Supplementary Information for

Electron-rich pyridines with *para***-N-heterocyclic imine substituents: ligand properties and coordination to CO2, SO2, BCl³ and PdII complexes**

Jonas H. Franzen^a, Lukas F. B. Wilm^b, Philipp Rotering^a, Klaus Wurst^a, Michael Seidl^a, and Fabian Dielmann^a

a Institut für Allgemeine, Anorganische und Theoretische Chemie, Leopold-Franzens-Universität Innsbruck, Innrain 80-82, 6020 Innsbruck (Austria) e-mail: fabian.dielmann@uibk.ac.at homepage: https://www.uibk.ac.at/en/aatc/ag-dielmann/univ-prof-dr-fabian-dielmann/

> b Institut für Anorganische und Analytische Chemie, Universität Münster Corrensstrasse 30, 48149 Münster (Germany)

CONTENTS:

Synthetic Details

General remarks: If not mentioned otherwise, all manipulations were performed under an inert atmosphere of dry argon, using standard Schlenk and drybox techniques. Dry and oxygen-free solvents were employed. 1 H, 11 B, 13 C and ${}^{15}N$ spectra were recorded at 300 K in the solvent indicated on Bruker AVANCE I 400, Bruker AVANCE III 400, Bruker AVANCE II 200, Bruker AVANCE NEO 500 or Bruker AVANCE IV 400 spectrometers. Chemical shifts are given in parts per million (ppm) relative to SiMe_4 (TMS) in CDCl₃ $(^1H, ^{13}C)$, 15% BF₃ x Et₂O in CDCl₃ (¹¹B) or NH₃ (¹⁵N) and were referenced internally to the residual solvent signals. HEP values were referenced to the solvent residual signal of CDCl₃ at 77.7 ppm relative to TMS.^{1–} ³ NMR multiplicities are abbreviated as follows: $s =$ singlet, $d =$ doublet, $t =$ triplet, sept = septet, m = multiplet, br = broad signal. Mass spectra were obtained with an Orbitrap LTQ XL (Thermo Scientific) spectrometer. IR spectra were obtained on a Bruker ALPHA II FT-IR Spectrometer. Intensities of the signals are abbreviated as follows: $w = weak$, $m = medium$, $s = strong$, $vs = very$ strong1,3-Diisopropyl-4,5dimethyl-2-chloroimidazolium tetrafluoroborate⁴, 2,6-dimethyl-4-pyridinamine⁵ and [PdBr₂(BiPr)]₂⁶ were prepared following literature procedures. Sulfur dioxide was purchased from Messer Griesheim GmbH (47805 Krefeld, Germany) as SO_2 3.8 (99.98%). Carbon dioxide was purchased from Westfalen AG (48155) Münster, Germany) as $CO₂ 4.5$ (99.995%). All other compounds were purchased from commercial sources.

Preparation of Pyridines **1** and **2**

Compound 1: 1,3-Diisopropyl-4,5-dimethyl-2-chlorimidazolium tetrafluoroborate 4 (1.00 g, 3.29 mmol,

1.00 eq.), pyridine-4-amine (0.310 g, 3.29 mmol, 1.00 eq.) and KF (1.15 g, 19.8 mmol, 6.00 eq.) were suspended in MeCN (50 mL) and heated up in a pressure tube for 3 d at 160 °C. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool down to room temperature, the solids were filtered off and the volatiles of the filtrate were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was suspended in hot *n*-hexane (2 x 30 mL, 60 °C) and filtered hot. The solution was concentrated by half *in vacuo* and stored at –18 °C yielding **1** as colorless crystals in 82.0% yield (0.795 g, 2.92 mmol).

¹**H NMR** (400.03 MHz, MeCN-*d*₃): δ = 7.83–7.85 (m, 2H, H-2), 6.16–6.18 (m, 2H, H-1), 4.38 (sept, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}}$ = 7.1 Hz, 2H, H-5), 2.16 (s, 6H, H-8), 1.34 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}}$ = 7.1 Hz, 12H, H-6) ppm.

