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## 1. NMR Spectra



Figure S1: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of Pyridine-2-carboxylic acid hydrazide in DMSO.


Figure S2: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of 2-(2-Pyridyl)-5-[N,N-bis(2-pyridylmethyl)aminomethyl]-1,3,4- oxadiazole (LTetraPy-ODA) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure S3: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of Benzoic acid hydrazide in DMSO.


Figure S4: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of 2-(Chloromethyl)-5-phenyl-1,3,4-oxadaizole and TPPO in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


Figure S5: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of 2-Phenyl-5-[N,N-bis(2-pyridylmethyl)aminomethyl]-1,3,4-oxadiazole (L ${ }^{\text {TetraPh- }}$ ODA) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure S6: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of 2-(2-Pyridyl)-5-[N,N-bis(2-pyridylmethyl)aminomethyl]-1,3,4- oxadiazole (LTetraPy-ODA) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure S7: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum of 2-Phenyl-5-[N,N-bis(2-pyridylmethyl)aminomethyl]-1,3,4- oxadiazole (LTetraPhodA) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure S8: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-COSY spectrum of 2-(2-Pyridyl)-5-[ $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-bis(2-pyridylmethyl)aminomethyl]-1,3,4- oxadiazole ( LTetraPy-ODA) $^{\text {in }} \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure S9: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{HSQC}$ spectrum of 2-(2-Pyridyl)-5-[N,N-bis(2-pyridylmethyl)aminomethyl]-1,3,4- oxadiazole (LTetraPy-ODA) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure S10: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{-13} \mathrm{C}$-HMBC spectrum of 2-(2-Pyridyl)-5-[ $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-bis(2-pyridylmethyl)aminomethyl]-1,3,4- oxadiazole (LTetraPy-ODA) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.

## 2. IR Spectra



Figure S11: IR spectrum of 2-(2-Pyridyl)-5-[N,N-bis(2-pyridylmethyl)aminomethyl]-1,3,4- oxadiazole (LTetraPy-ODA).


Figure S12: IR spectrum of 2-Phenyl-5-[N,N-bis(2-pyridylmethyl)aminomethyl]-1,3,4- oxadiazole (L $\left.{ }^{\text {TetraPh-ODA }}\right)$.


Figure S13: IR spectrum of [Fe" (LetraPh-ODA $\left.\left.^{\text {T }}\right)(\mathrm{NCS})\right]$ (C4).


Figure S14: IR spectrum of [Fe"( LetraPh-ODA $\left.\left.^{\text {(NCSe }}\right)\right]$ (C5).


Figure S15: IR spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {II }}\left(\mathrm{L}^{\text {TetraPh-ODA }}\right)\left(\mathrm{NCBH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right](\mathrm{C} 6)$.


Figure S16: IR spectrum of $\left[\right.$ Fe $\left.{ }^{\text {" }}\left(\text { LTetraPy-ODA }^{\text {(NCS }}\right)_{2}\right](\mathrm{C} 1)$.


Figure S17: IR spectrum of [Fe" $\left.{ }^{\text {I }}\left(\mathrm{L}^{\text {TetraPy-ODA }}\right)(\mathrm{NCBSe})_{2}\right]$ (C2).


Figure S18: IR spectrum of [Fe" $\left.\left(\mathrm{L}^{\text {TetraPy-ODA }}\right)\left(\mathrm{NCBH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right](\mathrm{C3})$.

## 3. Crystal data:

Table S1: X-ray crystallographic data for complex C1 and C2

| Compound | C1 | C2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{FeN}_{9} \mathrm{OS}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{FeN}_{11} \mathrm{OSe}_{2}$ |
| Formula weight / g mol${ }^{-1}$ | 571.47 | 747.37 |
| Crystal size / mm | $0.15 \times 0.093 \times 0.06$ | $0.38 \times 0.293 \times 0.24$ |
| Crystal system | monoclinic | monoclinic |
| Space group | $P 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ | $P 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ |
| Unit cell dimensions |  |  |
| a / A | 15.4394(3) | 16.8096(5) |
| b/Å | 11.5893(2) | 12.3242(2) |
| c/A | 23.9234(4) | 17.0638(5) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 141.138(2) | 112.630(2) |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 90 |
| Volume / A | 2685.90(11) | 3262.85(15) |
| Z | 4 | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc. }} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | 1.413 | 1.521 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.752 | 2.733 |
| F(000) | 1176 | 1496 |
| Temperature / K | 120 | 173 |
| Diffractometer | STOE STADIVARI | STOE STADIVARI |
| Radiation | Mo-K $\alpha$ | Mo-K $\alpha$ |
| $\vartheta$ - range for data collection / ${ }^{\circ}$ | $2.102<\vartheta<30.782$ | $2.098<\vartheta>31.109$ |
| Index ranges | $-21<\mathrm{h}<22$ | $-24<h<24$ |
|  | $-16<k<16$ | $-17<k<17$ |
|  | $-34<1<31$ | $-20<1<23$ |
| Collected reflections | 48282 | 60603 |
| Independent reflections | 7725 | 61872 |
| Completeness | 0.919 | 0.907 |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.9853 and 0.6395 | 0.8343 and 0.3674 |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0221 | 0.0422 |
| $R_{\text {sigma }}$ | 0.0181 | 0.0381 |
| Data/ restraints/ parameters | 7725 / 0 / 335 | 9526 / 0 / 391 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $F^{2}$ | 1.053 | 0.946 |
| Final $R_{1}[I \geq 2 \sigma(I)]$ | 0.0259 | 0.0293 |
| Final $w R_{2}[1 \geq 2 \sigma(/)]$ | 0.0708 | 0.0645 |
| Final $R_{1}$ [alldata] | 0.0336 | 0.0529 |
| Final $w R_{2}$ [alldata] | 0.0730 | 0.0688 |

