Supporting Information

Unveiling the Physical Mechanisms Driving Delafossite Crystals (ABX₂) Formation Through Interpretable Machine Learning

Ning Xu,^a Zheng Li,^a Xiaolan Fu,^a Xiaojuan Hu,^{*bc} Wenwu Xu^{*a} and Zhong-Kang Han^{*c}

^a Department of Physics, School of Physical Science and Technology, Ningbo University, Ningbo, 315211, China

^b Fritz-Haber-Institut der Max-Planck-Gesellschaft, Faradayweg 4-6, 14195 Berlin, Germany

^c School of Materials Science and Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, 310027, China

Methodology

(1) Density functional theory calculations

Spin-polarized DFT calculations have been conducted using the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP), a plane wave-based code. The core electrons were described with projector augmented wave potential, ¹ while the electron correlation was applied using the generalized gradient approximation² of Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof.^{3,4} Hubbard-U corrections were considered to correct the strong on-site Coulomb interactions of localized electrons in transition metals.^{5,6} For oxides containing Co, Cr, Fe, Mn, Mo, Ni, V, and W, the effective U values were chosen as 3.3, 3.5, 5.3, 3.9, 4.38, 6.4, 3.1, and 6.2 eV, respectively. All calculations were performed with a plane wave cutoff of 520 eV. The Brillouin zone was sampled by a Gamma centered k-mesh of $9 \times 9 \times 1$, which is tested by varying the mesh size to ensure accurate results and the convergence of the k-grid. Geometry structures were fully relaxed until all the atom forces was lower than 0.03 eV/Å. Electronic structure analyses were performed with $11 \times 11 \times 1$ Gamma centered k-mesh sampling. The delafossite crystal (ABX₂) examined in this study possesses a $R^{3}m$ space group, and its conventional cell is composed of 12 atoms. The formation energies (H_t) of delafossite crystals serve as an indicator of their stability. The H_f are calculated using the following formula:

 $H_f = E(ABX_2) - \mu_A - \mu_B - 2\mu_X$

where $E(ABX_2)$ represents the total energy of the delafossite crystal, μ_A , μ_B , and μ_X are the chemical potentials of the elements A, B, and X, respectively.

Calculations of the phonon band structure were carried out using density-functional perturbation theory (DFPT)^{7,8} as implemented in Phonopy⁹. Phonon calculations used a 4 × 4 × 1 supercell containing 192 atoms of the R^3m conventional cell, while the k-point mesh used a Gamma point only. The calculations included symmetry points Γ (0, 0, 0), A (0, 0, 1/2), H (-1/3, 2/3, 1/2), K (-1/3, 2/3, 0) and M(0, 1/2, 0). Standard AIMD simulations were performed under isothermal-isobaric (NpT) conditions at temperatures of 300 K and 600 K. The simulations used a Langevin thermostat^{10,11} in VASP. Based on the fully relaxed ground-state structure, the system was heated to 300 K and 600 K, respectively, within 1.5 ps by repeated velocity rescaling. After this, the constant temperature simulations run 20 ps with a 1 fs time step. The force convergence criteria and k-point mesh were reduced to 0.05 eV/Å and 2 × 2 × 1 to accelerate simulations with 2 × 2 × 1 supercells.

(2) Model building by SISSO code

In SISSO, the feature construction was performed by creating all the possible

mathematical combinations, within certain feature complexity, between the primary features using the operators $\{(+)(-)(*)(/)(\exp)(\exp{-1})(^{-1})(^{2})(^{3})(\operatorname{sqrt})(\operatorname{cbrt})(\log)(|-|)$ }. The SISSO approach enables us to identify the best low-dimensional descriptor in an immensity of offered candidates. The model is a linear combination of a few derived features, which are typically non-linear expressions created from mathematical operations on the primary features or between them. Due to the availability of explicit expressions, SISSO is more interpretable than some traditional machine learning methods. A list of the primary features for model training data sets can be found in the file "Supplementary file1". The code for SISSO and user guide available are at: https://github.com/rouyang2017/SISSO.

