Supporting Information

Visible-light Regulated Luminescent Switch Based on Spiropyranderived Pt(II) Complex for Advanced Anti-counterfeiting Materials

Xin Lei,† Ying Jiang,† Qingguo Zeng, Yuncan Dou, Haokun Zhang, Jiatao Ni, Yinuo Zhuo, Wei Wang, Yeye Ai,* Yongguang Li*

Table of Contents

1. Material and Methods4
1.1 Chemicals
1.2 Physical Measurements and Instrumentations4
1.3 Calculation of FRET efficiency
2. Synthetic Methods and Characterization
Scheme S1. Synthetic route of switch complex Pt-SP-Ph
2.1 Synthesis of Pt-NH ₂
2.2 Synthesis of Pt-SP7
2.3 Synthesis of Pt-SP-Ph
2.4 Preparation of visible light photorewritable patterning
2.5 Preparation of anticounterfeiting ink
2.6 Preparation of dynamic photo-controlled patterns
2.7 Preparation of temperature response anti-counterfeiting icon
3. Supporting Figures
Figure S1. Absorption spectra of Pt-SP-Ph and Pt-MC-Ph 10
Figure S2. The photochromic performance of Pt-SP-Ph under alternate 450 nm / 580 nm visible
light irradiation10
Figure S3. ¹ H NMR spectra of Pt-SP-Ph and Pt-MC-Ph in CDCl ₃ 11
Figure S4. Absorbance of Pt-SP-Ph at 575 nm during repetitive switching cycles consisting of
alternate 450 nm / 580 nm visible light irradiation11
Figure S5. Emission spectra of Pt-SP-Ph in deaerated THF solution upon 450 nm visible light
irradiation11
Figure S6. Emission spectra of Pt-SP-Ph and Pt-MC-Ph
Figure S7. Fluorescence color changes of Pt-SP-Ph upon increasing irradiation time at CIE
(1931) chromaticity diagrams
Figure S8. Normalized excitation spectra of Pt-SP-Ph at different emission wavelengths13
Figure S9. The reversible switch of emission intensity of 1.7 w% Pt-SP-Ph/PMMA at 646 nm
by alternate 450 nm / 580 nm irradiation
Figure S10. Emission decay profiles monitored at 530 nm of (A) Pt-SP-Ph/Pt-MC-Ph (2.0 \times
10 ⁻⁵ M) in THF solution and (B) 1.7 w% (Pt-SP-Ph/PMMA)/(Pt-MC-Ph/PMMA). Emission
decay profiles monitored at 646 nm of (C) Pt-SP-Ph/Pt-MC-Ph $(2.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M})$ in THF solution
and (D) 1.7 w% (Pt-SP-Ph/PMMA)/(Pt-MC-Ph/PMMA)14
Figure S11. ¹ H NMR spectrum of Pt-SP-Ph 15

Figure S12. ¹³ C NMR spectrum of Pt-SP-Ph	15
Figure S13. HRMS spectrum of Pt-SP-Ph ([M+H] ⁺ , calculated 1030.3251)	16
4. Supporting Tables	16
Table S1 Summarized photophysical data	16
Table S2 The related SP switches in the literatures.	16
5. References	20
6. Author Contributions	20

1. Material and Methods

1.1 Chemicals

All chemical and solvents were used without further purification. 2,3,3-Trimethyl-3H-indole (98 %, energy chemical), 2-hydroxy-5-nitrobenzaldehyde (98 %, energy chemical), 3-iodopropanoic acid (>98 %, TCI), methyl 3,5-dibromobenzoate (98 %, Adamas), 2-(tributylstannyl)pyridine (98 %, energy chemical), 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP, 99 %, Alfa Aesar), *N*-Boc-1,2-cyclohexanediamine (98 %, energy chemical), 1-hydroxybenzo-trizole (HOBt, 99 %, energy chemical), 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethyllaminopropyl)carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC·HCl, 98 %, Aladdin), K₂PtCl₄ (99 % (metals basis), Pt 46.4 % min, energy chemical), LiCl (99 %, energy chemical), phenylacetylene (>98 %, TCI), sodium hydroxide (98 %, energy chemical) poly(methyl methacrylate) (energy chemical), polyethylene glycol(M=8000, energy chemical).