Table S2: X-ray crystallographic data for complex C3. The crystallographic data collected was refined as a twin.

| Compound | C3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{FeN}_{9} \mathrm{O}$ |
| Formula weight / $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | 535.01 |
| Crystal size / mm | $0.13 \times 0.097 \times 0.08$ |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | C2/c |
| Unit cell dimensions |  |
| a / A | 24.0862 (11) |
| b/A | 10.9735 (4) |
| c / A | 20.5959 (8) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 91.577 (3) |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume / A | 5441.6 (4) |
| Z | 8 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc. }} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | 1.306 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.589 |
| F(000) | 2224 |
| Temperature / K | 173 |
| Diffractometer | STOE STADIVARI |
| Radiation | Mo-K $\alpha$ |
| $\vartheta$ - range for data collection / ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |
| Index ranges | $-34<\mathrm{h}<34$ |
|  | $-15<K<15$ |
|  | $-29<h<29$ |
| Collected reflections | 51502 |
| Independent reflections | 51502 |
| Completeness |  |
| Max. and min. transmission |  |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ |  |
| $R_{\text {sigma }}$ | 0.0360 |
| Data/ restraints/ parameters | 51502/0/338 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $F^{2}$ | 1.036 |
| Final $R_{1}[I \geq 2 \sigma(I)]$ | 0.0626 |
| Final $w R_{2}[/ \geq 2 \sigma(I)]$ | 0.1668 |
| Final $R_{1}$ [alldata] | 0.0916 |
| Final $w R_{2}$ [alldata] | 0.1924 |

Table S3: X-ray crystallographic data for complex C4 and C5

| Compound | C4 | C5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{FeN}_{9} \mathrm{OS}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{26.5} \mathrm{FeN}_{9.5} \mathrm{OSe}_{2}$ |
| Formula weight / $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | 611.53 | 725.86 |
| Crystal size / mm | $0.32 \times 0.207 \times 0.11$ | $1.1 \times 0.73 \times 0.29$ |
| Crystal system | monoclinic | monoclinic |
| Space group | C2/c | C2/c |
| Unit cell dimensions |  |  |
| a / A | 30.6059(10) | 30.7836(16) |
| b/ | 11.7584(5) | 11.8309(4) |
| c / A | 17.6574(6) | 17.8246(10) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 105.110(3) | 105.213(4) |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 90 |
| Volume / Å | 6134.8(4) | 6264.2(5) |
| Z | 8 | 8 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc. }} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | 1.324 | 1.539 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.664 | 2.844 |
| F(000) | 2528 | 2904 |
| Temperature / K | 173 | 173 |
| Diffractometer | STOE STADIVARI | STOE STADIVARI |
| Radiation | Mo-K $\alpha$ | Mo-Ka |
| $\vartheta$ - range for data collection / ${ }^{\circ}$ | $1.864<\vartheta<30.969$ | $1.853<\vartheta<25.998$ |
| Index ranges | $-38<h<43$ | $-37<h<37$ |
|  | $-16<k<16$ | $-14<k<14$ |
|  | $-25<1<25$ | $-21<1<21$ |
| Collected reflections | 56051 | 42183 |
| Independent reflections | 8963 | 6163 |
| Completeness | 0.919 | 1.000 |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.9852 and 0.2527 | 0.8170 and 0.1557 |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0282 | 0.0989 |
| $R_{\text {sigma }}$ | 0.0266 | 0.0579 |
| Data/ restraints/ parameters | 8963 / 18 / 414 | 6163 / 36 / 387 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $F^{2}$ | 1.028 | 0.969 |
| Final $R_{1}[I \geq 2 \sigma(I)]$ | 0.0302 | 0.0644 |
| Final $w R_{2}[I \geq 2 \sigma(I)]$ | 0.0820 | 0.1627 |
| Final $R_{1}$ [alldata] | 0.0415 | 0.0813 |
| Final $w R_{2}$ [alldata] | 0.0848 | 0.1712 |

