## *Electronic Supporting Information*

## **Enabling Direct Oxidation of Ethane to Acetaldehyde with Oxygen using Supported PdO Nanoparticles**

Jiajie Ye, <sup>a</sup>‡ Shoujie Zhang, <sup>a</sup>‡ Yanglong Guo, <sup>a</sup> Wangcheng Zhan, <sup>a</sup> Li Wang, <sup>a</sup> Sheng Dai, <sup>b</sup> Xuan Tang, a,b \* Yun Guo a\*

*a. State Key Laboratory of Green Chemical Engineering and Industrial Catalysis, Research Institute of Industrial Catalysis, School of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai 200237, P. R. China.*

*b.Key Laboratory for Advanced Materials, Feringa Nobel Prize Scientist Joint Research Center, Frontiers Science Center for Materiobiology and Dynamic Chemistry, School of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai 200237, P. R. China.*

‡ These authors contributed equally.

*\**E-mail: xuantang@mail.ecust.edu.cn; yunguo@ecust.edu.cn

## **1. Materials and Methods**

**1.1 Chemical.** H-ZSM-5 (Si/Al = 25, Nankai University Catalyst Co., Ltd.), Palladium nitrate (Pd(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (Shanghai Titan Scientific Co., Ltd.), deuterium oxide (D<sub>2</sub>O, 99.9%, J&K Scientific Co., Ltd.), 3-(trimethylsilyl)-1-propanesulfonic acid sodium salt (DSS, 97%, Heowns Co., Ltd.),  $H_2O_2$  solution ( $\geq$ 30%) (Shanghai Titan Scientific Co., Ltd.), ethane (C2H6, 99.9%, Air Liquide Co., Ltd.), carbon monoxide (CO, 99.999%, Air Liquide Co., Ltd.), oxygen  $(O_2, 99.999\%$ , Air Liquide Co., Ltd.), deionized water from Milli-Q integral water purification system (Millipore,  $18.2 \text{ M}\Omega \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$ ).

**1.2 Synthesis of Pd/ZSM-5 series catalysts.** Pd/ZSM-5 catalysts with various Pd loading were prepared by the impregnation method. 1 g H-ZSM-5 (Si/Al = 25) powder was soaked in 50 ml of Pd(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> aqueous solution, the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h and vacuum-rotated at 70 °C. Then dried at 60 °C and calcined at 500 °C for 4 h in static air to obtain Pd/ZSM-5. Pd/ZSM-5-H<sup>2</sup> was obtained by reducing 3.0% Pd/ZSM-5 with 10%  $H_2$  at 500 °C for 4 h. Pd/ZSM-5-used was collected by centrifuging and drying at 60 °C after one round reaction. Then the Pd/ZSM-5-used was re-calcined at 500 °C, and named as Pd/ZSM-5-used-air.

**1.3 Synthesis of PdNPs/ZSM-5.** 1 mL oleylamine (OAm) as protective agents were dissolved in the 30 mL ethylene glycol (EG) to form a turbid solution under vigorous stirring for 1 h. Subsequently, weighted  $Pd(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$  (mole  $Pd/OAm = 1/10$ ) dissolved in deionized water was added into the above-mentioned solution and stirred vigorously. Then the mixture solution was heated to 180 °C rapidly and refluxed at this temperature for 90 min. After adding 10 mL hexane as an extraction agent to the mixture, it separated into two layers with black-colored hexane on top. The products were precipitated using ethanol and separated by centrifugation. After washing with ethanol several times, the final product was dispersed in hexane to obtain a stable solution of Pd nanoparticles.  $Pd_{NPS}$ / $ZSM-5$  synthesis was synthesized by the same procedure as that of Pd/ZSM-5, except that Pd nanoparticles dispersed in hexane solution were used instead of  $Pd(NO_3)$ <sub>2</sub> aqueous solution.