¹³C{¹H} NMR (100.60 MHz, MeCN-*d*3): *δ* = 161.3 (s, C-3), 151.0 (s, C-4), 150.4 (s, C-2), 119.9 (s, C-7), 113.4 (s, C-2), 48.3 (s, C-5), 21.0 (s, C-6), 10.1 (s, C-8) ppm.

2D NMR experiments were performed for the assignment of the resonances.

HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for $[C_{16}H_{25}N_4]^+ (M+H)^+$ 273.20737, found 273.20743.

Figure S1: ¹H NMR spectrum (in MeCN-*d*3, 300 K, 400.03 MHz) of **1**.

Figure S3: 1H-¹³C{¹H} HSQC NMR spectrum (in MeCN-*d*3, 300 K, 400.23 MHz, 100.60 MHz) of **1**.

Figure S4: ${}^{1}H-{}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ HMBC NMR spectrum (in MeCN- d_3 , 300 K, 400.23 MHz, 100.60 MHz) of 1.

Compound 2: 1,3-Di-*tert*-butyl-2-chlorimidazolinium tetrafluoroborate 4 (3.24 g, 10.6 mmol, 1.00 eq.), pyridine-4-amine (1.00 g, 10.6 mmol, 1.00 eq.) and KF (3.70 g, 63.8 mmol, 6.00 eq.) were suspended in MeCN (50 mL) and stirred at 90 °C for 3 d in a pressure tube. While cooling down to room temperature, a colorless solid formed in the reaction mixture. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the product was subsequently extracted with hot *n*-hexane (3 x 20 mL, 60 °C). The combined fractions of *n*-hexane were concentrated by half under reduced pressure and stored at –18 °C yielding **2** as colorless crystals in 72.0% total yield (2.10 g, 7.65 mmol).

¹H NMR (400.03 MHz, C_6D_6) δ = 8.48–8.50 (m, 2H, H-2), 6.49–6.51 (m, 2H, H-1), 2.69 (s, 4H, H-7), 1.09 (s, 18H, C-6) ppm.

¹³C NMR (100.60 MHz, C₆D₆) δ = 158.6 (s, C-4), 157.9 (s, C-3), 150.5 (s, C-2), 115.1 (s, C-1), 55.7 (s, C-5), 42.6 (s, C-7), 28.3 (s, C-6) ppm.

2D NMR experiments were performed for the assignment of the resonances.

HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for $[C_{16}H_{27}N_4]^+$ (M+H)⁺ 275.22302, found 275.2227.

$$
-6 -
$$

Elemental Analysis: calculated (%) for C16N4H26: C 70.03, N 20.42, H 9.55, found C 70.09, N 20.34, H 9.53.

Figure S6: ¹³C{H} NMR spectrum (in C₆D₆, 297 K, 100.60 MHz) of 2.

Figure S7: ¹H-¹³C{¹H} HSQC NMR spectrum (in C₆D₆, 300 K, 400.03 MHz, 100.60 MHz) of 2.

Figure S8: ¹H₋¹³C{¹H} HMBC NMR spectrum (in C₆D₆, 300 K, 400.03 MHz, 100.60 MHz) of 2.

Preparation of Dication **3**

1,3-Diisopropyl-4,5-dimethyl-2-chloroimidazolium tetrafluoroborate 4 (200 mg, 659 µmol, 1.00 eq.), pyridine-4-amine $(62.0 \text{ mg}, 659 \text{ µmol}, 1.00 \text{ eq.})$ and KF $(230 \text{ mg},$ 3.95 mmol, 6.00 eq.) were suspended in MeCN (10 mL), $Et₃N$ (133 mg, 183 µL, 1.32 mmol, 2.00 eq.) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 3 d at room temperature. CHCl₃ (20 mL) was added and the suspension was filtrated. The organic phase was washed with an aqueous solution of NaBF₄ (750 mg in 15 mL H₂O, 10.4 eq.) and subsequently with H₂O $2BF₄$ (10 mL). The volatiles of the organic phase were removed under reduced pressure, the residue was washed with THF (2 x 10 mL) and after drying at 50 °C *in vacuo* for 16 h, **3** was obtained as a light-yellow solid in 41 % yield

(167 mg, 267 µmol).

