Supporting Information

# Concise Total Synthesis of (–)-Berkelic Acid via Regioselective Spiroacetal/Pyran Formation

Shogo Hanada,<sup>1, 4</sup> Masahito Yoshida,\*<sup>1, 2</sup> and Hideo Kigoshi<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Degree Programs in Pure and Applied Sciences, Graduate School of Science and Technology, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8571, Japan

<sup>2</sup>Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8571, Japan

<sup>3</sup>Alliance for Research on the Mediterranean and North Africa (ARENA), University of Tsukuba, 1-

1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8572, Japan

<sup>4</sup>Chemical & Biological Technology Labs., Astellas Pharma Inc., Miyukigaoka 21, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8585, Japan.

## **Table of Contents**

1.	Experimental procedure	S1-S20
2.	Calculation procedure and results	S21–S29
3.	Results of biological evaluation	S29
4.	<sup>1</sup> H and <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectra of synthetic compounds	S30–S73
5.	References	S74

# **Experimental Section**

### I. Basic procedure

- a) All moisture-sensitive reactions were performed under an atmosphere of argon using heat-dried flasks, syringes, etc., and the starting materials were azeotropically dried with toluene before use.
- b) The reaction system was cooled in an ice-water bath (0 °C), a dry ice-methanol bath (-78 °C), and a liquid nitrogen-methanol bath (-97 °C). A heat block was used to heat the reaction system.
- c) The organic layer after extraction was dried by MgSO<sub>4</sub> with vigorously stirring, and the solid was removed by filtration.
- d) Concentration on a rotary evaporator was carried out under reduced pressure (10~100 mmHg) using a diaphragm pump. The residual solvent in an oily material was removed using a vacuum pump (approx. 1 mmHg) fitted with a trap cooled by liquid nitrogen.
- e) Celite® No. 535 purchased from Wako Pure Chemicals Co. was used for Celite filtration.
- f) The ratio of mixed solvents is expressed as a volume ratio.

#### II. Chromatography

a) Analytical thin layer chromatography

E. Merck TLC plates, TLC Silica gel 60  $F_{254}$ , were used; detection of compounds on the TLC plates was performed by UV lamp (254 nm) irradiation and the use of the following coloration.

#### (Coloration)

Phosphomolybdic acid solution

12 Molybdo(IV) phosphoric acid (50 g) was dissolved in ethanol (450 mL).

The TLC plate was immersed in this solution and then heated on a hot plate (300 °C).

#### b) Analytical HPLC

Reaction was analyzed using reverse-phase HPLC in the below conditions.

Equipment Shimadzu LC-2030	
Column	YMC Triart C-18 (4.6 mm × 150 mm, 3 μm)
	A: $10 \text{ mM H}_{3}\text{PO}_{4} \text{ aq (pH 2)} + 5\% \text{ MeCN}$
Mobile Phase	B: MeCN
Separation Modes	Gradient elution

	0 to 9 min: $A/B = 100/0$ to $0/100$
	9 to 16 min: $A/B = 0/100$
Column Temp.	40 °C
Flow Rate	1.5 mL/min
Detector	UV: 210nm, 254 nm
Injection	5 μL

### c) Analytical chiral HPLC

Enantiomeric ratio was analyzed using HPLC with chiral column in the below conditions.

Equipment	Shimadzu LC-2030
Column	DAICEL CHIRALPAK IA-3 (4.6 mm × 250 mm, 3 µm)
Mobile Phase	Hexane/THF = $85/15$
Separation Modes	Isocratic Elution
Column temp	40 °C
Flow Rate	1.5 mL/min
Detector	UV: 210nm, 254 nm
Injection	10 μL

#### d) Column chromatography

Yamazen Smart Flash (W-Prep 2XY) and pre-packed Universal Column Premium were used. The weights of the packed silica gel of column sides M, L, and 3L are 16, 40, and 135 g, respectively. UV (210 nm, 254 nm) and MS were used as detectors. Solvents and gradients are described in each experimental section.

 e) Preparative thin layer chromatography was performed on 0.5 mm or 1 mm Merck Millipore PLC silica gel 60 F<sub>254</sub> plates.

#### III. Instrumental analysis

#### a) Specific rotation ( $[\alpha]_D^t$ )

The instrument used was a digital optical rotation meter (DIP-1000) manufactured by JASCO Co. Chloroform through alumina [E. Merck Aluminium oxide 90 active neutral (activity stage I) for column chromatography], methanol for HPLC, or acetonitrile for HPLC was used as a measuring solvent. The measured values are listed as below, where t is the measurement temperature ( $^{\circ}$ C) and the unit of concentration of the solution is given as g / dL.

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{t}$  specific rotation (*c* concentration, solvent)

b) Infrared absorption spectrum (IR)

The instrument used was a spectrophotometer (FT/IR-4100) manufactured by JASCO Co. Chloroform through alumina [E. Merck Aluminium oxide 90 active neutral (activity stage I) for column

chromatography] was used as the measurement solvent. The chloroform solution of the sample was placed in a dedicated NaCl cell and the measured values are listed as below.

IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) absorption wavelength (cm<sup>-1</sup>)

c) <sup>1</sup>H nuclear magnetic resonance spectrum (<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum)

The instrument used was a spectrometer (Avance III HD 500, 500 MHz) manufactured by Bruker Co. Heavy chloroform (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) was used as the measurement solvents. The measured values are listed as below.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (Measuring frequency, solvent)  $\delta$  Chemical shift value (multiplicity, spin coupling value, a number of hydrogen)

Chemical shift values are listed as  $\delta$  values (ppm), and residual protons of the measuring solvent [CHCl<sub>3</sub> ( $\delta$  7.26), CHD<sub>2</sub>OD ( $\delta$  3.31)] were used as internal standards. Multiplicities were abbreviated as s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), m (multiplet, or overlap of multiple signals), and the broad signals were represented by the remark "br". The spin coupling constant *J* is listed in Hz.

### d) <sup>13</sup>C nuclear magnetic resonance spectrum (<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum)

The instrument used was a spectrometer (Avance III HD 500, 125 MHz) manufactured by Bruker Co. Heavy chloroform (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) was used as the measurement solvents. The measured values are listed as below.

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (Measuring frequency, solvent) δ Chemical shift value

Chemical shift values are listed as  $\delta$  values (ppm), and the carbon signal of the measuring solvent [CDCl<sub>3</sub> ( $\delta$  77.00)] was used as an internal standard.

#### e) High resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS)

The instrument used was Thermo Scientific EXACTIVE Plus, and measurements were performed by electron spray ionization (ESI).

HRMS [ESI] calcd for molecular formula [ion] calculated value, found measured value.

IV. Preparation of solvents

#### Dehydrated Solvents

Dry Et<sub>2</sub>O, THF, DMF and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> were purchased and used without further drying.

### (3S)-4,4-Bis(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-3-methyl-1-butyne (6)



Following the experimental procedure reported by Fañanás and Rodríguez<sup>1)</sup>, diol **S1** was prepared through a sequence of three conversion reactions from (*S*)-3-butyn-2-ol. The spectral data of **S1** were in good agreement with those of the reported ones.

To a stirred solution of diol **S1** (8.00 g, 62.4 mmol) in DMF (160 mL) were added TBSCl (24.5 g, 162 mmol, 2.60 eq) and imidazole (17.0 g, 250 mmol, 4.00 eq) at 0 °C under argon. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature over 1 h. After being stirred for additional 5 h at the same temperature, the reaction mixture was quenched with H<sub>2</sub>O (160 mL). The immiscible mixture was extracted with MTBE (2 × 80 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (2 × 80 mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (column size: 3 L, heptane/toluene = 100/0 to 95/5) to give **6** (22.00 g, 99%) as a colorless oil.

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{25.4} + 25.7 (c \ 1.00, CHCl_3)$ 

IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 3307, 2958, 2930, 2857, 2109, 1471, 1256, 1094, 838, 637, 435, 421 cm<sup>-1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 3.80 (dd, *J* = 10.0, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.72–3.57 (m, 2H), 3.64 (dd, *J* = 10.0, 7.5 Hz 1H), 2.76–2.69 (m, 1H), 2.01 (d, *J* = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 1.69–1.63 (m, 1H), 1.21 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 0.89 (s, 9H), 0.89 (s, 9H), 0.05 (s, 12H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 87.6, 69.0, 61.0, 60.7, 48.0, 25.9, 23.8, 18.4, -5.4.

