Supplementary Information

Cobalt Nanoparticles Confined in Silica Networks with 3D Hierarchical Porous Features for Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis

Dingxiang Zhou,^{a‡} Meng Zhang,^{a‡} Erfei Xiao,^b* Yuhua Zhang,^a Yanxi Zhao,^a Chengchao Liu,^a* and Jinlin Li^a*

^a Key Laboratory of Catalysis and Energy Materials Chemistry of Ministry of Education, Hubei Key Laboratory of Catalysis and Materials Science, South-Central Minzu University, Wuhan 430074, China.

^b Wuhan Juchuan Technology Co., Ltd. Wuhan 430070, China.

[‡] These authors are co-first authors of this work.

* Corresponding authors.

Email addresses: liuchchao@scuec.edu.cn (C. Liu), jinlinli@aliyun.com (J. Li), xef2018@VIP.163.com (E. Xiao).

Experimental Sections

Chemicals and materials

All chemical reagents were used without further purifification unless otherwise noted. Cobalt(II) acetate tetrahydrate ((CH₃COO)₂Co·4H₂O, \geq 99%), ammonium hydroxide solution (NH₃·H₂O, 28 wt. %) and tetraethoxysilane (TEOS, \geq 99.99%) were purchased from Beijing Chemical Reagent Company. Ethanol (EtOH, \geq 99%), methylbenzene (C₇H₈, \geq 99%), n-butanol (C₄H₉OH, \geq 99%), cyclohexane (C₆H₁₂, \geq 99%), triethanolamine (TEA) and cetyltrimethylammonium chloride (CTAC) were purchased from Shanghai Aladdin Biochemical Technology. Distilled water was used throughout. The high-purity H₂, CO, N₂ and He gas are from Sichuan Tianyi Technology Co., Ltd. and ethanol were obtained from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd.

Catalyst preparation

Synthesis of Co₃O₄ nanoparticles (NPs). Co₃O₄ NPs were synthesized according to Ref. ¹ and ² with slight modifications. Briefly, 4.50 g cobalt(II) acetate tetrahydrate as a precursor for Co₃O₄ NP growth was first dissolved in 8 mL of water and 400 mL ethanol solution at 45 °C. 25mL ammonium hydroxide solution (28 wt. %) was added dropwise to the above solution with vigorous stirring. After stirring for a further 40 min, the reaction mixture was transferred into a 500 mL Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave and kept at 160 °C for 6 h. After the autoclave was cooled to room temperature, the resulting black suspension was centrifuged in the presence of ethanol. The collected solid product was washed with acetone and dried at 100 °C for 4 h. The obtained Co₃O₄ NPs were used for further catalyst preparation.

Synthesis of Co@H-mSiO₂.

The synthesis procedure for the Co₃O₄@mesoporous SiO₂ according to the previous reported method ³ and ⁴ with process modifications at various stages. First, the 0.80 g of Co₃O₄ NPs were dispersed in 300 mL solution (V_{EtOH} : V_{H2O} = 1:4) by ultrasonic dispersion. After 30 min of ultrasonic treatment, 3.5 g of CTAC and 0.45 g TEA and 0.25mL ammonium hydroxide solution (28 wt. %) was added and stirred for 30 min. Then 6.5 mL TEOS and 60 mL methylbenzene was added and stirred for another 5 h. The product was collected by centrifugation, washed with EtOH and H₂O, and dried at 60 °C under a vacuum overnight. The products were calcined at 500 °C for 6 h. The asmade samples were named as Co@H-mSiO₂.

Synthesis of Co@M-mSiO2. For preparing Co₃O₄@larger-mesoporous SiO₂ (Co@M-mSiO₂) catalysts, the same procedure as the above was used by using n-butanol to replace methylbenzene.

Synthesis of Co@S-mSiO2. For preparing Co_3O_4 @small-mesoporous SiO₂ (Co@S-mSiO₂) catalysts, the same procedure as the above was used by using cyclohexane to replace methylbenzene.

Catalyst Characterization

Morphology measurements of the samples were performed on a field emission scanning electron microscope (Hitachi SU-8010, Japan) at an accelerating voltage of 10 kV. The crystallinity of the materials was characterized using a D8 Advance X-ray diffractometer (Bruker, Germany) with a

Cu-K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.54056$ Å, 40 kV, 40 mA). The testing range for the sample was $2\theta = 10^{\circ}$ -80°.

