# **Supporting Information**

# **Direct regeneration of spent LiFePO<sup>4</sup> cathode materials assisted**

## **with a bifunctional organic lithium salt**

*Lu Yang,<sup>a</sup> Baichao Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Shuo Chen,<sup>a</sup> Qing Pan,<sup>a</sup> Wenyuan Li,<sup>a</sup> Chaolun Gan,<sup>b</sup> Wentao Deng,<sup>a</sup> Guoqiang Zou,<sup>a</sup> Hongshuai Hou,<sup>a</sup> Li Yang\*<sup>c</sup> and Xiaobo Ji\*<sup>a</sup>*

*<sup>a</sup>College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Central South University, Changsha, 410083, China*

*<sup>b</sup>Zhangjiagang Guotai Huarong New Chemical Materials Co., Ltd, Zhangjiagang, China.*

*<sup>c</sup>School of Science, Hunan University of Technology and Business, Changsha, 410205, China.*

## **Corresponding Author**

*\* Email address: [xji@csu.edu.cn](mailto:xji@csu.edu.cn)* ; *[liyang0926@hutb.edu.cn](mailto:liyang0926@hutb.edu.cn)*

### **Text S1 Experimental**

#### **Materials**

The relevant reagents employed in this process were analytic grade, including Lithium  $citrate(Li_3C_6H_5O_7·4H_2O)$ , ethylene glycol( $C_2H_6O_2$ ), dimethyl carbonate (DMC), N-methyl-pyrrolidone (NMP), etc. The above chemicals were sourced from Aladdin Chem. Co., Ltd and used without further purification. Spent LiFePO<sub>4</sub> pouch batteries were supplied by Zhuzhou Smelter Group Co., LTD.

#### **Degraded LiFePO<sup>4</sup> cathode materials harvesting**

The cells were first discharged in the saturated NaCl solution for 24 h and then disassemble and sort manually to get the battery shell, spent LFP anode sheets, spent LFP cathode sheets, separator and so on. The cathode sheets were washed with DMC and dried. After drying, these sheets were immersed in an appropriate amount of deionized water for 30 minutes to ensure complete detachment of the active substance from the collector. The resultant spent LFP (S-LFP) powders were separated, dried for regeneration. The main pretreatment process was shown in Figure S1.

#### **LiFePO<sup>4</sup> regeneration**

The regeneration of S-LFP was carried out by the method of hydrothermal relithiation. For the hydrothermal relithiation treatment, 0.004 mol of lithium citrate was dissolved in 40 ml of ethylene glycol within a 100 mL reactor to prepare the lithium citrate solution. The above 0.5g of S-LFP was then added for a hydrothermal reaction. In order to explore the optimal regeneration parameters, the effects of different reaction temperatures (100-180 °C) and reaction times (1-12 h) on the physical structure and electrochemical performances of regenerated LFP cathode materials were investigated. Following the reaction, the regenerated LFP (R-LFP) were repeatedly washed with deionized water and dried.

#### **Materials characterization**

The element contents of spent and regenerated samples was evaluated by inductively coupled plasmaoptical emission spectrometer (ICP-OES, Optima-3000DV, USA). The crystal structures of various powders throughout the regeneration process were determined by X-ray powder diffraction (XRD, Ultima IV, Japan) employing Cu Kα radiation. The identification of chemical groups or bonds present in cathode powders was accomplished via Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR, VERTEX 70, Germany) and Raman spectroscopy(Raman, Alpha300R, Germany). Surface compositional studies of related materials were undertaken using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, Thermo Scientific

Nexsa, England). The surface morphologies of degraded, regenerated LFP were observed by scanning electron microscope (SEM, ZEISS Sigma 300, Germany). The microstructure, lattice fringe of the powders were examined by high-resolution transmission electron microscopy with energy dispersive spectroscopy (HRTEM-EDS, FEI TitanG260-300, USA).

#### **Electrochemical characterization**

The regenerated lithium iron phosphate cathode powder was mixed with Super P and polyvinylidene fluoride(PVDF) in NMP according to the mass ratio of 8:1:1 to obtain a uniform slurry. The resulted slurry is coated on the aluminum foil, followed by vacuum drying at 120 °C for 6 h. The dried electrode sheet is cut into a cathode electrode sheet with a diameter of 14 mm, thereafter assembling button batteries within an argon-filled glove box. The LiFePO<sub>4</sub> electrode sheet was used as the cathode electrode, while the anode electrode was lithium metal. The electrolyte comprised 1 mol L-1 lithium hexafluorophosphate  $(LiPF<sub>6</sub>)$  dissolved in a mixture of ethyl carbonate (EC), dimethyl carbonate (DMC), and diethyl carbonate (DEC) in equal volume proportions. Galvanostatic charge-discharge was carried out in the potential range of 2.5–4.1 V with the assembled cells. The cells were cycled with activation for 3 cycles at 0.1 C followed by extended cycling at higher rates. Electrochemical evaluations, including cyclic voltammetry (CV) were conducted on both R-LFP and S-LFP utilizing an electrochemical workstation (DH 7000, Donghua Testing Technology Co., Ltd).