HRMS [ESI]: calcd for  $C_{19}H_{41}O_2Si_2[M+H]^+$  357.2640, found 357.2636.

#### 2-((4S)-5,5-Bis(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-1-hydroxy-4-methylpent-2-yn-1-yl)phenol (15)



To a stirred solution of alkyne **6** (392 mg, 1.10 mmol, 1.10 eq) in anhydrous THF (3.7 mL) was slowly added a solution of LHMDS in THF (1.3 M, 1.54 mL, 2.00 mmol, 2.00 eq) at 0 °C under argon. The resulting mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 30 min, and then a solution of salicylaldehyde (122 mg, 1.0 mmol) in THF (1.2 mL) was slowly added to the reaction mixture at 0 °C. After being stirred at the same temperature over 2 h, the reaction mixture was treated with saturated aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (7.4 mL). The immiscible mixture was extracted with MTBE ( $2 \times 3.7$  mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (3.7 mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (column size: M, heptane/EtOAc = 100/0 to 85/15) to give **15** (407 mg, 85%, mixture of diastereomers) as a brownish oil.

[α]<sub>D</sub><sup>25.4</sup>+27.0 (*c* 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>) IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 3366, 2955, 2930, 2857, 2232, 2212, 1588, 1486, 1471, 1255, 1092, 838, 451, 444, 415, 403 cm<sup>-1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, major isomer): δ 7.34 (dd, *J* = 7.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.31 (brs, 1H), 7.23 (td, *J* = 7.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.90 (dd, *J* = 7.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.88 (td, *J* = 7.5, 1.5 Hz 1H), 5.67 (d, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.82–3.78 (m, 1H), 3.70–3.68 (m, 2H), 3.66–3.62 (m, 1H), 2.88–2.82 (m, 1H), 2.66 (d, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 1.74–1.68 (m, 1H), 1.25 (dd, *J* = 7.5, 1.5 Hz, 3H) 0.89–0.88 (m, 18H), 0.05–0.03 (m, 12H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 155.4, 130.0, 127.6, 125.0, 120.1, 117.1, 92.6, 78.8, 64.3, 61.2, 60.7, 48.1, 25.9, 24.2, 18.3, 18.2, -5.4.

HRMS [ESI]: calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>45</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> [M–H]<sup>-</sup> 477.2851, found 477.2856.

#### ((2S,3'S,4'R)-3'-Methyl-4',5'-dihydro-3'H-spiro[chromene-2,2'-furan]-4'-yl)methanol (16a)



To a stirred solution of benzyl alcohol **15** (47.8 mg, 0.100 mmol) in THF (1 mL) was added *p*-TsOH· H<sub>2</sub>O (95.1 mg, 0.500 mmol, 5.00 eq) at room temperature under argon. After being stirred for another 5 h at the same temperature, the reaction mixture was quenched with H<sub>2</sub>O (4 mL). The immiscible mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 4$  mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (2 mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by preparative thin layer chromatography (Heptane/EtOAc = 1/3) to give **16a** (16.8 mg, 67%) as a colorless oil.

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{23.2} - 15 (c \ 0.57, CHCl_{3})$ 

IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 3629, 3013, 2965, 2934, 1644, 1487, 1457, 1249, 1194, 991, 952 cm<sup>-1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.18 (td, J = 8.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.92 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.91 (td, J = 8.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.76 (d, J = 9.5 Hz 1H), 5.64 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 4.26 (dd, J = 8.5, 8.5 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (dd, J = 10.5, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (dd, J = 8.5, 8.5 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (dd, J = 10.5, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 2.66–2.58 (m, 1H), 2.02–1.94 (m, 1H), 1.10 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 152.0, 129.3, 128.4, 126.9, 121.6, 121.0, 120.0, 116.3, 106.9, 70.1, 63.7, 46.7, 46.2, 12.6.

HRMS [ESI]: calcd for  $C_{14}H_{17}O_3$  [M+H]<sup>+</sup> 233.1172, found 233.1170.

The NOESY correlation of 16a

noesy HO noesv

#### Methyl 2,6-bis(benzyloxy)-4-bromobenzoate (9)



To a stirred DMF (540 mL) were added NaH (20.3 g, 508 mmol, 4.00 eq, used as 60% purity in mineral oil without washing) portionwisely and BnOH (39.3 mL, 381 mmol, 3.00 eq) dropwisely at 0 °C under argon. After the reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at the same temperature, a solution of aryl bromide **8** (30.0 g, 127 mmol) in DMF (60 mL) was added dropwisely to the mixture at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was warmed to 40 °C over 1 h. After being stirred for another 2 h at the same temperature, the reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C, and MeI (39.6 mL, 636 mmol, 5.00 eq) was added dropwisely at the same temperature. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature over 1 h. After being stirred for another 1 h. The slurry was filtered, and the residue was washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (300 mL) and dried at 40 °C in vacuo over 12 h to give **9** (51.4 g, 95%) as white crystals.

mp: 120-121 °C

IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 3031, 3014, 2953, 2927, 1730, 1584, 1420, 1308, 1268, 1119, 1085, 697, 443, 410 cm<sup>-1</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.43–7.34 (m, 8H), 7.34–7.26 (m, 2H), 6.77 (s, 2H), 5.08 (s, 4H), 3.86 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  166.0, 156.9, 136.0, 128.6, 128.0, 126.9, 124.4, 113.4, 109.7, 70.8, 52.4. HRMS [ESI]: calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Br [M+H]<sup>+</sup> 427.0539, found 427.0537.

#### Methyl (R)-2,6-bis(benzyloxy)-4-(2-hydroxyheptyl)benzoate (11)



Referring to the experimental procedure reported by Morken <sup>2</sup>), asymmetric diborilation/Suzuki-Miyaura coupling /oxidation cascade reaction were performed.

A solution of  $B_2(pin)_2$  (18.0 g, 70.9 mmol, 1.00 eq),  $Pt(dba)_3$  (637 mg, 0.709 mmol, 1.00 mol%) and (*S*,*S*)-3,5-di-*iso*-propylphenyl-TADDOLPPh (773 mg, 0.851 mmol, 1.20 mol%) in anhydrous THF (180 mL) was warmed to 70 °C and stirred for 30 min under argon. 1-Heptene (9.94 mL, 70.9 mmol, 1.00 eq) was added to the reaction mixture, and the reaction mixture was stirred 3 h at the same temperature. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and the crude **10** was used for next reaction without further purification.

Aryl bromide 9 (27.3 g, 63.8 mmol, 0.900 eq), Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (796 mg, 3.54 mmol, 5.00 mol%), RuPhos (1.65 g, 3.54 mmol, 5.00 mol%), KOH (11.9 g, 213 mmol, 3.00 eq) and H<sub>2</sub>O (18 mL) were added to the reaction mixture containing 10. The reaction mixture was warmed to 70 °C and stirred for 3 h to consume aryl bromide. The reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C, and H<sub>2</sub>O (72 mL) and NaBO<sub>3</sub> (43.6 g, 284 mmol, 4.00 eq) were added portionwisely to the reaction mixture. After being stirred at 0 °C to room temperature for 3 h, the reaction mixture was diluted with MTBE (360 mL) and quenched with saturated aqueous Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (180 mL) at the same temperature. After all the oxidants were quenched, the immiscible mixture was separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with MTBE (180 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (90 mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was divided into two portions, and each was purified by silica gel column chromatography (column size: 3 L, heptane/EtOAc = 85/15 to 70/30). The both fractions containing the desired product were combined and re-purified by same procedure (column size: L) to give **11** (23.7 g, 80% based on **9**) as a brownish amorphous.

