Supplementary Information (SI) for ChemComm. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2024

Supporting Information

# Ultralow Iridium-Incorporated Ni-Fe Bimetallic-Organic Framework for Efficient Oxygen Evolution Reaction

Zixiong Wang, Zhaoxin Zhou, Qi Zhang, He Zhu\*, Shiping Zhu\*

## Experimental

**Materials:** Nickel foam (Jilin Tianxuan Economic and Trade Co. Ltd), 1,4-bis(4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)benzene (btz) (Jilin Chinese Academy of Sciences-Yanshen Technology Co., Ltd), anhydrous FeCl<sub>3</sub> (Energy-Chemical, 99%), FeCl<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O (Macklin, 99.9%), NiCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (Energy-Chemical, 99%), and IrCl<sub>3</sub>·xH<sub>2</sub>O (J&K Scientific, 50-58% Iridium) were used as received without further purification. Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and ethanol were purchased from Beijing Chemical Works. Ultrapure water was obtained by using a Milli-Q water purification system and used in all experiments. All chemicals and solvents were of analytical grade and used as received without further purification.

#### Synthesis of NiFe-btz/NF, Ni-btz/NF and Fe-btz/NF electrodes

NF was first washed with water and ethanol to remove the organics on the surface, followed by ultrasonically cleaning in 1 M HCl solution to remove the oxide layer. For the synthesis of NiFe-btz/NF electrode, 0.2 mmol FeCl<sub>3</sub> and 0.1 mmol btz were dissolved in 10 mL DMSO/H<sub>2</sub>O solution (volume ratio at 2:8) with a 30-minute ultrasonic treatment. Next, a piece of pretreated NF (1 cm × 2 cm) was immersed in the solution and the mixture was transferred into a 30 mL glass vial, which was further heated at 120 °C for 4 hours. After cooling down to room temperature, the obtained NiFe-btz/NF was carefully cleaned with water and ethanol and dried at 80 °C for 8 hours. The preparation of Ni-btz/NF and Fe-btz/NF was similar to the above process except that for the metal source, NiCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (0.2 mmol) was used for Ni-btz/NF and Fe-btz/NF.

#### Synthesis of Ir-NiFe-btz/NF, Ir-Ni-btz/NF and Ir-Fe-btz/NF electrodes

2 mg of IrCl<sub>3</sub>·xH<sub>2</sub>O was dissolved in 10 mL deionized water followed by vigorous

stirring for 1 hour to give a homogenous solution. The prepared NiFe-btz/NF was dipped into the solution, and the mixture was transferred to a 20 mL autoclave lined with stainless steel Teflon and heated to 80 °C for 12 hours. After cooling down to room temperature, the obtained Ir-NiFe-btz/NF was carefully cleaned with water and ethanol, and dried at 60 °C for 8 hours. The same procedure was used to obtain Ir-Ni-btz/NF and Ir-Fe-btz/NF electrodes for comparison.

### **General Characterizations**

Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) measurements were conducted using a Rigaku SmartLab X-ray diffractometer with Cu-K $\alpha$  radiation (40 kV, 30 mA,  $\lambda$  = 1.5418 Å) and a scanning step of 0.01°. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were performed using a Thermo ESCALAB 250. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) characterization was performed on an FEI XL-30 ESEM FEG and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was recorded using a JEOL JEM 2100F. Inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) measurement was performed on a PerkinElmer 8300. Nitrogen adsorption-desorption experiments were conducted at 77 K using a Quantachrome Autosorb-iQ2 analyzer. NiFe-btz was exchanged with ethanol and then activated at 80 °C for 24 hours before the measurement.

### **Electrochemical Characterizations**

Oxygen evolution reaction tests were conducted using a three-electrode cell on a CHI760E electrochemical workstation (Shanghai Chenhua Instrument Co. Ltd) in 1 M KOH (pH=13.8) under the ambient environment. MOF-based electrode (0.5 cm  $\times$  1 cm) was used as the working electrode, a platinum plate (1 cm  $\times$  1 cm) was used as the counter electrode and Ag/AgCl (3.5 M KCl) was used as the reference electrode. The potentials in the measurements were converted to the reversible hydrogen electrodes (RHEs) scale according to Equation (1):

