Electronic Supporting Information (ESI)

A dual-labeling fluorescent probe to track lysosomal polarity and endoplasmic reticulum dynamics during ferroptosis

Zhao Zhao,^a Wendong Jin,^b Mengfan Wu,^c Qingyu Lin,^{*c} and Yixiang Duan,^{*ac}

^a Research Center of Analytical Instrumentation, Key Laboratory of Bio-resource and Eco-environment, Ministry of Education, College of Life Science, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610065, Sichuan, China ^b State Key Laboratory of Crystal Materials, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, China ^c Research Center of Analytical Instrumentation, School of Mechanical Engineering, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610065, Sichuan, China

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: gylin@scu.edu.cn (Q, Lin), yduan@scu.edu.cn (Y, Duan).

Table of contents

1. Experimental section	1
1.1 Reagents and instruments	1
1.2 Preparation of multiple analytes	1
1.3 Spectroscopic measurements	1
1.4 Synthesis and characterization	2
1.5 Cell culture	2
1.6 Cell imaging and colocalization experiments	3
1.7 Lysosomal polarity imaging experiments	3
1.8 Cytotoxicity Assays	3
2. Existing ER/lysosome fluorescent probes	4
2.1 Reported lysosomal polarity probes	4
2.2 Commercial ER-Trackers	5
2.3 Reported ER probes for ferroptosis model	6
2.4 Reported ER/lysosome dual-labeling probes	7
3. Supplemental Figures	8
References	15

1. Experimental section

1.1 Reagents and instruments

Reagents. 5-(Dimethylamino) naphthalene-1-sulfonyl chloride (DNSCl), 6-Carboxytetramethy -Irhodamine (6-TAMRA), N,N diisopropylethyl a mine (DIEA), O-(7-Azabenzotriazol-1-yl)-N,N,N',N'tetramethyl uronium hexafluorophosphate (HATU), and BODIPY 493/503 were purchased from Bide Pharmatech Ltd. Cell Counting Kit (CCK-8), LysoTracker Green DND-26, and Mito-Tracker Green were bought from Yeasen Biotechnology (Shanghai) Co., Ltd. ER-Tracker Red, ER-Tracker Green, and Tubulin-Tracker Deep Red were purchased from Beyotime Biotech Inc, Shanghai, China. LysoTracker Deep Red was purchased from Maokang Biotech. pDsRed2-ER (P0141) was obtained from MiaoLingBio,China. The organic solvents were used without purification.

Instruments. High-resolution mass spectra (HR-MS) were obtained using an orbitrap Mass Spectrometer (Q Exactive Plus, Thermo Fisher Scientific). ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded using a Bruker AV II NMR spectrometer. Photoluminescence spectra were performed on a fluorescence spectrophotometer (LS-55, Perkin Elmer). Absorbance values of 96-well plate were recorded from a microplate reader (iMark, ThermoFisher). Fluorescent images were performed on a confocal microscopy (OLYMPUS SpinSR10).

1.2 Preparation of multiple analytes

Hypochlorite ion (ClO⁻) was prepared by diluting NaClO solution in deionized water. Hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) was made by dilution of H_2O_2 solution (30%) with deionized water. Nitric oxide (NO) was generated from the Na₂[Fe (CN)₅NO] 2H₂O stock solution. Peroxynitrite ion (ONOO⁻) was prepared by simultaneous addition of NaNO₂, HCl and H₂O₂ solution into NaOH solution at 0 °C. The concentration of ONOO⁻ was estimated with Lambert-Beer law (the molar absorption coefficient is 1670 M⁻¹cm⁻¹) by measuring the absorbance value at 302 nm. Nitrite ion (NO₂⁻) was generated from NaNO₂. Superoxide (O₂⁻⁻) was obtained from a saturated solution of KO₂ in DMSO. Hydroxy radical (·OH) was prepared by addition of FeCl₂ solution into H₂O₂ solution through Fenton reaction. The stock solutions of biothiol and metal ion were prepared from glutathione (GSH), cysteine (Cys), homocysteine (Hcy), and glutamate (Glu), CuSO₄, MgCl₂, ZnCl₂, FeCl₃, and CaCl₂.

