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## Materials and Methods

## 1, General Materials, Methods and Equipment

Except otherwise noted, reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Tokyo Chemical Industry (TCI), Nacalai Tesque (Nacalai), and FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemicals (Wako) and solvents were from Nacalai and Wako. They were used without further purification. Chloroform- $d\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ including $0.03 \%$ tetramethylsilane (TMS) was purchased from Eurisotop. Reversed phase high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analyses and purifications were performed using LC-20AD pump and SPD-20A detector (Shimadzu) equipped with COSMOSIL 5C18 AR-II 10 ID $\times 150 \mathrm{~mm}$ reversed phase column (Nacalai) at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were obtained on JNM-ECZ600R (JEOL). Electrospray ionization mass spectra (ESI MS) were obtained on Thermo Exactive plus spectrometer (Thermo Fischer Scientific). Matrix assisted laser desorption/ionization coupled to time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) was performed on a Microflex-KSII (Bruker). When performing analytical polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE), gels were stained with SYBR Gold (Thermo Fischer Scientific) and then visualized by use of WSE-6100 LuminoGraph I (ATTO). Concentrations of oligonucleotides used in this study were determined by measuring the absorbance at $\lambda=260 \mathrm{~nm}$, and each DNA solution was stored in distilled water (Otsuka Pharmaceutical) at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until use.

## 2, Synthesis of Phosphoramidites

All reactions were monitored with Silicagel $70 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ TLC plate (Wako) using iodine ( $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ ) vapor and UV irradiation for the detection, and column chromatography was carried out using Wakosil 60, 64-210 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ (Wako).

## Synthesis of Compound 1



To pentaerythritol tetraallyl ether ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 16.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added dropwise 0.5 M of 9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane (9-BBN) in tetrahydrofuran (THF) (135 $\mathrm{mL}, 67.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ eq.), then the reaction was carried out at room temperature for 18 h under argon atmosphere. The reaction was opened to the air, and then water ( 10 mL ) was added. After cooling down to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{M}$ of aqueous sodium hydroxide ( NaOH )
solution ( $67 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added. Subsequently, 40 mL of $30 \%$ of aqueous hydrogen peroxide $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ was carefully added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature, then precipitation was removed by filtration. The aqueous phase was extracted three times with THF. After concentration of organic phase in vacuo, the resulting residue was dissolved in pyridine ( 25 mL ) and acetic anhydride ( 25 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h . After concentration of the mixture in vacuo, the resulting crude residue was purified by column chromatography with hexane/ethyl acetate (EtOAc) (4:1 to 2:3 gradient) to afford compound $\mathbf{1}(7.59 \mathrm{~g}, 14.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 83 \%)$ as colorless liquid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.13(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{t}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H})$, 1.86 (quint, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) d 171.14, 69.62, 67.60, 61.83 , 45.32, 28.87, 21.00, ESI-HR MS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{NaO}_{12}{ }^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 559.2725$, found 559.2721.

## Synthesis of Compound 2



Compound $\mathbf{1}$ ( $2.39 \mathrm{~g}, 4.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $28 \%$ sodium methoxide methanol solution and then stirred at room temperature for 1 h . After concentration of the mixture in vacuo, the resulting residue was purified by column chromatography with dichloromethane ( DCM )/methanol (MeOH) (12:1) to afford compound $2(1.67 \mathrm{~g}$, 4.53 mmol , quant) as colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 3.75(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 8 H ), 3.59 (t, $J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.39 (s, 8 H ), 3.27 (brs, 4 H ), 1.80 (quint, $J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 70.90,70.38,61.37,44.60,31.63$. ESI-HR MS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{8}{ }^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 369.2483$, found 369.2487.

## Synthesis of Compound 3



Compound 2 ( $306 \mathrm{mg}, 0.830 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in anhydrous pyridine 3.0 mL . To the solution were added 4,4'-dimethoxytrityl chloride ( 702 mg , $2.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ eq.) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.083 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.10$
eq.), and then the reaction was carried out at room temperature for 3 h under argon atmosphere. The resulting mixture was partitioned between EtOAc and saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate $\left(\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}\right)$ solution. The aqueous phase was extracted three times with EtOAc. Combined organic phase was washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and then evaporated to dryness. The resulting crude residue was purified by column chromatography with hexane/EtOAc (4:1 to 1:1 gradient) to afford compound $\mathbf{3}$ as a pale yellow solid ( $275 \mathrm{mg}, 0.216 \mathrm{mmol}, 26 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 7.16 (t, J = $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.79 (d, J = $8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 13 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.75 ( $\mathrm{s}, 18 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.67 (q, J = 5.5 Hz , $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 1.79 (quint, J = $6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.70 (quint, $\mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 158.29,145.28,136.63,129.99,128.19,127.70,126.58,112.96,85.74,71.25$, $71.02,69.99,68.63,62.50,60.62,55.16,45.00,31.75,30.20$. ESI-HR MS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{80} \mathrm{H}_{90} \mathrm{NaO}_{14}{ }^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$1297.6223, found 1297.6221.

