Porosity Control in Pillar-Layered MOF Architectures: Hydrogen

Bonding in Amino-Functionalized 2D Layers

Fatemeh Tavakoli-Quchani a, Alireza Salimi* a, and Ali Nakhaei Pour

^a Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran

* E-mail: salimi-a@um.ac.ir

Supporting Information

SO. List of Contents

Figure SO.	List of Contents					
Figure S1.	The designed device for the FUMs synthesis.					
Figure S2.	The FE-SEM images of (a) FUM-153(Zn-H), (b) FUM-153(Zn-H)*, (c) FUM-167(Cd-H), and (d) FUM-176(Cd-NH ₂).					
Figure S3.	The overlay of PXRD plots of (<i>a</i>) FUM-153(Zn-H) , (<i>b</i>) FUM-167(Cd-H) , and (<i>c</i>) FUM-176(Cd-NH ₂).					
Figure S4.	The FT-IR plot of (a) FUM-153(Zn-H), (b) FUM-153(Zn-H)*, (c) FUM-167(Cd-H), and (d) FUM- 176(Cd-NH ₂).					
Figure S5.	The TGA analysis of (a) FUM-153(Zn-H), (b) FUM-167(Cd-H), and (c) FUM-176(Cd-NH ₂).					
Figure S6.	The DTA analysis of (a) FUM-153(Zn-H), (b) FUM-167(Cd-H), and (c) FUM-176(Cd-NH ₂).					
Figure S7.	The representation of FT-SEM of FUM-153(Zn-H) and FUM-153(Zn-H)*.					
Figure S8.	The representation of the 2-fold interpenetrating in FUM-153(Zn-H).					
Figure S9.	The representation of π π interactions in FUM-153(Zn-H) .					
Figure S10.	The representation of the 2-fold interpenetrating in FUM-167(Cd-H).					
Figure S11.	The representation of C40 O11 C47 N7 _{amide} and C29A O10A H52 C52 interactions	P.11				
	Interpenetrating in FOW-167(Cd-H).					
Figure S12.	The representation of the 2-fold interpenetrating in FUM-176(Cd-NH ₂).	P.11				
Chart S1.	CH_4 and CO_2 gas adsorption result in the three FUMs.					
Table S1.	The calculated gas adsorption results of all FUMs.					



Figure S1. The designed device for the FUMs synthesis.



Figure S2. The FE-SEM images of (*a*) **FUM-153(Zn-H)**, (*b*) **FUM-153(Zn-H)***, (*c*) **FUM-167(Cd-H)**, and (*d*) **FUM-176(Cd-NH**₂).



Figure S3. The overlay of PXRD plots of (*a*) FUM-153(Zn-H), (*b*) FUM-167(Cd-H), and (*c*) FUM-176(Cd-NH₂).





Figure S4. The FT-IR plot of (*a*) FUM-153(Zn-H), (*b*) FUM-153(Zn-H)*, (*c*) FUM-167(Cd-H), and (*d*) FUM-176(Cd-NH₂).





Figure S5. The TGA analysis of (a) FUM-153(Zn-H), (b) FUM-167(Cd-H), and (c) FUM-176(Cd-NH₂).





Figure S6. The DTA analysis of (*a*) **FUM-153(Zn-H)**, (*b*) **FUM-167(Cd-H)**, and (*c*) **FUM-176(Cd-NH**₂).







Figure S8. The representation of the 2-fold interpenetrating in FUM-153(Zn-H).



Figure S9. The representation of π ^{···} π interactions in **FUM-153(Zn-H)**.



Figure S10. The representation of the 2-fold interpenetrating in FUM-167(Cd-H).



Figure S11. The representation of C40 O11^{...}C47 N7_{amide} and C29A O10A^{...}H52 C52 interactions interpenetrating in **FUM-167(Cd-H)**.



Chart S1. CH_4 and CO_2 gas adsorption result in the three FUMs.



FUM-153(Zn-H)					
CH₄ adsorption	Temperature (K)	b (bar-1)	q _{sat} (mmol/g)	Isosteric heat (kcal/mol)	ΔH _{ads} (kJ/mol)
	298	1.08	3.52	7.78	-12.86
	303	1.06	3.42	7.74	
	318	0.83	3.20	7.64	
	333	0.63	3.05	7 57	
FUM-153(7n-H)	000	0.00	0.00	,,	
CO adcorption	T	h (h = 1)	······································		All (1.1/m1)
CO ₂ ausorption	Temperature (K)	D (Dar)	q _{sat} (mmoi/g)	(71	
	298	0.83	2.49	6.71	-10.00
	303	0.75	2.44	6.69	
	318	0.55	2.29	6.65	
	333	0.42	2.15	0.02	
FUM-167(Cd-H)					
CH₄ adsorption	Temperature (K)	b (bar-1)	q _{sat} (mmol/g)	Isosteric heat (kcal/mol)	ΔH _{ads} (kJ/mol)
	298	2.78	2.97	8.69	-6.58
	303	3.27	2.87	8.67	
	318	2.50	2.81	8.65	
	333	2.30	2.68	8.64	
FUM-167(Cd-H)					
CO ₂ adsorption	Temperature (K)	b (bar ⁻¹)	q _{sat} (mmol/g)	Isosteric heat (kcal/mol)	ΔH _{ads} (kJ/mol)
	298	3.78	2.40	8.18	-25.48
	303	3.68	2.35	8.19	
	318	2.23	2.32	8.20	
	333	1.33	2.31	8.21	
FUM-176(Cd-NH ₂)					
CH ₄ adsorption	Temperature (K)	b (bar ^{.1})	a (mmol/g)	Isosteric heat (kcal/mol)	ΔH _{ade} (kJ/mol)
	298	1.85	5.22	8.79	-5.406
	303	1.88	5.02	8.75	
	318	1.74	4.78	8.72	
	333	1.48	4.51	8.67	
FUM-176(Cd-NH ₂)					
CO. adsorption	Temperature (K)	h (har-1)	a (mmol/g)	Isosteric heat (kcal/mol)	AH (kl/mol)
co ₂ ausorption	298	2 52	4sat (111107/6/	8 02	-8 67
	303	2.52	3.00	8.00	0.07
	318	2.37	3 37	8.02	
	333	1 81	3.37	8.03	
		1.01	3.27	0.05	

Table S1. The calculated gas adsorption results of all FUMs.