(3) Subgroup discovery analysis

The subgroup discovery was performed using RealKD package.¹² Each feature was split to 14 subsets using 14-means clustering algorithm.¹³ The borders between adjacent data clusters (a1, a2, ...) are applied further for construction of inequalities (feature1 < a1), (feature2 \ge a2), etc. While final result might depend on the number of considered clusters, in our previous study we found that relatively high numbers of considered clusters provide essentially the same result.¹⁴ The candidate subgroups are built as conjunctions of obtained simple inequalities. The main idea of SGD is that the subgroups are unique if the distribution of the data in them is as different as possible from the data distribution in the whole sampling. The uniqueness is evaluated with a quality function.¹⁵ In this study we used the following function:

$$Q(S) = \frac{s(S)}{s(P)} \left(\frac{med(P) - med(S)}{med(P) - min(P)}\right) \left(1 - \frac{amd(S)}{amd(P)}\right)$$

with S – subgroup, P – whole sampling, s – size, med and min – median and minimal values of a target property, amd – absolute average deviation of the data around the median of target property. With this function the algorithm is searching for subgroups with lower values of target properties. The search was done with an adapted for such purposes Monte-Carlo algorithm,¹⁶ in which first a certain number of trial conjunctions (seeds) is generated. Afterwards, for each seed (accompanied with pruning of inequalities) the quality function is calculated. We have tested here several numbers of initial seeds: 10000, 30000, 50000, 70000 and 100000. The subgroups with the overall high quality function value were selected.



Fig. S1. Geometric structure of the delafossite crystal (ABX_2).

Crystal	Formation energy (eV)	Crystal	Formation energy (eV)
AgAlO ₂	-8.852	AuCrSe ₂	-2.792
AgAlS ₂	-3.874	AuCrTe ₂	-1.137
AgAlSe ₂	-3.206	$AuMoO_2$	-1.708
AgAlTe ₂	-1.864	$AuMoS_2$	-3.182
AgCrO ₂	-4.099	AuMoSe ₂	-2.439
AgCrS ₂	-3.065	AuMoTe ₂	-1.280
AgCrSe ₂	-2.585	AuNiO ₂	-0.909
AgCrTe ₂	-1.561	$AuNiS_2$	-2.023
AgMoO ₂	-1.760	AuNiSe ₂	-1.865
$AgMoS_2$	-2.925	AuNiTe ₂	-1.565
AgMoSe ₂	-2.268	AuVO ₂	-5.015
AgMoTe ₂	-1.188	$AuVS_2$	-3.947
AgNiO ₂	-0.586	AuVSe ₂	-3.150
AgNiS ₂	-1.631	AuVTe ₂	-2.097
AgNiSe ₂	-1.544	AuWO ₂	0.414

 Table S1. Formation energies of 242 delafossite crystals, * denotes test set data.

AgNiTe ₂	-1.206	AuWS ₂	-2.744	
AgVO ₂	-4.759	AuWSe ₂	-1.907	
AgVS ₂	-3.620	AuWTe ₂	-0.651	
AgVSe ₂	-2.757	CuAlO ₂	-8.813	
AgVTe ₂	-1.776	CuAlS ₂	-3.481	
AgWO ₂	0.526	CuAlSe ₂	-2.657	
AgWS ₂	-2.461	CuAlTe ₂	-1.182	
AgWSe ₂	-1.722	CuCrO ₂	-4.333	
AgWTe ₂	-0.412	CuCrS ₂	-2.816	
AuAlO ₂	-8.645	CuCrSe ₂	-2.125	
AuAlS ₂	-4.104	CuCrTe ₂	-0.917	
AuAlSe ₂	-3.362	CuMoO ₂	-1.972	
AuAlTe ₂	-2.068	$CuMoS_2$	-2.576	
AuCrO ₂	-4.000	CuMoSe ₂	-1.693	
AuCrS ₂	-3.355	CuMoTe ₂	-0.481	
CuNiO ₂	-0.451	PdWS ₂	-3.061	
CuNiS ₂	-1.185	PdWSe ₂	-2.217	
CuNiSe ₂	-0.945	PdWTe ₂	-0.959	
CuNiTe ₂	-0.481	PtAlO ₂	-9.096	
CuVO ₂	-4.334	PtAlS ₂	-3.488	
CuVS ₂	-3.117	PtAlSe ₂	-2.465	
CuVSe ₂	-2.135	PtAlTe ₂	-1.075	
CuVTe ₂	-1.019	PtCrO ₂	-4.236	
CuWO ₂	0.743	PtCrS ₂	-2.966	
CuWS ₂	-1.935	PtCrSe ₂	-2.129	
CuWSe ₂	-1.011	PtCrTe ₂	-0.800	
CuWTe ₂	0.376	PtMoO ₂	-1.457	
PdAlO ₂	-9.196	PtMoS ₂	-3.431	
PdAlS ₂	-3.684	PtMoSe ₂	-2.498	
PdAlSe ₂	-2.828	PtMoTe ₂	-1.343	
PdAlTe ₂	-1.539	PtNiO ₂	-1.523	
PdCrO ₂	-4.292	PtNiS ₂	-2.082	
PdCrS ₂	-2.936	PtNiSe ₂	-1.610	