¹H NMR (400.03 MHz, MeCN-*d*₃): δ = 7.59 (br, 2H, H_{aryl}), 6.78 (br, 1H, CH_{aryl}), 6.25 (br, 1H, CH_{aryl}), 4.49 (sept, ³ *J*HH = 7.1 Hz, 2H, *i*Pr-CH), 4.37 (sept, ³ *J*HH = 7.0 Hz, 2H, *i*Pr-CH), 2.38 (s, 6H, imidazole-CH3), 2.26 (s, 6H, imidazole-CH₃), 1.46 (d, ³J_{HH} = 7.0 Hz, 12H, *i*Pr-CH₃), 1.44 (br, 12H, *i*Pr-CH₃) ppm.

¹³C{¹H} NMR (100.60 MHz, MeCN-*d*₃): δ = 164.7 (s, NC_q(CH_{aryl})₂), 146.7 (s, N₃C_q), 140.9 (s, br, CH_{aryl}), 133.9 (s, N3Cq), 128.7 (s, imidazole-Cq), 123.7 (s, imidazole-Cq), 113.0 (s, br, CHaryl), 53.4 (s, *i*Pr-CH), 50.1 (s, *i*Pr-CH), 21.3 (s, *i*Pr-CH3), 20.5 (s, br, *i*Pr-CH3), 9.9 (s, imidazole-CH3), 9.8 (s, imidazole-CH3) ppm.

¹⁹F NMR (376.44 MHz, MeCN-*d*₃): δ = –151.9 (s)

 19 **F**{¹**H**} **NMR** (376.44 MHz, MeCN-*d*₃): δ = –151.9 (s)

¹¹B NMR (100.60 MHz, MeCN- d_3): $\delta = -1.2$ (s).

¹¹B{¹H} **NMR** (100.60 MHz, MeCN-*d*₃): δ = –1.2(s)

HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for $[C_{27}H_{44}N_6]^{2+}$ (M)²⁺ 226.18082, found 226.18147; calculated $[C_{27}H_{44}N_{6}BF_{4}]^{+}$ (M+BF₄)⁺ 539.36512, found 539.36725.

Figure S9: ¹H NMR spectrum (in MeCN-*d*3, 300 K, 400.03 MHz) of **3**.

Figure S10: ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum (in MeCN- d_3 , 300 K, 100.60 MHz) of 3.

 -151.9

Figure S11: ¹⁹F NMR spectrum (in MeCN-*d*3, 300 K, 376.44 MHz) of **3**.

Figure S12: ¹⁹ F {¹H} NMR spectrum (in MeCN- d_3 , 300 K, 376.44 MHz) of 3.

 -1.2

Figure S13: ¹¹B NMR spectrum (in MeCN-*d*3, 300 K, 128.38 MHz) of **3**.

Figure S14: ¹¹B{¹H} NMR spectrum (in MeCN- d_3 , 300 K, 128.38 MHz) of 3.

Reactions of 1 with $CO₂$

Scheme 1: Reaction of 1 with CO_2 and H_2O to form the bicarbonate salt $[1H]^+HCO_3^-$.

In an NMR tube, a solution of 1 (20.0 mg, 73.3 μ mol, 1.0 eq.) in toluene- d_8 , to which H₂O (2.00 μ L, 110 μ mol, 1.5 eq.) was added, was pressurized with 4 bar CO_2 and shaken vigorously to mix the two phases. Then, the NMR tube was put in an ultrasonic bath for 10 minutes which caused the formation of a voluminous white precipitate. No precipitate formed under the exclusion of H_2O . When carefully heated with a heat gun set to 80 °C, the solid rapidly dissolved releasing CO_2 in the process and reformed when the solution cools down to room temperature. After releasing the excess $CO₂$ from the sealed NMR tube, the bicarbonate salt $[1H]^+ HCO_3^-$ is partially dissolved releasing CO_2 in the process over a period of 16 h.