Table S4: X-ray crystallographic data for complex C6

| Compound | C6 at 120 K | C6 at 240 K |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{FeN}_{8} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{FeN}_{8} \mathrm{O}$ |
| Formula weight / g mol${ }^{-1}$ | 534.02 | 534.02 |
| Crystal size / mm | $0.7 \times 0.5 \times 0.2$ | $0.7 \times 0.5 \times 0.2$ |
| Crystal system | monoclinic | monoclinic |
| Space group | C2/c | C2/c |
| Unit cell dimensions |  |  |
| a / A | 22.9994(7) | 23.819(2) |
| b/ | 10.7119(2) | 11.1057(7) |
| $c / A$ | 20.7583(6) | 20.7090(17) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 91.282(2) | 91.611(7) |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 90 |
| Volume / A | 5112.9(2) | 5476.0(7) |
| Z | 8 | 8 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc. }} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | 1.387 | 1.295 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.625 | 0.584 |
| F(000) | 2224 | 2224 |
| Temperature / K | 120 | 240 |
| Diffractometer | STOE STADIVARI | STOE STADIVARI |
| Radiation | Mo-K $\alpha$ | Mo-K $\alpha$ |
| $\vartheta$ - range for data collection / ${ }^{\circ}$ | $1.963<\vartheta<30.816$ | $1.968<\vartheta<30.718$ |
| Index ranges | $-32<h<32$ | $-33<h<34$ |
|  | $-15<k<14$ | $-15<k<15$ |
|  | $-29<1<28$ | $-29<1<28$ |
| Collected reflections | 38963 | 45829 |
| Independent reflections | 40193 | 47171 |
| Completeness | 0.915 | 0.927 |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.9675 and 0.2137 | 0.9107 and 0.1064 |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0386 | 0.0391 |
| $R_{\text {sigma }}$ | 0.0254 | 0.0292 |
| Data/ restraints/ parameters | 7340 / 0 / 337 | 7890 / 99 / 366 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $F^{2}$ | 1.076 | 1.080 |
| Final $R_{1}[I \geq 2 \sigma(I)]$ | 0.0436 | 0.0387 |
| Final $w R_{2}[I \geq 2 \sigma(I)]$ | 0.1185 | 0.1116 |
| Final $R_{1}$ [alldata] | 0.0509 | 0.0580 |
| Final $w R_{2}$ [alldata] | 0.1236 | 0.1185 |

Table S5: X-ray crystallographic data for complex C7

| Compound | C7 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{Cl}_{4} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{22}$ |
| Formula weight / $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | 1348.39 |
| Crystal size / mm | $0.2 \times 0.147 \times 0.08$ |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | $P 2_{1} / n$ |
| Unit cell dimensions |  |
| a / A | 12.5361(4) |
| b/ $\AA$ | 16.0432(6) |
| c / A | 13.6085(5) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 95.600(3) |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume / A | 2723.87(17) |
| Z | 2 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc. }} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | 1.644 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.822 |
| F(000) | 1376 |
| Temperature / K | 150(2) |
| Diffractometer | STOE STADIVARI |
| Radiation | Mo-K $\alpha$ |
| $\vartheta$ - range for data collection / ${ }^{\circ}$ | $1.968<\vartheta<30.803$ |
| Index ranges | $-16<h<17$ |
|  | $-21<k<22$ |
|  | $-19<1<19$ |
| Collected reflections | 31060 |
| Independent reflections | 7639 |
| Completeness | 0.895 |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.6837 and 0.5083 |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0246 |
| $R_{\text {sigma }}$ | 0.0307 |
| Data/ restraints/ parameters | 7639 / 18 / 445 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $F^{2}$ | 1.036 |
| Final $R_{1}[I \geq 2 \sigma(I)]$ | 0.0328 |
| Final $w R_{2}[I \geq 2 \sigma(I)]$ | 0.0793 |
| Final $R_{1}$ [alldata] | 0.0509 |
| Final $w R_{2}$ [alldata] | 0.0842 |



Figure S19: Asymmetric Unit of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }^{11}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\text {TetraPy-ODA }}\right)(\mathrm{NCS})\right] \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{C} 1)$. ORTEP representation with atomic displacement parameters set to $50 \%$ probability.



Figure S2O: Molecular structure of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }^{11}\left(\right.\right.$ LTetraPy-ODA $\left.^{(1)}(\mathrm{NCS})\right] \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathbf{C 1})$ and its ideal coordination octahedron with front view (left) and view along the Fe-N5 axis (right), calculated with SHAPE. ${ }^{1}$ Colour scheme: dark grey - C, grey $-H$, violet $-N$, red -O , yellow -S , orange - Fe . ORTEP representation with atomic displacement parameters set to $50 \%$ probability.


Figure S21: Perspective view onto the unit cell of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }^{11}\left(\right.\right.$ LetraPy-ODA $\left.\left.^{\text {Te }}\right)(\mathrm{NCS})\right] \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathbf{C 1})$ with present symmetry elements. Centers of Inversion - yellow dots, Two-fold Axis - green lines, Mirror Glide Plane - purple planes.