PdCrSe ₂	-2.452	PtNiTe ₂	-1.124	
PdCrTe ₂	-1.310	PtVO ₂	-5.077	
PdMoO ₂	-1.727	PtVS ₂	-4.228	
PdMoS ₂	-3.492	PtVSe ₂	-3.135	
PdMoSe ₂	-2.738	PtVTe ₂	-1.829	
PdMoTe ₂	-1.693	PtWO ₂	0.848	
PdNiO ₂	-1.241	PtWS ₂	-3.011	
PdNiS ₂	-2.117	PtWSe ₂	-1.968	
PdNiSe ₂	-1.847	PtWTe ₂	-0.587	
PdNiTe ₂	-1.466	AgPrO ₂	-9.476	
PdVO ₂	-4.953	AuLuO ₂	-10.569	
PdVS ₂	-4.239	CuCdO ₂	-2.802	
PdVSe ₂	-3.323	CuHgO ₂	-1.186	
PdVTe ₂	-2.136	IrHgO ₂	-1.445	
PdWO ₂	0.528	IrLuO ₂	-8.700	
IrPrO ₂	-7.183	IrHfTe ₂	-1.041	
IrZnO ₂	-4.262	IrReTe ₂	1.079	
OsCdO ₂	-2.458	IrTaTe ₂	-0.366	
OsHgO ₂	-1.911	IrZrTe ₂	-1.290	
$OsMoS_2$	3.124	OsReTe ₂	1.878	
OsWO ₂	2.862	OsTaTe ₂	0.537	
OsWS ₂	5.408	PdLuO ₂	-10.351	
OsZnO ₂	-3.972	PtGaO ₂	-5.809	
RhCeO ₂	-9.138	RhBO ₂	-4.780	
RhHgO ₂	-1.044	RhGaO ₂	-5.368	
RhNdO ₂	-8.100	$RuBO_2$	-4.568	
RuCeO ₂	-8.353	RuCrTe ₂	0.481	
RuHgO ₂	-1.831	RuGaO ₂	-5.031	
RuNdO ₂	-7.950	RuMoTe ₂	-0.052	
RhHoSe ₂	-3.453	RuNbTe ₂	-0.765	
IrCeO ₂	-8.153	RuTaTe ₂	-0.220	
IrHgTe ₂	-0.142	RuTcTe ₂	0.294	
IrNdS ₂	-2.843	RuWTe ₂	0.728	