The synthesis of [1H]⁺HCO₃⁻ was repeated in a PTFE-sealed Schlenk flask. Argon was passed through the suspension to drive out CO₂. This led to a complete dissolution of the solid under reconversion to the starting material **1** (Figure S15) after 16 h.

[1H]⁺HCO₃⁻ appears to be only stable under an atmosphere of CO₂. Attempts to remove the solvent under reduced pressure resulted in the isolation of **1**.

Figure S15: Formation and dissociation of $[1H]^+HCO_3^-$ from a solution of 1 in toluene- d_8 in a PTFE-sealed NMR tube (left): clear solution of **1** in toluene- d_8 (a), precipitate after pressurizing with 4 bar CO_2 in the presence of 1.5 eq. H₂O (b), suspension after 16 h after releasing the excess CO_2 atmosphere (c). The reaction was repeated in a PTFE-sealed Schlenk flask (right): precipitation of [1H]+HCO₃⁻ after pressurizing with 4 bar CO_2 (**d**), continuous evolution of CO_2 after release of excess CO_2 (**e**), resulting suspension after releasing excess CO_2 (f), clear solution of 1 after exchanging the CO_2 atmosphere by passing Argon through the suspension (**g**).

The reaction of 1 with CO_2 and H_2O was repeated in the more polar solvent DMF- d_7 and monitored via ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectroscopy. The stacked spectra are depicted in Figure S16 and Figure S17, respectively. For the NMR spectra of 1 and $1+CO_2$, anhydrous DMF- d_7 was employed. 1 (20.0 mg, 73.3 µmol, 1.0 eq.) was dissolved in DMF- d_7 and H₂O (2.00 µL, 110 µmol, 1.5 eq.) was added before the NMR tube was pressurized with 4 bar of $CO₂$. Upon addition of $H₂O$, no significant shifts were observed in the ¹H NMR or ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum for the resonances of 1. The new signal at 3.58 ppm is assigned to $H₂O$. However, after pressurizing the water-containing sample with 4 bar $CO₂$, significant broadening and shift of the resonance to 4.14 ppm occurs in the ¹H NMR spectrum. This indicates a dynamic process, presumably the reversible formation of the pyridinium bicarbonate salt. Also, the proton shifts of the pyridine moiety are deshielded and appear at higher frequencies. A similar, yet smaller shift is observed as well in the absence of water.

Similarly, the ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra of the resonance of CO_2 appears as a broad signal at 126.3 ppm in the presence of water and as a sharp signal in the absence of water, consistent with a reversible formation of pyridinium bicarbonate in solution. Furthermore, the ¹³C signals of the aromatic carbon atoms are shifted in both cases, more so in the presence of water. No additional resonance indicating the formation of the bicarbonate salt $[1H]^+$ HCO₃⁻ was observed in the ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum.

Figure S16: Stacked ¹H NMR spectra (400.03 MHz) of 1, $1+H_2O$, $1+H_2O+CO_2$ and $1+CO_2$ in DMF- d_7 measured at 25 °C. Dotted lines are centered at the resonances of **1** to visualize the shift.

Figure S17: Stacked ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra (100.60 MHz) of **1**, **1**+H2O, **1**+H2O+CO² and **1**+CO² in DMF-*d*⁷ measured at 25 °C. The C-2, C-3 and C-4 resonances are highlighted individually to visualize the shift.

$$
1 + CO2 \xrightarrow{\text{toluene-d}_8} \qquad \qquad N \qquad \qquad N \qquad \qquad \bigoplus_{N \qquad O} O
$$

Scheme 2: Reaction of 1 with $CO₂$ to form the $1 - CO₂$ adduct.