1.2 Physical Measurements and Instrumentations

¹H NMR spectra and temperature-dependent ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DPX 500 FT–NMR spectrometer 500 MHz. Matrix assisted laser desorption ionization time of flight mass were performed on a Bruker Autoflex speed TOF mass spectrometer. Elemental analyses of the complexes were performed on a Vario EL elemental analyzer.

Electronic absorption spectra were recorded using a Shimadzu UV–2600 spectrophotometer. The photoluminescence spectra were measured on PerkinElmer FL 6500 and Edinburgh Instruments FLS980 fluorescence spectrophotometers. All solutions for photophysical studies were prepared under high vacuum in a 10-cm³ round-bottomed flask equipped with a sidearm 1-cm fluorescence cuvette and sealed from the atmosphere by a Rotaflo HP6/6 quick-release Teflon stopper. Solutions were rigorously degassed on a high-vacuum line in a two-compartment cell with no less than four successive freeze-pump-thaw cycles.

1.3 Calculation of FRET efficiency

The FRET efficiency of *S/R***Pt-SP** were calculated using equation (1),

$$E = 1 - \frac{I}{I_0}$$
(1)

Where *E* is the FRET efficiency and *I* and I_0 are the PL intensity of **Pt-SP-Ph** in the presence and absence of **Pt-MC-Ph** after 30 s UV irradiation, respectively.

2. Synthetic Methods and Characterization

Compounds C1-C4, Pt-NH₂, SP-COOH, and Pt-SP were synthesized according to the reported literatures.¹⁻⁵ The synthetic routes of Pt-SP-Ph were shown in Scheme S1.



Scheme S1. Synthetic route of switch complex Pt-SP-Ph.

2.1 Synthesis of Pt-NH₂

C3 (520 mg, 0.98 mmol) and K₂PtCl₄ (480 mg, 1.17 mmol) were added into glacial acetic acid (20 mL) and the mixtures were refluxed for 3 days under N₂ atmosphere. After cooling to room temperature, Na₂CO₃ aqueous solution (100 mL) was added and the pure product was afforded as an orange solid. **Pt-NH₂**: orange solid, 441 mg; yield, 65 %. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, 298 K, DMSO-*d*₆, relative to Me₄Si, δ / ppm): 9.16 (d, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 2H), 8.43 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 8.25–8.29 (m, 4H), 8.20 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.91 (s, 0H), 7.64 (td, *J* = 6.2, 5.3, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 7.30 (s, 2H), 3.90–3.97 (m, 1H), 3.02–3.10 (m, 1H), 2.07 (d, *J* = 13.1 Hz, 1H), 1.95 (d, *J* = 12.1 Hz, 1H), 1.70–1.77 (m, 2H), 1.39–1.48 (m, 2H), 1.22–1.32 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, 298 K, DMSO-*d*₆, δ / ppm): 166.79, 165.90, 164.72, 151.41, 140.60, 140.08, 129.60, 124.51, 124.39, 120.69, 52.93, 51.20, 31.43, 29.68, 24.25, 23.63; MS (MALDI): *m/z*: 603.15 [*M*+H]⁺. 2.2 Synthesis of Pt-SP

To a solution of **Pt-NH**₂ (216 mg, 0.67 mmol) and **SP-COOH** (307 mg, 0.80 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (100 mL) in a 250 mL flask were added 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC·HCl, 620 mg, 3.20 mmol), 1-hydroxybenzo-trizole (HOBt, 360 g, 2.40 mmol), and 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP, 13 mg, 0.11 mmol). The mixture was stirred for overnight at room temperature. Upon completion of the reaction, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The remaining solid was redissolved in DMF (15 mL), which was poured into 250 mL water and white precipitate was afforded. **Pt-SP**: green solid, 306 mg; yield, 80 %. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, 298 K, CDCl₃, relative to Me₄Si, δ / ppm): 9.27 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 7.65–7.70 (m, 8H), 7.26–7.29 (m, 2H), 7.22 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 7.11 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 6.99 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 6.82 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.70 (d, *J* = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 6.51–6.57 (m, 1H), 5.92 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 5.60 (d, *J* = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 3.80–3.87 (m, 2H), 3.61–3.66 (m, 1H), 3.21–3.28 (m, 1H), 2.50–2.57 (m, 1H), 2.40–2.46 (m, 1H), 2.22–2.28 (m, 1H), 1.76–1.86 (m, 2H), 1.35–1.41 (m, 2H), 1.25–1.32 (m, 2H), 1.16 (s, 3H), 1.02 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz,