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{23.3}$  –12.2 (*c* 0.600, CHCl<sub>3</sub>)

IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 3588, 3013, 2932, 1730, 1608, 1584, 1271, 1250, 1121, 1093, 780, 756, 741, 425, 405 cm<sup>-1</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.40–7.34 (m, 8H), 7.31–7.27 (m, 2H), 6.45 (s, 2H), 5.11 (s, 4H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.74–3.66 (m, 1H), 2.72 (dd, *J* = 13.5, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 2.56 (dd, *J* = 13.5, 8.3 Hz, 1H), 1.48–1.37 (m, 4H), 1.35– 1.22 (m, 4H), 0.90 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 166.8, 156.5, 142.4, 136.7, 128.5, 127.8, 126.9, 112.6, 107.1, 72.5, 70.5, 52.3, 44.7, 36.7, 31.8, 25.4, 22.7, 14.1.

HRMS [ESI]: calcd for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>35</sub>O<sub>5</sub> [M+H]<sup>+</sup> 463.2479, found 463.2479.

#### Methyl (R)-2,6-dihydroxy-4-(2-hydroxyheptyl)benzoate (12)



To a stirred solution of bis-benzyl ether **11** (23.0 g, 49.7 mmol) in EtOH (460 mL) was added Pd(OH)<sub>2</sub> (2.3 g, 10 wt%, wetted with ca. 50% water). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature under hydrogen atmosphere for 3 h and filtered through a pad of Celite. The residue was washed with EtOH (230 mL), and the filtrate and washings were concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (column size: 3 L, heptane/EtOAc = 60/40 to 30/70) to give **12** (13.2 g, 94%) as white crystals. The spectroscopic data of **12** were in good agreement with those reported in the literature<sup>3</sup>.

mp: 55–57 °C

[α]<sub>D</sub><sup>25.4</sup> –15.0 (*c* 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>, *ee* = 92%) {lit.<sup>3)</sup> [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>23</sup> –16.8 (*c* 1.03, CHCl<sub>3</sub>, *ee* = 95%)}. IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 3597, 3455, 3174, 3012, 2360, 1679, 1644, 1571, 1469, 1240, 1211, 1195, 1095, 758, 408 cm<sup>-1</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.62 (brs, 2H), 6.37 (s, 2H), 4.07 (s, 3H), 3.87–3.80 (m, 1H), 2.71 (dd, *J* = 13.5, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 2.56 (dd, *J* = 13.5, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 1.53–1.43 (m, 3H), 1.43–1.23 (m, 3H), 0.89 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 169.8, 160.8, 149.1, 109.2, 98.3, 72.1, 52.8, 44.4, 37.0, 31.8, 25.4, 22.6, 14.0. HRMS [ESI]: calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>23</sub>O<sub>5</sub> [M+H]<sup>+</sup> 283.1540, found 283.1540.

# Methyl (*R*)-2-((*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-4-(2-((*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)heptyl)-6hydroxybenzoate (13)



Following the experimental procedure reported by Fürstner<sup>4)</sup>, triol **12** was protected with TBS group, and then tris-TBS compound **S2** was chemoselectively deprotected to give phenol **13**.

To a stirred solution of triol **12** (12.0 g, 42.5 mmol) in DMF (240 mL) were added TBSCl (43.2 g 170 mmol, 4.00 eq) and imidazole (21.4 g, 255 mmol, 6.00 eq) at room temperature under argon. After being stirred for 2 h at the same temperature, the reaction mixture was diluted with MTBE (240 mL) and quenched with H<sub>2</sub>O (240 mL) at 0 °C. The immiscible mixture was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with MTBE (240 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (240 mL) and brine (120 mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was azeotroped with toluene (3 ×120 mL) to remove TBSOH and used for the subsequent reaction without further purification.

To a stirred solution of crude **S2** (42.5 mmol) in MeOH (530 mL) was added K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (6.46 g, 46.8 mmol, 1.10 eq) at room temperature. After being stirred for 2 h at the same temperature, the reaction mixture was diluted with MTBE (265 mL) and quenched with saturated aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (265 mL). The immiscible mixture was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with MTBE (265 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (132 mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was divided into two portions, and each was purified by silica gel column chromatography (column size: 3 L, heptane/toluene = 90/10 to 50/50) to give **13** (16.9 g, 78%) as a colorless oil and over-reacted **S3** (2.11 g, 13%) as a colorless oil.

## 13

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{25.4} - 9.71$  (*c* 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>)

IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 3732, 3565, 2955, 2931, 2859, 1656, 1618, 1441, 1428, 1256, 1204, 1092, 838, 420, 412 cm<sup>-1</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  11.3 (s, 1H), 6.43 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.18 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H) 3.90 (s, 3H), 3.85–3.80 (m, 1H), 2.63 (dd, J = 13.0, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 2.58 (dd, J = 13.0, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 1.42–1.35 (m, 3H), 1.32– 1.19 (m, 5H), 1.01 (s, 9H), 0.87 (s, 9H), 0.87 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H), 0.22 (s, 3H), 0.21 (s, 3H), 0.01 (s, 3H), -0.07 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 171.6, 163.0, 156.6, 147.5, 113.1, 111.4, 103.8, 73.3, 51.9, 44.4, 36.8, 31.9, 25.9, 25.7, 25.0, 22.6, 18.3, 18.1, 14.0, -4.2, -4.3, -4.7, -4.7.

HRMS [ESI]: calcd for  $C_{27}H_{51}O_5Si_2[M+H]^+$  511.3270, found 511.3274.

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{25.4}$  –11.6 (*c* 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>)

IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 3457, 2958, 2931, 2858, 1676, 1645, 1571, 1470, 1252, 1219, 1193, 1095, 837, 710, 472, 407 cm<sup>-1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.59 (brs, 2H), 6.34 (s, 2H), 4.06 (s, 3H), 3.85–3.81 (m, 1H), 2.61 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 1.45–1.35 (m, 3H), 1.33–1.20 (m, 5H), 0.88 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 3H), 0.86 (s, 9H), -0.02 (s, 3H), -0.12 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 169.9, 160.4, 149.9, 109.7, 97.9, 73.1, 52.7, 44.4, 37.1, 31.9, 25.9, 24.9, 22.6, 18.1, 14.0, -4.7, -4.8.

HRMS [ESI]: calcd for  $C_{21}H_{37}O_5Si[M+H]^+$  397.2405, found 397.2406.

Methyl (*R*)-6-((-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-4-(2-((*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)heptyl)-2-hydroxy-3-iodobenzoate (14)



Following the experimental procedure reported by Fürstner<sup>4)</sup>, the ortho position of phenol was iodinated.

To a stirred solution of phenol **13** (15.0 g, 29.4 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (150 mL) was added NIS (9.91 g, 44.0 mmol, 1.50 eq) at 0 °C under argon. The reaction mixture was warmed to 40 °C over 1 h. After being stirred for additional 4 h at the same temperature, the reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C and quenched with saturated aqueous Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (150 mL). The immiscible mixture was extracted with MTBE ( $2 \times 150$  mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (75 mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was divided into two portions, and each was purified by silica gel column chromatography (column size: 3 L, heptane/EtOAc = 100/0 to 90/10) to give **14** (15.6 g, 83%) as a colorless oil.

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{25.4}$  –22.6 (*c* 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>)

IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 3731, 3585, 2956, 2931, 2859, 1655, 1599, 1440, 1396, 1254, 1200, 1100, 840, 768, 757, 445, 421, 409 cm<sup>-1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 12.4 (s, 1H), 6.35 (s, 1H), 4.09–4.04 (m, 1H) 3.92 (s, 3H), 2.89 (dd, *J* = 13.0, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.84 (dd, *J* = 13.0, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 1.51–1.40 (m, 3H), 1.37–1.23 (m, 5H), 1.00 (s, 9H), 0.88 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 0.86 (s, 9H), 0.23 (s, 3H), 0.20 (s, 3H), -0.02 (s, 3H), -0.14 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 171.3, 161.5, 156.6, 149.9, 115.3, 103.9, 82.5, 71.3, 52.3, 48.9, 37.4, 32.0, 26.0, 25.6, 24.9, 22.6, 18.3, 18.0, 14.0, -4.1, -4.4, -4.7.

