ESI (Electronic Supplementary Information)

Title:

Catalytic N₂O decomposition in an electric field at low temperatures

Authors

Ayaka Shigemoto^{*a}, Takuma Higo^a, Chihiro Ukai^a, Yuki Inoda^a, Kenta Mitarai^b and Yasushi Sekine^{*a}

a Department of Applied Chemistry, Waseda University, 3-4-1, Okubo, Shinjuku, Tokyo, 169-8555,

Japan, E-mail: ayaka.shigemoto@nifty.com, ysekine@waseda.jp

b Research & Development Centre, Yanmar Holdings, 2481, Umegahara, Maibara, Shiga, 521-8511, Japan



Figure S1. XRD patterns of (A) 0.5wt%Rh/Ce_{1-x}Zr_xO₂ (x = 0, 0.1, 0.3 and 0.5) and (B) 5wt%Fe, Co, Ni,

 $Cu/Ce_{0.7}Zr_{0.3}O_2.$



Figure S2. A schematic image of the activity test reactor.



Figure S3. Catalytic decomposition of N₂O over 0.5wt%Rh/Ce_{1-x}Zr_xO₂ (x = 0, 0.1, 0.3 and 0.5) catalysts (A) with and (B) without the electric field. Conditions: 1000 ppm N₂O + 10%O₂, weight of catalyst: 100 mg, total flow rate: 100 mL min⁻¹, SV: 100,000 h⁻¹, current: 0 or 6 mA.



Figure S4. N₂O conversion and N₂ selectivity over 0.5wt%Rh/Ce_{0.7}Zr_{0.3}O₂ catalyst (A) with and (B) without the electric field under 1000 ppm N₂O + 10%O₂. Weight of catalyst: 100 mg, total flow rate: 100 mL min⁻¹, SV: 100,000 h⁻¹, current: 0 or 6 mA.



Figure S5. Effect of H₂O on N₂O conversion over 0.5wt%Rh/Ce_{0.7}Zr_{0.3}O₂ catalyst without the electric field. Conditions: 1000 ppm N₂O + 10%O₂ + 0 or 10%H₂O, weight of catalyst: 200 mg, total flow rate: 100 mL min⁻¹, SV: 50,000 h⁻¹, catalyst bed temperature: 623 K.



Figure S6. XRD patterns of $Ce_{0.7}Zr_{0.3}O_2$ and 0.5wt%Rh/ $Ce_{0.7}Zr_{0.3}O_2$ catalysts before and after the reaction with the electric field.



Figure S7. Number of moles of decomposed N₂O per number of (A) Rh atoms present on the surface (TOF-s) and (B) per number of Rh atoms at the Rh-Ce_{0.7}Zr_{0.3}O₂ interface (TOF-p). Conditions: 1000 ppm N₂O + 10%O₂, weight of catalyst: 100 mg, total flow rate: 100 mL min⁻¹, SV: 100,000 h⁻¹, current: 0 or 6 mA.



Figure S8. Outlet concentration of N₂O, N₂ and O₂ with time on stream under a flow of (A), (B)1000 ppm N₂O + 10%H₂O, and (C), (D) 1000 ppm N₂O + 1000 ppm O₂ + 10%H₂O with an interval of Ar purge over 0.5wt%Rh/Ce_{0.7}Zr_{0.3}O₂ catalyst without the electric field at 638 K and with the electric field at 423 K. Weight of catalyst: 100 mg, total flow rate: 100 mL min⁻¹; current, 0 or 6 mA.



Figure S9. Experimental protocol for the evaluation of reduction performance of the 0.5wt%Rh/Ce₁.

 $_x$ Zr $_x$ O $_2$ (x = 0, 0.1 and 0.3) catalysts with the electric field.



Figure S10. Reduction profile of the 0.5wt%Rh/Ce_{1-x}Zr_xO₂ (x = 0, 0.1 and 0.3) catalysts with the

electric field.



Figure S11. Experimental protocol for transient response tests switching from ${}^{18}O_2$ to $N_2{}^{16}O + {}^{16}O_2$.