## Synthesis of Compound 4



Compound $\mathbf{3}$ ( $301 \mathrm{mg}, 0.236 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in anhydrous DCM $2.0 \mathrm{~mL} . N, N$-Diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA) ( $123 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.708 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added to the solution. 2-Cyanoethyl $N, N$-diisopropylchlorophosphoramidite ( $105 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.471 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ eq.) was subsequently added to the reaction solution at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was diluted by saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and the aqueous layer was extracted three times with DCM. The combined organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and then evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by column chromatography with hexane/EtOAc (3:1 to $2: 1$ gradient) containing $1 \%$ triethylamine (TEA) to afford compound 4 ( $307 \mathrm{mg}, 0.208 \mathrm{mmol}, 88 \%$ ) as a pale yellow residue. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.25-7.22$ (m, 4H), 7.16 (t, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.79$ (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 3.78$ $-3.72(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.22(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{td}, J=6.6,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.78$ (quint, $J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 158.26,145.26,136.62,129.96,128.18,127.68,126.56,117.65$,

## Synthesis of Compound 6



6-Bromo-1-hexanol ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in anhydrous DCM 3.5 mL . Then DIPEA ( $577 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added to the solution. Subsequently, 2-cyanoethyl $N, N$-diisopropylchlorophosphoramidite ( $493 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.21$ mmol, 2.0 eq.) was added to the reaction solution at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was diluted by saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and the aqueous layer was extracted three times with DCM. The combined organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by column chromatography with hexane/EtOAc (100:3) containing $1 \%$ triethylamine (TEA) to afford compound $\mathbf{6}(294 \mathrm{mg}, 0.772 \mathrm{mmol}, 70 \%)$ as colorless liquid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 3.89-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.57(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{t}$, $J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.65(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.87 (quint, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.63 (quint, $J=$ $6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-1.17(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $.117 .66,63.52,63.40,58.40,58.20,43.01,42.92,33.88,32.71$, $31.00,30.96,27.84,25.15,24.67,24.63,24.60,24.55,20.39,20.35 .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR (243 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 147.91. ESI-HR MS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$381.1301, found 381.1304.

## 3, Solid-phase Synthesis of Azide-modified Oligonucleotides

For solid-phase synthesis of oligonucleotides, phosphoramidites and controlled pore glasses were purchased from Glen Research, and other reagents were from Wako, Sigma-Aldrich and ChemGenes. Using M-2-MX DNA/RNA synthesizer (Nihon Techno Service), solid-phase synthesis was performed at $1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ scale on a Glen UnySupport ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}} 1000$ controlled pore glass (CPG) for non-labeled oligonucleotides or 3'-(6-FAM) CPG for FAM-labelled ones. Phosphoramidites of natural bases were dissolved in anhydrous acetonitrile (ACN) to prepare $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}(\sim 0.15 \mathrm{M}$ for thymine and $\sim 0.12 \mathrm{M}$ for guanine respectively) solution. Trebler phosphoramidite 4
(synthesized following Scheme S1) and doubler phosphoramidite 5 (Figure S2, purchased from Glen Research) were used to incorporate branching structures. For the incorporation of azide group at the 5'-end, 6-bromohexyl phosphoramidite 6 (synthesized following Scheme S2) was used. Phosphoramidites 4-6 were dissolved in anhydrous ACN to prepare $0.13 \sim 0.14 \mathrm{M}$ solution. Coupling of thymine and guanine was performed for $10 \mathrm{sec} \times 2$. Coupling of phosphoramidites $4-6$ was performed for 10 $\min \times 3$.

In order to replace the bromide group at the $5^{\prime}$ 'end, oligonucleotide-loaded CPG equivalent to $1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ bromide group was suspended with a solution of sodium azide ( 100 mM ) and sodium iodine ( 100 mM ) dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ dimethylformamide (DMF) $1.6-1.7 \mathrm{~mL}$. The suspension was shaken at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 90 min . After removal of solution by centrifugation, CPG was washed with DMF $\times 2$ and ACN $\times 2$.