1.3 Spectroscopic measurements

Probe DRHB was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) for a stock solution (1 mM). The final test

solution of DRHB and DEA (10 μ M) was prepared in various organic solvents (toluene, ethyl acetate, acetonitrile, dimethylformamide, isopropanol, acetone, dioxane), the dioxane-water mixtures with different polarity (0% - 30% H₂O, v/v), PBS buffer with different pH values (pH 4.0 - pH 8.0), and analyte-containing PBS buffer (ROS, RNS, RSS, and metal ions). The fluorescence spectra of these resulting solutions (containing 1% DMSO) were tested at room temperature.

1.4 Synthesis and characterization

The dansyl ethylenediamine (DEA) was prepared by following methods reported in the literature.¹ 6-TAMRA (215 mg, 0.5 mmol), DEA (147 mg, 0.5 mmol), DIEA (0.2 mL), and HATU (190 mg, 0.5 mmol) were dissolved in 200 mL CH₃CN, then the solution was stirred overnight at room temperature. The solvent was evaporated in vacuum, and the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography with the eluent as CH_2Cl_2/CH_3OH (10/1, v/v) to give the red product DRHB (141 mg) was obtained. Yield: 40 %. ¹H NMR (800 MHz, d6-DMSO, δ): 8.64 (t, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 8.40 (dd, J = 25.5, 6.2 Hz, 2H), 8.22 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 8.09 – 8.02 (m, 4H), 7.57 – 7.53 (m, 2H), 7.49 – 7.46 (m, 1H), 7.17 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.53 – 6.51 (m, 4H), 3.21 (dd, J = 12.6, 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.94 (s, 12H), 2.92 (dd, J = 12.8, 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.79 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (201 MHz, d6-DMSO, δ): 168.73, 165.22, 152.63, 152.46, 151.78, 140.78, 139.78, 136.30, 134.91, 129.89, 129.51, 128.98, 128.66, 128.25, 127.77, 125.10, 124.00, 122.77, 119.40, 115.52, 109.55, 106.10, 98.43, 56.50, 55.38, 45.50, 41.94. HRMS (ESI): calcd for C₃₉H₃₉N₅O₆S [M + H]⁺ 706.2655, found 706.2687.



Scheme S1. The synthetic route of the probe DRHB.

1.5 Cell culture

U2OS cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin at 37° C in a humidified 5% CO₂ incubator.

1.6 Cell imaging and colocalization experiments

U2OS Cells (1×10⁴ cells/well) were seeded in glass-bottom 24-well plates and incubated in a CO₂ incubator. The DRHB working solution (10 μ M) was prepared by diluting DRHB stock solution (5 mM, DMSO) in DMEM medium containing 10% FBS. After 24 h cellular growth, the cells were incubated with DRHB working solution for 30 min and washed with PBS buffer. Then, LysoTracker Green DND-26 (LYG, 500 nM), ER-Tracker green (ERG, 1 μ M), Mito-Tracker Green (MTG, 100 nM), BODIPY 493/503 (LDs, 1 μ M), or Tubulin-Tracker Deep Red (Tub-DR, 1 μ M) were added and incubated for another 30 min. After that, the washed cells were treated with DMEM medium containing erastin/oleic acid for different time and imaged.

Fluorescence images were performed on an ultrahigh-resolution spinning-disk confocal microscopy (OLYMPUS SpinSR 10) equipped with a sCMOS camera (ORCA-Fusion, 2304×2304) using an oilimmersion objective lens (100×OHR, NA.1.5). Especially, the Olympus super-resolution imaging mode (at least 120 nm resolution) was used in the photostability experiments and time-lapse imaging experiments for dynamic tracking of lysosomes, the ER, and LDs in living cells. Fluorescence images were acquired and processed by CellSense software. The average fluorescence intensity and pearson correlation coefficient (PCC) were analyzed by the ImageJ (FiJi) software.

1.7 Lysosomal polarity imaging experiments

U2OS Cells (1×10⁴ cells/well) were seeded in glass-bottom 24-well plates and incubated in a CO₂ incubator. The cuproptosis inducer elesclomol-Cu (1 mM, DMSO) was prepared by mixing the elesclomol and CuCl₂ stock solutions with equivalent molar amount for 5 minutes. After 24 h cellular growth, the cells were incubated with DRHB working solution for 30 min and washed. Then, the DRHB-stained cells were treated with tunicamycin, erastin, elesclomol-Cu, or EBSS (Earle's Balanced Salt Solution) for 4 h and imaged. The ratiometric images (F_{red}/F_{green}) were processed by CellSense software. The lysosomal area and mean ratio in lysosomes were analyzed by the ImageJ (FiJi) software.