In an NMR tube, initial investigations of the formation of a CO₂ adduct with 1 were carried out in toluene- d_8 . Due to the low polarity of toluene, the inner salt $1-CO₂$ was expected to precipitate from a solution of 1 (20.0 mg, 73.3 µmol) in toluene- d_8 after being subjected to an 0.8 bar atmosphere of ¹³CO₂ in an NMR tube. Instead, no formation of a solid was observed even at low temperatures down to –50 °C. Another sample of **1** (20.0 mg, 73.3 µmol) was dissolved in DMF-*d*⁷ and pressurized with 4 bar CO2. Due to the higher polarity of DMF, the formation of a **1**–CO² adduct is expected to be favored and to stay in solution. Both samples were subjected to variable temperature ${}^{1}H$ and ${}^{13}C{^1H}$ NMR experiments to spectroscopically investigate the 1–CO₂ adduct formation. For comparison and to verify that changes in the NMR spectra are caused by the interaction with CO_2 , the same variable temperature NMR experiments of 1 in toluene- d_8 and DMF- d_7 were carried out in the absence of $CO₂$.

Toluene- d_8 : Figure S18 shows the stacked ¹H NMR spectra of 1 and $1+CO_2$ in toluene- d_8 . The variable temperature ¹H NMR experiments show that at lower temperatures, the resonances of the pyridine protons are slightly downfield shifted whereas the resonances of the NHI protons are slightly highfield shifted. In both cases, the signals broaden at low temperatures down to –50 °C. This is likely because the rotation of the NHI group around the exocyclic N–C bond is hindered. Yet, the broadening of the signals is more pronounced in the sample with ¹³CO2. The ¹H resonances of all protons at different temperatures for **1** and $1 + CO₂$ are listed in table 1.

	H-1	$H-2$	$H-5$	H -6	$H-8$
1, 25 $^{\circ}$ C	6.54	8.41	4.31	1.04	1.61
$1, -10$ °C	6.59	8.50	4.28	1.01	1.53
1, -30 °C	6.61	8.54	4.30	0.99	1.50
1, $-50^{\circ}C$	6 63	8.58	442	0.93	2.09
$1 + CO2$, 25 °C	6.53	8.41	4.32	1 04	1.61
1+CO ₂ , -10 °C	6.54	8.42	4.28	1.01	1.58
1+CO ₂ , -30 °C	6.56	847	4.27	1.00	1.55
$1 + CO_2$, $-50 °C$	6.52	8.53	432	0.95	1,52.

Table 1: ¹H NMR shifts of **1** and $1+{}^{13}CO_2$ in toluene- d_8 at given temperatures.

Figure S19 shows the stacked ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra of **1** and $1+CO_2$ in toluene-*d*₈. The variable ¹³C{¹H} NMR experiments show that the resonances of the pyridine moiety are not shifted at different temperatures in the absence of ¹³CO₂. In the presence of ¹³CO₂ the carbon resonances are more broadened at –10 °C and at lower temperatures. Also, the C-3 resonance is shifted to higher frequencies and the C-2 resonance is shifted to lower frequencies. Notably, the ¹³CO₂ is only shifted at –50 °C from 125.4 ppm to 125.8 ppm. We assume that the broadening and the shift of the signals is caused by the interaction of 1 with CO₂. Given the low polarity of toluene, the possible formation of the inner salt 1–CO₂ is expected to be disfavored and appears to be only detectable at temperatures ≤ -50 °C. The ¹³C resonances of all carbon atoms at different temperatures for 1 and $1+^{13}CO_2$ are listed in table 2.

