Supporting Information

Ammonia decomposition mediated at nitrogen vacancy on

NaCl-type binary metal nitride supported with transition

metal nanoparticles

Masayoshi Miyazaki,[†] Kiya Ogasawara,[†] Yousuke Takekoshi,[†] Kazuki Miyashita,[†] Hitoshi Abe,[‡] Yasuhiro Niwa,[‡] Hideo Hosono^{*, †} and Masaaki Kitano,^{*, †, §}

[†] MDX Research Center for Element Strategy, International Research Frontiers Initiative, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 4259 Nagatsuta, Midori-ku, Yokohama 226-8503, Japan

[‡] Institute of Materials Structure Science, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization, 1-1, Oho, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305–0801, Japan

[§] Advanced Institute for Materials Research (WPI-AIMR), Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8577, Japan

Hideo Hosono

E-mail: hosono@mces.titech.ac.jp, Tel: +81-45-924-5009,

Masaaki Kitano

E-mail: kitano.m.aa@m.titech.ac.jp, Tel: +81-45-924-5191,

1. Experimental

1.1. Sample preparation

The LaN and CeN were synthesized by the nitridation of LaH₃ and CeH₃ as previously reported in the literature.¹ The LaH₃ and CeH₃ were, in turn, prepared by hydrogenation of pieces of La and Ce metal (99.9%, Rare Metallic Co.) under 1.5 MPa of H₂ gas at room temperature for 2 h. LaN and CeN were subsequently prepared from the hydride powders by heating at 600 °C under a N₂ gas flow (10 mL·min⁻¹) for 12 h. Other nitride materials (YN, ZrN, and HfN) were purchased from the Kojundo Chemical Laboratory. CeN with high surface area (CeN-HS) was synthesized via nitridation of a CeH₂ nanopowder made by an arc-evaporation method as previously reported.² Nickel-supported catalysts were synthesized by H₂ reduction reactions of mixtures of Ni(η -C₅H₅)₂ (98.0%, Tokyo Chemical Industry) and various support materials at 250 °C for 1.5 h.

1.2. Catalytic reactions

Each ammonia decomposition reaction was conducted in a fixed-bed plug-flow silica glass tube reactor at ambient pressure. Each of the prepared catalysts was pretreated by heating under a N₂/H₂ mixed gas flow (N₂:H₂=1:3, 20 mL·min⁻¹) at 500 °C for 1 h. Catalytic performance and stability tests were conducted using 0.1 g catalyst specimens over the range of 360–660 °C in a stream of pure NH₃ gas (25 mL·min⁻¹), corresponding to a weight hourly space velocity (WHSV) of 15,000 mL_{NH3}·g⁻¹·h⁻¹. Effluent gases were analyzed with an online gas chromatograph (GC-14A, incorporating a thermal conductivity detector and Porapak OS column, Shimadzu, with He as the carrier gas). The NH₃ conversion was calculated as

$$X_{NH3} = \frac{C_{NH3, 0} - C_{NH3}}{C_{NH3,0} + C_{NH3}}$$
(1)

Where $C_{\text{NH3,0}}$ is the concentration of NH₃ in the inlet gas and C_{NH3} is the concentration in the outlet gas. Trials involving ¹⁵N-labeled NH₃ gas decomposition were performed at 500 °C over both the Ni/CeN and CeN specimens in a U-shaped quartz glass reactor connected to a closed gas

circulation system. These experiments each employed 75 mg of the catalyst and introduced 4.0 mmol ¹⁵NH₃ (98% isotopic purity).

1.3. Characterization

The crystal structures of the catalysts were examined by acquiring powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns (D2 PHASER, Bruker) employing Cu K α radiation. To prevent the air exposure of the unstable nitrides (LaN, CeN, and YN), we employed the Ar-sealed XRD holder, which has a broad amorphous diffraction below $\theta = 25^{\circ}$. H₂-TPD and N₂-TPD analyses were conducted using a BELCAT-A apparatus (MicrotracBEL, Japan). Ni K-edge X-ray adsorption fine structure (XAFS) data were obtained using the synchrotron radiation ring at the PF-12C beamline of the KEK Photon Factory with Si(111) single-crystal monochromators. Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface areas were calculated based on N₂ adsorption–desorption isotherms acquired at 77 K using a BELSORP-mini II apparatus (MicrotracBEL).