$$E_{RHE} = E_{Ag/AgCl} + 0.059 \times pH + 0.205 \tag{1}$$

For comparison, a commercial RuO<sub>2</sub> electrode was prepared by dissolving 5 mg RuO<sub>2</sub> in a mixture of 0.98 mL ethanol and 0.02 mL 5 wt% Nafion solution followed by ultrasonication until a homogenous catalyst ink was formed. Then, 0.1 mL suspension was dripped on a clean NF with the same size of 0.5 cm × 1 cm. For each sample, cyclic voltammetry (CV) cycles were conducted in the electrolyte before the linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) at a sweep rate of 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup> as long as the CV curves reached a steady state. LSV polarization curves were 95% *iR*-corrected and recorded at a scan rate of 5 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. Tafel plots were computed using Equation (2) obtained from the LSV curves:

$$\eta = a + b \times \log j \tag{2}$$

where  $\eta$  refers to the overpotential, *a* is a constant, *b* represents the Tafel slope and *j* means the current density. The value range of the Tafel lay around 1.6 on the x-axis, corresponding to the current density of 50 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> for all the prepared catalysts. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was carried out at 1.55 V versus RHE over a frequency ranging from 100 kHz to 0.1 Hz. The electrochemical active surface area (ECSA) of the working electrode was determined by calculating the double layer capacitance (*C*<sub>dl</sub>) via recorded CV curves in the non-Faradaic region between 1.18 and 1.28V versus RHE with varying scan rates (20, 40, 60, 80, 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>)



Fig. S1. Schematic illustration of the preparation procedure of Ir-NiFe-btz/NF electrode.





Figure S2. SEM images of pretreated NF substrate.



Figure S3. SEM images of NiFe-btz in situ grown on NF substrate.



Figure S4. SEM images of monometallic MOF, namely **a**) Ni-btz and **b**) Fe-btz, *in situ* grown on NF substrate.



Figure S5. **a)** N<sub>2</sub> adsorption-desorption isotherms of NiFe-btz. **b)** The corresponding pore size distribution plot.



Figure S6. TEM image of NiFe-btz.



Figure S7. High-resolution TEM image of NiFe-btz showing the lattice spacings and the corresponding crystal planes.



Figure S8. Elemental mappings of important elements of Ir-NiFe-btz.



Figure S9. PXRD patterns of as-synthesized MOF electrodes.



Figure S10. XPS survey spectra of NiFe-btz and Ir-NiFe-btz.



Figure S11. High-resolution XPS spectra of Fe 2p in Ir-NiFe-btz and NiFe-btz electrodes.



Figure S12. LSV curves of NF,  $RuO_2$ , Ir-Ni-btz and Ir-Fe-btz electrodes.



Figure S13. LSV curves of as-synthesized MOF electrodes from the reverse sweep.



Figure S14. Histograms of the overpotentials at 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> for various electrodes.



Figure S15. Histograms of the overpotentials at 100 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> for various electrodes.



Figure S16. Tafel plots of Ir-Fe-btz, Ir-Ni-btz, NF and RuO<sub>2</sub>.



Figure S17. Nyquist plots of Ir-Fe-btz, Ir-Ni-btz, NF and RuO<sub>2</sub>.



Figure S18. Cyclic voltammograms of **a**) bare NF and **b**) RuO<sub>2</sub> recorded in the non-Faradic region (1.18-1.28 V vs. RHE) at different scan rates.



Figure S19. Cyclic voltammograms of **a**) Ni-btz/NF and **b**) Fe-btz/NF recorded in the non-Faradic region (1.18-1.28 V vs. RHE) at different scan rates.



Figure S20. Cyclic voltammograms of **a**) NiFe-btz/NF and **b**) Ir-NiFe-btz/NF recorded in the non-Faradic region (1.18-1.28 V vs. RHE) at different scan rates.



Figure S21. Double-layer capacitance ( $C_{dl}$ ) value of bare NF, Ni-btz/NF, and Fe-btz/NF electrodes.



Figure S22. **a)** LSV curves and **b)** Tafel slopes of monometallic Ir-Ni-btz/NF electrode compared with bimetallic Ir-NiFe-btz/NF.



Figure S23. **a)** LSV curves and **b)** Tafel slopes of monometallic Ir-Fe-btz/NF electrode compared with bimetallic Ir-NiFe-btz/NF.



Figure S24. Nyquist plots of **a**) monometallic Ir-Ni-btz/NF and **b**) monometallic Ir-Fe-btz/NF electrodes compared with bimetallic Ir-NiFe-btz/NF.



Figure S25. LSV curves of Ir-NiFe-btz/NF electrode before and after 100-hour stability test.