Figure 6 in the main text presents the isotopic responses of m/z = 28, 34, 36 and 44, obtained after switching from ${}^{18}O_2$ to $N_2{}^{16}O + {}^{16}O_2$ over the catalyst. When the electric field was applied to the catalyst bed, the intensity of m/z = 44 decreased, while the intensity of m/z = 28 increased, indicating N_2O decomposition and N_2 formation. Additionally, ${}^{16}O{}^{18}O$ (m/z = 34) and ${}^{18}O_2$ (m/z = 36) were observed immediately after the application of the electric field. Observation of ${}^{18}O$ fragment suggests that surface-lattice oxygen is migrated and removed by the application of the electric field. Here, $^{16}O^{18}O$ is formed from Equations (1) and (2), while $^{18}O_2$ is formed from Equation (3).

$$N_2^{16}O(g) + {}^{18}O_{ad} \rightarrow N_2(g) + {}^{16}O^{18}O(g)$$
 (1)

$$^{16}\text{O}_2 + ^{18}\text{O}_2 \leftrightarrow 2^{16}\text{O}^{18}\text{O}$$

$${}^{18}\text{O}_{ad} + {}^{18}\text{O}_{ad} \leftrightarrow {}^{18}\text{O}_2 \tag{3}$$

(2)

To distinguish whether ¹⁶O¹⁸O was formed by the reaction in Equation (1) or Equation (2), an additional experiment was conducted. Following H₂ reduction, ¹⁶O on the catalyst surface was replaced by ¹⁸O₂ at 523 K. Subsequently, only 10% ¹⁶O₂ was dosed onto the 0.5wt%Rh/Ce_{0.7}Zr_{0.3}O₂ catalyst while the electric field was applied. Figure S12(A) displays the isotopic responses of m/z = 34 and 36, obtained after switching from ¹⁸O₂ to ¹⁶O₂ over the catalyst. The response of ¹⁶O¹⁸O (m/z = 34) and ¹⁸O₂ (m/z = 36) immediately after the electric field application aligns with the results from Figure 6. Figure S12(B) presents the superposition of the m/z = 34 profiles of Figure 6 (the result of transient response test switching from ¹⁸O₂ to N₂¹⁶O + ¹⁶O₂) and Figure S12(A) (the result of transient response tests switching from ¹⁸O₂ to ¹⁶O₂). It is noteworthy that equivalent intensities of m/z = 34 were observed, indicating that the m/z = 34 signal in Figure 6 predominantly arises from the oxygen exchange reaction described in Equation (2), rather than Equation (1). These experiments did not demonstrate N₂O decomposition *via* the ER mechanism but did validate the occurrence of oxygen exchange reactions facilitated by the application of the electric field.



Figure S12. (A) Isotopic responses of m/z = 34 and 36, obtained after switching from ${}^{18}O_2$ to ${}^{16}O_2$ over 0.5wt%Rh/Ce_{0.7}Zr_{0.3}O₂ catalyst. (B) Comparison of m/z = 34 between Figure 6 and Figure

S12(A).

Furthermore, to observe in detail the oxygen exchange reaction with and without the electric filed, an additional experiment was conducted. For this measurement, the surface oxygen species in $Ce_{0.7}Zr_{0.3}O_2$ were filled with ${}^{16}O_2$ and then ${}^{18}O_2$ was supplied with and without the electric field at 423 K and 523 K. Then a mass spectrometer was used to analyze the produced gas. The experimental protocol is depicted in Figure S13.



Figure S13. Experimental protocol for transient response tests switching from ¹⁶O₂ to ¹⁸O₂.

Figure S14 displays the isotopic responses of m/z = 32, 34 and 36, obtained after switching from ${}^{16}O_2$ to ${}^{18}O_2$ over the catalyst with and without the electric field. As can be seen in Figure S14(A), the response of ${}^{16}O^{18}O$ (m/z = 34) was immediate at 423 K when the electric field was applied but absent in its absence. Similarly, as shown in Figure S14(B), ${}^{16}O^{18}O$ was observed at 523 K both with and without the electric field, although the intensity of ${}^{16}O^{18}O$ was lower when the electric field was not applied. These findings suggest that surface lattice oxygen can be migrated and removed by the application of the electric field at low temperature, even when Joule heating is taken into account.