1.4. Density functional theory calculations

Periodic density functional theory (DFT) calculations based on slab models were performed using the Vienna Ab Initio Simulation Package (VASP) code³ with the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof exchange–correlation functional⁴ based on the projector augmented wave (PAW) method.^{5,6} The plane-wave basis set was truncated at a kinetic energy of 500 eV and a Monkhorst-Pack k-point grid separation⁷ for the Brillouin zone sampling was $3 \times 3 \times 1$ for each surface models . The convergence criteria for structural optimization and energy calculations comprised an SCF tolerance of 1.0×10^{-6} eV per atom, an energy tolerance of 5.0×10^{-5} eV per atom and a maximum force tolerance of $0.05 \text{ eV} \cdot \text{Å}^{-1}$. The DFT + U method (DFT plus Hubbard-U parameter) was employed for all DFT calculations.⁸ The effective Hubbard-U parameter, that is, U – J, was set to 7.0 eV (Ce) and 4.0 eV (La). The formation Energy of N³⁻ vacancies on each nitride surface was defined as

$$E_f(V_N) = \{ E(S^d) + 0.5 \times E(N_2) \} - E(S^p)$$

Where E(A) represents the total energy of system A and S^d and S^p represent Nitrides and without surface V_N , respectively.

Table

Table S1. Specific surface area of nitrides.

Nitrides	Specific surface area $(m^2 \cdot g^{-1})$			
CeN	3.5			
CeN-HS	23.1			
LaN	1.9			
YN	4.0			
ZrN	1.0			
HfN	0.1			

Table S2. Summary of previously reported Ni-supported catalyst for ammonia decomposition.

Catalysts	Ni content (wt%)	T (°C)	$\begin{array}{l} WHSV\\ (mLg_{cat.}{}^{-1}h^{-1}) \end{array}$	Conversion (%)	H_2 production rate (mmol _{H2} g _{cat.} ⁻¹ min ⁻¹)	H_2 production rate (mmol _{H2} g _{Ni} ⁻¹ min ⁻¹)	Ref.
Ni/CeN-HS	10	500	15000	86.4	14.5	144.6	This work
Ni/CeN	5	500	15000	51.2	8.6	171.4	This work
Ni/LaN	5	500	15000	39.3	6.6	131.6	This work
Ni/YN	5	500	15000	16.7	2.8	55.9	This work
Ni/ZrN	5	500	15000	5.2	0.9	17.4	This work
Ni/HfN	5	500	15000	0.9	0.2	3	This work
NaNH ₂ -Ni-GNP	8	500	60000	-	13.9	173.4	9
Ce-Ni/Al ₂ O ₃	43.4	500	30000	71.9	24.1	55.5	10
La-Ni/Al ₂ O ₃	37.9	500	30000	63.9	21.4	56.5	11
Ni/SBA-15	23.4	500	30000	57	19.1	81.6	12
Ni/MCM-41	7.2	500	30000	26.9	9	125.1	13
Ni/SiO ₂	10	500	30000	10.5	3.5	35.2	14
Ni/CNT	2.9	500	30000	9	3	103.9	15
Ni-Ce-Al-O microsphere	-	500	18000	88	17.7	-	16
Ni/h-BaTiO _{3-x} N _y	5	500	15000	58	9.7	194.3	17
Ni/CaNH-HS	10	500	15000	91.2	15.3	152.7	18
Ni/Al ₂ O ₃	10	500	15000	20	3.3	33.5	18
Ni/CaO	10	500	15000	16	2.7	26.8	18
Ni/C12A7:e-	7.4	500	15000	13.3	2.2	30.1	18
Ni doped LiNH ₂ /C	5	400	13000	53	7.7	153.8	19



Figures

Figure S1. XANES spectra before and after introduction of V_N for (a) Ni/LaN and (b) Ni/CeN with the references. CeH_{2.73} was prepared by the hydrogenation of metal Ce under 1 MPa H₂ at room temperature. The chemical composition of prepared hydride was determined to be CeH_{2.73} by the XRD patterns in previous study.²⁰



Figure S2. Arrhenius plots for ammonia decomposition over Ni/TMN (TM = La, Ce, Y, Zr or Hf) below 30% NH₃ conversion.