1.8 Cytotoxicity Assays

The toxicity of the probe DRHB toward living U2OS cells was tested using a Cell Counting Kit (CCK-8) assay. Live cells (1×10^4 cells/well) were seeded in 96-well plates and incubated for 24 h. The cell culture medium containing gradient concentrations (0-500 μ M) of DRHB replaced the inoculation medium for 24 h incubation. Then, the cells were washed and incubated with CCK8-containg medium for 2 h. Finally, the absorbance of each well was read at 450 nm by a microplate reader.

2. Existing ER/lysosome fluorescent probes

2.1 Reported lysosomal polarity probes

Probe structure	$\lambda_{ex}/\lambda_{em}$	Ratio	Ferroptosis	Applications	References
	(nm)	response			
\sim	375/461	No	No	Real-time monitoring of	Chem. Commun., 2017,
				autophagy in MCF-7 cells	53 , 3645–3648.
	410/474	Yes	No	Lysosomal polarity in MCF-	Biomaterials, 2018,
NOH NOH	479/552			7 cells is equivalent to DCM	164 , 98–105.
	411/537	No	No	Lysosomal polarity changes	Chem. Commun., 2019,
				in zebrafish, inflammatory	55 , 11063–11066.
MND-Lys				and obese mice	
	453/550	No	No	Lysosomal polarity for	Chem. Commun., 2019,
				cancer diagnosis in organ,	55 , 4703–4706.
СРМ СРМ				animal, and clinical tissue	
	372/515	No	No	Lysosomal polarity changes	Chin. Chem. Lett.,
				during autophagy in MCF-7	2020, 31 , 447–450.
				cells.	
Lyso-TPFP	365/532	No	No	Lysosomal polarity varia-	Anal. Chim. Acta, 2020,
				tions in oxidative stress and	1136 , 34–41.
- N				autophagy in LM-3 cells	
	594/686	No	No	Lysosomal polarity altera-	Sens. Actuators B
				tions in senescent MRC5	Chem., 2020, 319 ,
KSLP1				cells and C. elegans.	128302.
n II	/655	Yes	No	Lysosomal polarity differ-	Sens. Actuators B
	/705			ence in normal/cancer cells	Chem., 2021, 345 ,
				and changes during	130397.
				zebrafish development.	
	412/544	No	No	Lysosome polarity fluctua-	J. Mater. Chem. B,
	550/580			tion during autophagy in	2022, 10 , 4285–4292.
				HepG2 cells and zebrafish	
NCIC-Pola	480/692	No	No	Lysosome polarity changes	Biosens. Bioelectron.,
				during autophagy in BV-2	2023, 237 , 115453.
				cells and mouse brain	
	340/505	Yes	Yes	Increased Lysosomal	This work
СТО Н ГОН	544/585			polarity during ferroptosis	
				in U2OS cells	
DRHB					

Table S1. Comparison of reported polarity-sensitive probes for lysosomal polarity.

-- Not mentioned.

2.2 Commercial ER-Trackers



Scheme S2. Molecular structure of commercial endoplasmic reticulum probes.

2.3 Reported ER probes for ferroptosis model

Probe structure	ClogP	λex/λem	Response	Micro-	Translocation	Applications	References
		(nm)	•	structure	to newborn		
				tracking	LDs		
	6.2	405/530	Viscosity	No		ER viscosity	Chem. Commun.,
						increases	2021, 57 , 5040-
							5042
Ir-ER							
	11.9	360/528	pH and	No		ER viscosity	Anal. Chem.,
		560/620	viscosity			increases	2022, 94 , 6557–
HN ² CDSPI-3							6565
	5.0	481/616	Viscosity	No		ER viscosity	Anal. Chim. Acta,
						increases	2022, 1232 ,
PV1							340454
N	6.8	540/645	Viscosity	No		ER viscosity	Chem. Commun.,
-NTLAN						increases from 159	2023, 59 , 1769-
						cP to 213 cP	1772
<u> </u>	6.2	447/590	Polarity	No		ER polarity	Anal. Chim. Acta,
			("ON" in low-			increases	2023,
			polarity)				1275 ,341571.
N ^O N	4.2	431/543	Polarity	No		ER polarity	Chem. – Eur. J.,
			("ON" in low-			Increases	2024,
			polarity)				e202401285.
SBD-CH							
	7.1	488/640	Polarity	No		Induction of lipid	J. Med. Chem.,
			("ON" in low-			peroxidation and	2024, 67 , 1900–
			polarity)			ferroptosis.	1913.
BODIQPy-TPA							
	1.7	544/585	Polarity	Yes	No	Dynamic	This work
N N OH			("OFF" in low-			fragmentation,	
			polarity)			rearrangement, and	
						ER-phagy of ER	
DRHB						micro-structure	

