## Supporting Information:

# Complexation and Disproportionation of Group 4 Metal (Alkoxy) Halides with Phosphine Oxides

Carlotta Seno, Rohan Pokratath, Ajmal Roshan Unniram Parambil, Dietger Van den Eynden, Evert Dhaene, Alessandro Prescimone, and Jonathan De Roo\*

Department of Chemistry, University of Basel, Mattenstrasse 22, 4058 Basel, Switzerland

E-mail: Jonathan.DeRoo@unibas.ch

## Crystallographic data



Figure S1: Crystal structures of the synthesized metal halide complexes captured with Single Crystal XRD. The hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Table S1: Comparison of bond lengths in the adducts of metal halides with Lewis bases (THF or TPPO).

|   | M-X [Å]                | M-O [Å]   |  | M-X [Å]                 | M-O [Å]   |  |  |
|---|------------------------|-----------|--|-------------------------|-----------|--|--|
| cis-TiF <sub>4</sub> (THF) <sub>2</sub> <sup>S1,b</sup>                           | 1.789(1), 1.792(1)     | 2.110(2)  | cis-TiF <sub>4</sub> (TPPO) <sub>2</sub> <sup>S1,b</sup> | 1.805, 1.840            | 2.039     |  |  |
|   | 1.809(1), 1.810(1)     | 2.132(1)  |  |                         |           |  |  |
| cis-TiCl <sub>4</sub> (THF) <sub>2</sub> <sup>S2,b</sup>                          | 2.2514(5), 2.2617(6)   | 2.108(1)  |  |                         |           |  |  |
|   | 2.2918(5), 2.2945(5)   | 2.110(1)  |  |                         |           |  |  |
| $trans-\mathrm{TiCl}_4(\mathrm{THF})_2^{\mathrm{S3},b}$                           | 2.408, 2.4018          | 2.083     | $trans-\mathrm{TiCl}_4(\mathrm{TPPO})_2^{\mathrm{S4},c}$ | 2.3283, 2.3336          | 1.923     |  |  |
|   |                        |           | $trans-\mathrm{TiCl}_4(\mathrm{TPPO})_2^a$               | 2.3276(11) $2.3361(13)$ | 1.932(4)  |  |  |
|   |                        |           | $trans-\mathrm{ZrF}_4(\mathrm{TPPO})_2^{\mathrm{S5},b}$  | 1.975, 1.987            | 2.116     |  |  |
| cis-ZrCl <sub>4</sub> (THF) <sub>2</sub> <sup>S6,c</sup>                          | 2.389(4), 2.397(4)     | 2.228(7)  | trans-ZrCl <sub>4</sub> (TPPO) <sub>2</sub> <sup>a</sup> | 2.4472(17), 2.4581(18)  | 2.062(5)  |  |  |
|   | 2.422(3), 2.425(3)     | 2.237(8)  |  |                         |           |  |  |
| trans-ZrBr <sub>4</sub> (THF) <sub>2</sub> <sup>a</sup>                           | 2.5816(18), 2.5830(17) | 2.178(10) | trans-ZrBr <sub>4</sub> (TPPO) <sub>2</sub> <sup>a</sup> | 2.588(3), 2.622(3)      | 2.086(13) |  |  |
|   |                        |           |  | 2.629(3), 2.643(3)      | 2.103(13) |  |  |
| cis-HfCl <sub>4</sub> (THF) <sub>2</sub> <sup>S7,c</sup>                          | 2.363(4), 2.377(4)     | 2.194(8)  | $trans-HfCl_4(TPPO)_2^a$                                 | 2.4350(19), 2.445(2)    | 2.065(5)  |  |  |
|   | 2.388(4), 2.401(3)     | 2.197(8)  |  |                         |           |  |  |
| a this work measured at 150K b measured between 100 and 122K c measured at record |                        |           |  |                         |           |  |  |

<sup>*a*</sup> this work, measured at 150K, <sup>*b*</sup> measured between 100 and 123K, <sup>*c*</sup> measured at room temperature.

