Asli M. Wehelie,^a Lara K. Watanabe,^a Bin Zhang,^b Sahar Nikoo^a and Jeremy M. Rawson ^{a*}

Electronic Supporting Information

ESI-1 General Synthetic Methods	2
ESI-2 Synthesis of 1	2
ESI-3 Synthesis of 2	3
ESI-4 Powder X-Ray diffraction Patterns of Starting Materials	4
ESI-5 Solid State EPR and Simulations of Starting Materials	9
ESI-6 EPR Simulations of 'Alloys'	10

Asli M. Wehelie,^a Lara K. Watanabe,^a Bin Zhang,^b Sahar Nikoo^a and Jeremy M. Rawson ^{a*}

ESI-1 General Synthetic Methods

The preparation of **1** and **2** was undertaken under a nitrogen atmosphere using standard double-manifold techniques and dry solvents. Solids were stored and handled under a nitrogen atmosphere using an MBraun glovebox. Radicals **1** and **2** were both prepared according to literature methods.^{1,2} Tetrafluoroterephthalonitrile and lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide (Sigma) were used as received. SCl₂ was prepared by chlorination of sulfur according to the literature method.³

IR spectra were recorded on a Bruker a-FT-IR spectrometer. Elemental analysis data were determined using a Perkin-Elmer 2400 elemental analyzer. For [1]Cl and [2]Cl data were fitted assuming LiCl as the contaminant but we recognize any non-organic impurity, such as S or Se, could equally lead to the low compositional CHN data. These contaminants in [1]Cl and [2]Cl were removed during the synthesis and purification of 1 and 2. Single crystal X-ray diffraction data were recorded on a Bruker APEX III single crystal X-ray diffractomer equipped with a Mo-I μ S microsource and Photon 100 detector. Cryogenic temperatures were maintained using an Oxford Instruments 700 series cryostream. The data collection strategy implemented the APEX-III software.⁴ Data processing and reduction and an absorption correction employed Bruker SAINT and Bruker SADABS software respectively.^{5,6} Structure solution utilized SHELXTL⁷ and refinement was undertaken against *F*² using SHELXTL.⁸ Powder data were collected on a Bruker D8 Advance PXRD at room temperature using the DIFFRAC suite.⁹ Baseline corrections were made within DASH.¹⁰ EPR spectra were recorded in solution (CH₂Cl₂) or the solid state on a Bruker EMXplus X-band EPR running at ca 9.75 GHz at room temperature. EPR simulations implemented PIPPIN (M. Nilges, University of Illinois EPR Centre)¹¹ via the PIP4WIN EPR interface (J.M. Rawson, U. Windsor 2011).¹²

ESI-2 Synthesis of 1

- a) Synthesis of p-NCC₆F₄CNSSNCI [(1)Cl]: Tetrafluoroterephthalonitrile (2.00 g, 10.00 mmol) and lithium bis(trimethylsilyl) amide (1.673g, 10.00 mmol) were stirred together in Et₂O (25 mL) for approximately 2 hours. Sulfur dichloride (1.48 mL, 22 mmol) was added dropwise at 0 °C affording an orange precipitate. The solvent was removed via cannula filtration and the solvent was washed with Et₂O (3 × 25 mL). The solid was left to dry under a nitrogen atmosphere to yield the orange-yellow product, [1]Cl (2.753 g, 88%), contaminated with LiCl by-product. IR: 2254 (w, C≡N), 1633 (w, C=N), 534 (w, S-S), 1488 (m), 1433 (m), 1090 (w). EA: Calc. for (C₈ClF₄N₃S₂)(1.6 LiCl): C 25.18%, N 11.02%; Found C 25.20%, N 10.07%
- b) Synthesis of p-NCC₆F₄CNSSN• (1): p-cyanotetrafluorophenyl dithiadiazolium chloride (1.50 g, 4.78 mmol) was reduced by heating with triphenyl antimony (0.84 g, 2.39 mmol) under a nitrogen atmosphere at 55 °C for ca. 1.5 hrs. The dark oil was then cooled and CH₂Cl₂ (6 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was left to stir for 5 minutes. The solvent was removed *in vacuo* and a cold-finger added to the Schlenk tube against a nitrogen atmosphere. The dark product was slowly sublimed on the cold-finger under dynamic vacuum (110 20 °C) to yield 1β (410 mg, 30 %) as black lustrous needles. EPR (X-band, 298 K, CH₂Cl₂) g = 2.015, a_N = 5.13 G; IR: 2250 (w, C≡N), 1630 (w, C=N), 1434 (m), 1329 (m), 1019 (m), 774 (s), 682 (s), 501 (w, S-S); EA: Calc. for C₈F₄N₃S₂: C 34.53%, N 15.10%; Found C 35.20% N 14.07%. PXRD studies confirmed phase purity as 1β.

