Supporting Information

Fullerene as a Probe Molecule for Single-Atom Oxygen Reduction Electrocatalysts

Ning Li^{#a}, Kun Guo^{#*a}, Song Lu^b, Lipiao Bao^a, Zhixin Yu^c, and Xing Lu^{*a}

^aState Key Laboratory of Materials Processing and Die & Mould Technology, School of Materials Science and Engineering, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, China

^bInstitute of New Energy, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Shaoxing University, Shaoxing 312000, China

^cDepartment of Energy and Petroleum Engineering, University of Stavanger, Stavanger 4036, Norway

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

*Corresponding author:

K. Guo (guok@hust.edu.cn)

X. Lu (lux@hust.edu.cn)

Table of Contents

Experimental Section
Figure S1. Polarization plots of ORR on various MP/CNT (M = Fe, Mn, Ni, Co) with a MP loading of
50 wt.% and their corresponding $E_{1/2}$ values in 0.1 M KOH at 1600 rpm
Figure S2. Polarization plots of ORR on various CoP/CNT with CoP:CNT mass ratios of 1:4, 1:2, 1:1,
4:1 and their corresponding $E_{1/2}$ values in 0.1 M KOH at 1600 rpm7
Figure S3. SEM and TEM images of CNT (a,b), CoP/CNT (c,d), and C ₆₀ -CoP/CNT (e,f)8
Figure S4. Full-survey XPS spectra of CoP, CoP/CNT, and C ₆₀ -CoP/CNT9
Figure S5. HO_2^- yield and electron transfer number (<i>n</i>) value derived from the rotating ring-disk
electrode test of CoP/CNT10
Figure S6. Geometric configurations of C_{60} adsorbed to carbon-supported CoP without (a) and with (b)
an O ₂ molecule bound to the Co site. The spatial distances between Co atom and a C atom in the bottom
pentagon of C ₆₀ are indicated. Color code: C, cyan; N, blue; H, white; Co, green; O, black11
References

Experimental Section

1. Chemicals

All chemicals were purchased and used as received without further treatment unless otherwise indicated. C₆₀ fullerene (99%) was purchased from Xiamen Funano New Material Technology Co., Ltd. Cobalt(II) meso-tetraphenylporphine (CoP, 98%), nickel(II) meso-tetraphenylporphine (NiP, 97%), iron(III) meso-tetraphenylporphine chloride (FeP, 95%), and (5,10,15,20-tetraphenylporphinato) manganese(III) chloride (MnP, 95%) were bought from Shanghai Aladdin Biochemical Technology Co., LTD. Potassium hydroxide (KOH, ≥85.0%), potassium thiocyanate (KSCN, ≥98.5%), and carbon disulfide (CS₂, 99.9%) were ordered from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. Carbon nanotube (CNT, multi-walled, 95%, length of 10–30 μ m and diameter of 10–20 nm) was received from Nanjing XFNANO Materials Tech Co., Ltd. Ketjenblack EC-600 JD (KB) was ordered from AkzoNobel. Nafion ionomer solution (5 wt%) was obtained from Sigma Aldrich. Deionized water (18.2 MΩ·cm) was used in all the experiments.

2. Materials Synthesis

Synthesis of CNT-supported metalloporphyrins (MP/CNT)

Taking the synthesis of CoP/CNT as an example, 20 mg of CoP was dissolved in 10 mL of CS₂ to form a homogeneous solution, into which 20 mg of CNT was thoroughly dispersed by ultrasonication for 2 h. The final product was then received by vacuum rotary evaporation at 80 °C and a rotating speed of 400 rpm to remove CS₂. NiP/CNT, FeP/CNT, and MnP/CNT were prepared by simply replacing CoP with the same mass of NiP, FeP, and MnP, respectively.

Synthesis of C₆₀-adsorbed MP/CNT

 C_{60} -CoP/CNT was synthesized by dissolving 20 mg of CoP and 22 mg of C_{60} in 10 mL of CS₂ to form a homogeneous solution, into which 20 mg of CNT was thoroughly dispersed by ultrasonication for 2 h. The final product was then received by vacuum rotary evaporation at 80 °C and a rotating speed of 400 rpm to remove CS₂. C_{60} -NiP/CNT was prepared by simply replacing CoP with the same mass of NiP.

3. Material Characterization

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was carried out on a JEM-2010F (JEOL) electron microscope at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. A copper grid coated with a thin carbon film was used

as the specimen holder. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was performed on a Nova NanoSEM 450 (FEI) electron microscope at an accelerating voltage of 10 kV.

X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) was performed to obtain the crystallographic information of the samples. The powder diffraction patterns were recorded on a Malvern Panalytical X-ray diffractometer (Empyrean) using Cu K α radiation source ($\lambda = 1.5406$ Å, 45 kV and 40 mA). Scanning angles for all samples were set in the 2θ range of 5–90° with a step size of 0.01313° and time per step of 120 s. Peaks were indexed to the database established by Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards (JCPDS).

Raman spectrum was acquired using a confocal Raman microscope (LabRAM HR800, Horiba JobinYvon) equipped with an optical microscope, a CCD camera, and an argon ion laser source. The laser provided 0.2 mW power at a wavelength of 532 nm for the exciting line. Integration time was 60 s, number of co-addition was 2, and slit aperture size was $50 \times 1000 \ \mu m$.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis was performed on the K-Alpha X-ray photoelectron spectrometer system (Thermo Fisher Scientific) utilizing a monochromatic Al K α source (1486.69 eV). High-resolution spectra were obtained at a pass energy of 50.0 eV, a step size of 0.1 eV, and a dwell time of 250 ms per step. The analysis spot size was 400 μ m. All binding energies were calibrated to the graphitic C 1s peak at 284.8 eV. XPS peaks were deconvolved and curve-fitted on the CasaXPS software using the Lorentzian function and Shirley background.