Figure S14. Isotopic responses of m/z = 32, 34 and 36, obtained after switching from ${}^{16}O_2$ to ${}^{18}O_2$ over 0.5wt%Rh/Ce_{0.7}Zr_{0.3}O₂ catalyst with and without the electric field at 423 and 523 K.



Figure S15. Transmission infrared spectroscopy spectra during N₂O decomposition over 0.5wt%Rh/Ce_{0.7}Zr_{0.3}O₂ catalyst with and without the electric field. Condition: 1000 ppm N₂O + $10\%O_2$ in Ar balance, total flow rate: 100 mL min⁻¹, current: 0 or 6 mA.



Figure S16. N₂O concentration measured during the TIRS-MS experiment performed with 0.5wt%Rh/Ce_{0.7}Zr_{0.3}O₂ catalyst with and without the electric field at 373 K. Condition: 1000 ppm

 N_2O + 10% O_2 in Ar balance, total flow rate: 100 mL min⁻¹, current: 0 or 6 mA.



Figure S17. TPD profile of O_2 for 0.5wt%Rh/Ce_{0.7}Zr_{0.3}O₂ catalyst. Weight of catalyst: 100 mg, total

flow rate: 100 mL min⁻¹.

Elements	Precursor
Rh	Rh(NO ₃) ₃
Fe	Fe(NO ₃) ₃ •9H ₂ O
Со	$Co(NO_3)_2 \bullet 6H_2O$
Ni	Ni(NO ₃) ₂ •6H ₂ O
Cu	Cu(NO ₃) ₂ •3H ₂ O

Table S1. Precursors used for catalyst synthesis.

Sample	$S_{BET} [m^2 g^{-1}]$	Rh particle size ^{*1} [nm]
0.5wt%Rh/CeO ₂	4.4	5.49
$0.5 wt\% Rh/Ce_{0.9} Zr_{0.1} O_2$	7.2	3.31
0.5wt%Rh/Ce _{0.7} Zr _{0.3} O ₂	12.7	2.76
$0.5 wt\% Rh/Ce_{0.5} Zr_{0.5} O_2$	17.5	2.33
$5wt\%Fe/Ce_{0.7}Zr_{0.3}O_2$	18.1	-
$5wt\%Co/Ce_{0.7}Zr_{0.3}O_{2}$	13.1	-
5wt%Ni/Ce _{0.7} Zr _{0.3} O ₂	17.6	-
5wt%Cu/Ce _{0.7} Zr _{0.3} O ₂	18.9	-

Table S2. BET surface area and Rh particle size of prepared catalysts.

*1: estimated by CO pulse chemisorption

Table S3. BET surface area and Rh particle size of $Ce_{0.7}Zr_{0.3}O_2$ and $0.5wt\%Rh/Ce_{0.7}Zr_{0.3}O_2$ catalysts

Sample	$S_{BET} [m^2 g^{-1}]$	Rh particle size ^{*1} [nm]		
$Ce_{0.7}Zr_{0.3}O_2$ –Fresh	17.8	-		
$Ce_{0.7}Zr_{0.3}O_2$ –After the reaction	18.3	-		
0.5wt%Rh/Ce _{0.7} Zr _{0.3} O ₂ –Fresh	12.7	2.76		
0.5wt%Rh/Ce _{0.7} Zr _{0.3} O ₂ –After the reaction	11.3	3.31		

before and after the reaction with the electric field.

*1: estimated by CO pulse chemisorption

Table S4. Rh particle size of $Rh/Ce_{0.7}Zr_{0.3}O_2$ used for TOF calculation.

Metal loading	Rh particle	N_2O decomposition rate with	N_2O decomposition rate without
amount [wt%]	size ^{*1} [nm]	EF at 373 K [mmol min ⁻¹]	EF at 573 K [mmol min ⁻¹]
0.5	2.0	9.12 × 10 ⁻⁴	6.23 × 10 ⁻⁴
3	10.3	10.35×10^{-4}	5.86 × 10 ⁻⁴
5	17.9	9.29×10^{-4}	6.52 × 10 ⁻⁴

*1: estimated by CO pulse chemisorption