| Structure                      | $\operatorname{ZrCl}_4(\operatorname{TPPO})_2$                                   | $TiCl_4(TPPO)_2$   | $\mathrm{HfCl}_4(\mathrm{TPPO})_2$  | $\operatorname{ZrBr}_4(\operatorname{TPPO})_2$                                   | $\operatorname{ZrBr}_4(\operatorname{THF})_2$ |
|--------------------------------|--|--|---|--|---|
| CCDC                           | 2219601  | 2219602  | 2219605   | 2219604  | 2219606                                       |
| Formula                        | $\mathrm{C}_{36}\mathrm{H}_{30}\mathrm{Cl}_4\mathrm{O}_2\mathrm{P}_2\mathrm{Zr}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{36}\mathrm{H}_{30}\mathrm{Cl}_4\mathrm{O}_2\mathrm{P}_2\mathrm{Ti}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{36}\mathrm{H}_{30}\mathrm{Cl}_{4}\mathrm{HfO}_{2}\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{36}\mathrm{H}_{30}\mathrm{Br}_4\mathrm{O}_2\mathrm{P}_2\mathrm{Zr}$ | $\mathrm{C_8H_{16}Br_4O_2Zr}$                 |
| $D_{calc.}$ g cm <sup>-3</sup> | 1.481  | 1.439  | 1.647   | 1.775  | 2.41  |
| $\mu/\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$         | 6.427  | 6.096  | 9.344   | 8.743  | 17.995  |
| Formula Weight/ $g \mod^{-1}$  | 789.56   | 746.24   | 876.83  | 967.4  | 555.07  |
| Colour                         | colourless   | yellow   | colourless  | colourless   | colourless                                    |
| Shape                          | block-shaped   | plate-shaped   | block-shaped  | block-shaped   | plate-shaped                                  |
| Size/mm <sup>3</sup>           | $0.17{\times}0.16{\times}0.15$   | $0.23 \times 0.183 \times 0.1$   | $0.18{	imes}0.143{	imes}0.11$   | $0.80{	imes}0.33{	imes}0.08$   | $0.26{	imes}0.18{	imes}0.07$                  |
| T/K                            | 150  | 150  | 150   | 150  | 150   |
| Crystal System                 | triclinic  | triclinic  | triclinic   | monoclinic   | triclinic                                     |
| Flack Parameter                |  |  |   | 0.04(4)  |   |
| Hooft Parameter                |  |  |   | 0.085(7)   |   |
| Space Group                    | <i>P</i> -1  | <i>P</i> -1  | <i>P</i> -1   | $P2_1$   | <i>P</i> -1                                   |
| a/Å                            | 9.4661(4)  | 9.4279(3)  | 9.4917(3)   | 9.9980(3)  | 7.1420(7)                                     |
| b/Å                            | 9.5612(4)  | 9.5623(3)  | 9.5535(3)   | 15.5366(5)   | 7.5304(8)                                     |
| c/Å                            | 10.5356(4)   | 10.3638(3)   | 10.5075(3)  | 11.8659(3)   | 8.0799(8)                                     |
| $\alpha/^{\circ}$              | 101.126(3)   | 102.086(2)   | 101.253(2)  | 90   | 87.085(8)                                     |
| $\beta/^{\circ}$               | 107.899(3)   | 108.255(2)   | 108.000(2)  | 100.875(2)   | 68.311(8)                                     |
| $\gamma/^{\circ}$              | 92.429(3)  | 92.847(2)  | 92.173(3)   | 90   | 71.788(8)                                     |
| $\dot{V}/\dot{A}^3$            | 885.05(6)  | 860.92(5)  | 883.81(5)   | 1810.09(9)   | 382.53(7)                                     |
| Z                              | 1  | 1  | 1   | 2  | 1   |
| Wavelength/Å                   | 1.54186  | 1.54186  | 1.54186   | 1.54186  | 1.54186                                       |
| Radiation type                 | Cu K <sub>a</sub>  | Cu K <sub>a</sub>  | Cu Ka   | Cu Ka  | Cu Ka   |
| $\Theta_{min}/^{\circ}$        | 4.518  | 4.625  | 4.746   | 3.793  | 7.312   |
| $\Theta_{max}/^{\circ}$        | 72.804   | 72.884   | 73.121  | 72.911   | 73.062  |
| Measured Refl's                | 16749  | 15120  | 14940   | 23579  | 6412  |
| Indep't Refl's                 | 3432   | 3380   | 3442  | 6613   | 1496  |
| Befl's $I \ge 2 \sigma(I)$     | 3120   | 3038   | 3300  | 5850   | 1079  |
| Rint                           | 0.0353   | 0.0453   | 0.0253  | 0.0446   | 0.0482  |
| Parameters                     | 206  | 206  | 206   | 407  | 70  |
| Restraints                     | 0  | 0  | 0   | 433  | 60  |
| Largest Peak                   | 1.15   | 0.72   | 1.2   | 1.772  | 1.089   |
| Deepest Hole                   | -1.27  | -0.72  | -1.42   | -1.607   | -0.914  |
| GooF                           | 0.903  | 0.916  | 1.036   | 1.091  | 1.1   |
| $wR_2$ (all data)              | 0.1820   | 0.1991   | 0.1588  | 0.2455   | 0.1949  |
| $wB_2$                         | 0.1668   | 0.1865   | 0.1514  | 0.2181   | 0.1752  |
| $R_1$ (all data)               | 0.0979   | 0.0925   | 0.0710  | 0.1117   | 0.1156  |
| in (an array)                  | 0.0010   | 0.0020   | 0.0001  | 0.0000   | 0.0040  |

Table S2: Crystallographic parameters for the reported crystal structures.