Figure S22: One dimensional chain formed by $\pi-\pi$ interactions in [ $\left.\mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }^{11}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\text {TetraPy-ODA }}\right)(\mathrm{NCS})\right] \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (C1). Green points - centres of aromatic rings involved in $\pi-\pi$ interactions. Red dashed lines - centre-to-centre distance. Distances between the centres of two interacting aromatic rings are displayed in red. ORTEP representation with atomic displacement parameters set to $50 \%$ probability.


Figure S23: One dimensional chain formed by $\pi-\pi$ interactions in the packing of [ $\left.\mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }^{11}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\text {TetraPy-ODA }}\right)(\mathrm{NCS})\right]$. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathbf{C 1})$ with view along the a-axis. Blue arrows indicate the chains along the b-axis. ORTEP representation with atomic displacement parameters set to $50 \%$ probability.


Figure S24: $\pi-\pi$ interaction in $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }^{11}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\text {TetraPy-ODA }}\right)(\mathrm{NCS})\right] \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathbf{C 1})$. Least-squares mean plane A is defined by N 4 , $\mathrm{C} 16, \mathrm{C} 17, \mathrm{C} 18, \mathrm{C} 19$ and C20. Plane B is defined by N3, C11, C12, C13, C14 and C15. Green point - centre of the aromatic ring in $A$. Yellow point - center of the aromatic ring in $B$. Red point - orthogonal intersection of the aromatic centre in $B$ onto plane $A$. Offset $r=1.752 \AA$, interplanar distance $d A B=3.959 \AA$, interplanar angle $\theta=$ $15.80^{\circ}$. ORTEP representation with atomic displacement parameters set to $50 \%$ probability.


Figure S25: Asymmetric Unit of [ $\left.\mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}\left(\mathrm{L}^{\text {TetraPy-ODA }}\right)(\mathrm{NCSe})\right] \cdot 0.15 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (C2). ORTEP representation with atomic displacement parameters set to $50 \%$ probability.



Figure S26: Molecular structure of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }^{11}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\text {TetraPy-ODA }}\right)(\mathrm{NCSe})\right] \cdot 0.15 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (C2) and its ideal coordination octahedron with front view (left) and view along the Fe-N5 axis (right), calculated with SHAPE. ${ }^{1}$ Colour scheme: dark grey -C , grey -H , violet -N , red -O , bright orange -Se , orange -Fe . ORTEP representation with atomic displacement parameters set to $50 \%$ probability.


Figure S27: One-dimensional chain of complex molecules in [ $\mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }^{11}\left(\right.$ (LetraPy-ODA $^{(1)}$ (NCSe)] $\cdot 0.15 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (C2) due to intermolecular $\pi-\pi$ stacking along the $b$-axis. The centres of involved aromatic rings are displayed as green points. Red dashed lines and numbers represent the distance between two centres.


Figure S28: Packing of [ $\left.\mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}\left(\mathrm{L}^{\text {TetraPy-ODA }}\right)(\mathrm{NCSe})\right] \cdot 0.15 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (C2) with view along the b-axis. Blue areas illustrate the layers of solvent molecules. ORTEP representation with atomic displacement parameters set to $50 \%$ probability.


Figure S29: Packing of [ $\left.\mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }^{11}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\text {TetraPy-ODA }}\right)(\mathrm{NCSe})\right] \cdot 0.15 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathbf{C 2})$ with view along the c -axis. Blue areas illustrate the layers of solvent molecules. ORTEP representation with atomic displacement parameters set to $50 \%$ probability.


Figure S30: $\pi-\pi$ interaction in $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }^{11}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\text {TetraPy-ODA }}\right)(\mathrm{NCSe})\right] \cdot 0.15 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathbf{C 2})$. Least-squares mean plane A is defined by N8, C1, C2, C3, C4 and C5. Plane B is defined by $\mathrm{O} 1, \mathrm{C} 6, \mathrm{~N} 7, \mathrm{~N} 1$ and C7 of an adjacent molecule. Green point - centre of the aromatic ring in A. Yellow point - centre of the aromatic ring in B. Purple point orthogonal intersection of the aromatic center in B onto plane A. Offset $r=1.459$ Å, interplanar distance $d_{A B}=3.751 \AA$, interplanar angle $\vartheta=8.07^{\circ}$. ORTEP representation with atomic displacement parameters set to $50 \%$ probability.


Figure S31: $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}{ }^{\cdots} \pi$ interaction in [Fe2 $\left.{ }^{11}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\text {TetraPy-ODA }}\right)(\mathrm{NCSe})\right] \cdot 0.15 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (C2). Least-squares plane A is defined by N3, C11, C12, C13, C14 and C15. Interacting C-H fragment is labelled as $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2$. Green point - centre of the aromatic ring in A. Purple point - orthogonal intersection of H 2 onto plane A. Offset $r=0.607 \AA$ A interaction distance $d_{\mathrm{QH}}=2.728 \AA, \alpha=147.75^{\circ}$ and $\beta=77.15^{\circ}$. ORTEP representation with atomic displacement parameters set to $50 \%$ probability.


