Supplementary Materials for

Paracrine signals influence patterns of fibrocartilage differentiation in a lyophilized gelatin hydrogel for applications in rotator cuff repair

Kyle B. Timmer¹, Megan L. Killian^{4,5}, Brendan A.C. Harley^{1,2,3}

 ¹ Dept. Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering
² Cancer Center at Illinois
³ Carl R. Woese Institute for Genomic Biology University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign Urbana, IL 61801

 ⁴ Department of Orthopaedic Surgery
⁵ Department of Molecular and Integrative Physiology University of Michigan Ann Arbor Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109

Corresponding Author:

B.A.C. Harley Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering Cancer Center at Illinois Carl R. Woese Institute for Genomic Biology University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign 110 Roger Adams Laboratory 600 S. Mathews Ave. Urbana, IL 61801 Phone: (217) 244-7112 Fax: (217) 333-5052 e-mail: <u>bharley@illinois.edu</u> Supplemental Table T1: Taqman Gene Primers for PCR

Gene Name	Taqman Assay ID
GAPDH	Hs02786624_g1
COL2A1	Hs00264051_m1
COL10A1	Hs00166657_m1
ACAN	Hs00153936_m1
SCX	Hs03054634_g1
SOX9	Hs00165814_m1
RUNX2	Hs01047973_m1

Gene HUGO **Probe NSID** Category Ref. Name Gene [1-4] RUNX2 RUNX2 NM 004348.3:1850 Bone, Enthesis Transcription Factor OSX SP7 NM 001173467.1:1510 **Bone Transcription Factor** [5] OPN SPP1 NM 000582.2:760 [6, 7] **Bone Transcription Factor** BSP IBSP NM 004967.3:876 Bone, Enthesis Transcription Factor [7, 8] [3, 9] ALP SLPI NM_003064.2:330 **Bone Transcription Factor** SCX SCX NM 001080514.2:550 Tendon, Enthesis Transcription Factor [4, 10-13] TNC TNC NM 002160.3:1215 **Tendon Transcription Factor** [4, 14] TNMD TNMD NM 022144.2:462 **Tendon Transcription Factor** [2, 15] IGFBP5 IGFBP5 NM 000599.3:3320 **Tendon Transcription Factor** [4, 16] COMP COMP NM 000095.2:1744 **Tendon Transcription Factor** [1, 17] EGR1 EGR1 NM 001964.2:1505 Tendon, Enthesis Transcription Factor [14] MKX MKX NM_173576.2:545 **Tendon Transcription Factor** [18] [2, 4, 10, 12, 18, SOX9 SOX9 NM 000346.2:2135 Cartilage, Enthesis Transcription Factor 19] Gli1 GLI1 NM 005269.1:2885 **Enthesis Transcription Factor** [4, 11, 15] KLF2 KLF2 NM 016270.2:1015 **Enthesis Transcription Factor** [4] KLF4 KLF4 NM 004235.4:1980 **Enthesis Transcription Factor** [4] Col1A1 COL1A1 NM_000088.3:5210 Tendon-to-Bone Matrix Marker [3, 4, 19-22] [1, 2, 20, 23] [3, COL2A1 COL1A2 Tendon-to-Bone Matrix Marker NM 001844.4:4745 4, 19] COI3A1 COL3A1 NM 000090.3:180 [22] Tendon-to-Bone Matrix Marker COL5A1 COL5A1 NM 000093.3:872 Tendon-to-Bone Matrix Marker [4] COL6A1 COL6A1 NM 001848.2:3665 Tendon-to-Bone Matrix Marker [22] Col9A1 COL9A1 NM_001851.4:3198 Tendon-to-Bone Matrix Marker [2] Col10A1 COL10A1 NM 000493.3:135 Tendon-to-Bone Matrix Marker [3, 4, 19, 21, 24] Col11A1 COL11A1 NM 001190709.1:2490 Tendon-to-Bone Matrix Marker [4] [1, 10, 20, 21] ACAN ACAN Tendon-to-Bone Matrix Marker NM 013227.3:335 [4, 19] DCN DCN NM 001920.3:420 Tendon-to-Bone Matrix Marker [25] BGN BGN NM 001711.3:1935 Tendon-to-Bone Matrix Marker [4]

Supplemental Table T2: List of NanoString nCounter Panel gene targets and relevance for entheseal study.