### **Text S2 Calculation of Gibbs Free Energy**

$$
F \t O_4 P + L \t t + e^- \rightarrow L \t i O_F^T \t e \t P^T O_4 / F \t Le i O_F^T \t = 0 \t P. \t 2 \t 4 \t V \t (Equation S1)
$$
  
\n
$$
C_6 H_5 O^3 \t 7 - 2e^- \t O_5 H_4 O^2 \t 5 + C O_2 + H^+ \t C \t H_4 O^2 \t 5 / C_6 H_5 O^3 \t 7) = -0 \t 4 \t 3 \t V \t (Equation S2)
$$
  
\n
$$
2 \t F O_4 + P2L \t t + C_6 H_5 O^3 \t 7 \t D \t i \t D_4 \t C \t C \t H_4 O^2 \t 5 + C O_2 + H^+ \t (Equation S3)
$$

E  $\left( \frac{G}{4} H_4 O^2 \frac{1}{5} \right) C_6 H_5 O^3 \frac{1}{7}$  = - 0 .  $4 \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)$  Wessus  $V$  SHE) was calculated based on the Nernst equation, where  $E^{\theta}$  is obtained from literature (- 0.18 V)<sup>1</sup>, n is the number of moles of electrons, R is the gas constant, and T is temperature in Kelvin.

$$
E = E^{\theta} - \left(\frac{RT}{ZF}\right) \times ln\left(\frac{C_{red}}{C_{ox}}\right)
$$
  
\n
$$
= E^{\theta} - \left(\frac{RT}{ZF}\right) \times ln\left(\frac{C_{6H_5O^3\overline{7}}}{C_{6H_4O^2\overline{5}}}\right)
$$
  
\n
$$
(Equation S4)
$$

where the pH value (8.0) was obtained from pH meter. Besides, only the effect of pH value was considered here. The activities of other species were set as 1.<sup>2</sup> The Gibbs free energy for the complete reaction (Equation 1 in the main text) can be calculated using the following equation:

$$
\Delta G = -nFE
$$
 (Equation S5)  
= $-nF(E(F \omega_4)PL \ i \omega_4)E E(C_5H_4O^2-\gamma_5/C_6H_5O^3-\gamma_5)$ 



**Figure S 1** Main pre-treatment process



**Figure S 2** SEM images of S-LFP (a) and R-LFP (b) materials; TEM images of S LFP (c)–(e) and R-LFP (f)–(h) materials, where (d) and (e) are high resolution images of red rectangle regions I and II in (c), and (g) and (h)



**Figure S 3** (a) XPS images of S-LFP and R-LFP; (b) FTIR comparison spectra of S-LFP and R-LFP;(c) Raman spectra of S-LFP and R-LFP



**Figure S 4** XPS spectra of S-LFP and R-LFP(a)XPS survey;(b)C 1s;(c) P 2p;(d) O 1s.



Figure S 5 Cost and profit per kg of spent LiFePO<sub>4</sub> cells recycled using the proposed process.

reaction temperatures.						
Materials	S-LFP	100 °C	120 °C	140 °C	160 °C	180 °C
<b>ICE</b>	133.1%	132.6%	123.1%	111.6%	98.7%	99.0%
first-cycle charge						
specific capacity	110.1	111.8	122.5	136.7	157.8	156.4
$(mAh g-1)$						
first-cycle						
discharge specific	147.2	148.2	150.8	152.5	155.8	154.9
capacity(mAh $g^{-1}$ )						

**Table S 1** The ICE (Initial Coulombic Efficiency) and the first-cycle charge/discharge specific capacity at 0.1 C rate of S-LFP and regenerated LFP materials at different reaction temperatures.

reaction unie.						
Materials	S-LFP	1h	3h	5h	7h	12h
<b>ICE</b>	133.1%	122.7%	106.1%	102.7%	98.8%	98.7%
first-cycle charge						
specific capacity	110.1	123.1	145.2	151.0	157.9	157.8
$(mAh g-1)$						
first-cycle						
discharge specific	147.2	151.1	154.1	155.1	156.0	155.8
capacity(mAh $g^{-1}$ )						

**Table S 2** The ICE (Initial Coulombic Efficiency) and the first-cycle charge/discharge specific capacity at 0.1 C rate of S-LFP and regenerated LFP materials at different reaction time.