HRMS [ESI]: calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>50</sub>O<sub>5</sub>ISi<sub>2</sub> [M+H]<sup>+</sup> 637.2236, found 637.2238.

Methyl 2,6-bis((*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-3-((*4S*)-6-((*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-5-(((*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-1-hydroxy-4-methylhex-2-yn-1-yl)-4-((*R*)-2-((*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)heptyl)benzoate (4)



To a stirred solution of iodephenol **14** (15.0 g, 23.6 mmol) in anhydrous Et<sub>2</sub>O (225 mL) were carefully added a solution of MeLi in Et<sub>2</sub>O (1.15 M, 24.6 mL, 28.3 mmol, 1.20 eq) and a solution of *t*-BuLi in pentane (1.56 M, 37.8 mL 58.9 mmol, 2.50 eq) at -97 °C under argon. After the reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at the same temperature, anhydrous DMF (18.2 mL, 236 mmol, 10.0 eq) was added to the reaction mixture, and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to -30 °C over 2 h. After being stirred for 2 h at the same temperature, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (150 mL). The immiscible mixture was extracted with MTBE (2 × 150 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (75 mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (column size: 3L, toluene/EtOAc = 100/0 to 90/10) to give a mixture of compounds S4 and S5 This mixture was used for the subsequent reaction without further purification.

To a stirred solution of the mixture of **S4** and **S5** in DMF (100 mL) were added TBSCl (8.98 g, 35.4 mmol, 1.50 eq) and imidazole (5.95 g, 70.8 mmol, 3.00 eq) at room temperature under argon. After being stirred for 2 h at the same temperature, the reaction mixture was diluted with MTBE (200 mL) and quenched with  $H_2O$  (200 mL) at 0 °C. The immiscible mixture was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with MTBE (200 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with  $H_2O$  (200 mL) and brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was azeotroped with toluene (3 ×100 mL) to remove TBSOH, giving tris-TBS protected benzaldehyde **15** containing some impurities (12.0 g, ca. 18.4 mmol). Due to instability of the TBS group, semi-pure **15** was used for the subsequent reaction without further purification.

To a stirred solution of alkyne **6** (7.22 g, 20.2 mmol, 1.10 eq) in anhydrous THF (300 mL) was slowly added a solution of LHMDS in THF (1.3 M, 14.2 mL, 18.4 mmol, 1.00 eq) at -20 °C under argon. After the reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at the same temperature, the crude benzaldehyde **15** (12.0 g, ca. 18.4 mmol) in anhydrous THF (60 mL) was added to the reaction mixture at -20 °C. After being stirred for another 2 h at the same temperature, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (120 mL). The immiscible mixture was extracted with MTBE (2 × 120 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (60 mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was divided into two portions, and each was purified by silica gel column chromatography (column size: 3 L, heptane/toluene = 95/5 to 80/20) to give **4** (14.0 g, 59% from iodephenol **14**) as a colorless oil.

# $[\alpha]_D^{25.4}$ –4.98 (*c* 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>)

IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 2955, 2930, 2858, 2226, 1651, 1613, 1471, 1410, 1254, 1099, 837, 431, 411 cm<sup>-1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  6.34 (s, 1H), 6.18 (brs, 1H), 4.08 (brs, 1H) 3.89 (s, 3H), 3.76–3.73 (m, 1H), 3.68–3.64 (m, 2H), 3.59–3.56 (m, 1H), 3.47 (brs, 1H), 2.77–2.70 (m, 2H), 1.64–1.60 (m, 1H), 1.53–1.43 (m, 3H), 1.36–1.25 (m, 5H), 1.18 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H) 1.01 (s, 9H), 0.93 (s, 9H), 0.89 (s, 9H), 0.89 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 0.87 (s, 9H), 0.87 (s, 9H), 0.24 (s, 3H), 0.18 (s, 3H), 0.17 (s, 3H), 0.11 (s, 3H) 0.01 (s, 6H), 0.01 (s, 6H), -0.04 (s, 3H), -0.15 (s, 3H), one proton (OH) was not observed.

<sup>13</sup>C NMR: Although the measurements with more than 40,000 scans were performed using more than 200 mg of pure sample, some signals could not be obtained. In addition, DEPT90, DEPT135, HSQC, and HMBC were measured, but all carbon chemical shifts supporting the desired structure could not be established. HRMS [ESI]: calcd for  $C_{53}H_{104}O_8Si_5Na[M+Na]^+$  1031.6475, found 1031.6490. Methyl (2*S*,3*S*,3a'*S*,4*R*,5'*R*)-8'-hydroxy-4-(hydroxymethyl)-3-methyl-5'-pentyl-3',3a',4,5,5',6'hexahydro-3H-spiro[furan-2,2'-pyrano[2,3,4-de]chromene]-9'-carboxylate (2)



To a stirred solution of alkyne **4** (4.00 g, 3.96 mmol) in MeOH (80 mL) was added conc. H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (4.22 mL, 20.0 eq) at room temperature under argon. After being stirred for 8 h at the same temperature, the reaction mixture was diluted with MTBE (160 mL) and washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (40 mL) and brine (40 mL), successively. The organic layer was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (column size: L, heptane/EtOAc = 70/30 to 40/60) to give **2** (1.35 g, 81%, *dr* = 93:7) as a white amorphous mass. The spectroscopic data of **2** were in good agreement with those reported in the literature <sup>1, 4-6</sup>.

 $[\alpha]_D^{23.2} - 121 (c \ 1.00, \text{CHCl}_3)$ 

IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 3626, 3447, 3010, 2956, 2933, 1731, 1658, 1611, 1584, 1441, 1376, 1251, 1092, 1046, 738, 504, 417 cm<sup>-1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  11.4 (s, 1H), 6.32 (s, 1H), 4.76 (dd, J = 12.0, 5.5 Hz, 1H) 4.22 (t, J = 8.5 Hz 1H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 3.87–3.78 (m, 3H), 3.71–3.68 (m. 1H), 2.77 (dd, J = 17.5, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 2.61 (dd, J = 17.5, 11.0 Hz, 1H), 2.53–2.45 (m, 1H), 2.20 (dd, J = 12.0, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 1.97 (t, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 1.94–1.89 (m, 1H), 1.67–1.29 (m, 9H), 1.12 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 0.90 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 171.6, 162.1, 152.0, 141.4, 112.7, 109.5, 108.5, 100.0, 75.1, 70.1, 68.2, 63.7, 52.1, 46.3, 45.3, 36.4, 34.6, 33.7, 31.8, 25.1, 22.6, 14.1, 12.3.

HRMS [ESI]: calcd for  $C_{23}H_{32}O_7Na[M+Na]^+ 443.2040$ , found 443.2040.

# Methyl (2*S*,3*S*,3a'*S*,4*S*,5'*R*)-8'-hydroxy-4-(iodomethyl)-3-methyl-5'-pentyl-3',3a',4,5,5',6'-hexahydro-3H-spiro[furan-2,2'-pyrano[2,3,4-de]chromene]-9'-carboxylate (18)



Following the experimental procedure reported by Rodríguez<sup>1)</sup> and Wang<sup>5)</sup>, primary alcohol **2** was iodinated via Appel reaction.

