Supplementary Information (SI) for Catalysis Science & Technology. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2025

1	Supporting Information
2	Revealing the Reaction Mechanism of Ammonia Synthesis over
3	<b>Bimetallic Nitrides Catalyst from a Kinetic Perspective</b>
4	Shuairen Qian <sup>1</sup> , Zhengwen Li <sup>1</sup> , Xiaohang Sun <sup>1</sup> , Yuxin Chen <sup>1</sup> ,
5	Kai Feng <sup>1,2</sup> , Kaiqi Nie <sup>1</sup> , Binhang Yan <sup>1*</sup> , Yi Cheng <sup>1*</sup>
6	<sup>1</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, P. R. China
7	<sup>2</sup> Institute of Functional Nano & Soft Materials (FUNSOM), Soochow University, Suzhou,
8	Jiangsu 215123, P. R. China
9	
10	* Corresponding Author: Prof. Yi Cheng, E-mail address: <u>yicheng@tsinghua.edu.cn</u> .
11	Prof. Binhang Yan, E-mail address: <u>binhangyan@tsinghua.edu.cn</u> .
12	

1	Table of Contents
2	S1 Research Methods
3	S1.1 Computational methods
4	S1.2 Microkinetic model4
5	S1.3 Catalyst preparation
6	S1.4 Catalyst performance test
7	S1.5 Catalyst characterization6
8	S1.6 Temperature-programmed experiments6
9	S1.7 Steady-state isotopic-transient kinetic analysis (SSITKA)6
10	S2 DFT Calculation Screening and Testing
11	S2.1 Calculation Tests
12	S2.2 Adsorption configuration screening12
13	S3 Reaction Mechanism And Microkinetic Model14
14	S4 Electronic Structure Analysis40
15	S5 Catalyst Characterization
16	S6 Steady-state Kinetic Analysis
17	S7 Steady-state Isotopic Transient Kinetic Analysis (SSITKA)46
18	References
19	

#### 1 S1 Research Methods

#### 2 S1.1 Computational methods

3 All spin-polarization density functional theory (DFT) calculations were carried out using the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP) code with the dispersion effect 4 correction of DFT-D3 method<sup>1,2</sup>. The valence electrons were described by a plane-wave 5 basis set and the interaction between the atomic cores and valence electrons were 6 replaced by projector augmented-wave pseudopotentials<sup>3,4</sup>. The exchange-correlation 7 energies were calculated with the generalized gradient approximation with the Perdew-8 Burke-Ernzerhof functional (GGA-PBE)<sup>5</sup>. The kinetic cut-off energy was set as 450 eV 9 and the convergence criteria for the electronic steps and ionic steps were set as 10<sup>-5</sup> eV 10 and 0.03 eV/Å, respectively. The crystal structure model of Co<sub>3</sub>Mo<sub>3</sub>N (mp-22166) was 11 used for subsequent catalytic reaction studies. Four-layer p (2×2) supercells of (111) 12 facet were built as previous reports and the Brillouin zones were sampled by 13 Monkhorst-Pack  $\Gamma$ -points<sup>6–8</sup>. The top two atomic layers were relaxed and a vacuum 14 region of 15 Å was created. The transition states were searched by the climbing-image 15 nudged elastic band (CI-NEB) method and dimer method, and confirmed with 16 vibrational frequency analysis<sup>9,10</sup>. Only one imaginary frequency along the minimum 17 18 energy path should exist. The adsorption energy of  $N_x H_v$  species were defined as:

19 
$$E_{ads} = E_{ads_N_x H_y} - E_{slab} - \frac{x}{2} E_{N_2} - \frac{y}{2} E_{H_2}$$
(S1)

20 where  $E_{ads}$  is adsorption energy of the adsorption species,  $E_{ads_N,H_y}$  is electron energy of 21 the adsorbed intermediate on the surface.  $E_{slab}$ ,  $E_{NH_3}$  and  $E_{H_2}$  is electron energy of clean 22 surface, NH<sub>3</sub> gas and H<sub>2</sub> gas, respectively.