<b>Table 5 5 Kaman data of samples</b>					
Samples			$I_D/I_G$		
S-LFP	480.18	496.00	0.9681		
$R-I$ FP	737.71	745.14	0.9900		

**Table S 3** Raman data of samples

Items	Price	Dosage	Total	Data Sources
Spent LiFe $PO4$	$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ s/kg cell	kg cell	\$	
powder	1.448		1.448	wechat
	$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$	kg	\$	
$Li_3C_6H_5O_7$ • 4H <sub>2</sub> O	0.327	2.368	0.774	1688
Energy costs	$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$	kW h	\$	
	0.108	2	0.216	fgw
Total			2.438	

**Table S 4** Specific cost of our proposed recycling strategy

1\$=7.25¥(Update time: 2024/04/29);

wechat([https://mp.weixin.qq.com/s/0tvUiemXfEOVlJwdLis-cg\)](https://mp.weixin.qq.com/s/0tvUiemXfEOVlJwdLis-cg); 1688(<https://www.1688.com/>); fgw([https://fgw.hunan.gov.cn/fgw/xxgk\\_70899/zcfg/dfxfg/202401/t20240131\\_32641110.html;](https://fgw.hunan.gov.cn/fgw/xxgk_70899/zcfg/dfxfg/202401/t20240131_32641110.html))

**Calculation of benefits and profits:** When recycling of 1kg of spent LiFePO<sup>4</sup> battery, 0.8kg LiFePO<sub>4</sub> can be obtained and 0.8 kg of LiFePO<sub>4</sub> is 4.811\$, the benefits and profits are calculated as follows.

Benefits: 6.014 \$/kg \* 0.8 kg = \$4.811 Profits: \$4.811 - \$2.438 = \$2.373

Samples	Elements	Peaks	Area	Percentage $(\% )$	$\mathbb{R}^2$
		$Fe3+$	28553.81	41.45	
	Fe	$Fe2+$	40338.33	58.55	0.9948
		Sat.	6920.93		
		$C-C/C=C$	54068.61	39.45	
S-LFP	$\mathcal{C}$	$C-O$	55496.88	40.49	0.9890
		$C = O$	4833.82	3.53	
		$C-F$	22662.20	16.53	
	${\bf P}$	$P_{3/2}$	14373.68	63.15	0.9975
		$P_{1/2}$	8386.36	36.85	
	$\mathbf O$	$P-O$	133859.97	80.82	0.9924
		Fe-O	31767.88	19.18	
	Fe	$Fe2+$	70293.65	100	0.9838
		Sat.	15370.68	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	
		$C-C/C=C$	59119.34	40.86	
R-LFP	$\mathcal{C}$	$C-O$	63864.63	44.14	0.9966
		$C = O$	3684.83	2.55	
		$C-F$	18013.77	12.45	
	${\bf P}$	$P_{3/2}$	16569.23	77.54	0.9974
		$P_{1/2}$	4800.69	22.46	
	$\mathcal O$	$P-O$	136612.68	76.42	0.9975
		Fe-O	42151.00	23.58	

**Table S 5** The detail data of XPS

Components	Percentage by weight in %
Cathode	30.00
Anode	20.00
Cathode Al foil	10.00
Anode Cu foil	11.00
Separator	5.00
Electrolyte	13.00
Outer shell	10.00
Other components	1.00