Figure S32: Two-dimensional network of complex molecules in [Fe $\left.{ }_{2}{ }^{11}\left(L^{\text {TetraPy-ODA }}\right)(\mathrm{NCSe})\right] \cdot 0.15 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (C2). due to intermolecular $\pi-\pi$ interactions with view along the $c$-axis (left) and $b$-axis (right). The centres of involved aromatic rings are displayed as green points. Distances are displayed as red dashed lines and numbers. Solvent molecules are omitted for better vision.


Figure S33: Left: Unit cell of [Fe2" $\left.{ }^{1 \prime}\left(L^{\text {TetraPh-ODA }}\right)(\mathrm{NCS})\right] \cdot 1.75 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{C4})$ with view along the b-axis. Right: Unit cell of [ $\left.\mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }^{11}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\text {TetraPh-ODA }}\right)(\mathrm{NCS})\right] \cdot 1.75 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{C4})$ with view along the c -axis. ORTEP representation with atomic displacement parameters set to $50 \%$ probability.


Figure S34: $\pi-\pi$ interaction in [ $\left.\mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }^{\text {" }}\left(\mathrm{L}^{\text {TetraPh-ODA }}\right)(\mathrm{NCS})\right] \cdot 1.75 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (C4). Least-squares mean plane A is defined by $\mathrm{N} 4, \mathrm{C} 17, \mathrm{C} 18, \mathrm{C} 19, \mathrm{C} 20$ and C21. Plane B is defined by $\mathrm{N} 3, \mathrm{C} 12, \mathrm{C} 13, \mathrm{C} 14, \mathrm{C} 15$ and C 16 of an adjacent molecule. Green point - centre of the aromatic ring in A. Yellow point - centre of the aromatic ring in B. Purple point orthogonal intersection of the aromatic centre in $B$ onto plane $A$. Offset $r=1.239 \AA$, interplanar distance $d_{A B}=$ $4.085 \AA$ A, interplanar angle $\theta=18.40^{\circ}$. ORTEP representation with atomic displacement parameters set to $50 \%$ probability.


Figure S35: $\pi-\pi$ interaction in $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }^{11}\left(\right.\right.$ LTetraPh-ODA $\left.\left.^{\text {(NCS }}\right)\right] \cdot 1.75 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (C4). Least-squares mean plane $A$ is defined by $\mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 2, \mathrm{C} 3, \mathrm{C} 4, \mathrm{C} 5$ and C6. Plane B is defined by $\mathrm{O} 1, \mathrm{C} 7, \mathrm{~N} 7, N 1$ and C8 of an adjacent molecule. Green point centre of the aromatic ring in $A$. Yellow point - centre of the aromatic ring in B. Purple point - orthogonal intersection of the aromatic centre in $B$ onto plane $A$. Offset $r=1.868 \AA$, interplanar distance $d_{A B}=3.266 \AA$, interplanar angle $\theta=2.7^{\circ}$. ORTEP representation with atomic displacement parameters set to $50 \%$ probability.

 $\mathrm{C} 17, \mathrm{C} 18, \mathrm{C} 19, \mathrm{C} 20$ and C21. Interacting C-H fragment is labeled as $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3$. Green point - center of the aromatic ring in A. Purple point - orthogonal intersection of H 13 onto plane A. Offset $r=0.362 \AA$ A interaction distance $d_{\mathrm{QH}}=2.907 \AA, \alpha=147.73^{\circ}$ and $\beta=82.85^{\circ}$. ORTEP representation with atomic displacement parameters set to $50 \%$ probability.


Figure S37: One-dimensional chain of complex molecules in [ $\left.\mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }^{11}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\text {TetraPh-ODA }}\right)(\mathrm{NCS})\right] \cdot 1.75 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (C4) due to intermolecular $\pi-\pi$ stacking. The centres of involved aromatic rings are displayed as green points. Red dashed lines and numbers represent the distance between two centres. Colour scheme: dark grey - C , grey -H , violet N , red -O , bright orange -Se , orange -Fe . ORTEP representation with atomic displacement parameters set to $50 \%$ probability.


Figure S38: Two-dimensional network of complex molecules in [ $\left.\mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}\left(\mathrm{L}^{\text {TetraPh-ODA }}\right)(\mathrm{NCS})\right] \cdot 1.75 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (C4) due to intermolecular $\pi-\pi$ interactions with view along the $c$-axis (left) and b-axis (right). The centres of involved aromatic rings are displayed as green points. Distances are displayed as red dashed lines and numbers. Solvent molecules are omitted for better vision. ORTEP representation with atomic displacement parameters set to $50 \%$ probability.



Figure S39: Molecular structure of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }^{11}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\text {TetraPh-ODA }}\right)(\mathrm{NCS})\right] \cdot 1.75 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (C4) and its ideal coordination octahedron with front view (left) and view along the Fe-N5 axis (right), calculated with SHAPE. ${ }^{1}$ ORTEP representation with atomic displacement parameters set to $50 \%$ probability.



