Supplementary Information (SI) for Catalysis Science & Technology. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2024

18 The preparation parameters including the loading of γ -Al₂O₃ coating, calcination 19 temperature, calcination time, and loading of $CeO₂$ were optimized.

20 *Loading of γ-Al2O³ coating on CF*

21 CF is a good monolithic support, but has different physical properties with active catalytic 22 components. In order to strengthen the adhesion of active components and increase the surface 23 area, γ -Al₂O₃ was selected as coating material before loading of CeO₂ because of its close 24 property with α -Al₂O₃ CF and high porosity. The effect of γ -Al₂O₃ loading on the catalytic 25 performance was investigated by repeated coating and calcination process of aluminum sol 26 while the mass of CeO₂ was kept constant at 3.6wt%. Four catalysts with different γ -Al₂O₃ 27 coating loads were noted as $CeO₂/6\% AICF$, $CeO₂/13\% AICF$, $CeO₂/20\% AICF$, and 28 CeO₂/26%AlCF, respectively, while the percentage means the mass percentage of γ -Al₂O₃ on 29 the CF support.

30 As shown in Fig. S1, the removal of TOC increased from 88.9% to 96.2% when the 31 content of γ -Al₂O₃ increased from 13% to 20%. It was found from the BET analysis that γ - 32 Al₂O₃ sol coating on CF support could form a porous structure after calcination, and the 33 specific surface area increased from 0.684 m² g⁻¹ to 97.2 m² g⁻¹ with a transformation from a 34 microporous surface to a mesoporous surface. The large specific surface area facilitates the 35 loading and dispersion of Ce, providing more active sites for the catalytic ozonation. But when 36 the mass of γ -Al₂O₃ increased further, TOC declined slightly to 93.3%. It was found that the 37 excessive γ -Al₂O₃ tend to loss during the reaction, and the mass loss of the γ -Al₂O₃ and CeO₂ 38 on the surface of the four monolithic catalysts were 0.5%, 0.9%, 1.3% and 2.4%, respectively, 39 after 20 min of ultrasonication.

40 *Calcination Temperature*

41 When the calcination temperature is too low, the metal salt can not be completely 42 transformed into oxides. But if the calcination temperature is too high, the catalyst will sinter 43 and the active sites and accessible adsorption sites will be reduced. The calcination temperature was optimized ranged from 350 ℃ to 500 ℃. The best catalytic performance was observed at a calcination temperature of 400 ℃ with TOC removal of 95.1% in 100 min, while the TOC removal was only 81.2% with a calcination temperature of 350 ℃.

Calcination Time

 Fig. S4 showed the effect of calcination time on the TOC removal. When the calcination time was set as 2 h, the removal rate of TOC was only 80.5%, which may be due to the incomplete decomposition of metal nitrate on the surface and the insufficient active sites due to the short calcination time. When the calcination time was increased to 3 h and 4 h, the removal of TOC increased to 83.9% and 95.1%, showing a high catalytic activity. However, when the calcination time was extended to 5 h, the TOC removal decreased slightly. Therefore, 4 h was selected as the calcination time for the catalyst preparation.

Loading of CeO²

 Under the preparation conditions of calcination temperature of 400 °C and calcination 57 time of 4 h, the effect of concentrations of $Ce(NO₃)₃$ impregnating solution on the mineralized 58 phenol effect of $CeO₂/AICF$ was investigated. As shown in Figure 3.5, when the impregnating 59 solution concentration was increased from 0.1 mol $L⁻¹$ to 0.3 mol $L⁻¹$, the TOC removal rate of the catalyst increased from 77.6% to 96.3%, and the degradation efficiency was significantly improved. However, too high ion concentration will cause excessive adsorption of cerium nitrate on the support surface, occupying a large number of effective active sites, thereby reducing the specific surface area, the catalytic activity decreased to 93.2%, and the excessive cerium nitrate caused sintering after high-temperature calcination reduced the porosity and even blocked the pores, affecting the mass transfer effect. Considering the economy, environmental protection and catalytic activity, the concentration of impregnation solution is 67 selected as 0.3 mol L^{-1} .

69 **Fig. S1** Effect of γ-Al2O³ loading on TOC removal of phenol (Conditions: calcination

70 temperature 400 °C, calcination time 4 h, concentration of $Ce(NO₃)$ ₃ impregnating solution 0.3

$$
71 \t\t mol L-1, [O3] = 10.1 mg L-1, pH = 7.2)
$$

72

73 **Fig. S2** (a) N² adsorption-desorption isotherms and (b) pore size distribution of different AlCF

 Fig. S3 Effect of calcination temperature on TOC removal of phenol (Conditions: calcination 77 time 4 h, concentration of Ce(NO₃)₃ impregnating solution 0.3 mol L⁻¹, [O₃] = 10.1 mg L⁻¹, pH

Fig. S4 Effect of calcination time on TOC removal of phenol (Conditions: calcination

81 temperature 400 °C, concentration of Ce(NO₃)₃ impregnating solution 0.3 mol L⁻¹, [O₃] = 10.1

82
$$
mg L^{-1}
$$
, pH = 7.2)

Fig. S5 Effect of concentration of impregnation solution on TOC removal of phenol

86 (Conditions: calcination temperature 400 °C, calcination time 4 h, $[O_3] = 10.1$ mg L⁻¹, pH =7.2)

88 **Fig. S6** Effect of CeO₂/AlCF and CeO₂/Al₂O₃ on TOC removal of phenol (Conditions:

89 Catalyst dosage=60 g L⁻¹, [phenol]=40 mg L⁻¹, initial pH=7, HRT=12 min, [O₃] =20.2 mg L⁻¹,

90 pore density=30 ppi)

95

Catalysts	Specific surface area $(m^2 g^{-1})$	Pore volume $\text{cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$)	Pore diameter (nm)
CF	0.684	0.001	0.349
6% AlCF	17.7	0.005	0.349
13% AlCF	24.8	0.030	3.099
20% AICF	68.2	0.093	3.385
26% AICF	97.2	0.121	3.385

97 **Table S1** Specific surface area, pore volume and pore diameter of AlCF with different coating

98 content

99

100 **Table S2** Water quality of effluent from the secondary sedimentation tank of a petrochemical

101 wastewater treatment plant for practical wastewater treatment

Index	value
pH	8.6
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) (mg/L)	136
Total Organic Carbon (TOC) (mg/L)	51.1
NH_4^+ -N (mg/L)	3.5
Conductivity $(\mu S/cm)$	3900