# **Supporting information for**

## **Exploring the Potential of Malononitrile Functionalized Donor-Acceptor Systems for Non-Volatile Memory Device Applications**

Ramachandran Gokul<sup>a</sup>, Ramesh Gayathri<sup>a</sup>, Predhanekar Mohamed Imran<sup>b</sup>, Nattamai S. P. Bhuvanesh<sup>c</sup>, and Samuthira Nagarajan<sup>\* a</sup>

*<sup>a</sup>Division of Organic Electronics,*Department of Chemistry, Central University of Tamil Nadu, Thiruvarur- 610 005, India,  $snagarajan@cutn.ac.in$ 

*<sup>b</sup>* Department of Chemistry, Islamiah College, Vaniyambadi - 635 752, India

<sup>c</sup> Department of Chemistry, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77842, USA

## **Table of contents**





### **1. Synthetic route for the targeted compounds**

*Scheme S1: Synthetic pathway of compounds 1-8*



*Scheme S2: Synthetic pathway of compounds 9a-f*

#### **2. Synthetic and analytical data of the targeted compounds**

**Compound 1:** Triphenylamine (2g, 8.15 mmol) and NBS (1.450g, 8.15 mmol) were added in 20 mL of CCl<sub>4</sub> solvent taken in a 100 ml round bottom flask and refluxed at 80 $\degree$ C for 4 h. After cooling, the reaction mixture was filtered. Recrystallization of the residue from ethanol gave compound **1,** 78 % yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.34-7.30 (m, 2H), 7.27-7.23 (m, 4H), 7.08 – 7.01 (m, 6H), 6.95-6.93 (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 147.38, 147.03, 132.26, 129.39, 125.14, 124.42, 123.23, 114.76.

**Compound 2:** In a 100 mL two-necked round-bottom flask, compound **1**(1 g,3.09 mmol), bis(pinacolato)diborane (939.96 mg, 3.7 mmol), and potassium acetate (908.03 mg,9.25 mmol) were mixed with 20 mL of 1,4-dioxane. The mixture was degassed using  $N_2$  before adding (22.51 mg 0.0308 mmol) of PdCl<sub>2</sub>(dppf). It was then stirred overnight at  $100^{\circ}$ C in a nitrogen atmosphere. After completion, the reaction mixture was quenched with brine solution, and the organic layer was separated using dichloromethane (DCM). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified using column chromatography on silica gel (eluent: 99:1 hexane-ethyl acetate) to produce compound **2** in 71 % yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.67 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.24 (m, 4H), 7.10 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 4H), 7.04 – 7.02 (m, 4H), 1.33 (s, 12H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 150.51, 147.43, 135.91, 129.35, 125.03, 123.66, 122.28, 83.60, 24.

**General synthetic procedure for Suzuki Mayura coupling reaction:** Brominated compound (1 eq) was dissolved in 30 mL tetrahydrofuran and placed in a two-neck round bottom flask. The solution was purged with nitrogen for 20 minutes. A catalytic amount of  $Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>$  (0.05 eq) was added and continued purging with nitrogen for another 15 minutes. A solution of 2 M  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  and appropriate arylboronic acid or boronic acid pinacol ester (1.3 eq) was added. The mixture was refluxed under inert conditions for 12 hours at 70 °C. After the completion of the reaction, the mixture was cooled and extracted using DCM. The residue was purified by column chromatography using a hexane/ethyl acetate solvent system.

**Compound 3:** As per the general procedure, 4-bromobenzaldehyde (1g,5.40 mmol) and dibenzo[b,d]furan-4-ylboronic acid (1.55g,7.02 mmol) were allowed to react to give compound **3**. The product was purified by column chromatography on silica (hexane-ethyl acetate 99:1) to give a white crystalline solid with a yield of  $75\%$ .<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  10.11 (s, 1H), 8.11 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 8.06 – 8.03 (m, 2H), 8.02 – 7.99 (m, 2H), 7.67 – 7.61 (m, 2H), 7.52 – 7.45 (m, 2H), 7.39 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 192.04, 156.13, 153.32, 142.63, 135.43, 130.14, 129.36, 127.57, 126.91, 125.27, 124.39, 123.93, 123.40, 123.07, 120.87, 111.90.