**1.4 Catalytic activity test.** The oxidation of the ethane reaction was evaluated in a 35 mL Teflon-coated stainless-steel autoclave. Typically, 20 mg catalysts were added into 10 mL of deionized water. The high-pressure reactor then was filled with 4 bar  $O_2$ , 5 bar CO, and 20 bar ethane after being flushed with the  $O<sub>2</sub>$  gas five times to expel the air. The reactor was heated to 150  $\degree$ C by a temperature-controllable heating jacket at approximately 1500 rpm (stir only when the temperature reaches 150  $\degree$ C), and a thermocouple was inserted into the solution to detect real-time temperature. After 60 minutes, the reactor was cooled down by ice-water mixtures to avoid the loss of volatile products. The products were collected when the temperature was below 10 °C, liquid products were collected after the catalysts were filtered. The catalyst stability test was measured after collecting the and re-calcined used catalysts at 500 °C. The components of products (CH3OH, HCOOH, CH3CH2OH, CH3CHO, and CH3COOH) were identified and quantified by <sup>1</sup>HNMR spectra on a Bruker 600 MHz NMR with solvent suppression technique (typical spectrum as Fig. S1). The chemical shifts and splitting for the protons of different products are shown in Table S2. Typically, 0.70 mL of the obtained liquid components were mixed with  $0.10$  mL of  $D_2O$  solution with ca.  $0.02$ wt.% 3-(trimethylsilyl)-1-propane-sulfonic acid sodium salt (DSS) as the internal standard in an NMR tube before analysis. The production amount of  $H_2O_2$  was quantified by UV-vis spectrophotometry with acidified  $K_2TiO(C_2O_4)_2$  solution as the chromogenic agent.<sup>1, 2</sup> The following equations were used to calculate the yield of products and selectivity of acetaldehyde:

Yield of products (µmol g<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) = 
$$
\frac{\text{The amount of products (µmol)}}{\text{Catalysts (g)} \times \text{reaction time (h)}}
$$
(1)

Yield of products (mol mol $_{metal}$ <sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>)

=

$$
(\mathbf{2})
$$

The amount of products (mol) Catalysts (g)×metal loading(%)÷M(g/mol)×reaction time (h)

Selectivity of acetaldehyde  $(\%) = \frac{\text{The amount of acetaldehyde (µmol)}}{\text{Total area that (umol)}}$ Total products ( $\mu$ mol)  $\times$ 100 (3)

**1.5 Catalyst Characterization.** X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) patterns were obtained from a Bruker D8 focus diffraction spectrometer using Cu Kα radiation (1.54056 Å, 40 kV, and 40 mA), scanning from 10 to 80 $^{\circ}$  at a speed of 4  $^{\circ}$ /min. Inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometer (ICP-OES) was used to quantify the actual loading of Cu in the fresh and used catalysts on Agilent 725ES. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed using a Thermo ESCALAB 250Xi spectrometer. Transmission electron microscopy was operated on a ThermoFisher Talos F200X transmission electron microscope. X-ray absorption (XAS) experiments at the Pd K-edge were carried out at BL14W1 of the Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility (SSRF). The spectra were collected in transmission modes. Temperature-programmed surface reaction of ethane  $(C_2H_6-\text{TPSR})$  was performed on AutoChem II 2920 apparatus, experiments were carried out in a quartz reactor loaded with 50 mg of catalyst sample, using the online MS (HIDEN ANALYTICAL HPR-20 QIC) as the detector. Typically, the sample was firstly pretreated with  $O_2$  at 500 °C for 30 min, and the reactor was cooled to room temperature. Then pure He gas was introduced to remove physisorbed  $O_2$ . Finally, He was replaced with 1 vol.%  $C_2H_6$  flowing at 40 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. The reactor temperature was raised to 500 °C with the speed at 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup> after the stabilization of all the signals. The mass spectrometer was used to track the signal of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ ,  $C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>$ , and  $H<sub>2</sub>$ during the reaction. The temperature-programmed desorption of ethanol (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O-TPD) was performed on the BELCat II apparatus. 100 mg 3.0% Pd/ZSM-5 was pretreated with Ar at 500 °C for 30min, and then pre-adsorbed with ethanol vapor at room temperature for 15 min until saturated. Change to pure Ar to purge excess ethanol vapor for 15 min. The reactor temperature was raised to 250 °C from room temperature with the speed at 10  $^{\circ}$ C min<sup>-1</sup> after the stabilization of the signals, and the ethanol was tracked by mass spectrometry. The temperature-programmed desorption of acetaldehyde (CH3CHO-TPD) process was almost the same as that of CH3CH2OH-TPD, except that acetaldehyde vapor was used for adsorption. The gasphase components after the reaction were detected by mass spectrometry (Clarus SQ  $\overline{8T}$ ).



**Fig. S1** HAADF-STEM images and the corresponding EDS element maps of (a, b) 0.5% Pd/ZSM-5, (c, d) 1.0% Pd/ZSM-5, (e, f) 2.0% Pd/ZSM-5, (g, h) 4.0% Pd/ZSM-5 and (i, j) 5.0% Pd/ZSM-5.



**Fig. S2** A typical <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of liquid mixtures after direct oxidation of ethane to acetaldehyde.