PDGF-BB	PDGFB	NM_033016.2:1480	Tendon-to-Bone Growth Factor	[17, 26-28]
TGFβ1	TGFB1	NM_000660.3:1260	Tendon-to-Bone Growth Factor	[4, 10, 13, 24, 29]
TGFβ3	TGFB3	NM_003239.2:706	Tendon-to-Bone Growth Factor	[4, 10, 24, 29]
BMP2	BMP2	NM_001200.2:1515	Tendon-to-Bone Growth Factor	[4, 10, 12, 18, 22, 30]
BMP4	BMP4	NM_001202.3:659	Tendon-to-Bone Growth Factor	[4, 10, 18, 30- 33]
BMP7	BMP7	NM_001719.1:525	Tendon-to-Bone Growth Factor	[1, 4, 10, 18, 30, 34, 35]
BMP12 (GDF7)	GDF7	NM_182828.2:954	Tendon-to-Bone Growth Factor	[4, 17, 18, 28, 30, 36]
BMP14 (GDF5)	GDF5	NM_000557.2:155	Tendon-to-Bone Growth Factor	[4, 17, 18, 30, 37]
IGF-1	IGF1	NM_000618.3:491	Tendon-to-Bone Growth Factor	[28, 36, 38-41]
FGF2 (bFGF)	FGF2	NM_002006.4:620	Tendon-to-Bone Growth Factor	[4, 17, 27]
FGF7	FGF7	NM_002009.3:190	Tendon-to-Bone Growth Factor	[4, 22]
IHH	IHH	NM_002181.2:1693	Tendon-to-Bone Growth Factor	[4, 10, 12, 18]
PTHrP	PTHLH	NM_198965.1:605	Tendon-to-Bone Growth Factor	[4, 12, 13, 23]
EGF	EGF	NM_001963.4:1022	Tendon-to-Bone Growth Factor	[42]
VEGF	VEGFA	NM_001025366.3:1314	Tendon-to-Bone Growth Factor	[43]
SMAD3	SMAD3	NM_005902.3:4220	Tendon, Enthesis Relevant Marker	[14]
MMP13	MMP13	NM_002427.2:951	Tendon-to-Bone MMP	[1, 30, 44]
MMP9	MMP9	NM_004994.2:1530	Tendon-to-Bone MMP	[44, 45]
MCAM	MCAM	NM_006500.2:2482	MSC Marker	[22]
MYLK	MYLK	NM_053032.2:710	MSC Marker	[22]
PPARγ	PPARG	NM_005037.5:345	Adipocyte Marker	[6]
FABP4	FABP4	NM_001442.2:415	Adipocyte Marker	[6]
GAPDH	GAPDH	NM_001256799.1:386	Housekeeping Gene	[46-49]
ACTB	ACTB	NM_001101.2:1010	Housekeeping Gene	[48, 50, 51]
IPO8	IPO8	NM_006390.2:860	Housekeeping Gene	[48, 52, 53]
YWHAZ	YWHAZ	NM_003406.2:2345	Housekeeping Gene	[49, 50]



Supplemental Figure S1: Enthesis hydrogel mechanical properties. Representative stress-strain diagrams for compression tests of Gel-SH hydrogels as a function of fabrication processing (non-Freeze Dried vs. Freeze Dried) and post-fabrication crosslinking (Non-Crosslinked vs. Crosslinked). Constructs used for cell activity studies are Freeze Dried and Crosslinked.



Supplemental Figure S2: Initial mean mass for GeI-SH hydrogel degradation testing. Average initial mass (reported as average weight from a single measurement of the aggregate mass of n=10+ individual hydrogel specimens) as a function of fabrication processing (non-Freeze Dried, "nFD" vs. Freeze Dried, "FD") and post-fabrication crosslinking (Non-Crosslinked vs. Crosslinked). Constructs used for cell activity studies are Freeze Dried and Crosslinked.



Supplemental Figure S3: hMSC proliferation and invasion analysis within Gel-SH constructs. Images display calcein-stained live hMSCs, comparing basal and chondrogenic conditions if chondrogenic media is added at various times. Scale bar: 1mm



Supplemental Figure S4: Individual differentiation patterns of hMSCs in crosslinked, lyophilized Gel-SH hydrogels in basal growth media. Expression patterns (n=3) via nCounter mRNA expression panel, shown with principal component analysis, differential expression analysis, and summary bar graph. All bars deviating from the baseline denote a significant (p<0.05) change in expression. IGFBP5, COMP, and FGF7 have been omitted for scaling purposes; all are upregulated by a minimum of 10-fold by Day 21, with FGF7 reaching over 2500-fold by Day 21.