**Compound 4**: As per the general procedure,4-bromobenzaldehyde (1g, 5.40 mmol) and dibenzo[b,d]thiophene-4-ylboronic acid (1.6g,7.02 mmol) were allowed to react to give the product **4**. The product was purified by column chromatography on silica (hexane-ethyl acetate 99:2) to give a light-yellow solid with a yield of 81 %. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  10.11 (s, 1H), 8.23 – 8.19 (m, 2H), 8.06 – 8.03 (m, 2H), 7.95 – 7.91 (m, 2H), 7.86 – 7.82 (m, 1H), 7.59  $(t, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{ H}), 7.54 - 7.48 \text{ (m, 3H)}.$  <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  191.80, 146.70, 139.34, 138.42, 136.56, 135.79, 135.62, 135.58, 130.27, 128.97, 127.07, 125.24, 124.63, 122.66, 121.83, 121.40

**Compound 5:** As per the general procedure, 5-bromothiophene-2-carbaldehyde (1g, 5.23 mmol) and dibenzo[b,d]furan-4-ylboronic acid (1.44g,6.8 mmol) were allowed to react to give the product **5**. The product was purified by column chromatography on silica (hexane-ethyl acetate 99:1) to give a white crystalline solid with a yield of  $72\%$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 9.97 (s, 1H), 8.01 – 7.96 (m, 3H), 7.86 (s, 1H), 7.79 (dd, *J* = 7.7, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 7.68  $(d, J = 8.3 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$ , 7.52 (dd,  $J = 15.6$ , 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.40 (dd,  $J = 7.9$ , 5.8, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 183.10, 156.19, 152.37, 148.44, 142.60, 137.21, 127.82, 127.12, 125.41, 123.64, 123.36, 121.52, 120.90, 118.03, 112.00.

**Compound 6**: As per the general procedure, 5-bromothiophene-2-carbaldehyde (1g,5.23 mmol) and dibenzo[b,d]thiophene-4-ylboronic acid (1.55g,6.8mmol) were allowed to react to give the product **6**. The product was purified by column chromatography on silica (hexaneethyl acetate 99:1) to give a light-yellow solid with a yield of 78 %. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.97 (s, 1H), 8.26 – 8.16 (m, 2H), 7.91 – 7.87 (m, 1H), 7.85 (d,  $J = 3.9$  Hz, 1H), 7.72  $(dd, J=5.0, 2.4 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}, 7.57 - 7.49 \text{ (m, 3H)}.$  <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  183.07, 152.28, 142.94, 139.14, 137.79, 137.02, 135.24, 128.49, 127.38, 126.96, 126.53, 125.17, 124.88, 122.71, 122.32, 121.87.

**Compound 7:** As per the general procedure, 6-bromopyridine aldehyde and dibenzo[b,d]furan-4-ylboronic acid were allowed to react to give the product **7**. The product was purified by column chromatography on silica (hexane-ethyl acetate 99:1) to give a white crystalline solid with a yield of 76 %. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  10.22 (s, 1H), 8.71 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.47 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 8.02 (dd, *J* = 26.3, 12.6, Hz, 4H), 7.66 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (dd, *J* = 12.6, 4.7 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 194.02, 156.03, 154.23, 153.78, 152.74, 137.77, 128.34, 127.53, 127.24, 125.36, 123.85, 123.44, 123.21, 122.88, 122.03, 120.81, 120.06, 111.80

**General procedure for Knoevenagel condensation reaction:** Aldehyde (1 eq) was dissolved in 15 mL ethanol in a 50 mL round bottom flask. To this solution, (1 eq) of malononitrile followed by DABCO (0.1 equiv) was added as a catalyst. The mixture was stirred for 3 h. The precipitate was filtered, dried, and recrystallized from suitable solvents to get a pure product.

**Compound 8:** As per the general procedure, 5-bromothiophene-2-carbaldehyde was allowed to react with malononitrile to give the product **8**. The product was recrystallized using DCM to give a yellow crystalline solid with a yield of 74 %. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.75 (s, 1H), 7.50 (d, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (d, *J* = 4.1 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 149.94, 138.72, 136.79, 131.92, 126.53, 113.51, 112.88, 78.73.