23 Vibrational frequency analysis was performed to calculate the free energy. The zero-24 point energy (ZPE) correction was defined as:

$$ZPE = \sum_{i} \frac{hv_i}{2}$$
(S2)

2 where *h* is Planck's constant and *v<sub>i</sub>* is the vibrational frequency. The standard internal
3 energy contribution is calculated as:

4 
$$U^{o} = RT \sum_{i} \frac{hv_{i} / k_{B}}{e^{hv_{i} / k_{B}T} - 1}$$
(S3)

5 where  $k_{\rm B}$  is Boltzmann constant and *R* is gas constant. The standard entropy 6 contribution is given by:

7 
$$S^{o} = R \sum_{i} \left[ \frac{h v_{i} / k_{B} T}{e^{h v_{i} / k_{B} T} - 1} - \ln(1 - e^{h v_{i} / k_{B} T}) \right]$$
(S4)

8 and the free energy correction is defined as:

1

9 
$$G = E + ZPE + \gamma (1 + \ln \frac{p}{p^{\circ}}) + U^{\circ} - TS^{\circ}$$
(S5)

10 where *E* is the DFT energy,  $\gamma = 1$  if the system is gaseous, and  $\gamma = 0$  if the system is 11 surface reactant, *p* is the partial pressure.

12 The Bader charge was calculated to measure the charge transfer<sup>11,12</sup>. The projected 13 density of states (PDOS) was calculated to understand the electronic structure and the 14 metal d band center was calculated as:

15 
$$\varepsilon_d = \frac{\int_{+\infty}^{+\infty} n_d(\varepsilon)\varepsilon \,d\varepsilon}{\int_{+\infty}^{+\infty} n_d(\varepsilon) \,d\varepsilon}$$
(S6)

16 where  $\varepsilon_d$  is the d band center.  $\varepsilon$  is the energy and *n* is the corresponding density of 17 states.

#### 18 S1.2 Microkinetic model

19 The mean-field microkinetic modeling (MKM) was used to measure the reaction rates
20 for Co<sub>3</sub>Mo<sub>3</sub>N catalyst. The turnover frequency (TOF) can be obtained by solving a set
21 of ordinary differential equations:

$$\frac{\partial \theta_i}{\partial t} = 0 \tag{S7}$$

$$\sum_{i} \theta_{i} = 1$$
 (S8)

3 
$$r_i = k_i^f \prod_j \theta_{ij} \prod_j p_{ij} - k_i^r \prod_k \theta_{ik} \prod_k p_{ik}$$
(S9)

4 where  $\theta_i$  is the coverage of the surface species *i* and  $r_i$  is the reaction rate of reaction 5 intermediates *i* (i.e. TOF).  $k_i^f$  and  $k_i^r$  are the forward and reverse rate constants, 6 respectively. The degree of rate control (DRC) analysis was carried out to obtain the 7 information about rate-determining step (RDS)<sup>13–15</sup>. The elementary reactions of 8 catalytic cycle were given in the Section **Reaction mechanism and microkinetic** 9 **model**.

#### 10 S1.3 Catalyst preparation

1

The Co<sub>3</sub>Mo<sub>3</sub>N catalysts were synthesized by the nitridation of oxide precursors. 2 mmol 11 12 (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>6</sub>Mo<sub>7</sub>O<sub>24</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O (purchased from Sinopharm) and 14 mmol Co(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (purchased from Aladdin) were dissolved in 180 mL deionized water and mixed for 10 13 min. Then the mixture was hydrothermally synthesized at 160 °C for 6 h. The sample 14 was washed with deionized water for three times and then dried at 80 °C overnight. The 15 sample was calcinated at 450 °C for 4 h in air to obtain CoMoO<sub>4</sub> precursor and 16 subsequently ammonized at 850 °C for 3 h under N<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub> atmosphere with a ramping rate 17 18 of 10 °C·min<sup>-1</sup>. The sample was cooled down to room temperature under N<sub>2</sub> gas and passivated in air for 12 h. N<sub>2</sub> (99.99%), H<sub>2</sub> (99.99%), and Ar (99.99%) were purchased 19 from Beijing Huanyu Jinghui Gas Technology Co. 20

#### 21 S1.4 Catalyst performance test

22 The catalyst performance test was carried out in a fixed-bed reactor under atmospheric
23 pressure. Typically, 100 mg catalyst (40–60 mesh) was loaded into a quartz tube with
24 an inner diameter of 4 mm and fixed by quartz wool. Then the catalyst was pretreated

1 at 600 °C for 1 h under N<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub> gas mixture (10 mL·min<sup>-1</sup> N<sub>2</sub> and 30 mL·min<sup>-1</sup> H<sub>2</sub>) to 2 remove the oxide overlayer. Then the reactor was cooled to reaction temperature to 3 perform the reactivity tests. The kinetic data was collected under 450 °C. The catalytic 4 performance was far from thermodynamic equilibrium under this condition. The output 5 gas was introduced into a diluted H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution (1 mmol/L) and the ion conductivity 6 was monitored by a conductivity meter (Mettler Toledo SevenMulti) to quantify 7 ammonia production. The quantitative method was the same as the previous 8 literatures<sup>16,17</sup>.