Supplemental Figure S5: *COL2* expression of hMSCs in crosslinked, lyophilized Gel-SH hydrogels. Expression patterns (n=5) for cartilage matrix protein (COL2) after 7 (Day 14) or 14 (Day 21) days of exposure to chondrogenic (blue) vs basal (red) media



Supplemental Figure S6: hMSC response to differential dosage of BMP-4. In a truncated study, hMSCs were exposed to 2 weeks of BMP-4 supplementation after 4 days of culture; all other methods were conducted identically to those for chondrogenic and single biomolecule assessment



Supplemental Figure S7. Conditioned media analysis from hMSCs seeded onto tendon- or bonemimetic collagen scaffolds. (A-B) Relative cytokine levels (n=2) present in conditioned media relative to a basal media control along with (C) overall metabolic activity (n=6) and DNA concentration (n=6) of hMSCs within their constructs over the isolation period.



Supplemental Figure S8: Individual differentiation patterns of hMSCs in crosslinked, lyophilized Gel-SH hydrogels at Day 21 of culture in response to conditioned media, relative to basal D21 control. Expression patterns (n=3) via nCounter mRNA expression panel. All bars denote trends with a p-value < 0.20. Absence of a bar indicates no trend with p-value <0.20. An asterisk indicates a significant (p<0.05) change in expression.



Supplemental Figure S9: Complete conditioned media PCA and gene fold-change data. (A) Principal component analysis (left) and differential expression analysis (right) on hMSC gene expression across all conditioned media treatments as a function of time (D7-D21, D7 baseline). (B) Principal component analysis (left) and differential expression analysis (right) on hMSC gene expression between conditioned media treatment groups ("N" baseline) across relevant time points (D14, D21). PCA plots denote the top four principal components plotted against one another, with each label denoting the corresponding row (x-axis plot) or column (y-axis plot) it appears in.

- 1. Calejo, I., et al., *Enthesis Tissue Engineering: Biological Requirements Meet at the Interface.* Tissue Engineering Part B: Reviews, 2019. **25**(4): p. 330-356.
- 2. Kuntz, L.A., et al., *Biomarkers for tissue engineering of the tendon-bone interface*. PLOS ONE, 2018. **13**(1): p. e0189668.
- 3. Zhang, X., et al., *Single-cell rna seq analysis identifies the biomarkers and differentiation of chondrocyte in human osteoarthritis.* Am J Transl Res, 2020. **12**(11): p. 7326-7339.
- 4. Kult, S., et al., *Bi-fated tendon-to-bone attachment cells are regulated by shared enhancers and KLF transcription factors.* eLife, 2021. **10**: p. e55361.
- 5. Chan, W.C.W., et al., *Regulation and Role of Transcription Factors in Osteogenesis*. Int J Mol Sci, 2021. **22**(11).
- 6. Le Blanc, S., et al., *Fibroblast growth factors 1 and 2 inhibit adipogenesis of human bone marrow stromal cells in 3D collagen gels.* Exp Cell Res, 2015. **338**(2): p. 136-48.
- 7. Marinovich, R., et al., *The role of bone sialoprotein in the tendon-bone insertion.* Matrix Biol, 2016. **52-54**: p. 325-338.
- 8. Lee, J.C., et al., *Optimizing Collagen Scaffolds for Bone Engineering: Effects of Crosslinking and Mineral Content on Structural Contraction and Osteogenesis.* J Craniofac Surg, 2015. **26**(6): p. 1992-6.
- 9. Dyment, N.A., et al., *Gdf5 progenitors give rise to fibrocartilage cells that mineralize via hedgehog signaling to form the zonal enthesis.* Developmental Biology, 2015. **405**(1): p. 96-107.
- 10. Font Tellado, S., E.R. Balmayor, and M. Van Griensven, *Strategies to engineer tendon/ligament-to-bone interface: Biomaterials, cells and growth factors.* Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews, 2015. **94**: p. 126-140.
- 11. Killian, M.L. and S. Thomopoulos, *Scleraxis is required for the development of a functional tendon enthesis.* The FASEB Journal, 2016. **30**(1): p. 301-311.
- Friese, N., et al., Regeneration of Damaged Tendon-Bone Junctions (Entheses)—TAK1 as a Potential Node Factor. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 2020. 21(15): p. 5177.
- 13. Rothrauff, B.B., et al., *The Rotator Cuff Organ: Integrating Developmental Biology, Tissue Engineering, and Surgical Considerations to Treat Chronic Massive Rotator Cuff Tears.* Tissue Engineering Part B: Reviews, 2017. **23**(4): p. 318-335.
- 14. Felsenthal, N. and E. Zelzer, *Mechanical regulation of musculoskeletal system development*. Development, 2017. **144**(23): p. 4271-4283.
- 15. Shen, H., et al., *Connexin 43 Is Necessary for Murine Tendon Enthesis Formation and Response to Loading.* Journal of Bone and Mineral Research, 2020. **35**(8): p. 1494-1503.
- 16. Turlo, A.J., et al., *Insulin-like growth factor binding protein (IGFBP6) is a cross-species tendon marker.* Eur Cell Mater, 2019. **38**: p. 123-136.
- 17. Würgler-Hauri, C.C., et al., *Temporal expression of 8 growth factors in tendon-to-bone healing in a rat supraspinatus model.* Journal of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery, 2007. **16**(5, Supplement): p. S198-S203.
- 18. Derwin, K.A., et al., *Enthesis Repair*. Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, 2018. **100**(16): p. e109.