298 K, DMSO-*d*₆, δ / ppm): 172.03, 168.60, 166.48, 165.64, 159.15, 152.03, 146.28, 141.07, 140.63, 139.16, 135.99, 129.77, 128.45, 127.92, 126.02, 123.58, 122.85, 121.95, 121.73, 119.87, 118.54, 115.36, 106.82, 54.97, 54.39, 53.01, 39.99, 36.28, 32.23, 25.87, 24.91, 19.88; MS (MALDI): *m/z*: 965.21 [*M*+H]⁺.

2.3 Synthesis of Pt-SP-Ph

To a round-bottomed flask, CH₃OH (50 mL), phenylacetylene (102 mg, 1.0 mmol) and NaOH (80 mg, 2.0 mmol) were added and stirred at room temperature for 20 min. Subsequently, Pt-SP (300 mg, 0.31 mmol) was added, and stirred at room temperature overnight. Upon completion of the reaction, the dark-purple product was obtained as precipitate by adding diethyl ether (150 mL) to the above reaction. The product was filtered and washed with diethyl ether for three times (25 mL). Pt-SP-Ph: dark-purple solid, 184 mg; yield, 57 %. 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ /ppm = 9.50 (d, J = 4.2 Hz, 2H), 7.70–7.95 (m, 9H), 7.59–7.63 (m, 2H), 7.26-7.31 (m, 4H), 7.17-7.25 (m, 5H), 6.97-7.06 (m, 2H), 6.80 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.66 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 6.52–6.58 (m, 2H), 5.58 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 2H), 3.76–3.85 (m, 2H), 3.60-3.69 (m, 1H), 3.40-3.49 (m, 2H), 2.48-2.57 (m, 1H), 2.24-2.30 (m, 1H), 1.72-1.84 (m, 2H), 1.21–1.41 (m, 4H), 1.15 (s, 3H), 1.02 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, 298 K, CDCl₃, δ / ppm): 171.14, 167.61, 167.17, 157.88, 154.38, 145.03, 141.87, 139.92, 137.76, 134.83, 130.75, 128.43, 127.38, 127.04, 124.90, 124.51, 124.50, 122.77, 121.79, 121.74, 120.80, 118.71, 117.33, 114.27, 114.19, 105.57, 105.56, 53.93, 52.45, 51.82, 38.74, 35.00, 34.59, 31.08, 28.68, 24.74, 23.66, 21.67, 18.73, 13.11; MS (MALDI): m/z: 1031.37 [M+H]⁺; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₄₄H₄₁ClN₆O₅Pt: C 60.63, H 4.50, N 8.16; found: C 60.59, H 4.65, N 8.21.

2.4 Preparation of visible light photorewritable patterning

The obtained complex **Pt-SP-Ph** was dissolved in THF to prepare a solution with a concentration of 6×10^{-4} mol/L. The optically erasable paper was made by immersing in the **Pt-SP-Ph** solution and drying at room temperature.

2.5 Preparation of anticounterfeiting ink

The complex **Pt-SP-Ph** (1 mM, 1mL; 0.5mM, 1mL) were dissolved and mixed with PMMA (5.0 w%, 1mL) in THF, respectively, after ultrasonic treatment for 1 min, 1.7 w% **Pt-SP-Ph/PMMA** and 0.85 w% **Pt-SP-Ph/PMMA** were prepared, and the obtained solution was used as anti-counterfeit ink directly.

2.6 Preparation of dynamic photo-controlled patterns

The complex Pt-SP-Ph (1 mM, 1mL) and PMMA (5.0 w%, 1mL) were dissolved and mixed in TH, after ultrasonic treatment for 1 min, 1.7 w% **Pt-SP-Ph/PMMA** was prepared. The filter paper was soaked in the 1.7 w% **Pt-SP-Ph/PMMA** mixture. Subsequently, the filter paper was dried at room temperature.