Cleavage from the solid support and deprotection of oligonucleotides was accomplished by treating the solid support with 1.0 mL of $50: 50$ of methylamine $\left(\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}\right)$ in $40 \mathrm{wt} . \%$ in water and ammonia $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)$ in $28 \mathrm{wt} . \%$ in water at room temperature for 15 min and then at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . After concentration using a speed vac, oligonucleotides were purified using reversed phase HPLC at a flow rate of 3.0 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Solvent A consisted of 50 mM triethylamine acetic acid (TEAA) pH 7.0 dissolved in water and solvent B was ACN. A gradient from $5 \%$ to $75 \%$ of solvent B over 30 min was employed for the purification of 3-Branched $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ and 3-Branched $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ FAM. A gradient from $20 \%$ to $40 \%$ of solvent B over 20 min was employed for the purification of 6-Branched $\mathrm{N}_{3}$, 6-Branched $\mathrm{N}_{3}$-FAM, 9-Branched $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ and 9-Branched $\mathrm{N}_{3}$-FAM. After purification with HPLC followed by lyophilization, products were characterized by ESI MS. The yields and ESI MS results are summarized in Table S2.

## 4, Synthesis of 3'-DBCO-modified Oligonucleotides

Solid-phase synthesis of $3^{\prime}$ 'amine-modified oligonucleotides was performed as describe above using 3'-PT-Amino-Modifier C3 CPG at $1 \mu$ mol scale. After cleavage, deprotection and concentration, $3^{\prime}$ 'amine-modified oligonucleotides were isolated by ethanol precipitation as follows: (i) 0.1 volume of 3 M sodium acetate solution and 2 volumes of ice-cold ethanol were subsequently added to the solution, and the solution was mixed before incubation at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . (ii) The precipitate was pelleted by centrifugation at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , and the supernatant was removed. (iii) To wash the pellet, $70 \%$ ice cold ethanol was added, and centrifugation was performed again at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min , followed by removal of the supernatant. (iv) The pellet was dried at room temperature. For the ethanol precipitation procedure, each centrifugation step was
performed at the maximum speed of the centrifugal machines (approximately 15,000 rpm ). The obtained 3'-amine-modified oligonucleotides were used for the next DBCOconjugation reaction without further purification.

DIPEA ( $7.50 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 43.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added to the solution of 3'-amine-modified crude oligonucleotides dissolved in distilled water $228 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. Then 20 eq. of DBCO-NHS (BroadPharm) dissolved in DMF $228 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ was added, followed by mixing at room temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was subsequently purified using reversed phase HPLC ( $5 \%$ to $75 \%$ ACN in 50 mM TEAA ( pH 7.0 ) buffer over 30 min at flow rate of $3.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ). After purification with HPLC followed by lyophilization, products (AS1411-DBCO or cAS1411-DBCO) were confirmed by ESI MS. The yields and ESI MS results are summarized in Table S2.

## 5, Synthesis of DNA Dendrons

## General Procedure

A solution of $5^{\prime}$-azide-modified oligonucleotides ( 1.0 eq.) in water was added to the solution of 3'-DBCO-modified oligonucleotides ( $\geq 2.5$ eq. per azide group) in water. The resulting solution was mixed with 3 M aqueous sodium chloride $(\mathrm{NaCl})$ solution to make a final concentration of 1.5 M NaCl . The reaction mixture was shaken at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. After confirming completion of the reaction by using denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE), 2 volumes of ice-cold ethanol were added to the mixture and crude DNA was isolated by ethanol precipitation as described previously. The product was purified by denaturing PAGE run on $8 \%$ gel containing 7 M urea. The product band visualized by UV shadowing was excised, pulverized, and extracted in distilled water by shaking at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The gels were removed by filtration through empty Micro Bio-Spin columns (Bio-Rad Laboratories). The purified DNAs were isolated from the supernatant by ethanol precipitation as described previously and were characterized by denaturing PAGE run on $8 \%$ gel containing 7 M urea (Figure 1B). 3- and 6-branched DNA dendrons could also be analyzed by MALDITOF MS (Table S3) following our previous method. ${ }^{1}$

## Synthesis of 3-Branched AS1411

A $56.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ solution of 3-Branched $\mathrm{N}_{3}(60.0 \mathrm{nmol}, 1.0$ eq.) and the $359 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ solution of AS1411-DBCO ( $450 \mathrm{nmol}, 7.5 \mathrm{eq}$.) were used. The obtained product was 52.8 nmol ( $88 \%$ yield for this step, $19 \%$ from solid support).