Figure S40: Molecular structure of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }^{11}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\text {TetraPh-ODA }}\right)(\mathrm{NCSe})\right] \cdot 0.4 \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (C5) and its ideal coordination octahedron with front view (left) and view along the Fe-N5 axis (right), calculated with SHAPE. ${ }^{1}$ ORTEP representation with atomic displacement parameters set to $50 \%$ probability.


Figure S41: Unit cell of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }^{11}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\text {TetraPh-ODA }}\right)(\mathrm{NCSe})\right] \cdot 0.4 \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{C5})$ with view along the b -axis (left) and view along the c-axis (right). ORTEP representation with atomic displacement parameters set to $50 \%$ probability.


Figure S42: $\pi-\pi$ interaction in $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }^{11}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\text {TetraPh-ODA }}\right)(\mathrm{NCSe})\right] \cdot 0.4 \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{C} 5)$. Least-squares mean plane $A$ is defined by N3, C12, C13, C14, C15 and C16. Plane B is defined by N4, C17, C18, C19, C20 and C21 of an adjacent molecule. Green point - centre of the aromatic ring in A. Yellow point - centre of the aromatic ring in B. Purple point orthogonal intersection of the aromatic centre in B onto plane A. Offset $r=1.348 \AA$, interplanar distance $d_{A B}=4.176 \AA$ A interplanar angle $\vartheta=17.48^{\circ}$. ORTEP representation with atomic displacement parameters set to $50 \%$ probability.


Figure S43: $\pi-\pi$ interaction in $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }^{11}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\text {TetraPh-ODA }}\right)(\mathrm{NCSe})\right] \cdot 0.4 \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (C5). Least-squares mean plane $A$ is defined by C1. C2. C3. C4. C5 and C6. Plane B is defined by O1, C7, N7, N1 and C8 of an adjacent molecule. Green point center of the aromatic ring in $A$. Yellow point - center of the aromatic ring in B. Purple point - orthogonal intersection of the aromatic center in B onto plane A. Offset $r=1.846 \AA$, interplanar distance $d_{A B}=3.256 \AA$, interplanar angle $\vartheta=3^{\circ}$. ORTEP representation with atomic displacement parameters set to $50 \%$ probability.


Figure S44: $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}^{\cdots} \pi$ interaction in $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }^{11}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\text {TetraPh-ODA }}\right)(\mathrm{NCSe})\right] \cdot 0.4 \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (C5). Least-squares plane A is defined by N3, C12, C13, C14, C15 and C16. Interacting C-H fragment is labeled as C3-H3. Green point - center of the aromatic ring in A. Purple point - orthogonal intersection of H 13 onto plane A. Offset $r=0.318 \AA$ A , interaction distance $d_{\mathrm{QH}}=2.914 \AA, \alpha=149.34^{\circ}$ and $\beta=83.74^{\circ}$. ORTEP representation with atomic displacement parameters set to $50 \%$ probability.


Figure S45: Two-dimensional network of complex molecules in $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }^{11}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\text {TetraPh-ODA }}\right)(\mathrm{NCSe})\right] \cdot 0.4 \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (C5) due to intermolecular $\pi-\pi$ interactions with view along the c-axis (left) and b-axis (right). The centres of involved aromatic rings are displayed as green points. Distances are displayed as red dashed lines and numbers. Solvent molecules are omitted for better vision. ORTEP representation with atomic displacement parameters set to 50 \% probability.



Figure S46: Asymmetric Unit of [ $\left.\mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }^{11}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\text {TetraPh-ODA }}\right)\left(\mathrm{NCBH}_{3}\right)\right] \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (C6) $(120 \mathrm{~K}$ (left); 240 K (right)). ORTEP representation with atomic displacement parameters set to $50 \%$ probability.



Figure S47: Molecular structure of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }^{11}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\text {TetraPh-ODA }}\right)\left(\mathrm{NCBH}_{3}\right)\right] \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{C} 6)$ and its ideal coordination octahedron with view along the Fe-N5 axis at 240 K (left) and 120 K (right), calculated with SHAPE. ${ }^{1}$ ORTEP representation with atomic displacement parameters set to $50 \%$ probability.


Figure S48: One-dimensional network of "complex dimers" in [ $\left.\mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }^{11}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\text {TetraPh-ODA }}\right)\left(\mathrm{NCBH}_{3}\right)\right] \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (C6) at 240 K (left) and 120 K (right). Unit cell changes are remarkably more pronounced along the a-axis, which corresponds to the interdimer short contacts. Red dashed lines represent intermolecular distances between iron(II) centers. Colour scheme: pink - B, dark grey - C, grey - H, violet - N, red - O, orange - Fe. ORTEP representation with atomic displacement parameters set to $50 \%$ probability.


Figure S49: One-dimensional network of "complex dimers" in[ $\left.\mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }^{11}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\text {TetraPh-ODA }}\right)\left(\mathrm{NCBH}_{3}\right)\right] \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (C6). Red dashed lines represent intermolecular distances. Colour scheme: pink - B, dark grey - C, grey - H, violet - N, red - O, orange - Fe. ORTEP representation with atomic displacement parameters set to $50 \%$ probability.