## Characterization of Single Crystals

#### $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR and $^{31}\mathrm{P}$ NMR



Figure S2: Room temperature A) <sup>1</sup>H NMR B) <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra of the newly reported compounds. Note that all compounds are very poorly soluble.

#### Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA)

Table S3: TGA Analysis of the newly synthesized compounds. The larger deviation from the ideal weight loss is likely due to the formation of zirconium phosphate. The complete weight loss for the titanium complex is attributed to the volatile nature of  $TiCl_4$ .

| Starting                                       | MW           | Residual      | Weight | Hypothesized     | MW residual  | theoretical       | Error      |
|--|--------------|---------------|--------|------------------|--------------|-------------------|------------|
| compound                                       | $g mol^{-1}$ | weight TGA    | loss   | residual species | $g mol^{-1}$ | residual weight   |            |
| $\operatorname{TiCl}_4(\operatorname{TPPO})_2$ | 746.24       | 0.02~%        | 99.98% | ${\rm TiO}_2$    | 79.87        | 9.1%              | $455 \ \%$ |
| $\operatorname{ZrCl}_4(\operatorname{TPPO})_2$ | 789.56       | 16.8~%        | 83.2%  | $\rm ZrO_2$      | 123.22       | 15.6%             | 7 %        |
| $HfCl_4(TPPO)_2$                               | 876.83       | 24.2~%        | 75.8%  | $HfO_2$          | 210.49       | $\mathbf{24.0\%}$ | 1 %        |
| $\operatorname{ZrBr}_4(\operatorname{TPPO})_2$ | 967.40       | 13.9~%        | 86.1%  | $\rm ZrO_2$      | 123.22       | 12.7%             | 9~%        |
| $\operatorname{ZrBr}_4(\operatorname{THF})_2$  | 555.07       | <b>23.1</b> % | 76.9%  | $ZrO_2$          | 123.22       | 22.2%             | 4 %        |

#### Infrared Spectroscopy



Figure S3: IR spectra acquired and baseline corrected with the software OPUS 8.5 of the newly reported complexes A)  $\text{TiCl}_4(\text{TPPO})_2$  B)  $\text{ZrCl}_4(\text{TPPO})_2$  C)  $\text{HfCl}_4(\text{TPPO})_2$  D)  $\text{ZrBr}_4(\text{TPPO})_2$  E)  $\text{ZrBr}_4(\text{THF})_2$ 

#### Powder XRD



Figure S4: Powder XRD patterns simulated (with  $\lambda = 1.542$  Å) from the single crystal data and of the bulk material of the newly reported compounds A) TiCl<sub>4</sub>(TPPO)<sub>2</sub> B) ZrCl<sub>4</sub>(TPPO)<sub>2</sub> C) HfCl<sub>4</sub>(TPPO)<sub>2</sub> D) ZrBr<sub>4</sub>(TPPO)<sub>2</sub> E) ZrBr<sub>4</sub>(THF)<sub>2</sub>.

## Confirmation of the chemical shifts for $MCl_4(TOPO)_2$ complexes



Figure S5: <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum at room temperature of  $TiCl_4(TPPO)_2$  with 1 equivalent of TOPO with respect to the metal.



Figure S6: <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum at room temperature of  $\text{ZrCl}_4(\text{TPPO})_2$  with 1 equivalent of TOPO with respect to the metal.



Figure S7: <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum at room temperature of  $HfCl_4(TPPO)_2$  with 1 equivalent of TOPO with respect to the metal.

#### Quantitative fit of the Job plot

We pursued the steady-state fitting of the Job plot with the software Copasi. For each Job plot, i.e. for each zirconium chloroalkoxide species, we created an input file (.txt) containing the equilibrium concentrations for the five different mole fractions in the Job plot, (reported in Tables S4, S5, S6). In the input file, the first line describes the identity of the species and the other five report the concentration in mol/L for each equilibrium condition. Each equilibrium corresponds to a different <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum (see Figures S8B, S9B and S10B). The concentration of all species was determined as described below.