The textural properties of the samples were measured using a Micromeritics ASAP 2020M N₂ adsorption-desorption system. Prior to each test, the sample was degassed at 200°C under vacuum for 6 hours. Specific surface area was calculated using the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method. Pore size distributions were measured using the Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) model from the N₂ desorption branch. Pore volume was calculated by analyzing the amount of nitrogen adsorbed at a relative pressure of 0.995. The microstructure of the samples was obtained using an FEI Talos F200X field emission transmission electron microscope (FE-TEM) with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX). Elemental mapping was performed at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. For TEM studies, the sample suspension was dropped onto micro Cu grids with carbon filaments. The cobalt content of the catalysts was analyzed using inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) on a PerkinElmer 7300 DV instrument. Hydrogen temperature programmed reduction (H₂-TPR) over the fresh catalysts was performed on a Zeton Altamira AMI-200 unit. Prior to measurement, the catalyst sample was purged with high purity argon at 150 °C for 1 hour to remove impurities. During TPR, the temperature ramp is from 50 °C to 800 at a rate of 10 °C · min⁻¹ in a flow of 10 vol% H₂ in Ar (30 mL · min⁻¹).

Catalyst evaluation

FTS Catalytic studies was conducted in a fixed-bed reactor (internal diameter of 12 mm). 0.5 g catalyst (140-200 mesh) diluted with 5.0 g quartzite (40-100 mesh) was packed in the reactor. The catalyst was reduced at 450 °C using pure H₂ (35 mL \cdot min⁻¹) for 8 hours at atmospheric pressure. The catalytic performances were carried out under reaction conditions of GHSV =6000 mL \cdot g_{cat}⁻¹·h⁻¹, 220 °C, 1.0 MPa, H₂/CO = 2/1. The wax, oil and water products were collected in hot trap (100 °C) and cold trap (0 °C). The gases products were detected by an online gas chromatograph (Agilent Micro GC 3000A). The wax, oil and water were separated from mixed solution and analyzed in offline Agilent GC 7890A GC, 6890 N and Agilent 4890 instrument, respectively. Catalyst activity and product selectivity were calculated as follows:

$$CO \text{ conversion } (\%) = \frac{CO_{in} - CO_{out}}{CO_{in}} \times 100\%$$

$$CO_2$$
 selectivity (%) = $\frac{CO_2_{out}}{CO_{in}-CO_{out}} \times 100\%$

 C_i hydrocarbon selectivity (mol%) = $\frac{\text{mol of } C_i \text{ hydrocarbon } \times i}{\sum_i \text{mol of } C_i \text{ hydrocarbom } \times i} \times 100\%$

 C_i hydrocarbon selectivity (mass%) = $\frac{\text{mass of } C_i$ hydrocarbon}{\sum_i \text{mass of } C_i hydrocarbon × 100%

Where the CO in and CO $_{out}$ represent the moles of CO in the inlet and outlet, and CO_{2 out} represents the mole of CO₂ in the outlet, respectively.

Figures



Fig. S1. SEM and TEM images of the fresh Co@X-mSiO₂ catalysts: Co@S-mSiO₂ (a₁, a₂),

 $Co@M\text{-}mSiO_2\left(b_1,\,b_2\right)$ and $Co@H\text{-}mSiO_2\left(c_1,\,c_2\right)$



Fig. S2. SEM image of the fresh Co@H-mSiO₂ catalysts.



Fig. S3. Cobalt particle size distribution of the fresh Co@H-mSiO₂ catalysts.



Fig. S4. TEM images of the spent Co@X-mSiO₂ catalysts: (a) Co@S-mSiO₂, (b) Co@M-mSiO₂

and (c) Co@H-mSiO₂.



Fig. S5. Cobalt particle size distribution of spent $Co@X-mSiO_2$ catalysts: (a) $Co@S-mSiO_2$, (b)

 $Co@M\text{-}mSiO_2 \,and \, (c) \, Co@H\text{-}mSiO_2.$



Fig. S6. SEM image (a), High-magnification TEM image (b), HAADF-STEM image (c) and EDX elemental mapping images (d-f) of the spent Co@H-mSiO₂ catalysts.

Table S1. Textural properties, Co₃O₄ nanocrystal sizes and Co loading of Co@X-mSiO₂ catalysts.

sample	$S_{BET}{}^a\!/m^2\!\cdot g^{1}$	$V_{pore}{}^{b}\!/\!cm^{3}\!\cdot g^{\text{-}1}$	D _{pore} ^c /nm	Co ₃ O ₄ ^d size (nm)	Co loading ^e (wt %)
Co@S-mSiO ₂	19.0	0.20	-	10.8	26.5
Co@M-mSiO ₂	218.5	0.46	4.9	11.3	25.6
Co@H-mSiO2	243.4	0.49	4.0-21.5	10.7	25.3

^a S_{BET}: specific surface area calculated by the BET method. ^b V_{pore}: total pore volume. ^c D_{pore}: maximum of pore size distribution. ^d Co₃O₄ size: determined by Sherrer equation using XRD results. ^e Co loading: Co content was determined by ICP analysis.