Figure S50: Unit cell of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }^{1 \prime}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\text {TetraPh-ODA }}\right)\left(\mathrm{NCBH}_{3}\right)\right] \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{C} 6)(240 \mathrm{~K})$ with view along the b-axis (left) and perspective view (right). ORTEP representation with atomic displacement parameters set to $50 \%$ probability.


Figure S51: Unit cell of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }^{11}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\text {TetraPh-ODA }}\right)\left(\mathrm{NCBH}_{3}\right)\right] \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (C6) ( 240 K ) with present symmetry elements with view along the a-axis (left) and perspective view (right). Centres of Inversion - yellow dots, Two-fold Axis - green lines, Mirror Glide Planes - purple planes.


Figure S52: $\pi-\pi$ interaction in $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }^{11}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\text {TetraPh-ODA }}\right)\left(\mathrm{NCBH}_{3}\right)\right] \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathbf{C 6})(240 \mathrm{~K})$. Least-squares mean plane A is defined by C1, C2, C3, C4, C5 and C6. Plane B is defined by C1, C2, C3, C4, C5 and C6 of an adjacent molecule. Green point - centre of the aromatic ring in A. Yellow point - centre of the aromatic ring in B. Purple point -
orthogonal intersection of the aromatic centre in B onto plane A. Offset $r=3.56 \AA$, interplanar distance $d_{A B}=3.16 \AA$ A , interplanar angle $\vartheta=0^{\circ}$. ORTEP representation with atomic displacement parameters set to $50 \%$ probability.


Figure S53: $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}^{\cdots} \pi$ interaction in $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }^{11}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\text {TetraPh-ODA }}\right)\left(\mathrm{NCBH}_{3}\right)\right] \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (C6) (240 K). Least-squares plane A is defined by N4, C17, C18, C19, C20 and C21. Interacting C-H fragment is labeled as C4-H4. Green point - centre of the aromatic ring in A. Purple point - orthogonal intersection of H 19 onto plane A. Offset $r=0.93 \AA$, interaction distance $d_{\mathrm{QH}}=3.42 \AA, \alpha=131.1^{\circ}$ and $\beta=74.2^{\circ}$. ORTEP representation with atomic displacement parameters set to $50 \%$ probability.


Figure S54: Asymmetric Unit of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}\left(\mathrm{L}^{\text {TetraPy }}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{4} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (C6) with different views. One perchlorate anion ( Cl 2 O 4 ) shows rotational disorder around its $\mathrm{Cl} 2-\mathrm{O} 7$ axis. The other perchlorate molecule ( Cl 1 O 4 ) exhibits disorder of its oxygen atoms between two positions in close proximity. ORTEP representation with atomic displacement parameters set to $50 \%$ probability.

## 4. Cyclic Voltammetry:

To investigate the redox property of complex C7, cyclic voltammetry measurements were carried out in a TSC 1600 closed cell with an already built in platinum counter electrode as a vessel wall from rhd instruments. The working electrode was a glassy carbon electrode, which was polished before use with an aluminium oxide polishing paste with grain sizes of $0.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ FOR 30 minutes and $0.05 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for an additional 20 minutes from Buehler on a
microfiber cloth. The electrodes were then washed with deionised water and acetonitrile and dried overnight at 60 C . For reference a silver pseudo reference electrode was used by all the potentials are referred to $\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}+$ by carrying out ferrocene run at the end. The conducting salt used was 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate. The electrochemical property of complex C7 was analysed in acetonitrile. The complex exhibit a single Fe (II)/Fe(III) redox event at $\mathrm{Em}=0.8 \mathrm{~V}$ versus $\mathrm{Fc}^{+} / \mathrm{Fc}$, consistent with there being only one Fe (II) environment in these di-nuclear complex.


Figure S55: Cyclic voltammogram of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }^{11}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\text {TetraPy }}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{4} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{C} 6)$ in 1 mM solution of in $\mathrm{MeCN}(0.1$ mol L- ${ }^{-1}$ TBAPF6 versus $\mathrm{Fc}^{+} / \mathrm{Fc}$ ) at $100 \mathrm{mV} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$.

## 5. Solid-State Magnetic Data:



Figure S56: Temperature dependent magnetic behaviour of single crystals of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }^{1 \prime}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\text {LTetraPh-ODA }}\right)\left(\mathrm{NCBH}_{3}\right)\right] \cdot \mathrm{X} \mathrm{MeCN}$ (C6) in the form of a $\chi_{M}{ }^{T}$ vs. $T$ plot. Measurement was done from 300-130 K (red open circles), 130-400 K (blue open squares) and 400-2 K (black open triangles).