#### 9 S1.5 Catalyst characterization

Phase analysis was identified by X-ray diffraction (XRD, D8 Advance equipped with
Cu Kα radiation). The diffraction data was collected between 5° and 90° at a rate of
5°·min<sup>-1</sup>. Images and element distribution of the catalyst morphology were obtained by
high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM, JSM2010, at 200 keV).

#### 14 S1.6 Temperature-programmed experiments

All temperature-programmed experiments were carried out in a fixed-bed reactor, and 15 the outlet gas was detected online by Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometer 16 (Thermo Nicolet iS50). Typically, 50 mg catalyst (40-60 mesh) was loaded into a 17 quartz tube with an inner diameter of 4 mm and fixed by quartz wool. Then the catalyst 18 was pretreated at 600 °C for 1 h under  $N_2/H_2$  gas mixture (5 mL  $\cdot min^{-1}$   $N_2$  and 15 19 mL·min<sup>-1</sup> H<sub>2</sub>) to remove the oxide overlayer. The reactor was cooled to room 20 temperature and purged with 20 mL·min<sup>-1</sup> of Ar gas for 30 min. Then the inlet gas was 21 switched to reaction gas and the reactor was heated to 700 °C with a ramping rate of 10 22 °C·min<sup>-1</sup> and kept for 30 min. 23

#### 24 S1.7 Steady-state isotopic-transient kinetic analysis (SSITKA)

25 The steady-state isotopic transient kinetic analysis (SSITKA) experiment was

1 performed in a home-built experimental system. Typically, 50 mg catalyst (40-60 2 mesh) was loaded into a quartz tube with an inner diameter of 4 mm and fixed by quartz wool. Then the catalyst was pretreated at 600 °C for 1 h under  $N_2/H_2$  gas mixture (5 3 mL·min<sup>-1</sup> N<sub>2</sub> and 15 mL·min<sup>-1</sup> H<sub>2</sub>) to remove the oxide overlayer. Then the reactor was 4 cooled to reaction temperature. Steady-state ammonia synthesis was performed for 30 5 min under  $N_2/H_2/Ar$  gas mixture (5 mL·min^1  $N_2,\,15$  mL·min^1  $H_2$  and 10 mL·min^1 6 Ar). The reaction gas was instantaneously switched to isotopic gas (5 mL  $\cdot$  min  $^{-1}$   $^{15}N_2,$ 7 15 mL·min<sup>-1</sup> H<sub>2</sub> and 10 mL·min<sup>-1</sup> Kr). the outlet gas was detected online by high time-8 resolution mass spectrometry (Hiden QGA). 9

# 1 S2 DFT Calculation Screening and Testing

## 2 S2.1 Calculation Tests



3

5 sites. The red circle represents the position of the adsorbed N.



- 2 Figure S2 Formation energy of adsorbed species for different CoMo2 sites.
- 3



- 2 Figure S3 Schematic structures of (a) initial state of N\* and H\*, (b) transition state and (c) final state of
- 3 NH\* for different CoMo2 sites. The black circle represents the position of the adsorbed H.



2 Figure S4 Formation energy of transition state search for different CoMo2 sites.

3

#### 4 Supplementary Notes

5 The CoMo6 ensemble on the Co<sub>3</sub>Mo<sub>3</sub>N surface consists of six CoMo2 sites. In order to 6 locate where the catalytic reaction takes place, the DFT convergence tests were 7 performed. Specifically, adsorption energies and transition state energies were 8 calculated for six CoMo2 sites. Almost identical energies were obtained for these sites, 9 indicating that these sites are completely equivalent and that any one of them can be 10 selected for subsequent studies.