Figure S3. (a) Ni K-edge XANES spectra of Ni/nitrides along with Ni and NiO as references. (b) Fourier transform of k^3 -weighted EXAFS oscillations for Ni/nitrides along with those for Ni and NiO.



Figure S4. XRD patterns for 10 wt% Ni/CeN as prepared and after 24 h ammonia decomposition reaction at 500°C.



Figure S5. Hydrogen production rate obtained with CeN specimens having various Ni loadings.



Figure S6. TPD profiles for Ni/CeN and Ni/ZrN under He flow based on monitoring at m/z = 28.



Figure S7. Arrhenius plots for ammonia decomposition over M/CeN (M = Ru, Ni, Co or Fe) below 30% NH3 conversion.



Figure S8. NH₃ conversion during ammonia decomposition over nitrides without metal loading as function of temperature.



Figure S9. (a) Data from ¹⁵NH₃ decomposition trial using Ni/CeN at 500 °C. (b) N₂-TPD profiles for Ni/CeN after the ¹⁵NH₃ decomposition trial at 500 °C. (c) ¹⁴N¹⁵N produced over 30 min during initial state of ¹⁵NH₃ decomposition over Ni/CeN and CeN.



Figure S10. Bader charge analysis of nitride surfaces with V_N formation on CeN (001).

References

- 1 T.-N. Ye, S.-W. Park, Y. Lu, J. Li, M. Sasase, M. Kitano, T. Tada and H. Hosono, *Nature*, 2020, **583**, 391–395.
- 2 Y. Lu, J. Li, T. N. Ye, Y. Kobayashi, M. Sasase, M. Kitano and H. Hosono, ACS Catal., 2018, 8, 11054–11058.
- 3 G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1996, 54, 11169–11186.
- 4 J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1996, 77, 3865–3868.
- 5 P. E. Blöchl, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1994, **50**, 17953–17979.
- 6 G. Kresse and D. Joubert, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1999, **59**, 1758–1775.
- 7 J. D. Pack and H. J. Monkhorst, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1977, 16, 1748–1749.
- 8 S. L. Dudarev, G. A. Botton, S. Y. Savrasov, C. J. Humphreys and A. P. Sutton, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1998, **57**, 1505–1509.
- 9 P. L. Bramwell, S. Lentink, P. Ngene and P. E. De Jongh, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2016, 120, 27212– 27220.
- 10W. Zheng, J. Zhang, Q. Ge, H. Xu and W. Li, Appl. Catal. B Environ., 2008, 80, 98–105.
- 11 J. Zhang, H. Xu, X. Jin, Q. Ge and W. Li, Appl. Catal. Gen., 2005, 290, 87-96.
- 12H. Liu, H. Wang, J. Shen, Y. Sun and Z. Liu, Appl. Catal. Gen., 2008, 337, 138–147.
- 13X. Li, W. Ji, J. Zhao, S. Wang and C. Au, J. Catal., 2005, 236, 181-189.
- 14T. V. Choudhary, C. Sivadinarayana and D. W. Goodman, Catal. Lett., 2001, 72, 197-201.
- 15S.-F. Yin, Q.-H. Zhang, B.-Q. Xu, W.-X. Zhu, C.-F. Ng and C.-T. Au, J. Catal., 2004, 224, 384–396.
- 16H. Yan, Y.-J. Xu, Y.-Q. Gu, H. Li, X. Wang, Z. Jin, S. Shi, R. Si, C.-J. Jia and C.-H. Yan, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2016, **120**, 7685–7696.
- 17K. Ogasawara, M. Miyazaki, K. Miyashita, H. Abe, Y. Niwa, M. Sasase, M. Kitano and H. Hosono, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2023, **13**, 2301286.
- 18K. Ogasawara, T. Nakao, K. Kishida, T.-N. Ye, Y. Lu, H. Abe, Y. Niwa, M. Sasase, M. Kitano and H. Hosono, *ACS Catal.*, 2021, **11**, 11005–11015.
- 19F. Chang, H. Wu, R. V. D. Pluijm, J. Guo, P. Ngene and P. E. De Jongh, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2019, 123, 21487–21496.
- 20L. I. Osadchaya, V. V. Sokolov, L. N. Trushnikova and A. P. Zubareva, *Inorg. Mater.*, 2003, 39, 1142–1143.