Figure S26. PXRD pattern of post-Ir-NiFe-btz/NF.



Figure S27. XPS survey spectrum of post-Ir-NiFe-btz.



Figure S28. SEM image of post-Ir-NiFe-btz.

|                                       | Overpotential at 10      | Tafel (mV dec <sup>-1</sup> ) | References |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|
|                                       | mA cm <sup>-2</sup> (mV) |                               |            |
| Ir-NiFe-btz                           | 182                      | 38                            | This work  |
| NiFe-MOF-74                           | 227                      | 72                            | 1          |
| NiFe <sub>0.33</sub> -MOF             | 230                      | 61                            | 2          |
| NiFc-MOF                              | 217                      | 58                            | 3          |
| c/a-NiFe-MOF                          | 236                      | 40                            | 4          |
| Ir-NiFe-LDH                           | 246                      | 48.6                          | 5          |
| Ir@Ni-NDC                             | 210                      | 44.7                          | 6          |
| Ir-Ni-NS                              | 270                      | 70                            | 7          |
| Ir-Ni(OH) <sub>2</sub>                | 248                      | 75.5                          | 8          |
| Ir-Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> @NC | 225                      | 64                            | 9          |
| Ir-NR/C                               | 296                      | 60.3                          | 10         |

 Table S1: OER performance comparisons between this work and previously reported

 NiFe-MOF-based and Ir-based electrocatalysts.

## **References:**

- Y. Guan, T. Liu, Y. Wu, X. Chu, B. Liu, N. Zhang, G. Che, W. Jiang and C. Liu, Self-reconstruction in self-supporting MOF-74 electrode to enhance electrocatalytic oxygen evolution, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energ.* 2024, 55, 796-804.
- X. Liu, S. Su, H. Yin, S. Zhang, T. T. Isimjan, J. Huang, X. Yang and D. Cai, Precise Anchoring of Fe Sites by Regulating Crystallinity of Novel Binuclear Ni-MOF for Revealing Mechanism of Electrocatalytic Oxygen Evolution, *Small*, 2024, 20, 2306085.
- 3. T. Ren, J. Wang, X. Yu, Y. Chen, Y. Wu, G. Che, W. Jiang, H. Teng and C. Liu, The electrocatalytic self-reconstruction of ultrathin 2D MOF nanoarrays supported on alloy foam improves the oxygen evolution reaction, *Colloid Surface A*. 2024, **684**, 133136.
- Y. Li, W. Ma, H. Yang, Q. Tian, Q. Xu and B. Han, CO 2-Assisted synthesis of a crystalline/amorphous NiFe-MOF heterostructure for high-efficiency electrocatalytic water oxidation, *Chem. Commun.* 2022, 58, 6833-6836.
- S. Jung, R. A. Senthil, A. Min, A. Kumar, C. J. Moon, G. H. Jeong, T. W. Kim and M. Y. Choi, Exploring Ir-doped NiFe-LDH nanosheets via a pulsed laser for oxygen evolution kinetics: in situ Raman and DFT insights, *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2024.
- J. Yang, Y. Shen, Y. Sun, J. Xian, Y. Long and G. Li, Ir nanoparticles anchored on metal-organic frameworks for efficient overall water splitting under pH-universal conditions, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 2023, 135, e202302220.
- Q. Jiang, J. Xu, Z. Li, C. Zhou, X. Chen, H. Meng, Y. Han, X. Shi, C. Zhan and Y. Zhang, Two-dimensional metal-organic framework nanosheet supported noble metal nanocrystals for high-efficiency water oxidation, *Adv. Mater. Inter.* 2021, 8, 2002034.
- R. Guo, W. Shi, W. Liu, X. Yang, Y. Xie, T. Yang and J. Xiao, Ultralow noble metals doping enables metal-organic framework derived Ni (OH) 2 nanocages as efficient water oxidation electrocatalysts, *Chem. Engin. J.* 2022, **429**, 132478.
- Y. Xie, Y. Su, H. Qin, Z. Cao, H. Wei, F. Wu and G. Ou, Ir-doped Co3O4 as efficient electrocatalyst for acidic oxygen evolution reaction, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energ.* 2023, 48, 14642-14649.
- 10. F. Luo, L. Guo, Y. Xie, J. Xu, K. Qu and Z. Yang, Iridium nanorods as a robust and stable bifunctional electrocatalyst for pH-universal water splitting, *Appl. Catal. B-Environ.* 2020,

, 119394.