# 1 S2.2 Adsorption configuration screening



**3** Figure S5 Schematic structures of optimal adsorption configuration.

Adsorption species	Site	E <sub>ads</sub> (eV)
Н	hollow_CoMo2	-0.65
Ν	hollow_CoMo2	-0.95
NH	hollow_CoMo2	1.14
NH <sub>2</sub>	bri_CoMo	0.63
NH <sub>3</sub>	top_Mo	0.53
N <sub>2</sub>	sideon_bri_CoMo	-0.98
NNH	sideon_hollow_CoMo2	1.45
NNH <sub>2</sub>	sideon_hollow_CoMo2	1.34
NNH <sub>3</sub>	endon_hollow_CoMo2	1.94
HNNH	sideon_hollow_CoMo2	1.23
HNNH <sub>2</sub>	sideon_hollow_Mo3	0.72
H <sub>2</sub> NNH <sub>2</sub>	sideon_bri_CoMo	1.22

1 Table S1 The summary of the adsorption energy corresponding to the adsorption configuration.

# 1 S3 Reaction Mechanism And Microkinetic Model



3 Figure S6 Schematic depiction of the reaction mechanisms for ammonia synthesis.



2 Figure S7 The energy test for alternating hydrogenation and single-site hydrogenation.

#### 4 Supplementary Notes

5 When N<sub>2</sub> dissociation occurs on the surface, two separate N atoms are produced. When 6 hydrogenation occurs, there are two types of hydrogenation modes, namely, single-site 7 (preferential addition of H to one N atom) and alternating (alternating hydrogenation of 8 two N atoms). According to the calculated potential energy profile, single-site 9 hydrogenation is energetically more favorable. Therefore, single-site hydrogenation is 10 considered in this work.



2 Figure S8 (a) Calculated potential energy profile of  $NH_3$  synthesis for  $Co_3Mo_3N$  catalyst via 3 dissociation pathway and corresponding (b) microkinetic reaction rate heatmap and (c) DRC 4 analysis at  $N_2/H_2 = 1/3$ .



- 2 Figure S9 Schematic structures of the optimized intermediate species for dissociation pathway.
- 3

No.	<b>Elementary reaction</b>	Barrier (eV)	Heat (eV)
1	$N_2_g + *_s \rightarrow N_2*$	-	-0.58
2	$H_2^* + 2^*_s \rightarrow 2H^*$	-	-1.43
3	$N_2^* + *_s <-> N-N^* + *_s -> 2N^*$	0.53	-1.32
4	$N* + H* <-> N-H* + *_s -> NH* + *_s$	0.99	0.06
5	$NH^* + H^* <-> NH-H^* + *_s -> NH_2^* + *_s$	1.15	0.33
6	$NH_2* + H* <-> NH_2-H* + *_s -> NH_3* + *_s$	1.33	1.05
7	$NH_3^* \rightarrow NH_3_g + *_s$	0.59	0.59

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	Table S2	The DFT	energetics of	dissociation	pathway.
---------------------------------------	---	----------	---------	---------------	--------------	----------



3 associative pathway I and corresponding (b) microkinetic reaction rate heatmap and (c) DRC 4 analysis at  $N_2/H_2 = 1/3$ .



2 Figure S11 Schematic structures of the optimized intermediate species for associative pathway I.

No.	Elementary reaction	Barrier (eV)	Heat (eV)
1	$N_2_g + *_s \rightarrow N_2^*$	-	-0.58
2	$H_2^* + 2^*_s \rightarrow 2H^*$	-	-1.43
3	$N_2^* + H^* <-> N_2 - H^* + *_s -> NNH^* + *_s$	1.04	0.67
4	NNH* + *_s <-> NH-N* + *_s -> NH* + N*	0.25	-1.94
4	N* + H* <-> N-H* + *_s -> NH* + *_s	0.99	0.06
5	$NH^* + H^* <-> NH-H^* + *_s -> NH_2^* + *_s$	1.15	0.33
6	$NH_2* + H* <-> NH_2-H* + *_s -> NH_3* + *_s$	1.33	1.05

1	Table S3	The DFT	energetics of	associative	pathway	I.
---	----------	---------	---------------	-------------	---------	----



2 Figure S12 (a) Calculated potential energy profile of NH<sub>3</sub> synthesis for Co<sub>3</sub>Mo<sub>3</sub>N catalyst via associative

3 pathway II and corresponding (b) microkinetic reaction rate heatmap and (c) DRC analysis at  $N_2/H_2 =$ 

