Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Catalysis Science & Technology. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2024

## **Supporting Information**

## Dispersion of Au Entities over Mo<sub>2</sub>N and MoC for the Low-Temperature Water-Gas Shift Reaction

Peiyao Guo,<sup>ab</sup> Chuanchuan Jin,<sup>a</sup> Shaobo Han,<sup>a</sup> Yan Zhou,<sup>a\*</sup> Wenjie Shen<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> State Key Laboratory of Catalysis, Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy

of Sciences, Dalian 116023, China. E-mail: zhouyan@dicp.ac.cn

<sup>b</sup> University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China.



**Fig. S1** XPS spectra of Au 4f (a), Mo 3d (b), O 1s (c) and N 1s and Mo 3p (d) in the Au/ $\gamma$ -Mo<sub>2</sub>N samples. The binding energies at about 397.5 and 401 eV of N 1s peak are attributed to nitrogen of molybdenum nitride and chemisorbed NH<sub>3</sub>, respectively. The binding energies at about 395.0, 396.0 and 399.0 eV of Mo 3p are assigned to Mo<sup>2+</sup>, Mo<sup>4+</sup> and Mo<sup>6+</sup>, respectively.



Fig. S2 STEM images (a, b) and size-distribution of Au entities (c) in the Au-N-600 sample.



**Fig. S3** STEM images and line-profile intensities of the Au-N-700 sample. Identification of Au bilayer and trilayer was done by comparing the profile intensities with that of the Au single-atoms.



Fig. S4 XPS spectra of Au 4f (a), Mo 3d (b), O 1s (c) and C 1s (d) in the Au/ $\alpha$ -MoC sample.



Fig. S5 STEM-EDS elemental mappings of the Au-C-600 sample.



**Fig. S6** STEM images and line-profile intensities of the Au-C-700 sample. Identification of Au monolayer and bilayer was done by comparing the profile intensities with that of the Au single-atoms. The arrows indicated single-atoms.



Fig. S7 XRD patterns of the spent catalysts after WGS reaction at 200 °C.



Fig. S8 TEM images of the spent Au-N-700 (a, b) and Au-C-700 (c, d) catalysts after WGS reaction at 200 °C.



Fig. S9 TEM images of  $\alpha$ -MoC.



Fig. S10 Water gas shift reaction over  $\alpha$ -MoC at 200 °C. Reaction condition: 5 mg  $\alpha$ -MoC with 195 mg quartz sand, a feed stream of 3.0 vol.% CO/6.0 vol.% H<sub>2</sub>O/He mixture at the flowrate of 66.7 mL min<sup>-1</sup>.



Fig. S11 Apparent activation energies ( $E_a$ ) of the Au/ $\gamma$ -Mo<sub>2</sub>N and Au/ $\alpha$ -MoC catalysts.

Sample	Phase	Cell parameters (nm)	Crystal size (nm) <sup>a</sup>
Au-N-600	$\gamma$ -Mo <sub>2</sub> N	0.419	6.6
Au-N-700	$\gamma$ -Mo <sub>2</sub> N	0.421	9.7
Au-C-600	α-MoC	0.419	6.8
Au-C-700	α-ΜοC	0.422	5.5

Table S1. Cell parameters of  $\gamma$ -Mo<sub>2</sub>N and  $\alpha$ -MoC in the samples.

<sup>a</sup> The crystal size was calculated from the FWHM of the {200} diffraction line.

Sample	Shell	R (Å) <sup>a</sup>	C.N. <sup>b</sup>	$\triangle E_0  (eV)^c$	$\sigma^2  (10^{-3}  {\rm \AA}^2)^d$	R factor (%)
Au foil	Au–Au	$2.86\pm0.002$	$12.0\pm0.04$	5.6	8.0	0.3
Au-N-600	Au–Au	$2.86\pm0.03$	$8.7\pm0.3$	6.6	9.8	0.5
	Au–Mo	$2.85\pm0.09$	$0.4\pm0.1$		2.8	
Au-N-700 Au-N-700	Au–Au	$2.83\pm0.004$	$7.5\pm 0.9$	2.8	10.6	0.9
	Au–Mo	$2.83\pm0.11$	$0.2\pm0.4$		18.6	
Au-C-600 A	Au–Au	$2.88 \pm 0.02$	$7.7\pm1.0$	7.6	19.7	0.7
	Au–Mo	$2.87 \pm 0.01$	$1.5\pm0.6$		8.7	
	Au–C	$2.13\pm0.03$	$0.5\pm0.5$		11.6	
Au-C-700	Au–Au	$2.86\pm0.01$	$7.3\pm0.6$	4.6	9.0	1.0
	Au–Mo	$2.82\pm0.03$	$1.3\pm0.3$		9.0	
	Au–C	$2.16\pm0.04$	$0.6\pm0.3$		9.0	

Table S2. Coordinating environments of Au from *R*-space fittings of Au L<sub>3</sub>-edge EXAFS data.

<sup>a</sup> Atomic distance; <sup>b</sup> Coordination number; <sup>c</sup> Difference of potential between the sample and the standard; <sup>d</sup> Debye-Waller factor.