Table S4: Input file used to fit the Job Plots of  $\operatorname{ZrCl}_3(O^i Pr)$  in Figure 3. The concentrations of the species considered in the fittings are reported in mol/L. TOPO is abbreviated with T.

| Equ                           | ilibrium co                   | oncentration (mol  | Initial concentration (mol/L) |  |         |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|---------|
| $\mathrm{ZrCl}_4\mathrm{T}_1$ | $\mathrm{ZrCl}_4\mathrm{T}_2$ | $\operatorname{ZrCl}_3(\operatorname{O}^i\operatorname{Pr})\operatorname{T}_2$ | free T                        | $\operatorname{ZrCl}_3(O^i \operatorname{Pr})$ | free T  |
| 0.01550                       | 0.01208                       | 0  | 0                             | 0.18967  | 0.03967 |
| 0.00800                       | 0.02900                       | 0  | 0                             | 0.14933  | 0.06600 |
| 0                             | 0.03800                       | 0.01283  | 0                             | 0.10167  | 0.10167 |
| 0                             | 0.00100                       | 0.05467  | 0.03150                       | 0.05950  | 0.14283 |
| 0                             | 0.00075                       | 0.03183  | 0.11783                       | 0.03300  | 0.18300 |

|                 | Equilibrium conc       | Initial concentration (mol/L)  |         |  |         |
|-----------------|------------------------|--|---------|--|---------|
| $\rm ZrCl_4T_2$ | $\rm ZrCl_3(O^iPr)T_2$ | $\operatorname{ZrCl}_2(\operatorname{O}^i\operatorname{Pr})_2\operatorname{T}_2$ | free T  | $\operatorname{ZrCl}_2(O^i \operatorname{Pr})_2$ | free T  |
| 0.00508         | 0.00333                | 0  | 0       | 0.18342  | 0.01683 |
| 0.00325         | 0.01825                | 0  | 0       | 0.14650  | 0.04300 |
| 0               | 0.04183                | 0.01425  | 0       | 0.11858  | 0.11217 |
| 0               | 0.00242                | 0.05675  | 0.03450 | 0.07642  | 0.15283 |
| 0               | 0.00175                | 0.03258  | 0.12217 | 0.04542  | 0.19083 |

Table S5: Input file used to fit the Job Plots of  $\text{ZrCl}_2(\text{O}^i\text{Pr})_2$  in Figure 3. The concentrations of the species considered in the fittings are reported in mol/L. TOPO is abbreviated with T.

Table S6: Input file used to fit the Job Plots of  $\operatorname{ZrCl}(O^i \operatorname{Pr})_3$  in Figure 3. The concentrations of the species considered in the fittings are reported in mol/L. TOPO is abbreviated with T.

| Equ                    | uilibrium concentra  | Initial concentration (mol/L)           |         |   |         |
|------------------------|--|---|---------|---|---------|
| $\rm ZrCl_3(O^iPr)T_2$ | $\operatorname{ZrCl}_2(\operatorname{O}^i\operatorname{Pr})_2\operatorname{T}_2$ | $\operatorname{ZrCl}(O^{i}Pr)_{3}T_{2}$ | free T  | $\operatorname{ZrCl}(O^{i}\operatorname{Pr})_{3}$ | free T  |
| 0.00625                | 0.00133  | 0                                       | 0       | 0.16517   | 0.01517 |
| 0.00592                | 0.01308  | 0                                       | 0       | 0.12133   | 0.03800 |
| 0                      | 0.03917  | 0.00375                                 | 0.00833 | 0.09417   | 0.09417 |
| 0                      | 0.01767  | 0.02692                                 | 0.06133 | 0.06717   | 0.15050 |
| 0                      | 0.01033  | 0.02158                                 | 0.13117 | 0.0450  | 0.19500 |

Firstly, all the resonances in the <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra are integrated to determine the equilibrium concentrations. The sum of integrals is normalized for the total concentration of TOPO in the solution (see Table 5 in the main text). The majority of the resonances were assigned as shown in Figures S8B, S9B and S10B. However a few small peaks remain unassigned (indicated by a star symbol). For the assigned complexes with two TOPO ligands per zirconium, the concentration of the complex is half of the integral. For the free TOPO resonance and the  $ZrCl_4(TOPO)$  complex, the concentration is equal to the integral.