To a stirred solution of alcohol **2** (1.30 g, 3.09 mmol) in anhydrous  $CH_2Cl_2$  (18 mL) were added imidazole (631 mg, 9.27 mmol, 3.00 eq) and PPh<sub>3</sub> (1.62 g, 6.18 mmol, 2.00 eq) at 0 °C under argon. Then I<sub>2</sub> (1.57 g, 6.18 mmol, 2.00 eq) in anhydrous  $CH_2Cl_2$  (8 mL) was added dropwisely to the reaction mixture, and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt over 1 h. After being stirred for another 2 h at the same temperature, the reaction mixture was diluted with MTBE (26 mL) and quenched with saturated aqueous Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (13 mL). The immiscible mixture was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with MTBE (26 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (13 mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (column size: L, heptane/EtOAc = 100/0 to 80/20) to give **18** (1.36 g, 83%) as white crystals. The spectroscopic data of **18** were in good agreement with those reported in the literature<sup>1, 4-6)</sup>.

mp: 106–107 °C

 $[\alpha]_D^{23.3} - 83.7 (c \ 1.00, \text{CHCl}_3)$ 

IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 3007, 2956, 2934, 2861, 1732, 1658, 1611, 1584, 1441, 1377, 1309, 1252, 1173, 1093, 847, 763, 749, 418, 408 cm<sup>-1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  11.3 (s, 1H), 6.33 (s, 1H), 4.75 (dd, J = 12.0, 5.5 Hz, 1H) 4.22 (dd, J = 8.5, 8.5 Hz 1H), 3.93 (s, 3H), 3.87–3.78 (m, 1H), 3.69 (dd. J = 8.5, 8.5 Hz, 1H), 3.43 (dd. J = 10.0, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 3.18 (dd. J = 10.0, 8.5 Hz, 1H), 2.76 (dd, J = 17.5, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 2.60 (dd, J = 17.5, 11.0 Hz, 1H), 2.56–2.49 (m, 1H), 2.22 (dd, J = 12.0, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 1.93 (dd, J = 12.0, 12.0 Hz, 1H), 1.85–1.79 (m, 1H), 1.68–1.29 (m, 8H), 1.10 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 0.90 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 171.5, 162.1, 151.8, 141.4, 112.6, 110.0, 108.7, 99.9, 75.1, 73.6, 68.0, 52.2, 49.6, 46.1, 36.4, 34.5, 33.8, 31.8, 25.1, 22.6, 14.0, 11.7, 7.5.

HRMS [ESI]: calcd for  $C_{23}H_{31}O_6INa [M+Na]^+ 553.1058$ , found 553.1060.

# Methyl (2*S*,3*S*,3a'*S*,4*S*,5'*R*)-8'-hydroxy-4-((*S*)-3-(methoxycarbonyl)-3-methyl-2-oxopentyl)-3-methyl-5'pentyl-3',3a',4,5,5',6'-hexahydro-3H-spiro[furan-2,2'-pyrano[2,3,4-de]chromene]-9'-carboxylate (19)



Following the experimental procedure reported by Rodríguez<sup>1)</sup> and Wang<sup>5)</sup>, side-chain was installed via substitution reaction between cyanohydrin **3** and iodide **18**.

To a stirred solution of diisopropylamine (1.62 mL, 11.5 mmol, 4.50 eq) in anhydrous THF (40 mL) was added a solution of *n*-BuLi in THF (1.6 M, 6.36 mL, 10.2 mmol, 4.00 eq) at -78 °C under argon. After being stirred for 30 min, cyanohydrin **3** (1.24 g, 5.09 mmol, 2.00 eq) in anhydrous THF (10 mL) was added dropwisely to the reaction mixture and stirred for additional 30 min at the same temperature. Then DMPU (1.22 mL, 10.2 mmol, 4.00 eq) and iodide **18** (1.35 g, 2.55 mmol) in THF (10 mL) were added dropwisely, and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to -60 °C over 1 h. After being stirred for another 2 h at the same temperature, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (27 mL). The mixture was allowed to warm to rt and extracted with MTBE (2 × 27 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (14 mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated.

The residue was dissolved into MeOH (27 mL), and tetrabutylammonium fluoride in THF (1 M, 7.64 mL, 7.64 mmol, 3.00 eq) was added at 0 °C under argon. After being stirred for 3 h at the same temperature, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (27 mL). The mixture was allowed to warm to rt and extracted with MTBE ( $2 \times 27$  mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (14 mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (column size: L, heptane/EtOAc = 100/0 to 80/20) to give **19** (1.13 g, 81%) as a colorless amorphous mass. The spectroscopic data of **19** were in good agreement with those reported in the literature<sup>1, 4-6</sup>.

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{23.2}$  –48.3 (*c* 1.18, CHCl<sub>3</sub>)

IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 3028, 2955, 2935, 2861, 1731, 1712, 1658, 1611, 1441, 1376, 1309, 1251, 1173, 1092, 1003, 773, 764, 740, 456, 435, 411 cm<sup>-1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  11.4 (s, 1H), 6.31 (s, 1H), 4.75 (dd, J = 12.5, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 4.34 (dd, J = 8.5, 8.5 Hz 1H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 3.83–3.77 (m, 1H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 3.46 (dd. J = 8.5, 8.5 Hz, 1H), 2.81–2.74 (m, 3H), 2.60 (dd, J = 17.5, 11.0 Hz, 1H), 2.46 (dd, J = 18.5, 10.0 Hz, 1H), 2.16 (dd, J = 12.0, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 2.03–1.98 (m, 1H), 1.97–1.93 (m, 1H), 1.88–1.80 (m, 1H), 1.74–1.68 (m, 1H), 1.67–1.62 (m, 1H), 1.56–1.47 (m, 2H), 1.41–1.26 (m, 5H), 1.35 (s, 3H), 1.04 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 0.90–0.89 (m, 3H), 0.86 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  206.8, 173.5, 171.6, 162.1, 152.0, 141.2, 112.6, 108.7, 108.4, 100.0, 75.1, 72.9, 68.2, 59.9, 52.4, 52.2, 48.3, 41.7, 39.0, 36.4, 34.5, 33.7, 31.8, 27.9, 25.1, 22.6, 18.4, 14.0, 11.6, 8.7. HRMS [ESI]: calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>43</sub>O<sub>9</sub> [M+H]<sup>+</sup> 547.2900, found 547.2902. (2*S*,3*S*,3a'*S*,4*S*,5'*R*)-8'-hydroxy-4-((*S*)-3-(methoxycarbonyl)-3-methyl-2-oxopentyl)-3-methyl-5'-pentyl-3',3a',4,5,5',6'-hexahydro-3H-spiro[furan-2,2'-pyrano[2,3,4-de]chromene]-9'-carboxylic acid ((–)-Berkelic Acid, 1')



To a stirred solution of berkelic acid methyl ester (19) (5.00 mg, 9.15 µmol) in toluene (150 µL) was added Bu<sub>2</sub>SnO (2.28 mg, 9.15 µmol, 1.00 eq) at room temperature, and the reaction mixture was warmed to 100 °C under argon. After being stirred for 2 h at 100 °C, the reaction mixture was diluted with MTBE (1 mL) and 1 M HCl (1 mL) and vigorously stirred for 15 min. Then, the immiscible mixture was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with MTBE (1 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (1 mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by preparative thin layer chromatography (heptane/EtOAc/HCO<sub>2</sub>H = 100/30/2) to afford **1'** (3.81 mg, 78%) as a white amorphous mass. The spectroscopic data of **1'** were in good agreement with those reported in the literature<sup>1, 3-8)</sup>.

[α]<sub>D</sub><sup>23.3</sup> –99.9 (*c* 0.402, CHCl<sub>3</sub>)

IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 3245, 3028, 2931, 2859, 1712, 1693, 1584, 1458, 1436, 1248, 1175, 1072, 1002, 886, 809, 651, 560 cm<sup>-1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  11.84 (s, 1H, OH), 10.97 (brs, 1H, CO<sub>2</sub>H), 6.44 (s, 1H, H<sub>4</sub>), 4.79 (dd, *J* = 12.0, 5.5 Hz, 1H, H<sub>15</sub>), 4.46 (t, *J* = 8.5 Hz 1H, H<sub>26a</sub>), 3.85–3.80 (m, 1H, H<sub>9</sub>), 3.76 (s, 3H, CO<sub>2</sub>Me), 3.61 (dd. *J* = 8.5, 8.5 Hz, 1H, H<sub>26b</sub>), 2.87 (dd, *J* = 17.5, 3.0 Hz, 1H, H<sub>20</sub>), 2.81 (dd, *J* = 17.0, 4.0 Hz, 1H, H<sub>8a</sub>), 2.62 (dd, *J* = 17.0, 11.0 Hz, 1H, H<sub>8b</sub>), 2.56–2.49 (m, 1H, H<sub>19</sub>), 2.45 (dd, *J* = 17.5, 11.0 Hz, 1H, H<sub>20</sub>), 2.23 (dd, *J* = 12.5, 5.5 Hz, 1H, H<sub>16a</sub>), 2.08 (dd, *J* = 12.5, 12.5 Hz, 1H, H<sub>20b</sub>), 2.01–1.94 (m, 1H, H<sub>23a</sub>), 1.92–1.86 (m, 1H, H<sub>18</sub>), 1.85–1.79 (m, 1H, H<sub>23b</sub>), 1.69–1.63 (m, 1H, H<sub>10a</sub>), 1.56–1.51 (m, 3H, H<sub>10b</sub>, H<sub>11</sub>), 1.41–1.26 (m, 4H, H<sub>12</sub>, H<sub>13</sub>), 1.34 (s, 3H, H<sub>27</sub>), 1.11 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 3H, H<sub>25</sub>), 0.91 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H, H<sub>14</sub>), 0.85 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 3H, H<sub>24</sub>).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  206.0 (C<sub>21</sub>), 173.4 (C<sub>28</sub>), 170.5 (C<sub>1</sub>), 162.6 (C<sub>3</sub>), 149.8 (C<sub>7</sub>), 142.2 (C<sub>5</sub>), 112.2 (C<sub>6</sub>), 112.2 (C<sub>17</sub>), 110.5 (C<sub>4</sub>), 98.7 (C<sub>2</sub>), 75.2 (C<sub>9</sub>), 73.6 (C<sub>26</sub>), 67.3 (C<sub>15</sub>), 59.8 (C<sub>22</sub>), 52.5 (OMe), 48.3 (C<sub>18</sub>), 41.6 (C<sub>20</sub>), 39.4 (C<sub>19</sub>), 36.3 (C<sub>10</sub>), 34.4 (C<sub>8</sub>), 34.4 (C<sub>16</sub>), 31.8 (C<sub>12</sub>), 28.0 (C<sub>23</sub>), 25.0 (C<sub>11</sub>), 22.6 (C<sub>13</sub>), 18.5 (C<sub>27</sub>), 14.0 (C<sub>14</sub>), 12.0 (C<sub>25</sub>), 8.7 (C<sub>24</sub>).

HRMS [ESI]: calcd for  $C_{29}H_{41}O_9 [M+H]^+$  533.2745, found 533.2752.

Table S1: Comparison of <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectral data reported by Stierle and co-workers for (-)-berkelic acid in CDCl<sub>3</sub> with compound 1'.



Desition	Natural (–)-berkelic acid <sup>7)</sup>	Synthetic compound 1'	
Position	(500 MHz, Stierle and co-workers)	(500 MHz)	
ОН	11.82 (s, 1H)	11.84 (s, 1H)	
CO <sub>2</sub> H	-	10.97 (brs, 1H)	
H <sub>4</sub>	6.41 (brs, 1H)	6.44 (s, 1H)	
H <sub>15</sub>	4.76 (dd, <i>J</i> = 12.2, 5.7 Hz, 1H)	4.79 (dd, <i>J</i> = 12.0, 5.5 Hz, 1H)	
H <sub>26a</sub>	4.43 (t, <i>J</i> = 8.8 Hz, 1H)	4.46 (t, <i>J</i> = 8.5 Hz, 1H)	
H <sub>9</sub>	3.80 (m, 1H)	3.85–3.80 (m, 1H)	
CO <sub>2</sub> Me	3.73 (s, 3H)	3.76 (s, 3H)	
H <sub>26b</sub>	3.58 (t, <i>J</i> = 8.8 Hz, 1H)	3.61 (dd, <i>J</i> = 8.5, 8.5 Hz, 1H)	
H <sub>20a</sub>	2.84 (dd, <i>J</i> = 17.0, 2.5 Hz, 1H)	2.87 (dd, <i>J</i> = 17.5, 3.0 Hz, 1H)	
H <sub>8a</sub>	2.77 (dd, <i>J</i> = 17.6, 4.0Hz, 1H)	2.81 (dd, <i>J</i> = 17.0, 4.0Hz, 1H)	
H <sub>8b</sub>	2.59 (dd, <i>J</i> = 17.6, 11.0 Hz, 1H)	2.62 (dd, <i>J</i> = 17.0, 11.0 Hz, 1H)	
H <sub>19</sub>	2.50 (m, 1H)	2.56–2.49 (m, 1H)	
H <sub>20b</sub>	2.42 (dd, <i>J</i> = 17.0, 10.3 Hz, 1H)	2.45 (dd, <i>J</i> = 17.5, 11.0 Hz, 1H)	
H <sub>16a</sub>	2.20 (dd, <i>J</i> = 12.2, 5.7 Hz, 1H)	2.23 (dd, <i>J</i> = 12.5, 5.5 Hz, 1H)	
H <sub>16b</sub>	2.05 (t, $J = 12.2$ Hz, 1H)	2.08 (dd, <i>J</i> = 12.5, 12.5 Hz, 1H)	
H <sub>23a</sub>	1.93 (m, 1H)	2.01–1.94 (m, 1H)	
${ m H}_{18}$	1.87 (m, 1H)	1.92–1.86 (m, 1H)	
H <sub>23b</sub>	1.84 (m, 1H)	1.85–1.79 (m, 1H)	
H <sub>10a</sub>	1.61 (m, 1H)	1.69–1.63 (m, 1H)	
H <sub>10b</sub>	1.50 (m, 1H)	1.56 1.51 (m 211)	
H <sub>11</sub>	1.50 (m, 2H)	1.30–1.31 (m, 3H)	
H <sub>12</sub>	1 20 (m. 411)	1 41 1 26 ( 411)	
H <sub>13</sub>	1.30 (m, 4H)	1.41–1.26 (m, 4H)	
H <sub>27</sub>	1.32 (s, 3H)	1.34 (s, 3H)	
H <sub>25</sub>	1.07 (d, $J = 6.7$ Hz, 3H)	1.11 (d, $J = 6.5$ Hz, 3H)	
H <sub>14</sub>	0.88 (t, 3H)	0.91 (t, <i>J</i> = 7.0 Hz 3H)	
H <sub>24</sub>	0.83 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 3H)	0.85 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H)	

**Table S2**: Comparison of <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data reported by Stierle and co-workers for (-)-berkelic acid in CDCl<sub>3</sub> with compound **1**'.

	Natural (–)-berkelic acid <sup>7)</sup>	Synthetic compound 1?	
Position	(125 MHz, Stierle and co-	(125 MIL-)	
	workers)	(125  WHZ)	
C <sub>21</sub>	206.1	206.0	
C <sub>28</sub>	173.4	173.4	
C1	170.5	170.5	
C <sub>3</sub>	162.5	162.6	
C <sub>7</sub>	149.8	149.8	
C5	142.2	142.2	
C <sub>17</sub>	112.2	112.2	
$C_6$	112.1	112.2	
C4	110.5	110.5	
C <sub>2</sub>	98.6	98.7	
C9	75.2	75.2	
C <sub>26</sub>	73.5	73.6	
C15	67.2	67.3	
C <sub>22</sub>	59.7	59.8	
OMe	52.5	52.5	
C <sub>18</sub>	48.2	48.3	
C <sub>20</sub>	41.6	41.6	
C19	39.3	39.4	
C <sub>10</sub>	36.2	36.3	
$C_8$	34.3	34.4	
C <sub>16</sub>	34.3	34.4	
C <sub>12</sub>	31.7	31.8	
C <sub>23</sub>	27.9	28.0	
C <sub>11</sub>	25.0	25.0	
C <sub>13</sub>	22.6	22.6	
C <sub>27</sub>	18.4	18.5	
C <sub>14</sub>	14.0	14.0	
C <sub>25</sub>	12.0	12.0	
C <sub>24</sub>	8.7	8.7	

#### Calculation of the stable conformers

Models were built on Spartan'20. Conformational search with MMFF force field was performed with default settings, and the conformers within 20 kJ/mol from the global minimum conformer were kept. Against these conformers, the following calculation steps were conducted: (i) structural optimizations at the HF/3-21G level in which the conformers were kept within 20 kJ/mol of the global minimum, (ii) energy estimation at the  $\omega$ B97X-D/6-31G\* level in which the conformers were kept within 10 kJ/mol of the global minimum, (iii) structural optimization at  $\omega$ B97X-D/6-31G\* in which the conformers were kept within 5 kJ/mol of the global minimum, and (iv) energy estimation at the  $\omega$ B97X-V/6-311+G(2df,2p)[6-311G\*] level and the calculation of Boltzmann distribution of each isomer based on the obtained energy. The geometry and the energy of these stable conformers are provided as follows.

