Electronic Supplementary Information to IR spectra of cationic 1,5,9-triazacoronene and two of its cationic derivatives

Jerry Kamer,[†] Domenik Schleier,^{†,‡} Andy Jiao,[¶] Grégory Schneider,[¶] Jonathan Martens,[§] Giel Berden,[§] Jos Oomens,[§] and Jordy Bouwman^{*,||,⊥,#}

†Laboratory for Astrophysics, Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, NL 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands

‡Institut für Optik und Atomare Physik, Technische Universität Berlin, Hardenbergstrasse 36, Berlin 10623, Germany.

¶Leiden Institute of Chemistry, Leiden University, PO Box 9502, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands.

§Institute for Molecules and Materials, FELIX Laboratory, Radboud University, Toernooiveld 7, 6525 ED Nijmegen, The Netherlands.

∥Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Physics, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80303, USA
⊥Department of Chemistry, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309, USA

#Institute for Modeling Plasma, Atmospheres and Cosmic Dust (IMPACT), NASA/SSERVI, Boulder, CO 80309, USA

E-mail: jordy.bouwman@colorado.edu

This Electronic Supplementary Information contains further information to the main manuscript. First, we present a zoom-in of the experimental and simulated IR spectra of **TACH**⁺ between 820 and 1260 cm⁻¹. Next, we show the different energies of three possible isomers formed after losing a hydrogen atom from **TAC**⁺ from different positions. Then, we present the calculated IR spectra of the 16 [**TAC**–**H**+**H**₂**O**]⁺ isomers that we considered as potential products ions. Lastly, we show the coordinates of **TAC**⁺ and **TACH**⁺ used to calculate the IR spectra.

Experimental and simulated mid-IR spectra of TACH⁺ in the 800 - 1300 cm⁻¹ range

Here we present a zoomed-in version of the experimental and simulated IR spectra of \mathbf{TACH}^+ reported in the main manuscript (see Fig. S1). Most notably, the \mathbf{TACH}^+ IRMPD spectrum displays no clear features between 820 and 1260 cm⁻¹, while modes are predicted to fall in this range.



Figure S1: Experimental IRMPD (black) and simulated IR (blue vertical lines) spectra of **TACH**⁺. The B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) computed spectrum (blue sticks) is uniformly scaled with a factor of 0.9679 and convolved with a 20 cm⁻¹ FWHM Gaussian function (green shaded area). The horizontal grey, dotted line indicates an apparent cut-off. Exciting modes with a lower intensity than this line does not lift the energy of the molecule over the dissociation threshold.

Energies of $[TAC-H]^+$ isomers

Here we present the energies of three isomers that can form upon losing a hydrogen atom from three distinctly different positions in \mathbf{TAC}^{+} (see Fig. S2). The isomer resulting from the loss of a hydrogen from the CH group adjacent to a nitrogen atom is found to be lowest in energy, according to our calculations at a B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level of theory.



Figure S2: Geometries and relative energies of three different $[\mathbf{TAC}-\mathbf{H}]^+$ isomers calculated at B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level of theory. The circled hydrogen atoms on \mathbf{TAC}^{+} depict the hydrogen that is removed and the arrows point toward the resulting isomer. The energies are depicted with respect to the lowest energetic isomer of $[\mathbf{TAC}-\mathbf{H}]^+$.

Calculated spectra of all considered $[TAC-H+H_2O]^+$ isomers

Here we present the calculated mid-IR spectra of the 16 isomers that we considered as $[TAC-H+H_2O]^+$ products (see Fig. S3). We propose that isomer 5 is the most likely candidate for $[TAC-H+H_2O]^+$, since it is the lowest in energy and its simulated specctrum matches well with the IRMPD spectrum of the $[TAC-H+H_2O]^+$ product ion. Other isomers such as 15 and 16 also provide a good match with the IRMPD spectrum, however, their energies are rather high with respect to isomer 5 and these isomers lack a feature at 1718 cm⁻¹. Therefore, we conclude that these are not the most likely candidate for $[TAC-H+H_2O]^+$. This does not necessarily mean that isomer 5 is the only contributor to the IRMPD and the other isomers may contribute to the experimental spectrum.