## Synthesis of 3-Branched AS1411-FAM

A $15.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ solution of 3 -Branched $\mathrm{N}_{3}$-FAM ( $20.0 \mathrm{nmol}, 1.0$ eq.) and the $89.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ solution of AS1411-DBCO ( $150 \mathrm{nmol}, 7.5 \mathrm{eq}$.) were used. The obtained product was 13.1 nmol ( $66 \%$ yield for this step, $17 \%$ from solid support).

## Synthesis of 6-Branched AS1411

A $46.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ solution of 6 -Branched $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ ( $30.0 \mathrm{nmol}, 1.0$ eq.) and $258 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ solution of AS1411-DBCO ( $450 \mathrm{nmol}, 15 \mathrm{eq}$.) were used. The obtained product was 26.3 nmol ( $88 \%$ yield for this step, $9.7 \%$ from solid support).

## Synthesis of 6-Branched AS1411- FAM

A $47.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ solution of 6-Branched $\mathrm{N}_{3}$-FAM ( $\left.18.0 \mathrm{nmol}, 15 \mathrm{eq}.\right)$ and the $196 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ solution of AS1411-DBCO ( $270 \mathrm{nmol}, 15 \mathrm{eq}$.) were used. The obtained product was 12.9 nmol ( $72 \%$ yield for this step, $8.6 \%$ from solid support).

## Synthesis of 9-Branched AS1411

A $41.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ solution of $9-$ Branched $\mathrm{N}_{3}(20.0 \mathrm{nmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) and the $410 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ solution of AS1411-DBCO ( $450 \mathrm{nmol}, 22.5$ eq.) were used. The obtained product was 15.0 nmol ( $75 \%$ yield for this step, $7.5 \%$ from solid support).

## Synthesis of 9-Branched AS1411-FAM

A $48.9 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ solution of 9 -Branched $\mathrm{N}_{3}$-FAM ( $20.0 \mathrm{nmol}, 1.0$ eq.) and $268 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ solution of AS1411-DBCO ( $450 \mathrm{nmol}, 22.5$ eq.) were used. The obtained product was 7.25 nmol ( $36 \%$ yield for this step, $4.3 \%$ from solid support).

## Synthesis of 9-Branched cAS1411-FAM

A $17.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ solution of 9 -Branched $\mathrm{N}_{3}$-FAM ( $8.00 \mathrm{nmol}, 1.0$ eq.) and $213 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ solution of cAS1411-DBCO ( $200 \mathrm{nmol}, 25 \mathrm{eq}$.) were used. The obtained product was 4.20 nmol ( $52 \%$ yield for this step, $6.2 \%$ from solid support).

## 6, CD Spectroscopy

DNAs equivalent to $27 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of the aptamer moiety $(27 \mu \mathrm{M}, 9.0 \mu \mathrm{M}, 6.0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $3.0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for AS1411, 3-Branched AS1411, 6-Branched AS1411 and 9-Branched AS1411 respectively) were prepared in a 10 mM Tris $-\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 7.5)$ and 100 mM KCl buffer. Prior to analysis, samples were annealed by heating to $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min , followed by gradual cooling to room temperature. The CD spectra were measured on a J-820
spectropolarimeter (JASCO) in a 0.2 cm path length quartz cell. All experiments were performed in triplicate and their average was used. The CD spectra were corrected by subtracting the blank and then smoothed. Molar ellipticity based on the amount of aptamer moiety in solution $(27 \mu \mathrm{M})$ is shown in Figure 2A.

## 7, UV-Melting Analysis of G-quadruplex

DNAs equivalent to $5.0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of the aptamer moiety $(5.0 \mu \mathrm{M}, 1.7 \mu \mathrm{M}, 0.83 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $0.56 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for AS1411, 3-Branched AS1411, 6-Branched AS1411 and 9-Branched AS1411 respectively) were prepared in 10 mM Tris $-\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 7.5)$ buffer containing 100 mM KCl . Prior to analysis, DNA samples were annealed by heating to $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min , followed by gradual cooling to room temperature. Thermal denaturation analyses of Gquadruplex were performed on a UV-1800 spectrometer (Shimadzu) having a cell path length of 1 cm equipped with a TMSPC-8 temperature controller. Denaturation profiles were recorded by measuring the absorbance at $\lambda=295 \mathrm{~nm}$ from 25 to $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at a rate of $0.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$. Reported melting temperature $\left(T_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ values shown in Figure 2B are the averages of three individual measurements, and representative melting profiles are shown in Figure S5. $T_{\mathrm{m}}$ values were calculated by average method built in Tm Analysis System (Shimadzu).