**Compound 9a**: As per the general procedure, compound **3** was allowed to react with malononitrile to give yellow solid compound 9a with a yield of 85 %. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.15 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 8.09 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 8.03 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.85 (s, 1H), 7.71 – 7.61 (m, 2H), 7.56 – 7.46 (m, 2H), 7.41 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 159.22, 156.16, 153.35, 142.92, 131.21, 130.05, 129.70, 127.72, 126.68, 125.47, 123.98, 123.51, 123.20 , 121.45 , 120.85, 113.92, 112.80, 111.89, 82.30. HRMS (ESI) (m/z):  $C_{22}H_{12}N_2O$ , Calc [M]<sup>+</sup>: 320.0944, Observed [M]<sup>+</sup>: 320.0965.

**Compound 9b:** As per the general procedure, compound **5** was allowed to react with malononitrile to give the compound **9b**. The product was recrystallized using chloroform to provide an orange solid with a yield of 72 %. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.10 (d, *J* = 4.2 Hz, 1H), 8.01 (dd, *J* = 7.5, 4.5 Hz, 2H), 7.87 (d, *J* = 3.8 Hz, 2H), 7.83 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.69 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (t, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (q, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 156.37, 152.50 , 150.71, 139.45, 134.42, 127.84, 125.61, 123.69, 122.31, 120.96, 117.31, 114.22, 113.37, 112.04, 84.92. HRMS (ESI) (m/z): C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>10</sub>N<sub>2</sub>OS, Calc [M]<sup>+</sup>: 326.0508, Observed [M]<sup>+</sup>: 326.0522.

**Compound 9c:** As per the general procedure, compound **4** was allowed to react with malononitrile to give an orange solid compound **9c** with a yield of 73 %. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.23 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 8.21 (d, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 8.06 (t, *J* = 9.1 Hz, 2H), 7.98 – 7.90 (m, 2H), 7.89 – 7.82 (m, 2H), 7.62 – 7.58 (m, 1H), 7.51 (dd, *J* = 11.4, 5.0 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 159.13, 146.97, 139.18, 138.33, 136.74, 135.49, 134.86, 131.42, 130.35, 129.41, 129.01, 127.17, 125.40, 124.77, 122.68, 121.89, 82.67. HRMS (ESI) (m/z):  $C_{22}H_{12}N_2S$ , Calc [M]<sup>+</sup>: 336.0715, Observed [M]<sup>+</sup>: 336.0738.

**Compound 9d:** As per the general procedure, compound **6** was allowed to react with malononitrile to give orange solid compound **9d** with a yield of 86 %. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.24 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 8.23 – 8.18 (m, 1H), 7.94 – 7.88 (m, 1H), 7.88 – 7.83 (m, 2H), 7.79 (dd, *J* = 8.3, 5.8 Hz, 2H), 7.62 – 7.51 (m, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 154.35, 150.53, 139.32, 137.81, 137.24, 135.10, 134.71, 127.57, 127.20, 126.96, 125.27, 125.06, 122.96,122.76, 121.92, 114.05, 113.21, 77.58. HRMS (ESI) (m/z): C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>10</sub>N<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, Calc [M]<sup>+</sup>: 342.0279, Observed [M]<sup>+</sup>: 342.0299.

**Compound 9e**: As per the general procedure for the Suzuki coupling reaction, compounds **2** and **8** were allowed to react to give compound **9e**. The product was purified by column chromatography on silica (hexane-ethyl acetate 99:1) to provide a red crystalline solid yield of 70 %. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.75 (s, 1H), 7.68 (d, *J* = 4.1 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.34 – 7.29 (m, 5H), 7.13 (dd, *J* = 13.8, 7.5 Hz, 6H), 7.05 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 150.43, 149.80, 146.67, 140.54, 129.69, 127.59, 125.51, 124.91, 124.35, 123.28, 121.72, 113.74, 74.98. HRMS (ESI) (m/z): C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>17</sub>N<sub>3</sub>S, Calc [M]<sup>+</sup>: 403.1137, Observed  $[M]$ <sup>+</sup>: 403.116.