Figure S57: Temperature dependent magnetic behaviour of single crystals of [ $\left.\mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }^{1 \prime}\left({ }^{(\text {LTetraPy-ODA }}\right)\left(\mathrm{NCBH}_{3}\right)\right] \cdot \mathrm{X} \mathrm{MeCN}$ (C3) in mother liquor in the form of a $\chi_{M} T$ vs. T plot. Measurement was done from 300-70 K (red open circles), 70-400 K (blue open squares) and 400-2 K (black open triangles).


Figure S58: $\chi_{M} T$ vs $T$ for complexes $\mathbf{C 7}$. The dots are the data points, and the line is just guide for the eye.

## 6. Computational details:

Table S6: The B3LYP computed structural parameters along with the X-ray parameters for complexes C1-C6.

| Structural parameter | C1 |  |  | Structural | C2 |  |  | Structural parameter | C3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EXP | HS | LS |  | EXP | HS | LS |  | EXP | HS | LS |
| Fe-N1 | 2.227 | 2.125 | 1.990 | Fe-N3 | 2.204 | 2.140 | 2.204 | Fe-N1 | 2.217 | 2.119 | 1.976 |
| Fe-N4 | 2.295 | 2.362 | 2.077 | Fe-N4 | 2.311 | 2.374 | 2.311 | Fe-N4 | 2.281 | 2.353 | 2.080 |
| Fe-N5 | 2.220 | 2.163 | 2.021 | Fe-N5 | 2.170 | 2.166 | 2.170 | Fe-N5 | 2.163 | 2.150 | 2.032 |
| Fe-N6 | 2.204 | 2.211 | 1.842 | Fe-N6 | 2.176 | 2.166 | 2.176 | Fe-N6 | 2.173 | 2.150 | 2.032 |
| Fe-N7 | 2.101 | 2.163 | 2.021 | Fe-N7 | 2.062 | 1.913 | 2.062 | Fe-N7 | 2.071 | 1.911 | 1.914 |
| Fe-N8 | 2.030 | 1.875 | 1.834 | Fe-N8 | 2.105 | 2.244 | 2.105 | Fe-N8 | 2.137 | 2.184 | 1.980 |
| Angle N6-Fe-N8 | 97.94 | 96.82 | 97.76 | Angle N7-Fe-N8 | 95.41 | 94.47 | 95.82 | Angle N7-Fe-N8 | 92.97 | 92.36 | 90.38 |
| Structural parameter | C4 |  |  | Structural parameter | C5 |  |  | Structural parameter | C6 |  |  |
|  | EXP | HS | LS |  | EXP | HS | LS |  | EXP | HS | LS |
| Fe-N2 | 2.184 | 2.147 | 1.985 | Fe-N2 | 2.171 | 2.137 | 1.982 | Fe-N2 | 1.965 | 1.995 | 1.974 |
| Fe-N3 | 2.303 | 2.383 | 2.078 | Fe-N3 | 2.294 | 2.376 | 2.075 | Fe-N3 | 2.035 | 2.347 | 2.079 |
| Fe-N4 | 2.210 | 2.165 | 2.019 | Fe-N4 | 2.207 | 2.166 | 2.023 | Fe-N5 | 1.970 | 2.062 | 2.032 |
| Fe-N5 | 2.217 | 2.165 | 2.022 | Fe-N5 | 2.205 | 2.166 | 2.021 | Fe-N6 | 1.936 | 2.075 | 1.920 |
| Fe-N6 | 2.058 | 1.876 | 1.839 | Fe-N6 | 2.065 | 1.913 | 1.858 | Fe-N7 | 1.952 | 2.347 | 1.979 |
| Fe-N7 | 2.095 | 2.193 | 1.848 | Fe-N7 | 2.091 | 2.243 | 1.868 | Fe-N8 | 1.967 | 2.061 | 2.032 |
| Angle N7-Fe-N6 | 96.34 | 92.46 | 98.21 | Angle N6-Fe-N7 | 95.03 | 94.38 | 95.41 | Angle N6-Fe-N7 | 89.85 | 93.70 | 89.73 |

## B3LYP



B3LYP*


Figure S59: Optimized structure with B3LYP \& B3LYP* hybrid functional along with some selected bond parameters for complexes C1 and C4. Colour code: Orange $=\mathrm{Fe}$ (Iron), Purple $=\mathrm{N}$ (Nitrogen), Red $=\mathrm{O}$ (Oxygen), Grey $=\mathrm{C}$ (Carbon), Pale yellow $=\mathrm{S}$ (Sulfur) and hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.
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Figure S60: Optimized structure with B3LYP \& B3LYP* hybrid functional along with some selected bond parameters for complexes C2 and C5. Colour code: Orange $=$ Fe (Iron), Purple $=\mathrm{N}$ (Nitrogen), Red $=\mathrm{O}$ (Oxygen), Grey $=\mathrm{C}$ (Carbon), Yellow $=\mathrm{Se}$ (Selenium), and hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.


Figure S61: Energy difference in $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ between two spin states computed with B3LYP for all six complexes.



Figure S62: Spin density diagram for complex C1, C2, C4, and C5 in High Spin state with B3LYP* optimized geometry.
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