## 8, Fluorescence Spectroscopy

The steady-state fluorescence spectra of $0.50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of FAM-labelled DNAs dissolved in distilled water were measured at the room temperature on a Fluoromax-4 (Horiba) setting both the excitation and the emission slits at 5 nm . The excitation wavelength was at 488 nm .

## 9. Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM)

AFM imaging was carried out using Nano Live Vision (RIBM) with a USC-F12-K0.15-10 cantilever (NanoWorld). Total concentrations of DNA dendrons were adjusted to $\sim 50 \mathrm{nM}$ by addition of observation buffer ( 20 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 7.6$ ), 10 mM MgCl 2 , and 1 mM EDTA). The sample solution was deposited onto a mica surface coated with $0.1 \%$ (3-aminopropyl)triethoxysilane and incubated for 5 min at room temperature. The mica surface was then washed gently with the same buffer. AFM observation was performed at room temperature.

## 10, Serum Stability of DNA

Solution of DNA was mixed with non-heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Thermo Fisher Scientific) to make reaction mixtures containing $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ DNA and
$50 \%$ FBS. Immediately the mixtures were distributed equally to PCR tubes (10 $\mu \mathrm{L} /$ tube) and then incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to proceed the nuclease digestion. After $0,2,4,8$, 12, or 24 h of incubation, $20 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ tube of 0.5 M ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) solution was mixed to stop the digestion and then stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until use. The concentration of polyacrylamide gels and the running time were changed depending on the type of DNA to see full length DNA and the entire degradation products (15\% polyacrylamide gel including 7 M urea and 1 h running for AS1411, $8 \%$ polyacrylamide gel including 7 M urea and 50 min running for 3-Branched AS1411 and 6-Branched AS1411, $8 \%$ polyacrylamide gel including 7 M urea and 2 h running for 9 -Branched AS1411). Gels were stained with SYBR Gold (Thermo Fischer Scientific) and then visualized by use of WSE-6100 LuminoGraph I (ATTO). The exposure time to take gel images was 0.10 sec . The representative bands images were shown in Figure S7a. Quantification of bands intensity was carried out with ImageJ. Since the fluorescence intensity of DNA bands stained by SYBR Gold varies depending on the number of SYBR Gold molecules bound to DNA, the band intensity can vary among the four types of DNA. Therefore, we utilized the ratio of band intensity of remaining full-length DNA to that at 0 h to assess the serum stability of DNA. The average ratio of three independent experiments were shown in Figure S7b.

## 11, Cell Culturing

HeLa cells (RIKEN Cell Bank) were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) (Shimadzu Diagnostics) supplemented with $10 \%$ heatinactivated FBS, $0.2 \%$ sodium bicarbonate, 100 units $/ \mathrm{mL}$ penicillin, $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ streptomycin, and 2 mM L-glutamine (Nacalai). Following ATCC's guideline, MCF10A cells (ATCC) were cultured using the Mammary Epithelial Basal Medium (MEBM) and associated additives included in MEGM ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Mammary Epithelial Cell Growth Medium BulletKit (Lonza) except GA-1000 solution. Further, MEBM was supplemented with $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ cholera toxin (Sigma-Aldrich). Cells were cultured at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in humidified air containing $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$.

## 12, Cellular Uptake of DNA

Cells were seeded on 24 -well plates at a density of $5.0 \times 10^{4}$ cells/well and cultured for 1 day prior to the treatment. The medium was replaced with Opti-MEM (Thermo Fisher Scientific) containing 100 nM FAM-labelled oligonucleotides. The cells were incubated for 4 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in humidified air containing $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Then cells were washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and then harvested by trypsinization. The cells were suspended with PBS and then applied to the flow cytometry
(FACSCalibur, BD Biosciences) to evaluate fluorescence intensity of the cells by counting 10,000 events. Relative mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) values normalized to medium were shown. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