- Huang, L., et al., Study of differential properties of fibrochondrocytes and hyaline chondrocytes in growing rabbits. British Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, 2015.
 53(2): p. 187-193.
- 20. Wang, I.N.E., et al., *Cellular interactions regulate stem cell differentiation in tri-culture.* Connective Tissue Research, 2016. **57**(6): p. 476-487.
- 21. Wu, C.-L., et al., *Single cell transcriptomic analysis of human pluripotent stem cell chondrogenesis.* Nature Communications, 2021. **12**(1).
- Sun, H., et al., Single-cell RNA-seq analysis identifies meniscus progenitors and reveals the progression of meniscus degeneration. Annals of the rheumatic diseases, 2020.
 79(3): p. 408-417.
- Han, X., et al., Regulatory Mechanisms of the Ihh/PTHrP Signaling Pathway in Fibrochondrocytes in Entheses of Pig Achilles Tendon. Stem cells international, 2016.
 2016: p. 8235172-8235172.
- 24. Galatz, L., et al., *Development of the supraspinatus tendon-to-bone insertion: Localized expression of extracellular matrix and growth factor genes.* Journal of Orthopaedic Research, 2007. **25**(12): p. 1621-1628.
- 25. Waggett, A.D., et al., *Characterization of collagens and proteoglycans at the insertion of the human Achilles tendon.* Matrix Biol, 1998. **16**(8): p. 457-70.
- 26. Kovacevic, D., et al., *rhPDGF-BB Promotes Early Healing in a Rat Rotator Cuff Repair Model.* Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research[®], 2015. **473**(5): p. 1644-1654.
- 27. Lei, T., et al., *Biomimetic strategies for tendon/ligament-to-bone interface regeneration*. Bioactive Materials, 2021. **6**(8): p. 2491-2510.
- 28. Pugliese, E., et al., *Development of three-layer collagen scaffolds to spatially direct tissue-specific cell differentiation for enthesis repair.* Mater Today Bio, 2023. **19**: p. 100584.
- 29. Kaji, D.A., et al., *Transcriptional profiling of mESC-derived tendon and fibrocartilage cell fate switch.* Nature communications, 2021. **12**(1): p. 4208-4208.
- 30. Long, F. and D.M. Ornitz, *Development of the endochondral skeleton*. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol, 2013. **5**(1): p. a008334.
- 31. Blitz, E., et al., *Tendon-bone attachment unit is formed modularly by a distinct pool of Scx- and Sox9-positive progenitors.* Development, 2013. **140**(13): p. 2680-90.
- 32. Craft, A.M., et al., *Specification of chondrocytes and cartilage tissues from embryonic stem cells.* Development, 2013. **140**(12): p. 2597-2610.
- 33. Chen, H., et al., *Recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-4 enhances tendonto-bone attachment healing in a murine model of rotator cuff tear.* Ann Transl Med, 2021. **9**(7): p. 565.
- Menon, R.S., N.M. Wragg, and S.L. Wilson, *Rotator Cuff Repair Augmentation Using* Osteoinductive Growth Factors. SN Comprehensive Clinical Medicine, 2019. 1(4): p. 267-276.
- 35. Kabuto, Y., et al., Stimulation of Rotator Cuff Repair by Sustained Release of Bone Morphogenetic Protein-7 Using a Gelatin Hydrogel Sheet. Tissue Eng Part A, 2015.
 21(13-14): p. 2025-33.
- 36. Gulotta, L.V. and S.A. Rodeo, *Growth factors for rotator cuff repair*. Clin Sports Med, 2009. **28**(1): p. 13-23.