Asli M. Wehelie,^a Lara K. Watanabe,^a Bin Zhang,^b Sahar Nikoo^a and Jeremy M. Rawson ^{a*}

ESI-3 Synthesis of 2

- a) Synthesis of p-NCC₆F₄CNSeSeNCI [(2)CI]: Tetrafluoroterephthalonitrile (1.29 g, 6.45 mmol) and lithium bis(trimethylsilyl) amide (1.08g, 6.45 mmol) were stirred together in Et₂O (20 mL) under a nitrogen atmosphere for approximately 2 hours. In a separate Schlenk, selenium tetrachloride (1.42 g, 6.45 mmol) and elemental selenium (0.509 g, 6.45 mmol) were stirred for approximately 2 hours as an *in situ* source of SeCl₂. The selenium dichloride was added dropwise at 0 °C via cannula transfer, affording a brown precipitate. The solvent was removed via cannula filteration and the solvent was washed with Et₂O (3 × 10) mL. To ensure complete removal of solvent, the solid was dried *in vacuo*. The solid was left to dry under a nitrogen atmosphere to yield the red product, *p*-cyanotetrafluorophenyl diselenadiazolium chloride (2.350 g, 90%). IR: 653 (s),1059 (w), 1420 (m),1484 (s), 1638 (w), 2251 (w, C≡N); EA: Calc. for C₈ClF₄N₃Se₂ (2.5 LiCl) C: 19.50% N:8.54%; Found C: 20.09% N: 8.53%.
- b) Synthesis of p-NCC₆F₄CNSeSeN· (2): [2]Cl, (0.774 g, 1.9 mmol) was reduced with molten triphenyl antimony (0.215 g, 0.61 mmol) under a nitrogen atmosphere at 55 °C for 1.5 hours. A water-cooled cold finger was added and the product was left to sublime under dynamic vacuum at 110 °C to yield 2 as a dark purple product on the cold-finger (90 mg, 13 %). IR: 685 (s), 731 (s), 1062 (m),1435 (m), 1472 (m), 1688 (w, C=N), 2253 (w, C=N). EA: Calc. for C₈F₄N₃Se₂ C: 25.83% N: 11.30%; Found C: 26.52% N: 10.58%. EPR (X-band, 298 K): rhombic spectrum- g_x = 2.004, g_y= 2.016, g_z = 2.107. PXRD studies confirmed purity of 2.

Asli M. Wehelie,^a Lara K. Watanabe,^a Bin Zhang,^b Sahar Nikoo^a and Jeremy M. Rawson ^{a*}

ESI-4: PXRD

PXRD measurements were made at ambient temperature on a Bruker D8 Discover using Cu-K α radiation equipped with a 0.5 mm collimator. Simulations of PXRD profiles for $\mathbf{1}\alpha$, $\mathbf{1}\beta$ and $\mathbf{2}$ were based on low temperature single crystal data. Small differences in peak positions are attributed to lattice expansion on warming. For alloys of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$, PXRD profiles were simulated using a Rietveld refinement within Expo2014 using the LeBil method for non-structural parameters [A. Altomare, C. Cuocci, C. Giacovazzo, A. Moliterni, R. Rizzi, N. Corriero and A. Falcicchio, *J. Appl. Cryst.* 2013, *46*, 1231-1235].

Figure S4.a) PXRD pattern of 1α (from CH₂Cl₂ solution)



Figure S4.b) PXRD pattern of 1β (prepared by sublimation)



Asli M. Wehelie,^a Lara K. Watanabe,^a Bin Zhang,^b Sahar Nikoo^a and Jeremy M. Rawson ^{a*}

Figure S4.c) PXRD pattern of 2 (prepared from CH₂Cl₂ solution)



Figure S4.d) PXRD profile for 1α

Rietveld refinement afforded: a = 7.6440, b = 8.0002, c = 9.566 Å, α = 65.715, β = 68.006, γ = 67.525° Rp = 4.371, Rwp = 6.013



Asli M. Wehelie,^a Lara K. Watanabe,^a Bin Zhang,^b Sahar Nikoo^a and Jeremy M. Rawson ^{a*}

Figure S4.e) PXRD profile for 10.9520.05

Rietveld refinement afforded: a = 7.5896, b = 7.9552, c = 9.6075 Å, α = 65.741, β = 68.028, γ = 67.782°

Rp = 12.362, Rwp = 19.148



Figure S4.f) PXRD profile for $1_{0.90}2_{0.10}$

Rietveld refinement afforded: a = 7.6656, b = 7.9829, c = 9.5696 Å, α = 65.572, β = 67.944, γ = 67.485°





Asli M. Wehelie,^a Lara K. Watanabe,^a Bin Zhang,^b Sahar Nikoo^a and Jeremy M. Rawson ^{a*}

Figure S4.g) PXRD profile for 1_{0.85}2_{0.15}

Rietveld refinement afforded: a = 7.6731, b = 8.1553, c = 9.5661 Å, α = 66.876, β = 68.633, γ = 67.673°

Rp = 7.006, Rwp = 9.918



Asli M. Wehelie,^a Lara K. Watanabe,^a Bin Zhang,^b Sahar Nikoo^a and Jeremy M. Rawson ^{a*}

ESI-5 EPR of Starting Materials

ESI-5a: Solid state EPR spectrum of 1α



Figure S5.a) Solid state EPR of 1a: Simulation Parameters: gx = 2.001, gy = 2.007, gz = 2.007; Lorentzian linewidths: $\Delta H(x) = 3.00$ G; $\Delta H(y) = 3.25$ G; $\Delta H(z) = 4.00$ G: S = 0.0093.