	$C-1$	$C-2$	$C-3$	$C-4$	$C-5$	C-6	$C-7$	C8	${}^{13}CO_2$
1, 25 $^{\circ}$ C	114.1	151.2	160.3	150.6	47.7	21.0	118.3	10.0	
1, -10 °C	114.1	151.2	160.3	150.4	47.7	21.0	118.3	9.9	\equiv
1, -30 °C	114.0	151.2	160.3	150.3	47.6	20.9	118.3	9.9	
1, $-50 °C$	114.0	151.2	160.3	150.2	47.6	20.8	118.4	9.9	
1+CO ₂ , 25 °C	114.1	151.2	160.3	150.6	47.7	21.0	118.3	9.9	125.3
$1 + CO2 - 10$ °C	114.0	150.7	162.6	150.3	47.7	20.9	118.6	10.0	125.2
1+CO ₂ , -30 °C	113.9	150.2	162.7	150.8	47.7	21.0	118.6	9.9	125.2
$1 + CO2 - 50$ °C	113.7	150.0	162.9	150.2	47.7	21.0	118.2	9.9	125.8

Table 2: ¹³C{¹H} NMR shifts of **1** and $1+CO_2$ in toluene- d_8 at given temperatures.

DMF- d_7 : The same experiments were repeated in DMF- d_7 as a more polar solvent to stabilize the 1 –CO₂ adduct. Figure S20 shows the stacked ¹H NMR spectra of 1 and $1+CO_2$ in DMF- d_7 . At 25 °C, pressurizing the DMF-*d*⁷ solution of **1** with 4 bar CO2, leads to a small shift of the H-2 resonance from 7.90 ppm (**1)** to 7.97 ppm $(1+CO_2)$ (Table 3). At low temperatures down to -50 °C, a significant shift to 8.84 ppm $(1+CO_2)$ and only a small shift to 7.97 ppm (**1**) of the H-2 resonance is observed. Also, the resonance of the H-1 proton is shifted from 6.23 ppm to 6.38 ppm (**1**+CO2). The deshielding of the pyridine protons agrees with the interaction of the pyridine-N atom with the Lewis acid $CO₂$. In both samples 1 and $1+CO₂$, the signals of the H-5 protons are broadened at low temperatures likely due to hindered rotation of the NHI group around the exocyclic N–C bond.

Table 3: ¹H NMR shifts of **1** and $1 + CO_2$ in DMF- d_7 at given temperatures.

	H-1	$H-2$	H-5	H-6	$H-8$
1, 25 $^{\circ}$ C	6.23	7.90	4.43	1.37	2.24
1, -10 °C	6.22	7.91	4.39	1.35	2.24
1, -30 °C	6.23	7.94	4.37	1.34	2.24
$1, -50$ °C	6.23	7.97	4 34	1, 32.	2.25
$1 + CO_2$, 25 °C	6 24	7 97	4 43	1 38	2.25
$1 + CO2, -10 °C$	6.29	8.18	4.40	1.38	2.28
1+CO ₂ , -30 °C	6.34	8.39	4.38	1.38	2.30
$1 + CO2, -50 °C$	6.38	8.48	4.36	1.37	2.31

Figure S21 depicts the stacked ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra of **1** and **1**+CO₂ in DMF- d_7 . The variable ¹³C{¹H} NMR experiments show that the resonances are not significantly shifted at different temperatures in the absence of CO₂. At 25 °C, pressurizing the DMF- d_7 solution of 1 with 4 bar CO₂, leads to a small shift of the C-2 resonance from 150.6 ppm (1) to 149.5 ppm $(1+CQ_2)$. At low temperatures down to -50 °C, a significant shift to a lower frequency of 139.5 ppm $(1+CO₂)$ of the C-2 resonance is observed (table 4). All other aromatic ¹³C resonances undergo small shifts to higher or lower frequencies in $1+CO₂$ which is not observed for 1. Also, the CO₂ signal is shifted to higher frequencies from 126.3 ppm to 128.4 ppm.

Collectively, these results suggest that 1 interacts with $CO₂$, likely forming the $1 - CO₂$ adduct which appears to be only stable at low temperatures. The equilibrium of this reaction lies on the side of the reactants, and we were thus not able to isolate the $1 - CO₂$ adduct.