**Fig.** S3 The production of CO<sub>2</sub> on 3.0%Pd/ZSM-5 catalyst with different gas phase reactants (each reaction tested five times to obtain the average value and error bars). CO+O2+C2H<sup>6</sup> condition: 20 mg catalysts, 20 bar C2H6, 4 bar O2, and 5 bar CO, 150 °C, 1h. CO+O<sub>2</sub>+N<sub>2</sub> condition: 20 mg catalysts, 20 bar N<sub>2</sub>, 4 bar O<sub>2</sub>, and 5 bar CO, 150 °C, 1h.

**Note:** 3.0% Pd/ZSM-5 catalyst was taken as an example to determine the source of CO<sub>2</sub>. A yield of 96 mmol  $g_{cat}^{-1}$  h<sup>-1</sup> of CO<sub>2</sub> was detected under identical reaction conditions but with N<sub>2</sub> replacing  $C_2H_6$  (CO+O<sub>2</sub>+N<sub>2</sub>). This allowed us to determine the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> formed from CO. However, under the direct oxidation of ethane conditions (CO+O<sub>2</sub>+C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>), a lower amount of CO<sub>2</sub>, specifically 85 mmol  $g_{cat}^{-1} h^{-1}$ , was generated on the 3.0% Pd/ZSM-5 catalyst. These results indicate that the direct oxidation of ethane suppresses the CO oxidation reaction on the Pd/ZSM-5 catalyst, suggesting competition between these two reactions. Therefore, accurately determining the production of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  in the direct oxidation of ethane to acetaldehyde is challenging as we cannot calculate the specific amount of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  originating from ethane by simply subtracting the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  produced as a result of  $CO$  oxidation from the total amount of CO<sub>2</sub> formed.



**Fig. S4** The effect of Si/Al ratio during the direct oxidation of ethane to acetaldehyde reaction on (a) 0.5% Pd/ZSM-5, (b) 3.0% Pd/ZSM-5. Reaction conditions: 20 mg catalysts, 4 bar O<sub>2</sub>, 5 bar CO, 20 bar C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, 10 mL H<sub>2</sub>O, 150 °C, 1 h.



**Fig. S5** Product distribution and the selectivity of acetaldehyde of fresh, used, and usedair 3.0% Pd/ZSM-5 catalysts for direct oxidation of ethane to acetaldehyde. Reaction condition: 20 mg catalysts, 4 bar O<sub>2</sub>, 5 bar CO, 20 bar C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, 10 mL H<sub>2</sub>O, 150 °C, reaction for 1 h.



**Fig. S6** XPS in Pd 3d region of fresh, used, and used-air of 3.0% Pd/ZSM-5 catalysts.



**Fig. S7** XRD patterns of different 3.0% Pd/ZSM-5 catalysts.



**Fig. S8** Stability cycle of Pd/ZSM-5 catalyst in the oxidation of ethane to acetaldehyde. Reaction condition: 20 mg catalysts, 4 bar O<sub>2</sub>, 5 bar CO, 20 bar C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, 10 mL H<sub>2</sub>O, 150 °C, reaction for 1 h.



**Fig. S9** The fit of the FT EXAFS spectra (top) and the FT imaginary portion (bottom) of a) 3.0% Pd/ZSM-5 and b) 3.0% Pd/ZSM-5-H2.



**Fig. S10** C2H6-TPSR measurement of pure ZSM-5.



**Fig. S11** Catalytic performance with different components of gas reactants on 3.0% Pd/ZSM-5. Reaction condition: 29 bar total gas pressure, containing 4 bar  $O_2$  (if needed), 5 bar CO (if needed), 20 bar C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> (if needed) and N<sub>2</sub> (balance gas), 10 mL H<sub>2</sub>O, 150 °C, 1 h.



**Fig. S12** Product distribution of methanol oxidation on the 3.0% Pd/ZSM-5 catalyst. Reaction condition: 20 mg catalysts, 4 bar  $O_2$ , 5 bar  $CO$ , 20 bar  $N_2$ , 10 mL CH<sub>3</sub>OH (0.05 M aq.), 150 °C, 1 h.



**Fig. S13** Catalytic performance on 3.0% Pd/ZSM-5 catalysts and filtrated reaction liquid for direct oxidation of ethane to acetaldehyde. Reaction condition: 4 bar  $O_2$ , 5 bar CO, 20 bar N2, 10 mL H2O, 150 °C, 1 h.



**Fig. S14** Catalytic performance on 3.0% Pd/ZSM-5 (20 mg) and 10 mL Pd(NO3)<sup>2</sup> aqueous solution (Pd:  $0.06 \text{ g}/L$ ) for direct oxidation of ethane to acetaldehyde. Reaction condition: 4 bar O<sub>2</sub>, 5 bar CO, 20 bar C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, 10 mL H<sub>2</sub>O, 150 °C, 1 h.