IrPrS ₂	-2.666	CuGdO ₂	-0.472	
IrWO ₂	2.004	OsGdTe ₂	9.190	
OsEuO ₂	1.798	$PdGdS_2$	3.932	
OsHgS ₂	0.646	PtGdSe ₂	5.173	
OsHgSe ₂	0.336	RhGdO ₂	0.506	
OsPrS ₂	-1.105	RhGdSe ₂	5.998	
RhDySe ₂	-3.496	RuGdO ₂	0.583	
RhHgSe ₂	0.551	RuGdSe ₂	6.856	
RhNdS ₂	-3.772	RuGdTe ₂	8.413	
RhWO ₂	1.563	AgLuO ₂	-10.635	
RuHgS ₂	1.143	$IrGdS_2$	6.087	
RuPrS ₂	-2.673	IrReS ₂	-1.527	
RuWO ₂	2.002	IrWS ₂	-2.363	
IrGaO ₂	-5.127	OsEuS ₂	6.849	
IrGdTe ₂	8.349	OsEuTe ₂	8.284	
OsGdS ₂	7.448	RuAlO ₂	-8.423	
*AgCeO ₂	-9.654	*PdDySe ₂	-4.837	
*AgGaO ₂	-5.778	*PdGaO ₂	-5.962	
*AgHgSe ₂	-0.582	*PdHgSe ₂	-0.404	
*AgLaO ₂	-9.885	*PdHoSe ₂	-4.794	
*AgLaS ₂	-6.264	*PdYbO ₂	-8.022	
*AgNdS ₂	-5.939	*PtDySe ₂	-4.267	
*AuCeO ₂	-9.615	*PtHgSe ₂	-0.144	
*AuHgS ₂	-0.736	*PtHgTe ₂	0.153	
*AuLaS ₂	-6.408	*PtLuO ₂	-9.914	
*CuGaO ₂	-5.674	*PtNdS ₂	-4.621	
*CuInTe ₂	-0.619	*RhFeSe ₂	0.908	
*CuLaS ₂	-5.819	*RhReTe ₂	0.481	
*CuLuO ₂	-10.270	*RhTlSe ₂	0.443	
*CuYbTe ₂	-2.560	*RuReTe ₂	1.103	
*IrAlO ₂	-8.565	*RuYbSe ₂	-1.849	
*IrBO ₂	-4.600	*RuZrSe ₂	-2.494	
*IrCrTe ₂	0.334	*IrWTe ₂	0.617	

*IrInS ₂	-0.148	*IrYSe ₂	-2.518	
*IrMoTe ₂	-0.156	*OsGaO ₂	-4.612	
*IrNbTe ₂	-0.919	*OsGdSe ₂	8.392	
*IrTaS ₂	-3.323	*OsLaS ₂	-1.686	
*IrTcTe ₂	0.252	*OsSmTe ₂	-0.073	
*IrTmTe ₂	-1.075	*OsTcTe ₂	0.998	
*IrVTe ₂	-0.563	*PdCeO ₂	-10.266	



Fig. S2. (a) The cumulative explained variance varies with the inclusion of additional principal components, with a focus on the explained variance accounted for by the first six principal components. (b) The correlation between the calculated formation energies of the delafossite crystals and the first two principal components.



Fig. S3. Correlation between atomic features and the formation energies of delafossite crystals, |c| denotes the absolute value of the correlation coefficient.



Fig. S4. Training error for best models of different dimension (D) and feature complexity (FC).

Table S2. RMSE (eV) of models for every iteration in CV10 (Feature complexity: 5; Dimension: from 1 to 5).

Iteration	1D	2D	3D	4D	5D
01	1.391	1.129	1.108	0.941	0.719
02	2.545	1.370	0.930	0.645	0.660
03	2.739	1.666	1.410	1.077	0.937

04	1.433	1.131	1.038	0.992	1.071
05	2.371	1.422	1.292	0.902	0.691
06	2.661	1.875	1.537	1.436	1.281
07	1.331	1.335	1.204	1.018	1.081
08	1.577	1.219	1.529	1.347	1.239
09	1.122	0.931	1.118	0.840	0.804
10	1.448	1.212	1.206	1.027	1.003

Table S3. Components and coefficients of the model identified by SISSO. The intercept value is -5.398 eV. Letters "a", "b", and "x" following the abbreviations denote A-site, B-site, and X-site elements in the delafossite crystals, respectively.

Symbol	Descriptor	Coefficient
d_1	$\exp(I_{\rm x}/v_{\rm b})$ - $\exp(-\mu_{\rm b}/v_{\rm b})$	-0.077
d_2	$\mu_b(\mu_a V_b)^3/(c_b v_b)$	0.001
d_3	$ (c_{b}-I_{x})-(c_{b}+I_{b})\log(r_{x}) $	0.322
d_4	$ (c_{\rm x}v_{\rm a})/c_{\rm b}-2v_{\rm b}-\mu_{\rm a} $	0.177
d_5	$(V_{\rm b}/r_{\rm a})^2/(E_{\rm b}(E_{\rm b}-E_{\rm x}))$	-0.605



Fig. S5. The radar chart illustrates the relative importance of the five descriptors within the optimal SISSO model.