## 13, Endocytosis Inhibition Study

HeLa cells were seeded on 48 -well plates at a density of $5.0 \times 10^{4}$ cells $/$ well and cultured for 1 day prior to the treatment. For competition experiments to show the nucleolin-mediated uptake, the cells were pretreated with $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ AS1411 or nonactive cAS1411 for 2 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by cotreatment of 50 nM FAM-labelled oligonucleotides and the free aptamer for 4 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For the endocytosis pathway study, HeLa cells were pretreated with $2.0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ cytochalasin D (actin polymerization inhibitor), $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ chlorpromazine (clathrin-mediated endocytosis inhibitor) or $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ genistein (caveolae-mediated endocytosis inhibitor) for 2 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by cotreatment of 50 nM 9 -Branched AS1411-FAM and the inhibitor as described above. Then trypsinized cells were subjected to SH800S Cell Sorter (Sony) for the evaluation of the uptake of FAM-labeled oligonucleotides. Relative MFI values normalized to the control were shown. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

## 14, Confocal Microscopic Observation

Cells were seeded on a 8 -well chamber slide (Watson) at a density of $2.0 \times 10^{4}$ cells/well and cultured for one day prior to the treatment. FAM-labelled oligonucleotides ( 100 nM ) diluted in Opti-MEM were added to each well and incubated with shielding the light for 4 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in humidified air containing $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. After washing twice with PBS, the cells were fixed with $4 \%$ paraformaldehyde in PBS (Nacalai) for 20 min at room temperature and washed twice again with PBS. Then cells were treated with $1.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of 4',6-diamino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) in PBS for 10 min , and then washed twice with PBS. Finally, the slide glass was mounted with FluoroKEEPER Antifade Reagent (Nacalai) and sealed with manicure, followed by observation with a confocal microscope FV1200 (Olympus). For lysosomal colocalization analysis, HeLa cells were co-treated with 100 nM 9 -Branched AS1411FAM and 100 nM LysoTracker Red DND-99 (Thermo Fischer Scientific) diluted in Opti-MEM for 4 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and observation was performed with a confocal microscope A1R-MP (Nikon).

## 15, Anti-proliferative Effect

The WST-8 assay was performed to evaluate the cell viability. Cells were seeded in a 96-well plate at a density of $2.5 \times 10^{3}$ cells/well and incubated for 1 day
before treatment. The medium was replaced with fresh culturing medium including the aptamer and cells were incubated for 72 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in humidified air containing $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Then, $10 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ well of Cell Count Reagent SF (Nacalai) was added to each well and the cells were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by measuring the absorbance at 450 nm using Multiskan FC Microplate Photometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) to evaluate the cell viability. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

## 16, Statistical Analysis

Differences were statistically evaluated by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by the Tukey-Kramer tests for multiple comparisons, and Welch's t -test for comparison between two groups.


Figure S1. (A) Structures and synthesis of DNA dendrons composed of the multivalent aptamer via SPAAC. (B) Denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis analysis of synthesized DNA dendrons.


Scheme S1. Synthesis of trebler phosphoramidite 4. ${ }^{2}$ Reagents and conditions: (i) 9$\mathrm{BBN}, \mathrm{THF}$, RT. (ii) $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to RT. (iii) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, Pyridine, RT. (iv) MeONa , MeOH, RT. (v) 4,4'-Dimethoxytrityl chloride, DMAP, Pyridine, RT. (vi) 2Cyanoethyl $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-diisopropylchlorophosphoramidite, DIPEA, DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to RT.


5
Figure S2. Structure of doubler phosphoramidite 5.


6
Scheme S2. Synthesis of 6-bromohexyl phosphoramidite 6. ${ }^{3}$ Reagents and conditions: (i) 2-Cyanoethyl $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-diisopropylchlorophosphoramidite, DIPEA, DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to RT.