- 4 1/3.
- 5



2 Figure S13 Schematic structures of the optimized intermediate species for associative pathway II.



No.	Elementary reaction	Barrier (eV)	Heat (eV)
1	$N_2_g + *_s \rightarrow N_2^*$	-	-0.58
2	$H_2^* + 2^*_s \rightarrow 2H^*$	-	-1.43
3	$N_2* + H* <-> N_2-H* + *_s -> NNH* + *_s$	1.04	0.67
4	NNH* + H* <-> NNH-N* + *_s -> HNNH*	1.00	0.68
5	HNNH* + *_s <-> HN-NH* + *_s -> 2NH*	0.41	-1.84
6	$NH^* + H^* <-> NH-H^* + *_s -> NH_2^* + *_s$	1.15	0.33
7	$NH_2* + H* <-> NH_2-H* + *_s -> NH_3* + *_s$	1.33	1.05
8	$NH_3^* \rightarrow NH_3_g + *_s$	0.59	0.59

1 Table S4 The DFT energetics of associative pathway II.



2 Figure S14 (a) Calculated potential energy profile of  $NH_3$  synthesis for  $Co_3Mo_3N$  catalyst via 3 associative pathway III and corresponding (b) microkinetic reaction rate heatmap and (c) DRC 4 analysis at  $N_2/H_2 = 1/3$ .



2 Figure S15 Schematic structures of the optimized intermediate species for associative pathway III.

No.	<b>Elementary reaction</b>	Barrier (eV)	Heat (eV)
1	$N_2_g + *_s -> N_2^*$	-	-0.58
2	H <sub>2</sub> *+2*_s -> 2H*	-	-1.43
3	$N_2^* + H^* <-> N_2^- H^* + *_s -> NNH^* + *_s$	1.04	0.67
4	$NNH^* + H^* \le NNH-N^* + *_s \ge NNH_2^* + *_s$	1.09	0.38
5	$NNH_2^* + * -> N-NH_2^* + *_s -> NH_2^* + N*_s$	0.39	-1.98
6	N* + H* <-> N-H* + *_s -> NH* + *_s	0.99	0.06
7	NH* + H* <-> NH-H* + *_s -> NH <sub>2</sub> * + *_s	1.15	0.33
8	$NH_2^* + H^* <-> NH_2^- H^* + *_s -> NH_3^* + *_s$	1.33	1.05
9	$NH_{3}^{*} \rightarrow NH_{3}g + *_{s}$	0.59	0.59

1 Table S5 The DFT energetics of associative pathway III.



2 Figure S16 (a) Calculated potential energy profile of  $NH_3$  synthesis for  $Co_3Mo_3N$  catalyst via 3 associative pathway IV and corresponding (b) microkinetic reaction rate heatmap and (c) DRC 4 analysis at  $N_2/H_2 = 1/3$ .



2 Figure S17 Schematic structures of the optimized intermediate species for associative pathway IV.

No.	Elementary reaction	Barrier (eV)	Heat (eV)
1	$N_2 g + *_s -> N_2 *$		-0.58
2	H <sub>2</sub> * + 2*_s -> 2H*		-1.43
3	$N_2^* + H^* <-> N_2^- H^* + *_s -> NNH^* + *_s$	1.04	0.67
4	NNH* + H* <-> NNH-N* + *_s -> NNH <sub>2</sub> * + *_s	1.09	0.38
5	$NNH_2^* + H^* \rightarrow N-NH_2-H^* + *_s \rightarrow NH_3^* + N^*$	0.88	-1.02
6	$N* + H* <-> N-H* + *_s -> NH* + *_s$	0.99	0.06
7	$NH^* + H^* <-> NH-H^* + *_s -> NH_2^* + *_s$	1.15	0.33
8	$NH_2^* + H^* <-> NH_2^- H^* + *_s -> NH_3^* + *_s$	1.33	1.05
9	$NH_{3}^{*} \rightarrow NH_{3}g + *_{s}$	0.59	0.59

1 Table S6 The DFT energetics of associative pathway IV.



2 Figure S18 (a) Calculated potential energy profile of  $NH_3$  synthesis for  $Co_3Mo_3N$  catalyst via 3 associative pathway V and corresponding (b) microkinetic reaction rate heatmap and (c) DRC 4 analysis at  $N_2/H_2 = 1/3$ .