In the input file, we also tell the COPASI program the initial concentration of the reagents (here: TOPO and  $\operatorname{ZrCl}_{x}(O^{i}Pr)_{4-x}$ ), before equilibration. In principle, these columns would correspond to the values in Table 5 in the main text. However, a minority of resonances in the <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra remained unassigned and thus we slightly corrected the initial concentrations. The corrected initial concentration of TOPO is the sum of the integrals of the assigned peaks in the spectrum. The other peaks represented also species with bound

TOPO, which is therefore unavailable for the equilibria that we are modeling. Also the initial  $\operatorname{ZrCl}_{x}(O^{i}\operatorname{Pr})_{4-x}$  concentration is corrected, by assuming that there is one TOPO molecule bound per Zr atom in the unassigned species. Therefore, the corrected initial concentration of  $\operatorname{ZrCl}_{x}(O^{i}\operatorname{Pr})_{4-x}$  is equal to the theoretical concentration (Table 5) minus the sum of all unassigned species in the <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum. These corrections lead typically to 5-10% difference with the theoretical value.



Figure S8: A)<sup>1</sup>H NMR and B) <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra of  $ZrCl_3(O^iPr)$  with different amounts of TOPO. From the <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra the Job Plot has been calculated.



Figure S9: A)<sup>1</sup>H NMR and B) <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra of  $\text{ZrCl}_2(\text{O}^i\text{Pr})_2$  with different amounts of TOPO. From the <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra the Job Plot has been calculated.



Figure S10: A)<sup>1</sup>H NMR and B) <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra of  $ZrCl(O^iPr)_3$  with different amounts of TOPO. From the <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra the Job Plot has been calculated.

### Complexes with TEPO



Figure S11: <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra at room temperature of  $\text{ZrCl}_2(\text{O}^i\text{Pr})_2$  titrated with TEPO. The ratio of Zr to TEPO is indicated in the figures.



Figure S12: The different possible isomers for the  $\operatorname{ZrCl}_{x}(O^{i}Pr)_{4-x}$  (x=1-3) complexes with THF. The relative energy compared to the most stable isomer is indicated.

## Effect of THF on $ZrCl_3(O^iPr)$



Figure S13: <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra at room temperature of  $\operatorname{ZrCl}_3(O^i Pr)$  with 1 equivalents of TOPO once synthesized from  $\operatorname{Zr}(O^i Pr)_4$  and acetyl chloride, once mixing  $\operatorname{ZrCl}_4(\operatorname{THF})_2$  and  $\operatorname{Zr}(O^i Pr)_4$ .

## Generalization



Figure S14: <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra at room temperature of zirconium isopropoxy bromide complexes with 2 equivalents of TOPO.



Figure S15: <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra at room temperature of zirconium tertbutoxy chloride complexes with 4 equivalents of TOPO.



Figure S16:  $^{31}{\rm P}$  NMR spectra at room temperature of hafnium isopropoxy chloride complexes with 4 equivalents of TOPO.



Figure S17:  $^{31}{\rm P}$  NMR spectra at room temperature of titanium isopropoxy chloride complexes with 4 equivalents of TOPO.

## References

- (S1) Jura, M.; Levason, W.; Petts, E.; Reid, G.; Webster, M.; Zhang, W. Taking TiF<sub>4</sub> complexes to extremes-the first examples with phosphine co-ligands. *Dalton Transactions* 2010, 39, 10264–10271.
- (S2) Nieger, M.; Szarvas, L.; Gudat, D. CSD Communication 2022,
- (S3) Calderazzo, F.; Englert, U.; Pampaloni, G.; Volpe, M. Redox reactions with bis (η6arene) derivatives of early transition metals. *Journal of Organometallic Chemistry* 2005, 690, 3321–3332.
- (S4) Li, M.-F.; Shan, Y.-K. Crystal structure of trans-bis (triphenylphospine oxide) tetrachlorotitanum (IV), TiCl<sub>4</sub>[(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub>PO]<sub>2</sub>. Zeitschrift für Kristallographie-New Crystal Structures 2006, 221, 41–42.
- (S5) Benjamin, S. L.; Levason, W.; Pugh, D.; Reid, G.; Zhang, W. Preparation and structures of coordination complexes of the very hard Lewis acids ZrF<sub>4</sub> and HfF<sub>4</sub>. *Dalton Transactions* **2012**, *41*, 12548–12557.
- (S6) Eberle, M.; Röhr, C. Tetrachlorobis (tetrahydrofuran-O) zirconium (IV). Acta Crystallographica Section C: Crystal Structure Communications 1996, 52, 566–568.
- (S7) Duraj, S.; Towns, R.; Baker, R.; Schupp, J. Structure of cis-tetrachlorobis (tetrahydrofuran) hafnium (IV). Acta Crystallographica Section C: Crystal Structure Communications 1990, 46, 890–892.