**Table S 6** The detailed components of a spent LFP battery

<b>Methods</b>	<b>Reagents</b>	<b>Conditions</b>	Discharge performance	Ref.
		heated at 350 °C	155.47 mAh g <sup>-1</sup> at 0.05 C,	
	$S-$	for 2 h, and then	remains stable till 800	3
	$LFP+Li2CO3+CN$	heated at 650 °C	cycles at 1 C	
	Ts+glucose	for 12 h in Ar		
		heated at 350 °C	135 mA $h$ $g^{-1}$ at 2C,	
Solid state	$S-$	for 2 h, and then	99.03% capacity retention	4
sintering	$LFP+Li2CO3+mela$	heated at 650 °C	after 200 cycles	
	mine	for 12 h in Ar		
		heated at 700 °C	131.2 mA $h$ g <sup>-1</sup> at 1 C,	
	$S$ -LFP+Li <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	for $8 h$ in $CO2$	88.64% capacity retention	5
			after 400 cycles	
		hydrothermal at	156.6 mA h $g^{-1}$ at 1 C,	
	$S-$	$30^{\circ}$ C for 1 h,	84.9% capacity retention	6
	$LFP+LiOH+H2O2$	then annealing at	after 1000 cycles at 5C	
		700 °C for 10 h		
Hydrothermal	S-LFP+LiOH+L-	hydrothermal at	150.4 mA h $g^{-1}$ at 0.5 C,	
relithiation	ascorbic	160 °C for 6 h	remains stable till 300	7
	$acid+C_{18}H_{29}SO_3Na$		cycles	
	$S-$	hydrothermal at	145.1 mA $h$ g <sup>-1</sup> at 0.1 C,	
	$LFP+Li2SO4+Na2S$	200 °C for 6 h	99% capacity retention after	8
	$O_3$		100 cycles at 1 C	
	$Li2SO4$ as the	Three-electrode	136.5 mAh g <sup>-1</sup> at 1 C, 95.32	
	electrolyte	system	% capacity retention after	9
			300 cycles at 5 C	
Electrochemical	$Li2SO4$ as the	Three-electrode	135.2 mA h g <sup>-1</sup> at 1 C,	
relithiation	electrolyte	system	95.30% capacity retention	10
			rate after 500 cycles.	
	$Li2C2O4$ as	Functionalized	146.7 mAh $g^{-1}$ at 1C in the	
	lithium source	prelithiation	full cell, 90.7 % capacity	11
		separator	retention after 292 cycles	
<b>Our work</b>	$S-$	hydrothermal at	142.7 mAh $g^{-1}$ at 1 C,	
	LFP+Li <sub>3</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> O <sub>7</sub> ·4	160 °C for 7 h	remains stable till 200	
	$H_2O$ + ethylene		cycles	
	glycol			

**Table S 7** The comparison of various direct regeneration strategies for spent LFP cathode materials reported in the literature.

### Reference

- 1. A. Van Hoonacker and P. Englebienne, *Current Nanoscience*, 2006, **2**, 359-371.
- 2. P. Xu, Q. Dai, H. Gao, H. Liu, M. Zhang, M. Li, Y. Chen, K. An, Y. S. Meng, P. Liu, Y. Li, J. S. Spangenberger, L. Gaines, J. Lu and Z. Chen, *Joule*, 2020, **4**, 2609-2626.
- 3. L. Song, C. Qi, S. Wang, X. Zhu, T. Zhang, Y. Jin and M. Zhang, *Waste Management*, 2023, **157**, 141-148.
- 4. Y. Gou, C. Qi, R. Li, X. Liu, Z. Zhou, M. Zhang, Q. Sun, L. Song and Y. Jin, *Electrochimica Acta*, 2024, **488**, 144180.
- 5. H. Sun, X. Li, B. Wu, K. Zhu, Y. Gao, T. Bao, H. Wu and D. Cao, *Chinese Chemical Letters*, 2024, DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cclet.2024.110041,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cclet.2024.110041) 110041.
- 6. Y. Xu, B. Zhang, Z. Ge, H. Wang, N. Hong, X. Xiao, B. Song, Y. Zhang, Y. Tian, W. Deng, G. Zou, H. Hou and X. Ji, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 2023, **477**, 147201.
- 7. W. Song, J. Liu, L. You, S. Wang, Q. Zhou, Y. Gao, R. Yin, W. Xu and Z. Guo, *Journal of Power Sources*, 2019, **419**, 192-202.
- 8. Y. Yang, Z. Liu, J. Zhang, Y. Chen and C. Wang, *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, 2023, **947**, 169660.
- 9. Y. Yang, J. Zhang, H. Zhang, Y. Wang, Y. Chen and C. Wang, *Energy Storage Materials*, 2024, **65**, 103081.
- 10. D. Peng, X. Wang, S. Wang, B. Zhang, X. Lu, W. Hu, J. Zou, P. Li, Y. Wen and J. Zhang, *Green Chemistry*, 2022, **24**, 4544-4556.
- 11. M. Fan, Q. Meng, X. Chang, C. F. Gu, X. H. Meng, Y. X. Yin, H. Li, L. J. Wan and Y. G. Guo, *Advanced Energy Materials*, 2022, **12**, 2103630.