**Compound 9f:** As per the general procedure, compound **7** was allowed to react with malononitrile to give compound **9f**. The product was recrystallized using an ether-DCM mixture to give a white crystalline solid with a yield of 83 %. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 8.85 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 8.74 (dd, *J* = 7.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 8.09 – 8.00 (m, 3H), 7.66 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H),  $7.60 - 7.49$  (m, 3H),  $7.43 - 7.38$  (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  157.14, 155.90, 155.28, 153.91, 148.25, 138.15, 128.26, 127.49, 126.03, 125.29, 123.78, 123.29, 122.67, 122.22, 120.82, 113.90, 112.72, 111.69, 86.76. HRMS (ESI) (m/z): C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O, Calc [M+H]<sup>+</sup>: 322.0975, Observed [M+H]<sup>+</sup>: 322.0994.





**Figure S1:** <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of compound **1**



**Figure S2:** <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of compound **2**



**Figure S3 :** <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of compound **3**





Figure S4: <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of compound 4



Figure S5: <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of compound 5



Figure S6: <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of compound 6



Figure S7: <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of compound 7



Figure S8: <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of compound 8



Figure S9: <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of compound 9a



Figure S10: <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of compound 9b



Figure S11: <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of compound 9c





Figure S12: <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of compound 9d



Figure S13: <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of compound 9e



Figure S14: <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of compound 9f

**HRMS Spectra of compounds 9a-f**



**Figure S16:** HRMS spectrum of compound **9b**







**Figure S18:** HRMS spectrum of compound **9d**







**Figure S20:** HRMS spectrum of compound **9f**



**Figure S21**: FT-IR spectrum of compound **9a**



26



**Figure S23**: FT-IR spectrum of compound **9c**





**Figure S25**: FT-IR spectrum of compound **9e**



**Figure S26**: FT-IR spectrum of compound **9f**



## **Single crystal analysis data of compound 9f**



### 5. Thermogravimetric analysis

<b>Compounds</b>	$Td({}^{\circ}C)^*$		
a	296.54		
h	320.05		
Ċ	310.14		
d	320.18		
e	325.60		
	293.06		

Table S1. Thermal properties of compounds 9a-f

\*Td is a decomposition temperature

## 6. Electrochemical studies of the compounds 9a-f



e S27. Cyclic voltammogram of compounds 9a-f

### **7. Thin-film morphological studies of the compounds 9a-c**



**Figure S28**. Thin-film GIXRD of compounds **9a-f**



**Figure S29: (a)** Atomic Force Microscope image of the thin film of compound **9a (b)** AFM three-dimensional image of compound **9a**

#### **8. Stability tests of the device**







Figure S31: Retention time of the devices ITO/9b-f/Ag

#### **9. Yield of the devices.**



**Figure S32: Yield of the devices ITO/9b-f/Ag**

#### **10. Computational studies**

Experimentally determined spectral values were compared with the computationally derived excited states of the molecules (**Table S2**). Time Dependent-Self Consistent Field (TD-SCF) theoretical calculations provided significant insights into the spectral characteristics. The absorption and emission bands observed experimentally match the theoretical predictions. Furthermore, the calculations showed a remarkable capability to predict the emission spectral values accurately. Only theoretical predictions with favourable frequency factors and close agreement with experimental observations are selected from the pool of proposed values. Notably, the prediction of intersystem crossing in nearly all the systems aligns with the observed emission spectra. The density of states (DOS) can be defined as the number of distinct electronic states permissible at a specific energy level per unit volume per unit of energy. This function is crucial in determining the bulk properties of conductive solids, including specific heat, paramagnetic susceptibility, and various transport phenomena.





Following geometry optimization, the resulting optimal geometry was employed as the input for Density of States (DOS) calculations utilizing the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP) software (MedeA reference) (**Figure S33**). Structures incorporating Wentzell correction parameters, such as GGA-PBE (basis set), were evaluated, and the DOS was subsequently obtained. The density of states (DOS) graph depicts the number of available energy states, which can be interpreted as the optimal space for particle movement within the material. Table S2 details the DOS gap and Fermi energy levels for each compound. Compounds **9a-c** and **9f** exhibit a higher number of states with respective DOS gaps of 2.96 and 4.01 eV. These narrow band gaps theoretically indicate significant electronic conjugation



within these compounds.