4. Electrochemical Measurements

Electrochemical measurements were conducted on a CHI760E electrochemical workstation (Shanghai Chenhua Instruments Co., Ltd., China) and a MSR rotator (Pine Instrument Company, USA) in a standard three-electrode cell at room temperature (25 °C). A glassy carbon rotating disk electrode (RDE, diameter of 5 mm), an Ag/AgCl in saturated KCl electrode, and a platinum foil were used as the working, reference, and counter electrodes, respectively. All potentials were calibrated to the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) according to the Nernst equation $E_{vs RHE} = E_{vs Ag/AgCl} + 0.198 + 0.0592 \times pH$. 0.1 M KOH aqueous solution with a pH value of 13 was used as the electrolyte.

The working electrode was fabricated via the following procedures: 5 mg of the as-prepared catalyst was dispersed in a mixture of 960 μ L of ethanol and 40 μ L of 5 wt % Nafion solution. The mixture was ultrasonically dispersed for at least 0.5 h to obtain a homogeneous suspension. Then 20 μ L of the

suspension was taken by a microsyringe and drop-casted onto a clean RDE with an overall loading of 0.25 mg cm^{-2} . The modified RDE was dried naturally in air. Prior to the tests, the electrochemical cell was saturated by purging N₂ or O₂ for at least 30 min. The linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) scan potential was set from 1.2 to 0 V, the scan rate was 10 mV s⁻¹, and the rotational speeds were 400–1600 rpm. In the SCN⁻ poisoning experiment, the working electrode with CoP/CNT was immersed in 0.01 M KSCN solution for 2 h. The immersed electrode was then immediately tested by LSV in O₂-saturated 0.1 M KOH to avoid the slow reaction between SCN⁻ and KOH.

The rotating ring-disk electrode (RRDE) measurements were conducted in O_2 -saturated 0.1 M KOH at 1600 rpm and a scan rate of 10 mV s⁻¹. The ring potential was set as 1.3 V. The *n* value and peroxide yield (HO₂⁻) were calculated by the following equations:

HO₂(%) = 200 ×
$$\frac{I_r/N}{I_d + I_r/N}$$
#(1)

$$n = 4 \times \frac{I_{\rm d}}{I_{\rm d} + I_{\rm r}/N} \#(2)$$

where I_r and I_d are the ring and disk currents, respectively, and N is the current collection efficiency of RRDE (0.37).

5. Theoretical Calculation Details

All the density functional theory (DFT) calculations were conducted with the projector-augmented wave method implemented in the Vienna *ab initio* Simulation Package (VASP).^[S1,2] The implicit solvent model was included by VASPsol.^[S3] Atomic models, including C₆₀ on the same side and opposite sides of a CoP molecule that is pre-stabilized on intact graphene were built for corresponding investigations. A $8\times4\times1$ sized supercell consisting of 128 carbon atoms was used as the graphene-based matrix. Periodic boundary conditions and a supercell with a separation of about 14 Å were used to ensure that the interactions between adjacent supercells were negligible. Meanwhile, a vacuum of 15 Å was imposed in the Z direction. The Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) within the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) was employed as an exchange-correlation functional.^[S4] A plane-wave cutoff energy of 450 eV was chosen for all the computations to describe all atoms' valence electrons. The convergence criterion of energy and force was set to 10^{-4} eV and 0.02 eV Å⁻¹, respectively. The van der Waals (vdW) interactions were described by the Grimme's scheme (DFT-D3) correction method.^[S5]



Figure S1. Polarization plots of ORR on various MP/CNT (M = Fe, Mn, Ni, Co) with a MP loading of 50 wt.% and their corresponding $E_{1/2}$ values in 0.1 M KOH at 1600 rpm.



Figure S2. Polarization plots of ORR on various CoP/CNT with CoP:CNT mass ratios of 1:4, 1:2, 1:1, 4:1 and their corresponding $E_{1/2}$ values in 0.1 M KOH at 1600 rpm.



Figure S3. SEM and TEM images of CNT (a,b), CoP/CNT (c,d), and C₆₀-CoP/CNT (e,f).



Figure S4. Full-survey XPS spectra of CoP, CoP/CNT, and C₆₀-CoP/CNT.



Figure S5. HO_2^- yield and electron transfer number (*n*) value derived from the rotating ring-disk electrode test of CoP/CNT.



Figure S6. Geometric configurations of C_{60} adsorbed to carbon-supported CoP without (a) and with (b) an O₂ molecule bound to the Co site. The spatial distances between Co atom and a C atom in the bottom pentagon of C_{60} are indicated. Color code: C, cyan; N, blue; H, white; Co, green; O, black.

The extended spatial distance between Co atom and C_{60} molecule after O_2 adsorption indicates that steric hindrance is created after C_{60} adsorption such that O_2 molecules will have to overcome the considerable interactions between C_{60} and CoP to be able to approach the central Co atom.

References

- [S1] G. Kresse, J. Furthmuller, Phys. Rev. B 1996, 54, 11169.
- [S2] G. Kresse, D. Joubert, Phys. Rev. B 1999, 59, 1758.
- [S3] K. Mathew, R. Sundararaman, K. Letchworth-Weaver, T.A. Arias, R.G. Hennig, J. Chem. Phys.

2014, *140*, 084106.

- [S4] J.P. Perdew, K. Burke, M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett. 1996, 77, 3865.
- [S5] S. Grimme, J. Antony, S. Ehrlich, H. Krieg, J. Chem. Phys. 2010, 132, 154104.