5



- 2 Figure S19 Schematic structures of the optimized intermediate species for associative pathway V.
- 3

No.	<b>Elementary reaction</b>	Barrier (eV)	Heat (eV)
1	$N_{2}g + *_s -> N_2*$		-0.58
2	H <sub>2</sub> * + 2*_s -> 2H*		-1.43
3	$N_2^* + H^* <-> N_2^- H^* + *_s -> NNH^* + *_s$	1.04	0.67
4	NNH* + H* <-> NNH-N* + *_s -> HNNH*	1.00	0.68
5	HNNH* + H* <-> HNNH-H* + *_s -> HNNH <sub>2</sub> * + *_s	0.88	-1.02
6	$HNNH_{2}^{*} + *_{s}^{-} > HN-NH_{2}^{*} + *_{s}^{-} > NH^{*} + NH_{2}^{*}$	0.46	-2.18
7	$NH^* + H^* \le NH - H^* + *_s - NH_2^* + *_s$	1.15	0.33
8	$NH_2^* + H^* <-> NH_2^- H^* + *_s -> NH_3^* + *_s$	1.33	1.05
9	$NH_3^* \rightarrow NH_3g + *_s$	0.59	0.59

1 Table S7 The DFT energetics of associative pathway V.

S33



2 Figure S20 (a) Calculated potential energy profile of  $NH_3$  synthesis for  $Co_3Mo_3N$  catalyst via MvK 3 pathway and corresponding (b) microkinetic reaction rate heatmap and (c) DRC analysis at  $N_2/H_2$ 4 = 1/3.

5



- 2 Figure S21 Schematic structures of the optimized intermediate species for MvK pathway.
- 3

No.	<b>Elementary reaction</b>	Barrier (eV)	Heat (eV)
1	$N_{2}g + *_s -> N_2*$	-	-0.58
2	H <sub>2</sub> *+2*_s -> 2H*	-	-1.43
3	$N_2^* + H^* <-> N_2 - H^* + *_s -> NNH^* + *_s$	1.04	0.67
4	NNH* + H* <-> NNH-N* + *_s -> HNNH*	1.00	0.68
5	$HNNH* + H* <-> HNNH-H* + *\_s -> HNNH_2* + *\_s$	0.88	-1.02
6	$HNNH_{2}^{*} + *_{s}^{-} > HN-NH_{2}^{*} + *_{s}^{-} > NH^{*} + NH_{2}^{*}$	0.46	-2.18
7	$NH^* + H^* <-> NH-H^* + *_s -> NH_2^* + *_s$	1.15	0.33
8	$NH_2^* + H^* \le NH_2^-H^* + *_s \ge NH_3^* + *_s$	1.33	1.05
9	$NH_3^* \rightarrow NH_3g + s$	0.59	0.59

1	Table S8	8 The DF	[ energetics	of MvK	pathway.



3 for Co<sub>3</sub>Mo<sub>3</sub>N catalyst via (a) mixing pathway of associative pathway I and II, (b) mixing pathway
4 of associative pathway I, II and III, (c) mixing pathway of associative pathway I, II, III and IV. DRC
5 analysis for (d) mixing pathway of associative pathway I and II, (e) mixing pathway of associative
6 pathway I, II and III, (f) mixing pathway of associative pathway I, II, III and IV.
7



2 Figure S23 Ammonia synthesis rate (TOF) as a function of temperature and pressure at  $N_2/H_2 = 1/3$ 

3 for  $Co_3Mo_3N$  catalyst via (a) dissociation mechanism, (b) associative mechanism and (c) MvK

- 4 mechanism.
- 5



2 Figure S24 Ammonia synthesis rate for different reaction mechanisms as a function of pressure at

```
3 675 K and N_2/H_2 = 1/3.
```

# 1 S4 Electronic Structure Analysis



2

- 3 Figure S25 Top view of charge density difference for (a)  $N_2$  adsorption on N vacancy and (b)  $N_2$
- 4 adsorption on surface.

# 1 S5 Catalyst Characterization



- 2
- $3 \quad \mbox{Figure S26} \ \mbox{The XRD patterns of $Co_3Mo_3N$ sample.}$



2 Figure S27 (a) The images of high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM) for
3 Co<sub>3</sub>Mo<sub>3</sub>N catalyst. (b) Energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) elemental mapping for Co<sub>3</sub>Mo<sub>3</sub>N
4 catalyst.



## 1 S6 Steady-state Kinetic Analysis



Figure S28 Kinetic fit results of reaction rates for (a) dissociation pathway, (b) associative pathway
with N<sub>2</sub>\* hydrogenation as RDS and (c) associative pathway with NNH\* dissociation as RDS. (d)
The rate expressions for different reaction mechanisms.