2.7 Preparation of temperature response anti-counterfeiting icon

The complex **Pt-SP-Ph** (1 mM, 1mL; 0.5mM, 1mL) were dissolved and mixed with PMMA (5.0 w%, 1mL) and PEG8000 (5.0 w%, 1mL) in THF, respectively. After ultrasonic treatment for 1 min, 1.7 w% **Pt-SP-Ph/PMMA** and 0.85 w% **Pt-SP-Ph/PEG8000** were prepared and then the corresponding anti-counterfeiting patterns were drawn on the quartz sheet.

3. Supporting Figures



Figure S1. Absorption spectra of **Pt-SP-Ph** and **Pt-MC-Ph** in THF solution (8.0×10^{-5} M).



Figure S2. The photochromic performance of **Pt-SP-Ph** (8.0×10^{-5} M) under alternate 450 nm / 580 nm visible light irradiation. Insets: photographs of color changes of **Pt-SP-Ph** in THF solution before and after irradiation.



Figure S3. ¹H NMR spectra of **Pt-SP-Ph** and **Pt-MC-Ph** in CDCl₃.



Figure S4. Absorbance of **Pt-SP-Ph** (8.0×10^{-5} M) at 575 nm in THF during repetitive switching cycles consisting of alternate 450 nm / 580 nm visible light irradiation.



Figure S5. Emission spectra of **Pt-SP-Ph** (8.0×10^{-5} M) in deaerated THF solution upon 450 nm visible light irradiation.



Figure S6. Emission spectra of **Pt-SP-Ph** and **Pt-MC-Ph** in THF solution (8.0×10^{-5} M).



Figure S7. Fluorescence color changes of **Pt-SP-Ph** (8.0×10^{-5} M) in THF solution upon increasing irradiation time at CIE (1931) chromaticity diagrams.



Figure S8. Normalized excitation spectra of **Pt-SP-Ph** (8.0×10^{-5} M) at different emission wavelengths in THF solution.



Figure S9. The reversible switch of emission intensity of 1.7 w% **Pt-SP-Ph/PMMA** at 646 nm by alternate 450 nm / 580 nm irradiation.



Figure S10. Emission decay profiles monitored at 530 nm of (A) **Pt-SP-Ph/Pt-MC-Ph** (2.0×10^{-5} M) in THF solution and (B) 1.7 w% (**Pt-SP-Ph/PMMA**)/(**Pt-MC-Ph/PMMA**). Emission decay profiles monitored at 646 nm of (C) **Pt-SP-Ph/Pt-MC-Ph** (2.0×10^{-5} M) in THF solution and (D) 1.7 w% (**Pt-SP-Ph/PMMA**)/(**Pt-MC-Ph/PMMA**).







Figure S12. ¹³C NMR spectrum of **Pt-SP-Ph**.



Figure S13. HRMS spectrum of Pt-SP-Ph ([M+H]⁺, calculated 1030.3251).

4. Supporting Tables

Complexes	PL Quantum	Lifetimes /		FRET efficiencies / %		
	yields / %	μs				
		530	646	Lifetime	Emission	
		nm	nm	method	intensity method	
Pt-SP-Ph ^[a]	0.6	0.5	0.4	20 %	20 %	
Pt-MC-Ph ^[a]	0.9	0.4	0.5			
1.7 w% Pt-SP-	5.2	4.4	0.2	66 %	75 %	
Ph/PMMA						
1.7 w% Pt-MC-	6.2	1.5	0.5			
Ph/PMMA						

Table S1 Summarized photophysical data.

[a] The related photophysical data were measured with the concentration of 2.0×10^{-5} M in THF solution.

Photocontrolled SP switch	λ ex/nm	Fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) efficiency	Cycles	Lifetime/ns	Ref.
	Metal ions and 365	/	25	/	1
N X= NO ₂ , F	365		10		2
	378	Tb3+-MC: 47 %	10		3
$\begin{array}{c} HOOC \\ H \\ O \\ O$	254 and365				4
	365	Solid: 80 %	10	Donor: SP-2.59 ns MC-2.5ns Acceptor: SP-1.56 ns MC-1.77ns	5

Table S2 The related SP switches in the literatures.