	1		2			
Catalysts		CO	CH ₄	C_{5+}	CTY value	
	Reaction conditions	Conv.	Select.	Select.	(10 ⁻⁵ molCO ·	Ref.
		(%)	(%)	(%)	$gCo^{-1} \cdot s^{-1})$	
Ru/Co/Al ₂ O ₃	210 °C H./CO-2	37.3	13.2	79.4		Chem. Eng. J.
	210 C, $H_2/CO=2$,					2024,488,
	20 bar, $2 L g \sim 11^{\circ}$.					150837
Co/CF-500	240 °C U (CO 1	9.9	21.2	57.3	7.93	Appl. Catal. B
	240 C, $\Pi_2/CO=1$,					Environ.
	20 bar, $8 L \cdot g^{-1} n^{-1}$.					2023,321,122078
Ru ₁ Zr ₁ /Co			8.6	80.7	3.28	J. Am. Chem.
	200 °C, H ₂ /CO=2.3,					Soc.
	30 bar, 2 L·g ⁻¹ ·h ⁻¹ .					2023, 145 (13),
						7113.
Ir-Co/CNT	200 °C 11 /CO 1	9.6	3.6	92	9.1	Chem,
	200 °C, H ₂ /CO=1,					2022, 8 (4),
	$20 \text{ bar}, 24 \text{ L} \cdot \text{g}^{-1} \text{n}^{-1}.$					1050.
Co@SiO ₂ -3	210.00 11.000 2	98.3	7.0	89.9	6.8	Fuel
	210 °C, H ₂ /CO=2,					2022, 323,
	$2.0 \text{ MPa}, 1/.5 \text{ L} \cdot \text{g}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}.$					124377.
Co-9.9/Z5	240 °C, H ₂ /CO=2,	50 7	21.9	64.7		Chem. Commun.
	2.0 MPa, 2 L·g ⁻¹ ·h ⁻¹ .	58.7				2021, 57, 13522.
Cat-12h	220 °C, H ₂ /CO=2,	90 C	8.7	80.0	10.5	Nat. Commun.
	2.0 MPa, 4.4 L·g ⁻¹ ·h ⁻¹ .	80.6				2018, 9, 3250.
Co@SiO2-	210 °C, H ₂ /CO=1,	15.8	5.3	90.5	4.4	Nat. Commun.
873	20 bar, 11.2 L·g ⁻¹ ·h ⁻¹ .					2017, 8, 1680.
Co@H-	220 °C, H ₂ /CO=2,	50.6	11.0	77.2	5.0	T I ' I
mSiO ₂	1.0 MPa, 6 L·g ⁻¹ ·h ⁻¹ .					This work

Table S2. Comparison of the catalytic performance of Co catalysts under comparable reaction conditions for FTS in literatures reported recently.

References

1. Dong, Y.; He, K.; Yin, L.; Zhang, A., A facile route to controlled synthesis of Co₃O₄ nanoparticles and their environmental catalytic properties. *Nanotechnology* **2007**, *18* (43), 435602.

2. Grzelczak, M.; Zhang, J.; Pfrommer, J.; Hartmann, J.; Driess, M.; Antonietti, M.; Wang, X., Electroand Photochemical Water Oxidation on Ligand-free Co₃O₄ Nanoparticles with Tunable Sizes. *ACS Catalysis* **2013**, *3* (3), 383-388.

3. Ding, B.; Shao, S.; Yu, C.; Teng, B.; Wang, M.; Cheng, Z.; Wong, K.-L.; Ma, P. a.; Lin, J.,Large-Pore Mesoporous-Silica-Coated Upconversion Nanoparticles as Multifunctional Immunoadjuvants with Ultrahigh Photosensitizer and Antigen Loading Efficiency for Improved Cancer Photodynamic Immunotherapy. *Adv. Mater.* **2018**, *0* (0), 1802479.

4. Karandikar, P. R.; Lee, Y.-J.; Kwak, G.; Woo, M. H.; Park, S.-J.; Park, H.-G.; Ha, K.-S.; Jun, K.-W., Co₃O₄@Mesoporous Silica for Fischer–Tropsch Synthesis: Core–Shell Catalysts with Multiple Core Assembly and Different Pore Diameters of Shell. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* **2014**, *118* (38), 21975-21985.