6

#### 7 Supplementary Notes

8 The elementary reactions for dissociation pathway and associative pathway are shown
9 in Table S2 and Table S3. We established the kinetic models from different reaction
10 mechanisms based on rate-determining step assumptions.

### 11 - Dissociation pathway & $N_2^*$ dissociation as RDS

12 The reaction rate can be determined by:

$$r = k_3 \theta_{\rm N_2} \theta_{\rm v} \tag{S10}$$

2 With H<sub>2</sub> dissociative adsorption and N<sub>2</sub> adsorption in equilibrium, the surface coverage
3 of N<sub>2</sub>\* and H\* can be determined by:

$$\theta_{N_2} = K_1 p_{N_2} \theta_{\nu} \tag{S11}$$

5 
$$\theta_{\rm H} = \sqrt{K_2 p_{\rm H_2}} \theta_{\nu}$$
 (S12)

6 As the summary of surface coverage is 1, the surface empty site can be determined by:

7 
$$1 = \theta_{\nu} + \theta_{N_2} + \theta_{H} = \theta_{\nu} + K_1 p_{N_2} \theta_{\nu} + \sqrt{K_2 p_{H_2}} \theta_{\nu}$$
(S13)

8 
$$\theta_{v} = \frac{1}{1 + K_{1}p_{N_{2}} + \sqrt{K_{2}p_{H_{2}}}}$$
(S14)

9 The reaction rate expression can be written as follows.

10 
$$r = \frac{k_3 K_1 p_{N_2}}{\left(1 + K_1 p_{N_2} + \sqrt{K_2 p_{H_2}}\right)^2}$$
(S15)

#### 11 - Associative pathway & N<sub>2</sub>\* hydrogenation as RDS

12 The reaction rate can be determined by:

1

13 
$$r = k_3 \theta_{N_2} \theta_{H}$$
 (S16)

14 With  $H_2$  dissociative adsorption and  $N_2$  adsorption in equilibrium, the surface coverage 15 of  $N_2^*$  and  $H^*$  can be determined by Equation (S11) and (S12). As the summary of 16 surface coverage is 1, the surface empty site can be determined Equation (S14). The 17 reaction rate expression can be written as follows.

18 
$$r = \frac{k_{3}K_{1}p_{N_{2}}\sqrt{K_{2}p_{H_{2}}}}{(1+K_{1}p_{N_{2}}+\sqrt{K_{2}p_{H_{2}}})^{2}}$$
(S17)

#### 1 - Associative pathway & NNH\* dissociation as RDS

2 The reaction rate can be determined by:

$$r = k_4 \theta_{\rm NNH} \theta_{\nu} \tag{S18}$$

4 With H<sub>2</sub> dissociative adsorption, N<sub>2</sub> adsorption and N<sub>2</sub>\* hydrogenation in equilibrium,
5 the surface coverage of N<sub>2</sub>\* and H\* can be determined by Equation (S11) and (S12),
6 and the surface coverage of NNH\* can be determined by:

7 
$$\theta_{\rm NNH} = K_3 \frac{\theta_{\rm N_2} \theta_{\rm H}}{\theta_{\rm v}} = K_1 K_3 p_{\rm N_2} \sqrt{K_2 p_{\rm H_2}} \theta_{\rm v}$$
(S19)

8 As the summary of surface coverage is 1, the surface empty site can be determined by:

9 
$$1 = \theta_{\nu} + \theta_{N_2} + \theta_{H} + \theta_{NNH} = \theta_{\nu} + K_1 p_{N_2} \theta_{\nu} + \sqrt{K_2 p_{H_2}} \theta_{\nu} + K_1 K_3 p_{N_2} \sqrt{K_2 p_{H_2}}$$
(S20)

10 
$$\theta_{\nu} = \frac{1}{1 + K_1 p_{N_2} + \sqrt{K_2 p_{H_2}} + K_1 K_3 p_{N_2} \sqrt{K_2 p_{H_2}}}$$
(S21)

11 The reaction rate expression can be written as follows.

12 
$$r = \frac{k_4 K_1 K_3 p_{N_2} \sqrt{K_2 p_{H_2}}}{(1 + K_1 p_{N_2} + \sqrt{K_2 p_{H_2}} + K_1 K_3 p_{N_2} \sqrt{K_2 p_{H_2}})^2}$$
(S22)

13

# 1 S7 Steady-state Isotopic Transient Kinetic Analysis



## 2 (SSITKA)

3

Figure S29 <sup>14</sup>NH<sub>3</sub>-<sup>15</sup>NH<sub>3</sub> product signals during the switch process of SSITKA studies under (a)
500 °C, (b) 480 °C and (c) 460 °C. (d) The residence time for different temperatures based on
SSITKA.