 **Figure S33: Density of States graphs for the compounds 9a-f**

<b>Compounds</b> 9	Molecular Formula	Free <b>Energy</b> (eV)	<b>Density</b> (Mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	<b>DOS</b> gap (eV)	<b>Band</b> gap (eV)	E Fermi (eV)
a	$C_{22}H_{12}N_2O$	$-263.30$	0.356	2.959	2.056	$-4.381$
$\mathbf b$	$C_{20}H_{10}N_2OS$	$-241.74$	0.635	2.956	1.965	$-2.834$
$\mathbf c$	$C_{22}H_{12}N_2S$	$-260.95$	0.343	2.961	1.786	$-4.176$
d	$C_{20}H_{10}N_2S_2$	$-239.35$	0.398	2.963	1.849	$-3.975$
e	$C_{26}H_{17}N_3S$	$-322.92$	0.331	2.134	1.501	$-3.641$
f	$C_{22}H_{12}N_3O$	$-258.53$	0.615	4.014	1.877	$-3.109$

**Table S3 : DOS gap and E-Fermi energy of the compounds 9a-f**

In accordance with single-crystal X-ray diffraction data, which provides a static picture of a molecule, MedeA modeling with solvent correction can simulate the behaviour of molecules in solution, mimicking real-world conditions of normal temperature and pressure. **Figure S34** depicts the molecular packing of compounds **9a-f**, highlighting their packing efficiency. The data are summarized in **Table S4**. Additionally, computational calculations estimate the hopping distances between molecules, which can inform potential charge transport mechanisms. Compounds **9c** and **9e** exhibit shorter hopping distances of 2.672 and 2.679 Å, respectively, due to their favourable molecular arrangement.

**Table S4:** Crystal parameters of compounds **9a-f**

Compounds	<b>Cell</b>	Type of the	<b>Preferences</b>	<b>Symmetry</b>	Hopping
9	<b>Parameters</b>	<b>Cell</b>			Distances,
a	18.5/11.2/7.14 90/90/90	simple orthorhombic	323	$P21-C$	A 3.545 4.151 4.380
b	16.9/12.6/6.00 90/90/90	simple orthorhombic	3 1 4	$P21-C$	3.479 4.008 4.312
$\mathbf c$	19.4/11.7/7.13	simple	134	$P21-C$	2.597





**Figure S34:** Molecular packing and hopping distances for the compounds **9a-f** through computational methods

#### **11. Fabrication of memory device**

The synthesized compounds **(9a-f)** were used as the active layer on an ITO substrate to fabricate the memory devices. The ITO-coated glass plates were meticulously cleaned by sonication in a solution of soap, distilled water, acetone, and ethanol for ten minutes. ITO serves as the bottom electrode in the memory device's construction. The compounds **9af** dissolved in chloroform were deposited on the ITO-covered glass plate. Later, the thin film is annealed at 80 °C for 25 minutes. Next, silver contacts were deposited onto the thin layer using a sputtering process. Memory characterization was carried out at room temperature with a Keithley 4200A semiconductor parameter analyzer. **Figure S35** shows the schematic representation of the fabricated device.



**Figure S35:** Memory devices fabrication of the synthesized molecules

#### **References**

1. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Caricato, M.; Li, X.; Hratchian, H. P.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Bloino, J.; Zheng, G.; Sonnenberg, J. L.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Vreven, T.; Montgomery, J. A.; Peralta, J. E.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M.; Heyd, J. J.; Brothers, E.; Kudin, K. N.; Staroverov, V. N.; Kobayashi, R.; Normand, J.; Raghavachari, K.; Rendell, A.; Burant, J. C.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Millam, N. J.; Klene, M.; Knox, J. E.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Martin, R. L.; Morokuma, K.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Farkas, O.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cioslowski, J.; Fox, D. J.. Gaussian 09, revision A.1; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 200