphene Oxide Synthesis



Figure S1. Scheme of the synthesis of graphene oxide using a Hummers modified method.

SEM Analyses



Figure S2. SEM images obtained with magnification of $100,000 \times$ for the (A) SPCE and rGO-SPCE surfaces.

Water Contact Angle Study



Figure S3. Water contact angle measurements performed for the SPCE (A), GO-SPCE (B), and rGO-SPCE (C) surfaces.

Influence of the SPCE modified with rGO



Figure S4. (A) Cyclic voltammograms at 25 mV s⁻¹ and (B) electrochemical impedance spectra obtained for SPCE before and after rGO modification in 1.0 mmol L⁻¹ potassium hexacyanoferrate (II). Fitting (gray line) was obtained against the equivalent Randles circuit. Supporting electrolyte: 0.10 mol L⁻¹ KCl.

Table S1. Voltammetric and impedimetric behaviour obtained for the SPCE before and after rGO modification in 1.0 mmol L^{-1} potassium hexacyanoferrate (II).

Electrode	I _{pa} (µA)	Ipc (µA)	ΔE_{p} (mV)	$R_{ct}(k\Omega)$
SPCE	8.24	5.39	0.662	64.5
rGO-SPCE	12.6	11.0	0.320	8.53

 $[\]overline{I_{pa}}$: Anodic peak current; I_{pc} : Cathodic peak current; ΔE_p : Peak-to-peak separation; R_{ct} : Charge-transfer resistance.



Figure S5. Scan rate study performed using the SPCE in the presence of 100 μ mol L⁻¹ paraoxon. (A) Cyclic voltammograms and (B) log v *versus* log I_p plot obtained for the reversible redox peaks (O2 and R2).





Figure S6. Influence of pH (4–11) for the determination of 100 μ mol L⁻¹ paraoxon using the unmodified SPCE.



Figure S7. Current response of preconcentration step at the presence of 20 μ mol L⁻¹ paraoxon. Pulse cycle consist of 500 ms at -1.0 V and 200 ms at 0.0 V. Supporting electrolyte: 0.10 mol L⁻¹ BR buffer, pH 9.0.

Interferents Study



Figure S8. Signal variation obtained for the determination of 10 μ mol L⁻¹ paraoxon in the presence of interferent species (fructose, glucose, sucrose, citric acid, and ascorbic acid) at three levels of concentration: (A) 1.0, (B) 10, and (C) 100 μ mol L⁻¹.

Table S2. Signal variation obtained	for the determination	of paraoxon	in the presence of
interferent species $(n = 3)$.			

Interformat	Concentration				
Interferent	1.0 μ mol L ⁻¹	10 μ mol L ⁻¹	100 μ mol L ⁻¹		
Fructose	+3.90	+8.46	+6.20		
Glucose	-4.36	+3.33	+3.28		
Sucrose	+2.24	+22.5	+31.2		
Citric acid	+11.1	+9.43	+10.7		
Ascorbic acid	+10.5	+3.75	+4.00		