7

#### 8 Supplementary Notes

9 Steady-State Isotopic Transient Kinetic Analysis (SSITKA) can help us to obtain 10 valuable information on catalyzed heterogeneous reactions at molecular level, 11 including reaction intermediates concentration, site coverage, reactivity, and rate 12 constants<sup>18–20</sup>. The transient responses are recorded and monitored by a mass 13 spectrometer (MS). For a given reversible heterogeneous catalytic reaction at steadystate, a reactant (R) is transformed into a product (P) through an adsorbed intermediate
 (X). The overall residence time of the product is obtained by the integration of the
 normalized transient response:

4 
$$\tau_p = \int_0^\infty F_p(t) dt$$
 (S23)

5 Using the same method, the residence time of the inert gas can be obtained:

$$\tau_i = \int_0^\infty F_i(t)dt \tag{S24}$$

7 The net residence time can be obtained:

8 
$$\tau = \tau_p - \tau_i \tag{S25}$$

9 The reciprocal of the residence time of the intermediate species can be approximated 10 as the turnover frequency (TOF) for intermediate species, which follows the Arrhenius 11 equation. Therefore, the intrinsic activation energy of the rate-determining step can be 12 obtained.



2 Figure S30 Schematic depiction of SSITKA setup.









## 1 References

- 2 1 G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Comput. Mater. Sci., 1996, 6, 15–50.
- 3 2 S. Grimme, S. Ehrlich and L. Goerigk, J. Comput. Chem., 2011, 32, 1456–1465.
- 4 3 G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1996, **54**, 11169–11186.
- 5 4 G. Kresse and D. Joubert, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1999, **59**, 1758–1775.
- 6 5 J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1996, 77, 3865–3868.
- 7 6 C. D. Zeinalipour-Yazdi, J. S. J. Hargreaves and C. R. A. Catlow, *J. Phys. Chem. C*,
  2015, 119, 28368–28376.
- 9 7 C. D. Zeinalipour-Yazdi, J. S. J. Hargreaves and C. R. A. Catlow, J. Phys. Chem. C,

- 8 C. D. Zeinalipour-Yazdi, J. S. J. Hargreaves and C. R. A. Catlow, *J. Phys. Chem. C*,
   2018, **122**, 6078–6082.
- 13 9 G. Henkelman and H. Jónsson, J. Chem. Phys., 1999, 111, 7010–7022.
- 14 10D. Sheppard, R. Terrell and G. Henkelman, J. Chem. Phys., 2008, 128, 134106.
- 15 11 R. F. W. Bader, Acc. Chem. Res., 1985, 18, 9–15.
- 16 12M. Yu and D. R. Trinkle, J. Chem. Phys., 2011, 134, 064111.
- 17 13C. T. Campbell, Top. Catal., 1994, 1, 353–366.
- 18 14C. T. Campbell, J. Catal., 2001, 204, 520–524.
- 19 15C. T. Campbell, ACS Catal., 2017, 7, 2770–2779.
- 20 16P. Wang, F. Chang, W. Gao, J. Guo, G. Wu, T. He and P. Chen, *Nat. Chem.*, 2017,
  21 9, 64–70.
- 22 17Q. Wang, J. Pan, J. Guo, H. A. Hansen, H. Xie, L. Jiang, L. Hua, H. Li, Y. Guan, P.
- Wang, W. Gao, L. Liu, H. Cao, Z. Xiong, T. Vegge and P. Chen, *Nat. Catal.*, 2021,
  4, 959–967.
- 25 18R. H. Nibbelke, J. Scheerova, M. H. J. M. Decroon and G. B. Marin, J. Catal.,
  26 1995, 156, 106–119.
- 27 19S. L. Shannon and J. G. Goodwin, Chem. Rev., 1995, 95, 677–695.
- 28 20L. M. Aparicio, J. Catal., 1997, 165, 262-274.

<sup>10 2016,</sup> **120**, 21390–21398.