Figure S3: Experimental IRMPD spectrum (black) compared to simulated IR spectra of all considered isomers of $[\mathbf{TAC}-\mathbf{H}+\mathbf{H_2O}]^+$. Also shown are the structures of the various $[\mathbf{TAC}-\mathbf{H}+\mathbf{H_2O}]^+$ isomers and their relative energies calculated using the B3LYP functional in combination with the 6-311++G(d,p) basis set. The simulated spectrum in green indicates our tentative assignment that isomer 5 is likely the dominant isomer. The B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) computed stick spectra are uniformly scaled with a factor of 0.9679. The calculated spectra are also convolved with a 20 cm⁻¹ FWHM Gaussian function to facilitate comparison with the experimental spectrum. All spectra are normalized with respect to their most intense peak in the 750-1650 cm⁻¹ wavenumber range.



Figure S3 (Cont.)

Coordinates of $\mathrm{TAC}^{\cdot+}$ and TACH^+

Here we presented the coordinates of \mathbf{TAC}^{+} and \mathbf{TACH}^{+} that were used to calculate the IR spectra presented in the main manuscript.

Atom	Coordinates		
	х	У	Z
С	2.343363	-2.831567	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	2.441080	-1.414550	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	1.227137	-0.700177	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	0.008865	-1.409349	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	0.032092	-2.816647	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	1.218829	0.711115	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	-1.218304	-0.710566	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	-1.227304	0.692024	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	0.000000	1.407314	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	-2.466785	1.384110	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	-3.655586	-0.598442	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	-2.455146	-1.411283	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	-2.430551	-2.821576	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	-1.223933	-3.510473	0.000000
Η	-1.202872	-4.594065	0.000000
Η	-3.362957	-3.374470	0.000000
Η	3.249407	-3.431837	0.000000
Η	-4.622094	-1.097693	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	3.671975	-0.664335	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	3.671439	0.705891	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	2.442357	1.443741	0.000000
Η	4.612702	-1.203561	0.000000
Η	4.596997	1.268094	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	1.301069	3.447027	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	0.011601	2.816789	0.000000
Η	1.359724	4.533148	0.000000
С	-2.442841	2.788733	0.000000
Η	-3.386345	3.321114	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	-1.228657	3.492319	0.000000
Η	-1.247479	4.576922	0.000000
Ν	2.452632	2.803175	0.000000
Ν	-3.670011	0.704506	0.000000
Ν	1.200053	-3.507431	0.000000

Table S1: Cartesian coordinates of the molecular structure of $TAC^{\cdot+}$.

Atom	(Coordinates	
	х	У	Z
С	-3.646949	0.587074	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	-2.456815	1.388059	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	-1.234483	0.691628	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	-1.241235	-0.719561	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	-2.475815	-1.404999	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	0.000000	1.389690	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	-0.022262	-1.432275	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	1.208809	-0.734174	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	1.222632	0.676715	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	2.418576	-1.466367	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	1.269348	-3.465443	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	-0.014760	-2.839075	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	-1.265952	-3.522988	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	-2.455549	-2.830283	0.000000
Н	-3.405499	-3.350499	0.000000
Н	-1.274228	-4.607283	0.000000
Н	-4.615172	1.083098	0.000000
Η	1.326281	-4.551696	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	-2.427831	2.808363	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	-1.235827	3.504159	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	-0.012550	2.795927	0.000000
Н	-3.362247	3.358308	0.000000
Н	-1.236558	4.588389	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	2.399553	2.771745	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	2.462926	1.376896	0.000000
Н	3.286046	3.393683	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	3.655109	-0.744409	0.000000
Н	4.572486	-1.320285	0.000000
\mathbf{C}	3.681432	0.625125	0.000000
Н	4.628918	1.151463	0.000000
Ν	1.221948	3.420766	0.000000
Ν	2.423324	-2.827044	0.000000
Ν	-3.663718	-0.724422	0.000000
Η	1.238961	4.434882	0.000000

Table S2: Cartesian coordinates of the molecular structure of TACH⁺.