**Fig. S15** Gas-phase components after reaction on 3.0% Pd/ZSM-5 detected by mass spectrum. Reaction conditions: 20 mg catalysts, 5 bar CO, 24 bar N2, 10 mL H2O,  $150$  °C, 1 h.  $\,$ 



Fig. S16 Comparison of products with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> or CO+O<sub>2</sub>. H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> condition: 20 mg catalysts, 10 mL H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (0.1M aq.), 9 bar N<sub>2</sub>, 20 bar C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, 150 °C, 1 h. CO+O<sub>2</sub> condition: 20 mg catalysts, 4 bar O2, 5 bar CO, 20 bar C2H6, 10 mL H2O, 150 °C, 1 h.

Catalyst	<b>Theoretical Pd content</b> (wt. % )	Actual Pd content (wt. %) <sup>a</sup>		
$0.5\%$ Pd/ZSM-5	0.5	0.55		
$1.0\%$ Pd/ZSM-5	1.0	1.3		
$2.0\%$ Pd/ZSM-5	2.0	2.1		
3.0% Pd/ZSM-5	3.0	2.8		
4.0% $Pd/ZSM-5$	4.0	3.9		
5.0% Pd/ZSM-5	5.0	4.7		
$3.0\%$ Pd/ZSM-5-used (5 rounds of reaction)		2.2		
$Pd_{NPs}/ZSM-5$	3.0	3.6		

**Table S1** Chemical compositions of catalysts.

a: Determined by ICP-OES

<b>Species</b>	Formula	<b>Chemical shift/multiplicity</b>		
<b>DDS</b>		$\delta = 0.0$ ppm, s		
Ethane	$C_2H_6$	$\delta = 0.81$ ppm, s		
Water	$H_2O$	$\delta$ =4.74 ppm, s		
Ethanol	CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OH	$\delta = 1.17$ ppm, t		
Acetaldehyde (hydrated)	CH <sub>3</sub> CHO	$\delta$ =1.32 ppm, d		
Acetic Acid	CH <sub>3</sub> COOH	$\delta = 2.08$ ppm, s		
Acetaldehyde	CH <sub>3</sub> CHO	$\delta = 2.23$ ppm, d		
Methanol	CH <sub>3</sub> OH	$\delta = 3.35$ ppm, s		
Ethanol	CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OH	$\delta = 3.65$ ppm, q		
Acetaldehyde (hydrated)	CH <sub>3</sub> CHO	$\delta = 5.24$ ppm, q		
Formic Acid	<b>HCOOH</b>	$\delta = 8.28$ ppm, s		
Acetaldehyde	CH <sub>3</sub> CHO	$\delta = 9.66$ ppm, q		

**Table S2** <sup>1</sup>H NMR chemical shifts of ethane oxidation products.

Catalyst	Path	N	R(A)	$\sigma^2(\AA^2)$	$\Delta E_0$ (eV)	R-factor
$3.0\%$ Pd/ZSM-5	$Pd-O$	$5.9 \pm 1.5$	$1.95 \pm 0.02$	$0.006 \pm 0.003$		0.020
	$Pd-(O)-Pd \t 4.7\pm1.3$		$3.39 \pm 0.01$	$0.002\pm0.002$	$-17.5 \pm 2.0$	
3.0% Pd/ZSM-5-H <sub>2</sub>	Pd-Pd	$10.7 \pm 2.2$	$2.74 \pm 0.01$	$0.005 \pm 0.001$	$-4.7\pm1.5$	0.014

**Table S3** Curves fitting parameters for Pd K-edge EXAFS of 3.0% Pd/ZSM-5 and 3.0% Pd/ZSM-5-H2.

N is the coordination number; R is interatomic distance;  $\sigma^2$  is the Debye-Waller factor;  $\Delta E_0$  is inner potential correction; R-factor indicates the goodness of the fit; The obtained  $S_0^2$  of Pd foil was 0.75, and  $S_0^2$  was fixed in the subsequent fitting of Pd K-edge for the samples. Data  $ranges: 3 \le k \le 12.4 \text{ Å}^{-1}, 1 \le R \le 3.5 \text{ Å}.$ 

## **references**

- 1 R. M. Sellers, *Analyst*, 1980, **105**, 950–954.
- 2 Y. Yan, C. Chen, S. Zou, J. Liu, L. Xiao and J. Fan, *Front. Chem.*, 2020, **8**, 252.