Formula	AgLaO ₂	AgLaS ₂	AgCeO ₂
Crystal system	R ³ m	R ³ m	R ³ m
Space group	166	166	166
a(Å)	3.786	4.347	3.676
b(Å)	3.786	4.347	3.676
c(Å)	18.647	22.193	18.816
$d_{A-B}(\text{\AA})$	3.80	4.47	3.79
$d_{B-X}(\text{\AA})$	2.43	2.86	2.35
$d_{A-X}(\text{\AA})$	2.05	2.32	2.12

 Table S4. Geometric structure information for three representative crystals.



Fig. S6. Electron localization function (ELF) of $AgLaS_2$ and $AgCeO_2$.

Table S5. The integrated cr	ystal orbital bonding	index (ICOBI)) for three re	presentative cr	ystals
i)	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Formula	Bond	ICOBI
AgLaO ₂	Ag-La	0.06
	La-O	0.21
	Ag-O	0.15
$AgLaS_2$	Ag-La	0.04
	La-S	0.23

	Ag-S	0.17
AgCeO ₂	Ag-Ce	0.04
	Ce-O	0.19
	Ag-O	0.09



Fig. S7. Validation of dynamic stability for AgLaO₂, AuLaO₂, and AgLuO₂ systems. (a) The calculated phonon band structure along high-symmetry lines in the first Brillouin zone. (b) The energy fluctuations over time for AgLaO₂, AuLaO₂, and AgLuO₂ systems at 300 K and 600 K from AIMD simulations. Insets provide a view of the crystal configurations in the [110] direction before and after the 20 ps simulation.



Fig. S8. The calculated phonon band structure of OsGdSe₂ and OsGdTe₂.



Fig. S9. Formation energy comparison for delafossite crystals of two polytypes for $AgLaO_2$, $AuLaO_2$, and $AgLuO_2$.

References:

- [1] G. Kresse and D. Joubert, Phys. Rev. B, 1999, 59, 1758.
- [2] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1996, 77, 3865.
- [3] P. E. Blöchl, Phys. Rev. B, 1994, 50, 17953.
- [4] G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Phys. Rev. B, 1996, 54, 11169.
- [5] S. L. Dudarev, G. A. Botton, S. Y. Savrasov, C. J. Humphreys and A. P. Sutton, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1998, 57, 1505.
- [6] M. Cococcioni and S. De Gironcoli, Phys. Rev. B, 2005, 71, 035105.
- [7] S. Baroni, S. De Gironcoli, A. Dal Corso and P. Giannozzi, Rev. Mod. Phys., 2001, 73, 515.
- [8] X. Gonze and C. Lee, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1997, 55, 10355.
- [9] A. Togo, L. Chaput, T. Tadano and I. Tanaka, J. Phys. Condens. Matter, 2023, 35, 353001.
- [10] W. G. Hoover, A. J. C. Ladd and B. Moran, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1982, 48, 1818.
- [11] B. L. Holian and D. J. Evans, J. Chem. Phys, 1983, 78, 5147.
- [12] C. Sutton, M. Boley, L. M. Ghiringhelli, M. Rupp, J. Vreeken and M. Scheffler, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, **11**, 4428.
- [13] T. Kanungo, D. M. Mount, N. S. Netanyahu, C. D. Piatko, R. Silverman and A. Y. Wu, *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Machine Intell.*, 2002, **24**, 881.
- [14] Z.-K. Han, D. Sarker, R. Ouyang, A. Mazheika, Y. Gao and S. V. Levchenko, *Nat. Commun.*, 2021, **12**, 1833.
- [15] A. Mazheika, Y. Wang, R. Valero, L. M. Ghiringhelli, F. Vines, F. Illas, S. V. Levchenko and M. Scheffler, *Nat. Commun.*, 2022, **13**, 419.
- [16] B. R. Goldsmith, M. Boley, J. Vreeken, M. Scheffler and L. M. Ghiringhelli, New J. Phys., 2017, 19, 013031.