ESI-5b: Solid state EPR spectrum of 2

The EPR spectrum of solid **2** at room temperature could not be simulated using a single rhombic $S = \frac{1}{2}$ spectrum but a composition of two chemically distinct $S = \frac{1}{2}$ spectra in a 1:1 ratio. This is consistent with signals from the two chemically (crystallographically) independent $S = \frac{1}{2}$ ions in a diamagnetic (**2**)₂ lattice. The simulation did not include low abundance ⁷⁷Se isotopomers.



Asli M. Wehelie,^a Lara K. Watanabe,^a Bin Zhang,^b Sahar Nikoo^a and Jeremy M. Rawson ^{a*}

Figure S5.b) 2 (solid state) Solid state EPR spectrum of 2: Simulation Parameters: Component #1: $g_x = 2.108 g_y = 2.021 g_z = 1.996$; $a_{N(x)} = 0$, $a_{N(y)} = 0$, $a_{N(z)} = 21 G$; $\Delta H_x = \Delta H_y = \Delta H_z = 7 G_{pp}$ (Lorentzian), weighting = 1. Component #2: $g_x = 2.100 g_y = 2.006 g_z = 2.003$; $a_{N(x)} = 0$, $a_{N(y)} = 0$, $a_{N(z)} = 21 G$, $\Delta H_x = 9$, $\Delta H_y = 6$, $\Delta H_z = 8 G_{pp}$ (Lorentzian), weighting = 1.

ESI-6 Solid State EPR Spectra of Alloys

ESI-6a: Solid state EPR spectrum of Alloy 1:2 = 95:5



Figure S6.a) Solid state EPR spectrum of alloy $\mathbf{1}_{0.95}\mathbf{2}_{0.05}$. Simulation Parameters: $g_x = 2.0047$, $g_y = 2.012$, $g_z = 2.012$; Lorentzian linewidths ΔH_x) = 7.00 G, $\Delta H_y = 25.25$ G, $\Delta H_z = 25.25$ G.

ESI-6b: Solid state EPR spectrum of Alloy 1:2 = 90:10

Asli M. Wehelie,^a Lara K. Watanabe,^a Bin Zhang,^b Sahar Nikoo^a and Jeremy M. Rawson ^{a*}



Figure S6.b) Solid state EPR spectrum of alloy $\mathbf{1}_{0.9}\mathbf{2}_{0.1}$. Simulation Parameters: $g_x = 2.0149$, $g_y = 2.0149$, $g_z = 2.0005$; Gaussian linewidths $\Delta H_x = 14$ G; $\Delta H_y = 14$ G, $\Delta H_z = 7.25$ G.

ESI-6c: Solid state EPR spectrum of Alloy 1:2 = 85:15



Figure S6.c) Solid state EPR spectrum of alloy $\mathbf{1}_{0.85}\mathbf{2}_{0.15}$. Simulation Parameters: $g_x = 2.010$, $g_y = 2.010$, $g_z = 2.005$; Lorentzian linewidths $\Delta H_x = 21.75$ G; $\Delta H_y = 21.75$ G; $\Delta H_z = 10.75$ G.

ESI-6d: Solid state EPR spectrum of Alloy 1:2 = 80:20

Initial simulation of this mixture used a single set of anisotropic g-tensors for an $S = \frac{1}{2}$ radical system. However, this failed to replicate weak features evident to low field. These low intensity features were

Asli M. Wehelie,^a Lara K. Watanabe,^a Bin Zhang,^b Sahar Nikoo^a and Jeremy M. Rawson ^{a*}

fitted using a thermally accessible excited S = 1 system with small zero field splitting. Such features have been observed previously for DTDA dimers in the solid state.



Figure S6.d) Solid state EPR spectrum of alloy $\mathbf{1}_{0.80}\mathbf{2}_{0.20}$. Simulation Parameters: Component 1 ($S = \frac{1}{2}$): $g_x = 2.000$, $g_y = 2.007$, $g_z = 2.024$; $\Delta H_x = 12$ G, $\Delta H_y = 12$ G; $\Delta H_z = 11$ G; weighting: 0.12. Component 2 (S=1): $g_x = 2.007$, $g_y = 2.033$, $g_z = 2.046$; $\Delta H_x = 10$ G; $\Delta H_y = 13$ G; $\Delta H_z = 19$ G, |D| = 0.0085, |E| = 0.0006 cm⁻¹