 Table S2. Comparison of reported endoplasmic reticulum probes for ferroptosis model.

-- Not mentioned.

2.4 Reported ER/lysosome dual-labeling probes

Probe	ClogP	Polarity	Translocation	Ferroptosis	Applications	References
		detection	to newborn LDs	/ oleic acid		
RHC	7.1	No		No/No	Monitoring of ER-phagy and	Anal. Chem., 2022 ,
					regeneration in thapsigargin model	94, 5173–5180.
ER-proRed	10.4	No		No/No	Imaging of starvation-induced ER-	Autophagy, 2023 ,
					phagy	19, 2015–2025.
TMO-5	7.2	No		No/No	No	Anal. Chem., 2024 ,
						96 <i>,</i> 876–886.
F-CCO	4.2	No		No/No	pH changes in dexamethasone-induced	Dyes and Pigments,
					apoptosis	2024 , 224, 111997.
DRHB	1.7	Yes	No	Yes/Yes	Monitoring of lysosomal polarity	This work
					changes and ER dynamics in ferroptosis	

Table S3. Comparison of reported fluorescent probes for ER/lysosome dual-labeling.

-- Not mentioned.



Scheme S3. The structure and sensing mode of reported ER/lysosome dual-labeling fluorescent

probes.

3. Supplemental Figures



Fig. S1 The comparison of molecular polarity of probe DRHB, monodansylpentane (MDH), and monodansylcadaverine (MDC) via thin layer chromatography under 365 nm light, MeOH: DCM = 7: 100. MDC, a commercial autophagosome probe, non-specifically targets lysosomes due to its amino group;² MDH, a commercial LDs probe due to its lipophilic alkyl chain.³



Fig. S2 Emission spectra of probe DRHB in various solvents upon 380 nm excitation (a) and 510 nm excitation (b), respectively.



Fig. S3 Photograph of probe DRHB in the mixture of dioxane and water under visible light.



Fig. S4 (a) Fluorescence emission spectra and molecular structure of control probe (DEA, dansyl ethylenediamine) in the mixture of dioxane and water (λ ex: 380 nm). (b) Comparison of the sensitivity of DEA and probe DRHB toward water contents. Error bars: SD, n = 3.



Fig. S5 Emission spectra of probe DRHB (10 μ M) in 10 mM PBS buffer with different pH value upon 380 nm excitation (a) and 510 nm excitation (b), respectively. (c) Fluorescence intensity at 505 nm and 585 nm from (a) and (b). Error bars: SE, n = 3.



Fig. S6 Fluorescence intensity ratios (I_{585}/I_{505}) of probe DRHB in the presence of the following species in 10 mM PBS buffer. [1]: blank, 500 μ M reactive oxygen ([2]: H_2O_2 , [3]: ClO⁻, [4]: •OH, and [5]: $O_2^{\bullet-}$); 100 μ M nitrogen species ([6]: ONOO⁻, [7]: NO₂⁻, and [8]: NO); biothiols ([9]: 1 mM GSH, 500 μ M [10]: Hcy, [11]: Cys, and [12]: Glu); 200 μ M metal ions ([13]: Fe³⁺, [14]: Mg²⁺, [15]: Zn²⁺, [16]: Cu²⁺, and [17]: Ca²⁺). Error bars: SE, n = 3.



Fig. S7 Cytotoxicity of probe DRHB on U2OS cells. Error bars: SD, n = 4.