Table S1. Sequences of oligonucleotides ${ }^{\text {abc }}$

| Name | Sequence (5' to 3') |
| :---: | :---: |
| AS1411 | GGTGGTGGTGGTTGTGGTGGTGGTGG |
| cAS1411 | ССтССТССтССттСтССТССтССтС |
| AS1411-DBCO | GGTGGTGGTGGTTGTGGTGGTGGTGG-DBCO |
| cAS1411-DBCO | ССтССТССТССтTСтССТССтССтС-DBCO |
| AS1411-FAM | GGTGGTGGTGGTTGTGGTGGTGGTGGTTTTTTTTTT-FAM |
| cAS14111-FAM | ССтССтССтССттСтССтССтССтССттттттттт-FAM |
| 3-Branched $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$-Trebler-TTTTTTTTTT |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 3-Branched } \mathbf{N}_{3}- \\ & \text { FAM } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$-Trebler-TTTTTTTTTTT-FAM |
| 6-Branched $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$-Doubler-Trebler-TTTTTTTTTT |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6-Branched } \mathrm{N}_{3}- \\ & \text { FAM } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$-Doubler-Trebler-TTTTTTTTTT-FAM |
| 9-Branched $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$-Trebler-Trebler-TTTTTTTTTT |
| 9-Branched $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ FAM | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$-Trebler-Trebler-TTTTTTTTTTT-FAM |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 3-Branched } \\ & \text { AS1411 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | GGTGGTGGTGGTTGTGGTGGTGGTGG-Linker-Treblertititititit |
| 3-Branched AS1411-FAM | GGTGGTGGTGGTTGTGGTGGTGGTGG-Linker-Trebler- TTTTTTTTTT-FAM |
| 6-Branched AS1411 | GGTGGTGGTGGTTGTGGTGGTGGTGG-Linker-Doubler-Trebler-TTTTTTTTTT |
| 6-Branched AS1411-FAM | GGTGGTGGTGGTTGTGGTGGTGGTGG-Linker-Doubler- Trebler-TTTTTTTTTT-FAM |
| 9-Branched AS1411 | GGTGGTGGTGGTTGTGGTGGTGGTGG-Linker-Trebler-Trebler-TTTTTTTTTT |
| 9-Branched AS1411-FAM | GGTGGTGGTGGTTGTGGTGGTGGTGG-Linker-Trebler-Trebler-TTTTTTTTTT-FAM |
| 9-Branched cAS1411-FAM | ССТССТССТССТТСТССТССТСТСС-Linker-Trebler- <br> Trebler-TTTTTTTTTT-FAM |

${ }^{a}$ Non-modified linear AS1411 and cAS1411 were purchased from Integrated DNA
Technologies. FAM-labelled linear oligonucleotides AS1411-FAM and cAS1411-FAM were purchased from Japan Bio Services.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{N}_{3}$ represents the following structure:

${ }^{\text {c }}$ Linker represents the following structure:


Table S2. ESI-MS values and yield from CPG of oligonucleotides

| Name | Ion | MS |  | Yield from CPG |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Calcd. | Found |  |
| AS1411-DBCO | $[\mathrm{M}-11 \mathrm{H}]^{11-}$ | 789.217 | 789.217 | $75 \%$ |
| cAS1411-DBCO | $[\mathrm{M}-9 \mathrm{H}]^{9-}$ | 889.256 | 889.256 | $63 \%$ |
| 3-Branched $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $[\mathrm{M}-5 \mathrm{H}]^{5-}$ | 803.770 | 803.770 | $21 \%$ |
| 3-Branched $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{3}}$-FAM | $[\mathrm{M}-8 \mathrm{H}]^{8-}$ | 573.117 | 573.117 | $26 \%$ |
| 6-Branched $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $[\mathrm{M}-10 \mathrm{H}]^{10-}$ | 631.825 | 631.825 | $11 \%$ |
| 6-Branched $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{3}}$-FAM | $[\mathrm{M}-9 \mathrm{H}]^{9-}$ | 695.059 | 695.059 | $12 \%$ |
| 9-Branched $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $[\mathrm{M}-10 \mathrm{H}]^{10-}$ | 653.477 | 653.477 | $10 \%$ |
| 9-Branched $\mathbf{N}_{3}$-FAM | $[\mathrm{M}-10 \mathrm{H}]^{10-}$ | 710.388 | 710.388 | $12 \%$ |

Table S3. MALDI-TOF MS values of dendritic oligonucleotides ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Name | Ion | MS |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Calcd. | Found |
| 3-Branched AS1411 | $[\mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{H}]^{2-}$ | 15057.03 | 15235.17 |
| 3-Branched AS1411-FAM | $[\mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{H}]^{2-}$ | 15341.76 | 15377.72 |
| 6-Branched AS1411 | $[\mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{H}]^{2-}$ | 28938.34 | 29239.85 |
| 6-Branched AS1411-FAM | $[\mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{H}]^{2-}$ | 29223.07 | 29250.77 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ MS signal of 9-Branched dendritic DNAs could not be observed.


