Supporting Information

Step-scheme photocatalyst of CsPbBr₃/BiOBr with oxygen vacancy

for efficient CO₂ photoreduction

Wanjun Sun,^{*a,c} Jifei Liu,^a Feitian ran,^a Na Li,^{*a} Zengpeng Li,^c Yuanyuan Li,^{*b} Kai Wang^{*a}

^a School of New Energy and Power Engineering, Lanzhou Jiaotong University, Lanzhou, Gansu, 730070, China

^b Department of Biological and Chemical Engineering, Chongqing University of Education, Chongqing 400067, China.

^c Key Laboratory of Solar Power System Engineering, Jiuquan Vocational and Technical College, Jiuquan, 735000, China

Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: wanjunsun@mail.lzjtu.cn, lin19@lzu.edu.cn, liyy@cque.edu.cn, wangk@mail.lzjtu.cn

Materials

Bismuth nitrate pentahydrate (Bi(NO₃)₃·5H₂O) and ethylene glycol ((CH₂OH)₂) were purchased from Aladdin. Potassium bromide (KBr), polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP, M.W. 30K), CsBr, PbBr₂, oleylamine, oleic acid and N, N-Dimethylformamide (DMF) were obtained from Energy Chemical Reagent. All chemicals were of analytical grade purity.

Characterization

The crystalline phase of the samples was characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD, Bruker D8 X, Germany) which was equipped with Cu-Kα. The morphology and microstructure of the as-prepared samples were detected by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Zeiss Gemini 500) and transmission electron microscope (TEM) (FEI Tecnai F30). Elemental analysis of the sample was determined on TJA ICP-atomic emission spectrometer (IRIS Advantage ER/S). High resolution X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) data were measured using Axis Supra® and the binding energy of all the elements were corrected by the C 1s peak (284.8 eV). UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectra (UV-vis DRS) were recorded on a Shimadzu UV-2600 spectrophotometer equipped with integrating spheres. The electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectra were obtained on a Bruker EMXPlus Spectrometer.

Photoelectrochemical measurements

The working electrode was fluorine doped tin oxide (FTO) glass coated with sample aqueous solution. First, 5 mg of catalyst was dispersed in 1 mL of tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate $(TBAPF_6)/ethyl$ acetate solution by sonication for 1 h to obtain a slurry. Then, 100 μ L slurry were dropped onto a 1 cm \times 2 cm FTO glass electrode. The FTO glass was dried at 60 °C for 30 min. Afterwards, 10 µL 0.5% Nafion was deposited on the working electrode adhesion. All to enhance improve the photoelectrochemical measurements were performed on a CHI 660D workstation (CH Instruments Co.) under irradiation of a 300 W Xe lamp (AM1.5G, and 100 mW cm⁻²) at open circuit voltage. A standard three-electrode cell was employed with a Pt wire as the counter electrode and an Ag/AgCl electrode as the reference electrode. 0.05 Μ tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate $(TBAPF_6)/ethyl acetate solution was filled in the quartz cell as the$ electrolyte. I-t curves were carried out at a bias potential of 0.1 V vs. Ag/AgCl. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was conducted under a frequency range of $0.01-10^5$ Hz.

Calculation of the lifetime of the photogenerated carrier

The decay curves obtained from TRPL can be well fitted by the following three-exponential equation:

$$I_{(t)} = I_{(0)} + A_1 exp(-t/\tau_1) + A_2 exp(-t/\tau_2) + A_3 exp(-t/\tau_3)$$

where the I_0 represents the baseline correction value, A₁, A₂, and A₃ are the pre-exponential factors, and τ_1 , τ_2 represent the lifetime of the radiant energy transfer process (ns), while τ_3 represents the lifetime of the non-radiative energy transfer process (ns). The average lifetime (τ_{ave}) can be calculated according to the following equation:

$$\tau_{\text{ave}} = (A_1 \tau^2_1 + A_2 \tau^2_2 + A_3 \tau^2_3) / (A_1 \tau_1 + A_2 \tau_2 + A_3 \tau_3)$$

Computational detail

DFT calculations were conducted through the Vienna ab initio Simulation Package (VASP) with the projector augment wave method [1, 2]. Generalized gradient approximation of the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) functional was used as the exchange-correlation functional [3]. The Brillouin zone was sampled with $2 \times 2 \times 1$ K points for surface calculation [4]. The cutoff energy was set as 500 eV, and structure relaxation was performed until the convergence criteria of energy and force reached 1×10^{-5} eV and 0.02 eV Å⁻¹, respectively. A vacuum layer of 15 Å was constructed to eliminate interactions between periodic structures of surface models. The van der Waals (vdW) interaction was amended by the zero damping DFT-D3 method of Grimme [5].

