## **Supporting Information**

## Design of 3*d* Transition Metal Embedded Asymmetric HMo<sub>2</sub>CF for N<sub>2</sub> Electrocatalytic Conversion to NH<sub>3</sub>

Lu Deng<sup>a,b</sup>, Fei Wu<sup>a,b</sup>, Wanbin Guan<sup>\*b,c</sup>, Zhiyi Lu<sup>b,c</sup>, Qiuju Zhang<sup>\*b,c</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup> School of Materials Science & Chemical Engineering, Ningbo University, Ningbo, Zhejiang 315211, P. R. China

<sup>b</sup> Zhejiang Key Laboratory of Advanced Fuel Cells and Electrolyzers Technology, Ningbo Institute of Material Technology and Engineering, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Ningbo, Zhejiang, 315201, China

<sup>c</sup> University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, 100049, Beijing, P. R. China



Figure S1 Free energy profile of the  $N_2$  reduction reaction by end-on adsorption on  $Mo_2C(001)$  through distal and alternating mechanisms.

Table S1 Computed adsorption energies of  $N_2$  on different  $TM_A/HMo_2CF_\nu.$ 

ТМ	Adsorption energy (eV)		Charge variation	Charge gain on	Charge gain on
	N <sub>2</sub> -end	N <sub>2</sub> -side	* (e)	N <sub>2</sub> -end on (e)	N <sub>2</sub> -side on (e)
Ti	-0.80	-0.81	+1.39	+1.43	+1.41
VA	-1.05	-0.05	+1.16	+1.24	+1.15
Cr <sub>A</sub>	-1.24	-0.11	+0.89	+0.94	+0.92
Mn <sub>A</sub>	-1.33	-0.13	+0.76	+0.82	+0.73
Fe <sub>A</sub>	-1.19	-0.02	+0.48	+0.58	+0.54
Co <sub>A</sub>	-0.98	0.14	+0.37	+0.51	+0.44
Ni <sub>A</sub>	-0.73	-0.75	+0.34	+0.45	+0.44
Mo <sup>Fv</sup>	-1.14	-0.15	+0.86	+0.90	+1.41
Mo <sup>Hv</sup>	-1.01		+0.90	+0.99	+0.88

TM	Adsorption energy (eV)		Charge variation	Charge gain on	Charge gain on
	N <sub>2</sub> -end	N <sub>2</sub> -side	*	$N_2$ -end on	N <sub>2</sub> -side on
	on	on	(e)	(0)	(0)
Ti <sub>A</sub>	-1.13	-0.18	+0.83	+0.96	+0.85
V <sub>A</sub>	-1.12	-0.15	+0.85	+0.92	+0.89
Cr <sub>A</sub>	-1.10	-0.15	+0.87	+0.95	+0.92
Mn <sub>A</sub>	-1.09	-0.16	+0.89	+0.98	+0.95
Fe <sub>A</sub>	-1.09	-0.16	+0.91	+0.98	+0.96
Co <sub>A</sub>	-1.09	-0.17	+0.93	+0.99	+0.96
Ni <sub>A</sub>	-0.75	-0.17	+0.94	+1.05	+0.96
Mo <sup>Fv</sup>	-1.14	-0.15	+0.86	+0.90	+0.88

Table S2 Computed adsorption energies of  $N_2$  on different  $TM_B/HMo_2CF_{\rm v}.$ 



Figure S2 Free energy profile of the  $N_2$  reduction reaction on  $Mn_A/HMo_2CF_v$  through (a) distal, alternating, and (b) enzymatic mechanisms.



Figure S3 Free energy profile of the  $N_2$  reduction reaction on  $Cr_A/HMo_2CF_v$ , through (a) distal, alternating, and (b) enzymatic mechanisms.



Figure S4 Free energy profile of the  $N_2$  reduction reaction on  $V_A/HMo_2CF_v$  through (a) distal, alternating, and (b) enzymatic mechanisms.



Figure S5 Free energy profile of the  $N_2$  reduction reaction on  $Fe_A/HMo_2CF_v$  through (a) distal, alternating, and (b) enzymatic mechanisms.



Figure S6 Free energy profile of the  $N_2$  reduction reaction on  $Mn_B/HMo_2CF_v$  through (a) distal, alternating, and (b) enzymatic mechanisms.



Figure S7 Free energy profile of the  $N_2$  reduction reaction on  $Cr_B/HMo_2CF_v$  through (a) distal, alternating, and (b) enzymatic mechanisms.



Figure S8 Free energy profile of the  $N_2$  reduction reaction on  $V_B/HMo_2CF_v$  through (a) distal, alternating, and (b) enzymatic mechanisms.



**Figure S9** Free energy profile of the  $N_2$  reduction reaction on Fe<sub>B</sub>/HMo<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>v</sub> through (a) distal, alternating, and (b) enzymatic mechanisms.



Figure S10 Free energy profile of the  $N_2$  reduction reaction on  $Co_B/HMo_2CF_v$  through (a) distal, alternating, and (b) enzymatic mechanisms.



Figure S11 Free energy profile of the  $N_2$  reduction reaction on  $Ni_B/HMo_2CF_v$  through (a) alternating, and (b) enzymatic mechanisms.



Figure S12 Free energy profile of the  $N_2$  reduction reaction on  $Ti_B/HMo_2CF_v$  through (a) alternating, and (b) enzymatic mechanisms.



**Figure S13** The linear correlation relationship between ICOHP of TM-N and  $\Delta E$  (\*N-N) for TM<sub>A</sub>/ HMo<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>v</sub> (a) ICOHP of TM-N and  $\Delta E$  (\*N-NH) for TM<sub>A</sub>/ HMo<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>v</sub> (b).