#### **Results of the Calculation**

Cartesian geometries and energy of the most stable conformer of 16a



Energ	gy (ωB97X-V/6-31	1+G(2df,2p)[6-3110	G*]) = -768.310906	au
тт	0 162 191	2 044050	4 066220	

п	0.403481	-2.944030	-4.900239
С	0.354187	-2.476814	-3.992844
С	0.084027	-1.279972	-1.494954
С	0.490594	-3.234950	-2.829337
С	0.074913	-1.120174	-3.897513
С	-0.064019	-0.502935	-2.651817
С	0.356109	-2.642255	-1.579975
Н	0.707117	-4.297040	-2.895307
Н	-0.038637	-0.519423	-4.796626
Н	0.458160	-3.214831	-0.664014
С	-0.376093	0.907950	-2.475989
Н	-0.636891	1.496553	-3.352028
С	-0.337087	1.458797	-1.260870
Н	-0.546917	2.510646	-1.094561
С	0.084401	0.662807	-0.062048
0	-0.098311	-0.744055	-0.262075
0	1.437641	0.929897	0.191794
С	1.716673	0.774731	1.585121
Н	2.437204	-0.038680	1.721436
Н	2.159456	1.708708	1.944705
С	-0.636457	1.004117	1.247636
Н	-0.655650	2.098674	1.312960

С	0.375695	0.491679	2.280443
Н	0.239548	-0.590534	2.396682
С	-2.049083	0.457110	1.375184
Н	-2.050795	-0.635556	1.335588
Н	-2.683407	0.825332	0.561947
Н	-2.500766	0.774261	2.321192
С	0.249977	1.124411	3.657011
Н	-0.718642	0.840865	4.097459
Н	1.039294	0.726526	4.314665
0	0.357824	2.528846	3.526890
Н	0.306453	2.919360	4.405484

Cartesian geometries and energy of the most stable conformer of 16b



16b

С

Ο

0

С

Η

Η

С

Н

0.061983

-0.156832

1.358566

1.393877

2.019655

1.854263

-0.056489

-0.250009



Energy (	Energy ( $\omega$ B97X-V/6-311+G(2df,2p)[6-311G*]) = -768.308498 au				
Н	1.268184	-2.086293	-4.947931		
С	0.979678	-1.715342	-3.970218		
С	0.252915	-0.765703	-1.458827		
С	0.853910	-2.594324	-2.894068		
С	0.731722	-0.362264	-3.782837		
С	0.365033	0.131805	-2.528686		
С	0.489975	-2.124925	-1.638078		
Н	1.044141	-3.654401	-3.033295		
Н	0.821415	0.331952	-4.614677		
Н	0.387192	-2.793487	-0.789696		
С	0.067467	1.531545	-2.261550		
Н	-0.003369	2.218615	-3.100975		
С	-0.110137	1.952158	-1.007429		
Н	-0.316156	2.991443	-0.771345		

1.016895

-0.345051

1.170333

0.914316

0.039172

1.784609

0.697974

1.265920

0.154581

-0.234239

0.654135

2.062755

2.262988

2.542713

2.531039

3.447155

С	-0.860346	1.283970	1.354297
Н	-0.858568	2.374367	1.480738
С	-2.299237	0.819461	1.175925
Н	-2.353036	-0.253735	0.979195
Н	-2.760913	1.334254	0.326709
Н	-2.891109	1.042187	2.069725
С	-0.346433	-0.763824	2.850281
Н	-0.123232	-1.389540	1.977007
Н	-1.411705	-0.887017	3.096357
0	0.468103	-1.108955	3.958646
Н	0.379492	-2.056117	4.109606

Cartesian geometries and energy of the most stable conformer of 16c



Energy (ωB97X-V/6-311+	G(2df,2p)[6-311G*])	= -768.307539au
------------------------	---------------------	-----------------

Н	-0.910107	1.059068	-5.412352
С	-0.816357	0.804697	-4.361677
С	-0.575557	0.162654	-1.666913
С	-1.863463	1.068975	-3.478477
С	0.346618	0.211033	-3.889203
С	0.483936	-0.118189	-2.538819
С	-1.748496	0.749367	-2.131222
Н	-2.776473	1.531821	-3.841499
Н	1.167236	-0.004985	-4.569247
Н	-2.552259	0.946589	-1.429196
С	1.660093	-0.761778	-1.973476
Н	2.426653	-1.130035	-2.650918
С	1.791294	-0.893631	-0.651294
Н	2.673996	-1.342517	-0.210910
С	0.767648	-0.309685	0.279988
0	-0.513493	-0.198933	-0.359511
0	1.220338	0.953945	0.668829
С	0.489980	1.370793	1.823641
Н	-0.318019	2.051763	1.533822
Н	1.186176	1.915418	2.464655

С	0.474380	-1.048606	1.602905
Η	-0.285415	-1.815391	1.422687
С	-0.068615	0.093639	2.490337
Н	0.320589	-0.001580	3.510141
С	1.716276	1.693826	2.215905
Η	1.483749	-2.071269	3.215859
Η	2.527500	-0.963954	2.310985
Η	2.077072	-2.536810	1.618760
С	-1.586857	0.110686	2.588519
Η	-1.938246	-0.849218	2.999752
Н	-2.015765	0.226342	1.583454
0	-1.943938	1.188538	3.434873
Н	-2.900472	1.295080	3.399604

Cartesian geometries and energy of the most stable conformer of 16d





Η	1.330831	-0.013831	-5.667441
С	1.037169	0.102757	-4.629428
С	0.294727	0.402068	-1.966059
С	1.228118	1.321752	-3.977431
С	0.465417	-0.960157	-3.943328
С	0.087189	-0.827250	-2.605245
С	0.857831	1.476631	-2.647090
Η	1.673494	2.157703	-4.507967

Н	1.6/3494	2.15//03	-4.50/96/
Н	0.306265	-1.912936	-4.442350
Н	0.997422	2.416458	-2.122749
С	-0.534762	-1.887575	-1.825200
Н	-0.847549	-2.794132	-2.336967
С	-0.709394	-1.745945	-0.508918
Н	-1.139689	-2.535015	0.096201
С	-0.199121	-0.526427	0.200869
0	-0.110581	0.597837	-0.684426
0	1.078823	-0.834815	0.703494
С	1.388887	0.028270	1.794149
Н	2.239578	0.664905	1.527489

Η	1.682971	-0.599873	2.646014
С	-0.986507	0.001609	1.416290
Н	-1.805507	0.635302	1.069567
С	0.118075	0.842636	2.093084
Н	0.181413	1.796296	1.554132
С	-1.546611	-1.107605	2.303667
Η	-1.998700	-0.671541	3.197262
Η	-0.766857	-1.812386	2.612577
Н	-2.327033	-1.670591	1.783803
С	-0.071341	1.137149	3.574958
Н	0.783503	1.725625	3.944119
Н	-0.090100	0.206952	4.150361
0	-1.295858	1.784736	3.854956
Н	-1.322103	2.601392	3.341606

Cartesian geometries and energy of the most stable conformer of 2



# Energy $(\omega B97X-V/6-311+G(2df,2p)[6-311G^*]) = -1421.443543au$

С	-3.304906	1.000510	-0.854234
С	-0.800875	1.553732	-2.030006
С	-3.194402	1.861363	-1.982154
С	-2.112054	0.415174	-0.356417
С	-0.880637	0.662097	-0.957367
С	-1.947219	2.136286	-2.543398
Н	-1.904921	2.827471	-3.378890
С	-4.642580	0.797727	-0.277898
0	-5.661814	1.302861	-0.748021
0	-4.714588	0.026753	0.808446
0	-4.249101	2.457909	-2.545183
Н	-5.048460	2.183834	-2.039746
С	-6.021831	-0.145389	1.351816
Н	-6.447302	0.815493	1.650529