Figure S3. AFM images of (a) 3-Branched AS1411, (b) 6-Branched AS1411, and (c) 9Branched AS1411. Scale bars: 50 nm


Figure S4. Denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of the reaction mixture of 9Banched $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ and varied equivalency of AS1411-DBCO. (lane 1) 6-Branched AS1411, (lane 2) the mixture of 1.0 eq. of 9 -Banched $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ and 3.0 eq. of AS1411-DBCO, (lane 3) the mixture of 1.0 eq. of $9-$ Banched $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ and 6.0 eq. of AS1411-DBCO, (lane 4 ) the mixture of 1.0 eq. of 9 -Banched $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ and 9.0 eq. of AS1411-DBCO, and (lane 5) 9Branched AS1411.

Denaturing PAGE analysis of the reaction mixture of 9-Banched $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ and varied equivalency of AS1411-DBCO demonstrated the bands derived from partially reacted 9Banched $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ (Figure S4). Because the fourth band from the top in lane 3 was close to that of 6-Branched AS1411 (lane 1), this band was supposed to be derived from 9Branched $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ in which six of nine azide groups reacted with AS1411-DBCO. Three bands were seen above this band and the position of the top band was consistent with that of 9-Branched AS1411 (lane 5). The position of the band in lane 4 was also consistent with that of 9-Branched AS1411 and no bands were seen above this. The result suggests one molecule of 9 -Branched $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ can react with nine molecules of AS1411-DBCO and 9-Branched AS1411 is composed of nine AS1411 branches.


Figure S5. Thermal denaturation curves of G-quadruplex-forming aptamer (equivalent to $5.0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ AS1411) within a dendritic molecule in 10 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 7.5)$ buffer containing 100 mM KCl . (a) AS1411, (b) 3-Branched AS1411, (c) 6-Branched AS1411, (d) 9-Branched AS1411.


Figure S6. Temperature-dependent change of absorbance at 295 nm of $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ stem DNAs (a) 3-Branched $\mathrm{N}_{3}$, (b) 6-Branched $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ and (c) 9 -Branched $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ in 10 mM Tris- HCl ( pH 7.5 ) buffer containing 100 mM KCl .


Figure S7. Serum stability of dendritic aptamers in 50\% FBS solution. (a) Representative gel images of degraded aptamers. Deltas indicate the position of fulllength and squares indicate the areas quantified for (b). (b) Ratio of the remaining full length DNA plotted against incubation time. The mean of the three independent experiments is shown; error bar represents their standard deviation.


Figure S8. Fluorescence spectra of AS1411-FAM, 3-Branched AS1411-FAM, 6Branched AS1411-FAM and 9-Branched AS1411-FAM at $0.50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in water.


Figure S9. Confocal microscopic images of HeLa cells treated with FAM-labelled aptamers AS1411-FAM, 3-Branched AS1411-FAM, 6-Branched AS1411-FAM and 9Branched AS1411-FAM and their negative control cAS1411-FAM and dendritic 9Branched cAS1411-FAM. Scale bars: $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figure S10. Confocal microscopic images of MCF-10A cells treated with FAM-labelled aptamers AS1411-FAM, 3-Branched AS1411-FAM, 6-Branched AS1411-FAM and 9Branched AS1411-FAM and their negative control cAS1411-FAM and dendritic 9Branched cAS1411-FAM. Scale bars: $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
(a)

(b)


Figure S11. Flow cytometry analysis of competition assays between free aptamers and (a) AS1411-FAM or (b) 9-Branched AS1411-FAM. ${ }^{*} P<0.05,{ }^{* *} P<0.005$.


Figure S12. Endocytosis inhibition of 9-Branched AS1411-FAM with various inhibitors for its pathway study. ${ }^{*} P<0.05$.


Figure S13. Confocal microscopic images of lysosomal colocalization with 9-Branched AS1411-FAM in HeLa cells. Scale bars: $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$


Figure S14. Cell viability of HeLa cells treated with $3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of AS1411, cAS1411, 3Branched $\mathrm{N}_{3}, 6$-Branched $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ or 9 -Branched $\mathrm{N}_{3}$.


Figure S15. Cell viability of MCF-10A cells treated with antiproliferative aptamers and stem DNAs when the total dendron concentration was at $3 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Mean of three independent experiments is shown and error bars represent their standard deviation.


Figure S16. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR of the compound $\mathbf{1}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ with $0.03 \%$ TMS.


Figure S17. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of the compound $\mathbf{2}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ with $0.03 \% \mathrm{TMS}$.


Figure S18. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of the compound $\mathbf{3}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ with $0.03 \%$ TMS.




Figure S19. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of the compound 4 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ with 0.03\% TMS.


Figure S20. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of the compound $\mathbf{6}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ with 0.03\% TMS.
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