The adsorption energy (ΔE_{ads}) of adsorbate adsorption on surface is defined as: $\Delta E_{ads} = E(*adsorbate) - E(*) - E(adsorbate)$

where E(*adsorbate) and E(*) are the total energy of surface systems with and without adsorbate, respectively, E(adsorbate) is the energy of an isolated adsorbate. According to this definition, negative adsorption energy suggests that the adsorption process is exothermic and the adsorption system is thermodynamically stable. Contrarily, a positive value corresponds to an endothermic and unstable adsorption.

In-situ DRIFT measurements

In-situ DRIFT experiment was performed on a Bruker Vertex 70 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectrometer. The prepared sample was placed in a reaction cell and pretreated with argon gas at 150 °C for 30 min to remove impurities. Then the reaction cell was cooled to room temperature, the background spectrum was taken, and then the mixture of CO₂ and water vapor was passed into the reaction cell for adsorption. After 20 min, 300 W Xe lamp with an optical cutoff filter ($\lambda > 420$ nm) were applied to irradiate, and FTIR spectra were collected over time.

Femtosecond transient absorption (fs-TA) measurements

For fs-TA spectroscopy, the laser source was a Coherent Legend Elite regenerative amplifier (<110 fs, 1 KHz, 800 nm) that was seeded by a Coherent Chameleon oscillator (75 fs, 80 MHz). The white probe pulses in 330-600 nm range were generated on a CaF2 crystal excited by partial of the 800-nm laser beam from the amplifier. The 300 nm and 440 nm pump pulse were generated from a Light Conversion OPerA-Solo optical parametric amplifier (285-2600 nm). The polarization between 300-nm pump and probe was set at the magic angle of 54.7° or the pump was directly depolarized (for pump>350 nm) to remove the artifacts of orientational relaxation. The data were fitted using Surface Xplore software (Ultrafast Systems, LLC). The samples were stored in a quartz cuvette for TA measurement. All of the measurements were performed at room temperature unless otherwise specified.



Fig. S1. EDS mappings of CsPbBr₃/BiOBr-Ov.



Fig. S2. The SEM images of (a) BiOBr-Ov and (b) CsPbBr₃/BiOBr-Ov-2, respectively.



Fig. S3. XPS spectra of survey scan of CsPbBr₃, BiOBr-Ov and CsPbBr₃/BiOBr-Ov-2, respectively.



Fig. S4. Top view the optimized (a) BiOBr, (b) BiOBr-Ov adsorbed with CO₂.



Fig. S5. CO₂ photoreduction performance under different conditions.



Fig. S6. Time-dependent of photocatalytic (a) CO and (b) CH₄ production over CsPbBr₃, BiOBr, and BiOBr-Ov, respectively.



Fig. S7. GC-MS spectra analysis of ¹³CO₂ to ¹³CH₄ and ¹³CO using CsPbBr₃/BiOBr-Ov-2 as a photocatalyst.



Fig. S8. Gas chromatogram and mass spectra (GC-MS) analysis for solar-driven oxidation of $H_2^{18}O$ to ${}^{18}O_2$ (m/z = 36) using CsPbBr₃/BiOBr-Ov-2 as a photocatalyst.



Fig. S9. (a) XRD patterns and (b) UV-vis DRS spectra of CsPbBr₃/BiOBr-Ov-2 before and after cycling experiment.



Fig. S10. (a) Transient photocurrent measurement and (b) EIS plot for as-prepared CsPbBr₃/BiOBr-Ov sample.



Fig. S11. Steady-state PL spectra of BiOBr-Ov.



Fig. S12. UV–visible diffuse reflectance spectra of BiOBr, BiOBr-Ov, CsPbBr₃ and CsPbBr₃/BiOBr-Ov-2, respectively.



Fig. S13. Tauc-plot of (a) CsPbBr3 and (b) BiOBr-Ov, respectively.



Fig. S14. The work functions of BiOBr.



Fig. S15. XPS valence band spectra of (a) CsPbBr₃ and (b) BiOBr-Ov, respectively.



Fig. S16. Representative spectra at different delay time for the CsPbBr₃ QDs (a), CsPbBr₃/BiOBr-Ov-2 (b) in the range of 500 fs-5 ns. The kinetic traces of CsPbBr₃ QDs for 515 nm (c) and CsPbBr₃/BiOBr-Ov-2 for 523 nm (d) excited at 300 nm.