Fig. S8 Colocalization of probe DRHB with BODIPY 493/503 (a) and Tubulin-Tracker Deep Red (b) in U2OS living cells, respectively. DRHB-lyso: λ_{ex} = 405 nm, λ_{em} = 417-477 nm; BODIPY: λ_{ex} = 488 nm, λ_{em} = 500-550 nm; DRHB-ER: λ_{ex} = 561 nm, λ_{em} = 580-654 nm; Tub-DR: λ_{ex} = 640 nm, λ_{em} = 665-705 nm.



Fig. S9 (a) Colocalization of DRHB with MitoTracker Green. (b) Colocalization of ER Green with MitoTracker Deep Red in U2OS living cells. MTG/ERG: λ_{ex} = 488 nm, λ_{em} = 500-550 nm; DRHB-ER: λ_{ex} = 561 nm, λ_{em} = 580-654 nm; MTDR: λ_{ex} = 640 nm, λ_{em} = 665-705 nm.



Fig. S10 Colocalization of ER Tracker Red with BODIPY, U2OS cells were prestained and then treated with DMSO (a), oleic acid (500 μ M), or Erastin (50 μ M, ferroptosis inducer) for 1 h to generate LDs (b). BODIPY: λ_{ex} = 488 nm, λ_{em} = 500-550 nm; ER Red: λ_{ex} = 561 nm, λ_{em} = 580-654 nm.



Fig. S11 Colocalization of probe DRHB with BODIPY 493/503, U2OS cells were prestained and then treated with oleic acid (500 μ M) or erastin (50 μ M) for 4 h. BODIPY: λ_{ex} = 488 nm, λ_{em} = 500-550 nm; ER Red: λ_{ex} = 561 nm, λ_{em} = 580-654 nm.



Fig. S12 Validation of the activated lipophagy during ferroptosis via the colocalization of BODIPY493 with Lyso Deep Red. U2OS cells were prestained and then treated with DMSO or erastin (50 μ M) for 4 h. BODIPY: λ_{ex} = 488 nm, λ_{em} = 500-550 nm; LYDR: λ_{ex} = 640 nm, λ_{em} = 665-705 nm.



Fig. S13 (a) Photostability of DRHB, ER Green, DsRed2-ER, and Lyso Green in living U2OS cells after continuous super-resolution imaging. (b) Mean fluorescence intensity of (a). DsRed2-ER (a commercially genetic ER probe). Error bars: SD, n = 3. DRHB-TMR/DsRed2-ER: λ_{ex} = 561 nm, λ_{em} =580-654 nm; DRHB-Dansy: λ_{ex} = 405 nm, λ_{em} = 500-550 nm; ERG/ LYG: λ_{ex} = 488 nm, λ_{em} = 500-550 nm.



Fig. S14 Time-lapse super-resolution imaging of dynamic ER-LD interactions during ferroptosis. U2OS cells were co-stained with probe DRHB and BODIPY 493/503, then treated with erastin (100 μ M) for 1h. BODIPY: λ_{ex} = 488 nm, λ_{em} = 500-550 nm; DRHB-ER: λ_{ex} = 561 nm, λ_{em} = 580-654 nm.



Fig. S15 (a) Fluorescence imaging of polarity in living U2OS cells in the presence of tunicamycin. The cells incubated with DRHB, then treated with tunicamycin (0, 5, 10 or 20 µg/mL, 4 h). (b) The mean ratio (F_{red}/F_{green}) in lysosomes of (a). Error bars: SE, n = 6 independent experiments. DRHB-lyso: λ_{ex} = 405 nm, λ_{em} = 500-550 nm; DRHB-ER: λ_{ex} = 561 nm, λ_{em} = 580-654 nm.



Fig. S16 ¹H-NMR spectrum of probe DRHB in d6-DMSO.



Fig. S17¹³C-NMR spectrum of probe DRHB in d6-DMSO.



Fig. S18 HR-MS spectrum of probe DRHB.

References

1 P. M. S. D. Cal, R. F. M. Frade, V. Chudasama, C. Cordeiro, S. Caddick and P. M. P. Gois, *Chem. Commun. Camb. Engl.*, 2014, **50**, 5261–5263.

- 2 A. Niemann, J. Baltes and H.-P. Elsässer, J. Histochem. Cytochem., 2001, 49, 177–185.
- **3** H.-J. Yang, C.-L. Hsu, J.-Y. Yang and W. Y. Yang, *PLoS ONE*. 2012;7(3): e32693.