$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} $	285	17.85 %	5	MC-0.9 ns	6
Ū ₃ s	365	95 %	5	PSP: 0.28 ns PMC: 1.71 ns	7
	365	/	3	/	8
	365	/	10	SP: 1.71 ns MC: 1.22 ns	9
	365	72.5 %	10	/	10
	365	/	5	/	11



- 1. X. Xiao; W. Zheng; Y. Zhao; C.-H. Li; Chin. Chem. Lett. 2023, 34, 107457.
- 2. G.M. Sylvia; A.M. Mak; S. Heng; A. Bachhuka; H. Ebendorff-Heidepriem; A.D. Abell; *Chemosensors* 2018, **6**, 17.
- 3. X. Cong; K, Ou; J. Ma; J. Xu; Y. Liao; Y. Yang; H. Wang; Chem. Eng. J. 2024, 481, 148355.
- 4. D. Guo; X. Le; H. Shang; F. Shan; D. Li; C. Ouyang; T. Chen; *Chin. Chem. Lett.* 2023, **34**, 108347.
- 5. L. Hu; Y. Yang; Y. Gao; Y. Wei; J. Zhu; W. Wu; Chem. Eng. J. 2024, 488, 150965.
- 6. Y. Yang; A. Li; Y. Yang; J. Wang; Y. Chen;, K. Yang; B. Z. Tang; Z. Li; Angew. Chem. Int. Edit. 2023, 44,62.
- 7. X. Chen; X.-F. Hou; X.-M. Chen; Q; Li; Nat. Commun. 2024, 15, 5401.
- Z. Wang; Z. Ding; Y. Yang; L. Hu; W. Wu; Y. Gao; Y. Wei; X. Zhang; G. Jiang; Chem. Eng. J. 2023, 457, 141293.
- 9. H. Wu; W. Wu; L. Hu; J. Zhu; Q. Li; Y. Gao; Y. Wei; G. Jiang; Y. Yang; *Chem. Eng. J.* 2023, **469**, 143781.
- 10. J. Kim; H. Yun; Y. J. Lee; J. Lee; S.-H. Kim; K. H. Ku; B. J. Kim; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2021, **143**, 13333–13341.
- 11. R.Q. Zhang, L.P. Hu, Z.X. Xu, Y.X. Song, H.Q. Li, X. Zhang, X.C. Gao, M.X. Wang, C.Y. Xian; *J. Mol. Struct.* 2020, **1204**, 127481.
- 12. G. Li, Z. Pan, Z. Jia, J. Wang, J. Wang, N. Zhang, M. Pan, J. Yuan; *New. J. Chem.* 2021, **45**, 6386-6396.
- 13. R. T. F. Jukes; B. Bozic; F. Hartl; P. Belser; L. De Cola; Inorg. Chem. 2006, 45, 8326.

5. References

1 M. Cocchi; D. Virgili; V. Fattori; D. L. Rochester; J. A. G. Williams, *Adv. Func. Mater.* 2007, **17**, 285–289.

J. Uchida; M. Yoshio; S. Sato; H. Yokoyama; M. Fujita; T. Kato, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*2017, 56, 14085–14089.

3 J. Tang; X. Yang; J. Li; D. Zhang; Y. Wang; Y. Ye, Sens. Actuator B Chem. 2022, **350**, 130814.

4 C. Liu; D. Yang; Q. Jin; L. Zhang; M. Liu, Adv. Mater. 2016, 28, 1644–1649.

Y. Ai; M. H.-Y. Chan; A. K.-W. Chan; M. Ng; Y. Li; V. W.-W. Yam, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*U. S. A. 2019, **116**, 13856–13861.

6. Author Contributions

Y.A. and Y.L. designed the experiments. X.L., Y.J. synthesized the compounds and carried out the characterizations, collected and analyzed the data. X.L., Y.J., Y.A. and Y.L. wrote the paper. Y.A. and Y.L. conceived the work. X.L., Y.J., Q.Z, Y.D., H.Z., J.N., Y.Z., W.W., Y.A. and Y.L. participated in the discussion and revised the manuscript. The work was supervised by Y.L.