Sample	E(*) (eV)	$E(CO_2)$ (eV)	E(*CO ₂) (eV)	$\Delta E_{ads}(eV)$
BiOBr	-247.767	-22.9548	-270.924	-0.20205
BiOBr-Ov	-239.621	-22.9538	-262.947	-0.37069

Table S1. The calculated CO₂ adsorption energy values on surfaces of BiOBr and BiOBr-Ov.

photocatalyst	Light source	Reduction medium	Pr (µme CO	oducts ol g ⁻¹ h ⁻¹) CH4	$\begin{array}{c} R_{electron} \\ (\mu mol \\ g^{-1} h^{-1}) \end{array}$	Reference
SnS ₂ /CsPbBr ₃	Xe lamp (λ=300– 800 nm)	H ₂ O	1.98		3.96	[6]
CPB@ZIF-8		EA/H ₂ O	1.52	5.43	15.5	[7]
TiO ₂ /CsPbBr ₃	300 W Xe lamp (UV-vis light)	ACN/H ₂ O	9.02		18.99	[8]
CsPbBr ₃ @ZIF-67	AM 1.5G, 150 mW cm ⁻²	gas solid phase, water	0.77	3.51	29.63	[9]
CsPbBr ₃ /GO	100 W Xe lamp, (AM 1.5G filter)	Liquid-solid, ethyl acete	4.89	2.47	29.8	[10]
CsPbBr ₃ /NiFe-LDH			13.14		39.58	[11]
CsPbBr ₃ /Au/TiO ₂	A 300 W Xe lamp	H_2O	17.27	1.22	44.3	[12]
CsPbBr ₃ /In ₂ O ₃	300 W Xe lamp (AM 1.5G filter)	EA/H ₂ O	12.50	3.87	55.96	[13]
BiOBr/Zn(OH) ₂	300 W Xe lamp (λ>420 nm)	Liquid-solid, water	5.4		10.8	[14]
BiOCl/BiOBr	380 nm	Liquid-solid, water	7.4		14.8	[15]
BiOBr/NH2-UiO-66	300 W Xe lamp	Liquid-solid, water	9.19		18.38	[16]
BiOBr/TCN	300 W Xe lamp (λ>400 nm)	Liquid-solid, water	10.89		21.78	[17]
Co-BiOBr	300 W Xe lamp (λ>420 nm)	Liquid-solid, water	11.71		23.42	[18]
AgBr/BiOBr	300 W Xe lamp (λ>420 nm)	Liquid-solid, water	12.43		24.86	[19]
Bi19S27Br3/BiOBr	300 W Xe lamp	Liquid-solid, water	19.83		39.66	[20]
CsPbBr ₃ /BiOBr-Ov-2	300 W Xe lamp (100 mW cm ⁻²)	EA/H ₂ O	23.8	3.6	76.4	This work

Table S2. Summary of the CsPbBr3 and BiOBr-based photocatalysts CO2 reduction.

Refences

[1] P.E. Blöchl, Projector augmented-wave method, *Phys. Rev. B*, **1994**, *50*, 17953-17979.

[2] G. Kresse, D. Joubert, From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmentedwave method, *Phys. Rev. B*, **1999**, *59*, 1758-1775.

[3] J.P. Perdew, K. Burke, M. Ernzerhof, Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **1996**, *77*, 3865-3868.

[4] V. Wang, N. Xu, J.-C. Liu, G. Tang, W.-T. Geng, VASPKIT: A user-friendly interface facilitating high-throughput computing and analysis using VASP code, *Computer Physics Communications*, **2021**, *267*, 108033.

[5] S. Grimme, S. Ehrlich, L. Goerigk, Effect of the damping function in dispersion corrected density functional theory, **2011**, *32*, 1456-1465.

[6] R. Zhang, L. Li, Y. Li, D. Wang, Y. Lin, T. Xie, Z-scheme SnS₂/CsPbBr₃ Heterojunctions for Photoreduction CO₂ Reaction and Related Photoinduced Carrier Behaviors, *Solar RRL*, **2022**, *6*, 2200536.

[7] Y. Xi, X. Zhang, Y. Shen, W. Dong, Z. Fan, K. Wang, S. Zhong, S. Bai, Aspect ratio dependent photocatalytic enhancement of CsPbBr₃ in CO₂ reduction with twodimensional metal organic framework as a cocatalyst, *Appl. Catal. B Environ.*, **2021**, *297*, 120411.