Н	-5.886322	-0.788807	2.221113
Η	-6.685978	-0.617298	0.624211
0	-2.213729	-0.355599	0.744055
С	-1.067957	-1.032570	1.284949
С	0.201232	-0.227668	1.071182
Н	1.050346	-0.782231	1.476960
Η	0.123963	0.734146	1.589280
С	0.367737	0.006862	-0.417843
Η	0.510583	-0.970605	-0.906449
0	1.507804	0.801692	-0.653630
С	1.682657	1.036761	-2.042302
Η	1.640144	0.066600	-2.568464
С	0.559957	1.935737	-2.566412
Η	0.553674	1.923677	-3.662484
С	-1.448292	-1.368151	2.728307
Η	-0.540284	-1.750932	3.208450
0	-0.925723	-2.268387	0.650736
С	-1.812379	-3.218606	1.246475
Η	-1.222618	-4.102812	1.503789
Η	-2.585281	-3.497014	0.522774
С	-2.404100	-2.549525	2.498948
Η	-3.397311	-2.148309	2.264962
С	-2.026914	-0.220773	3.541997
Η	-1.308283	0.600541	3.635805
Η	-2.273683	-0.555893	4.555523
Η	-2.932567	0.169852	3.069447
С	-2.545134	-3.488206	3.685747
Η	-3.219402	-4.316845	3.416178
Н	-3.011232	-2.944887	4.522830
0	-1.264581	-3.970796	4.042132
Н	-1.365738	-4.587319	4.775137
Н	0.764941	2.971214	-2.263222
С	3.059295	1.652123	-2.238159
Η	3.102540	2.582152	-1.655552
Η	3.167272	1.933252	-3.294452
С	4.202039	0.727467	-1.823196
Η	4.161697	-0.192334	-2.424127
Η	4.050747	0.424787	-0.780659
С	5.576529	1.375913	-1.981122
Н	5.614978	2.295243	-1.379290

Н	5.717406	1.688942	-3.025852
С	6.728062	0.457833	-1.570947
Н	6.691588	-0.459044	-2.174383
Н	6.584815	0.144347	-0.528545
С	8.097526	1.117129	-1.724704
Н	8.904443	0.440003	-1.426150
Н	8.169963	2.018865	-1.105933
Н	8.276260	1.413654	-2.764588

Cartesian geometries and energy of the most stable conformer of  ${\bf 2}$ 



Energy	(ωB97X-V/6-311+0	G(2df,2p)[6-311G*	]) = -1421.438975au
С	3.207579	0.376053	-0.782367
С	2.330749	0.552214	1.905224
С	4.100114	0.150926	0.303316
С	1.881341	0.723301	-0.456795
С	1.461615	0.844736	0.856660
С	3.646316	0.218099	1.624656
Н	4.351413	-0.012899	2.416358
С	3.710455	0.175783	-2.152162
0	4.884845	-0.080781	-2.406663
Ο	2.801548	0.266534	-3.120201
Ο	5.386800	-0.163803	0.124277
Н	5.551056	-0.196742	-0.844974
С	3.263993	0.014813	-4.447719
Н	3.754683	-0.958689	-4.505948
Н	3.967451	0.788779	-4.761156
Н	2.368470	0.031264	-5.070201
0	0.959607	0.996068	-1.413950
С	-0.306948	0.306180	-1.285249
С	-0.846806	0.356467	0.151833

Η	-0.846192	-0.663761	0.546491
Н	-1.879150	0.720019	0.159022
С	0.025369	1.241153	1.053770
Н	-0.102562	2.286455	0.743097
0	-0.393703	1.200232	2.401351
С	0.256876	0.228839	3.209782
Н	0.153380	-0.765886	2.746866
С	1.749583	0.557269	3.295741
Н	2.268514	-0.170801	3.928908
С	-1.176301	0.913482	-2.391410
Н	-2.181235	0.489587	-2.252125
0	-0.139934	-1.025726	-1.657121
С	-0.125368	-1.118269	-3.086614
Н	-0.847569	-1.891175	-3.374161
Н	0.867468	-1.423760	-3.422133
С	-0.525095	0.266969	-3.621691
Н	0.379660	0.833464	-3.864990
С	-1.257145	2.432638	-2.398132
Н	-1.661811	2.808228	-1.451097
Н	-1.914393	2.785018	-3.200234
Н	-0.266344	2.871707	-2.542889
С	-1.389228	0.202739	-4.862341
Н	-1.696138	1.216853	-5.161751
Н	-2.303739	-0.376190	-4.651487
0	-0.613299	-0.415034	-5.875001
Н	-1.151863	-0.487057	-6.670715
Н	1.865947	1.544302	3.761783
С	-0.444318	0.227026	4.560110
Н	-0.242710	1.181577	5.062524
Н	0.012223	-0.561394	5.173214
С	-1.958565	0.010223	4.470790
Н	-2.365936	-0.020685	5.490527
Н	-2.412810	0.876352	3.975340
С	-2.367370	-1.260478	3.724529
Н	-1.835094	-2.127661	4.143605
Н	-2.056398	-1.183469	2.673871
С	-3.873096	-1.520843	3.768607
Н	-4.400996	-0.640859	3.377274
Н	-4.192922	-1.631092	4.813344
С	-4.281606	-2.758867	2.972520

Η	-5.362536	-2.926229	3.016714
Η	-3.787295	-3.656298	3.360820
Η	-4.000580	-2.656901	1.918352

#### **Evaluation of cytotoxicity**

Stock cultures of cancer cells (HeLa S3: ATCC CCL-2.2, HCT-116: ATCC CCL-247, MCF-7: ATCC HTB-22) were maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (nacalai tesque) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (gibco) and 1% antibiotic (penicillin-streptomycin mixed solution, nacalai tesque) at 37 °C under 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. For the purpose of the experiment, 2 x 10<sup>3</sup> cells suspended in 100  $\mu$ L of medium per well were plated in 96-well plate and incubated at 37 °C under 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. After incubation for 24 h, a solution of compound in DMSO (1  $\mu$ L, concentration: 0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1, 10 mM, respectively) was added to the above-mentioned well, resulting in final concentrations of the compound (0.01, 0.1, 1, 100  $\mu$ M) or solvent control (1% DMSO). After additional incubation for 72 h under the same conditions, 1.4 mg/mL MTT solution in phosphate buffer saline (100  $\mu$ L) was added to the cell culture. After 4 h, the culture medium was removed, and the precipitated formazan product was dissolved in DMSO (150  $\mu$ L). Optical density at 570 nm was measured with a TECAN microplate reader (Infinite 200 Pro). All assays were performed in triplicate to confirm reproducibility.

Table S3: Cytotoxicity (IC50: µM) of synthetic 1' and 19

Cell line	1'	19
HeLa S3	$46\pm7.4$	$32\pm1.4$
MCF-7	$41\pm0.83$	> 100
HCT-116	> 100	> 100
















S37





S39











S44


























































## References

- 1) Fañanás, F. J.; Mendoza, A.; Arto, T.; Temelli, B.; Rodríguez, F. Angew Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 4930.
- 2) Mlynarski, S. N.; Schuster, C. H.; Morken, J. P. Nature 2014, 505, 386.
- 3) Bender, C. F.; Yoshimoto, F. K.; Paradise, C. L.; De Brabander, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 11350.
- Buchgraber, P.; Snaddon, T. N.; Wirtz, C.; Mynott, R.; Goddard, R.; Fürstner, A. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 8450.
- 5) Wang, H-H.; Wang, X-D.; Cao, F.; Gao, W-W.; Ma, S-M.; Li, Z.; Deng, X-M.; Shi, T.; Wang, Z. Org. Chem. Front. 2021, 8, 82.
- 6) Cheng, H-G.; Yang, Z.; Chen, R.; Cao, L.; Tong, W-Y.; Wei, Q.; Wang, Q.; Wu, C.; Qu, S.; Zhou, Q. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2021**, *60*, 5141.
- 7) Stierle, A. A.; Stierle, D. B.; Kelly, K. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 5357.
- 8) Wu. X.; Zhou, J.; Snider, B. B. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 1283.