- Wischhusen, J., I. Melero, and W.H. Fridman, *Growth/Differentiation Factor-15 (GDF-15): From Biomarker to Novel Targetable Immune Checkpoint*. Front Immunol, 2020. 11: p. 951.
- 38. Miescher, I., et al., *In Vitro and In Vivo Effects of IGF-1 Delivery Strategies on Tendon Healing: A Review.* Int J Mol Sci, 2023. **24**(3).
- 39. Kawai, M. and C.J. Rosen, *The insulin-like growth factor system in bone: basic and clinical implications.* Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am, 2012. **41**(2): p. 323-33, vi.
- 40. Narayanan, G., L.S. Nair, and C.T. Laurencin, *Regenerative Engineering of the Rotator Cuff of the Shoulder.* ACS Biomater Sci Eng, 2018. **4**(3): p. 751-786.
- Prabhath, A., et al., *Pegylated insulin-like growth factor-1 biotherapeutic delivery* promotes rotator cuff regeneration in a rat model. J Biomed Mater Res A, 2022. 110(7): p. 1356-1371.
- 42. Gonçalves, A.I., et al., *Understanding the role of growth factors in modulating stem cell tenogenesis.* PLoS One, 2013. **8**(12): p. e83734.
- 43. Liu, Y., et al., *Intracellular VEGF regulates the balance between osteoblast and adipocyte differentiation.* J Clin Invest, 2012. **122**(9): p. 3101-13.
- 44. Arimura, H., et al., *TGF-B1 Improves Biomechanical Strength by Extracellular Matrix Accumulation Without Increasing the Number of Tenogenic Lineage Cells in a Rat Rotator Cuff Repair Model.* The American Journal of Sports Medicine, 2017. **45**(10): p. 2394-2404.
- 45. Loiselle, A.E., et al., *Bone marrow-derived matrix metalloproteinase-9 is associated with fibrous adhesion formation after murine flexor tendon injury.* PLoS One, 2012. **7**(7): p. e40602.
- 46. Dewey, M.J., et al., *Inclusion of a 3D-printed Hyperelastic Bone mesh improves mechanical and osteogenic performance of a mineralized collagen scaffold.* Acta Biomaterialia, 2021. **121**: p. 224-236.
- 47. Dewey, M.J., et al., *Glycosaminoglycan content of a mineralized collagen scaffold promotes mesenchymal stem cell secretion of factors to modulate angiogenesis and monocyte differentiation.* Materialia, 2021. **18**: p. 101149.
- 48. da Conceição Braga, L., et al., *Identification of best housekeeping genes for the normalization of RT-qPCR in human cell lines.* Acta Histochem, 2022. **124**(1): p. 151821.
- 49. Viganò, M., et al., Housekeeping Gene Stability in Human Mesenchymal Stem and Tendon Cells Exposed to Tenogenic Factors. Tissue Eng Part C Methods, 2018. 24(6): p. 360-367.
- 50. Franko, N., et al., *TBP, PPIA, YWHAZ and EF1A1 Are the Most Stably Expressed Genes during Osteogenic Differentiation.* Int J Mol Sci, 2022. **23**(8).
- 51. Taïhi, I., et al., Validation of Housekeeping Genes to Study Human Gingival Stem Cells and Their In Vitro Osteogenic Differentiation Using Real-Time RT-qPCR. Stem Cells Int, 2016. **2016**: p. 6261490.
- 52. Schildberg, T., et al., *Identification of suitable reference genes in bone marrow stromal cells from osteoarthritic donors.* Stem Cell Res, 2013. **11**(3): p. 1288-98.
- 53. Hurtado del Pozo, C., et al., *IPO8 and FBXL10: new reference genes for gene expression studies in human adipose tissue.* Obesity (Silver Spring), 2010. **18**(5): p. 897-903.