[8] F. Xu, K. Meng, B. Cheng, S. Wang, J. Xu, J. Yu, Unique S-scheme heterojunctions in self-assembled TiO₂/CsPbBr₃ hybrids for CO₂ photoreduction, *Nat. Commun.*, **2020**, *11*, 4613.

[9] Z.-C. Kong, J.-F. Liao, Y.-J. Dong, Y.-F. Xu, H.-Y. Chen, D.-B. Kuang, C.-Y. Su, Core@Shell CsPbBr₃@Zeolitic Imidazolate Framework Nanocomposite for Efficient Photocatalytic CO₂ Reduction, *ACS Energy Lett.*, **2018**, *3*, 2656-2662.

[10] Y.F. Xu, M.Z. Yang, B.X. Chen, X.D. Wang, H.Y. Chen, D.B. Kuang, C.Y. Su, A CsPbBr₃ perovskite quantum dot/graphene oxide composite for photocatalytic CO₂ reduction, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2017**, *139*, 5660-5663.

[11] H. Sun, R. Tang, X. Zhang, S. Zhang, W. Yang, L. Wang, W. Liang, F. Li, R.

Zheng, J. Huang, Interfacial energy band engineered CsPbBr₃/NiFe-LDH heterostructure catalysts with tunable visible light driven photocatalytic CO₂ reduction capability, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2023, 13, 1154-1163.

[12] W. Song, K.C. Chong, G. Qi, Y. Xiao, G. Chen, B. Li, Y. Tang, X. Zhang, Y. Yao, Z. Lin, Z. Zou, B. Liu, Unraveling the Transformation from Type-II to Z-Scheme in Perovskite-Based Heterostructures for Enhanced Photocatalytic CO₂ Reduction, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2024, 146, 3303-3314.

[13] J. Ding, X. Deng, J. Fan, Y. Wang, Z. Li, Q. Liang, Embedding CsPbBr₃ Quantum Dots into an In₂O₃ Nanotube for Selective Photocatalytic CO₂ Reduction to Hydrocarbon Fuels, Inorg. Chem., 2023, 62, 16493-16502.

[14] W. Qin, Q. Yang, H. Ye, Y. Xie, Z. Shen, Y. Guo, Y. Deng, Y. Ling, J. Yu, G. Luo, N. Raza, W. Raza, J. Zhao, Novel 2D/2D BiOBr/Zn(OH)2 photocatalysts for efficient photoreduction CO₂, Separation and Purification Technology, **2023**, 306, 122721.

[15] Y. Wu, M. Xu, Y. Wang, B. Hu, Y. Xie, Y. Ling, BiOCl/BiOBr composites for efficient photocatalytic carbon dioxide reduction, Applied Catalysis A: General, 2024, 677, 119708.

[16] J. Liu, W. Qin, Y. Wang, Q. Xu, Y. Xie, Y. Chen, Y. Dai, W. Zhang, NH₂-UiO-66 modification BiOBr enhancement photoreduction CO2 to CO, Separation and Purification Technology, 2024, 344, 127289.

[17] W. Tao, Q. Tang, J. Hu, Z. Wang, B. Jiang, Y. Xiao, R. Song, S. Guo, Construction of a hierarchical BiOBr/C₃N₄ S-scheme heterojunction for selective photocatalytic CO₂ reduction towards CO, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2023, 11, 24999-25007. [18] Y. Xie, Y. Wang, Y. Zhou, F. Wang, Y. Xu, S. Rao, J. Zhao, Cation exchange strategy to construct Co-doped BiOBr nanosheets for high-efficient photocatalytic CO₂ to CO, Applied Catalysis A: General, 2024, 669, 119504.

[19] Y. Xie, Y. Zhou, C. Gao, L. Liu, Y. Zhang, Y. Chen, Y. Shao, Construction of AgBr/BiOBr S-scheme heterojunction using ion exchange strategy for high-efficiency reduction of CO₂ to CO under visible light, Separation and Purification Technology, 2022, 303, 122288.

[20] J. Zhao, M. Xue, M. Ji, B. Wang, Y. Wang, Y. Li, Z. Chen, H. Li, J. Xia,

"Electron collector" Bi₁₉S₂₇Br₃ nanorod-enclosed BiOBr nanosheet for efficient CO₂ photoconversion, *Chin. J. Catal.*, **2022**, *43*, 1324-1330.