	$C-1$	$C-2$	$C-3$	$C-4$	$C-5$	$C-6$	$C-7$	C8	CO ₂
1, 25 °C	113.7	150.6	161.1	150.9	48.3	21.3	119.9	10.2	$-$
1, -10 °C	113.6	150.2	161.1	150.7	48.3	21.2	120.0	10.2	\equiv
1, -30 °C	113.4	149.5	161.4	150.4	48.4	21.1	120.2	10.1	\equiv
1, -50 °C	113.2	148.6	161.7	150.1	48.5	21.0	120.4	10.0	\equiv
1+CO ₂ , 25 °C	113.5	149.5	161.6	150.8	48.5	21.2	120.2	10.2	126.3
1+CO ₂ , -10 °C	112.8	145.2	163.3	150.0	48.8	21.1	121.0	10.0	127.5
1+CO ₂ , –30 °C	112.2	141.3	164.8	149.3	49.1	21.1	121.7	9.9	128.0
1+CO ₂ , –50 °C	112.0	139.5	165.5	148.8	49.2	21.0	122.0	9.8	128.4

Table 4: ¹³C{¹H} NMR shifts of **1** and $1 + CO_2$ in DMF- d_7 at given temperatures.

Figure S18: Stacked ¹H NMR spectra (400.03 MHz) of 1 and $1+^{13}CO_2$ in toluene- d_8 measured at given temperatures. Dotted lines are centered at the resonances of **1** measured at 25 °C to visualize the shift.

Figure S19: Stacked ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100.60 MHz) spectra of 1 and $1+^{13}CO_2$ in toluene- d_8 measured at given temperatures. The dotted line is centered at the C-2 resonance of **1** measured at 25 °C to visualize the shift. The resonance of ${}^{13}CO_2$ overlaps with the solvent signal of toluene- d_8 and is highlighted in a dotted frame.

Figure S20: Stacked ¹H NMR (400.03 MHz) spectra of 1 and $1+CO_2$ in DMF- d_7 measured at given temperatures. Dotted lines are centered at the resonances of **1** measured at 25 °C to visualize the shift.

Figure S21: Stacked ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100.60 MHz) spectra of 1 and $1+CO_2$ in DMF- d_7 measured at given temperatures. Dotted lines are centered at the resonances of **1** measured at 25 °C (C-1, C-7) to visualize the shift. The C-3 signal overlaps with a DMF- d_7 resonance (1+CO₂, -10 °C) and the C-2, C-3 and C-4 resonances are highlighted individually to visualize the shift.

Preparation of Coordination Compounds **4**-**8**

Compound 4: **1** (80.0 mg, 294 µmol) was dissolved in *n*-pentane and the solution was pressurized with two

bar SO² pressure. The excess solvent was decanted off and the light-yellow solid was dried by letting pentane evaporate at room temperature. **4** was isolated in a 99% (98.9 mg, 294 µmol) yield.

¹**H NMR** (400.13 MHz, THF-*d*₈): δ = 7.94–7.95 (m, 2H, CH_{aryl}), 6.50–6.37 (m, 2H, CHaryl), 4.42 (sept, ³ *J*HH = 7.0 Hz, 2H, *i*Pr-CH), 2.28 (s, 6H, imidazole-CH3), 1.42 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}}$ = 7.0 Hz, 12H, *i*Pr-CH₃) ppm.

¹³C{¹H} NMR (100.62 MHz, THF-*d*₈): δ = 162.9 (s, NC_q(CH_{aryl})₂), 147.8 (s, N3Cq), 140.3 (s, CHaryl), 122.6 (s, imidazole-Cq), 111.9 (s, CHaryl), 49.6 (s, *i*Pr-CH), 21.5 (s, *i*Pr-CH3), 10.0 (s, imidazole-CH3) ppm.

HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for $[C_{16}H_{25}N_4]^+$ (M-SO₂+H)⁺ 273.2074, found 273.2067.

IR (neat): $\tilde{v} = 1004$ (s), 1035 (s), 1059 (m), 1091 (vs), 1138 (s), 1193 (vs), 1213 (s), 1275 (w), 1336 (m), 1352 (m), 1371 (s), 1390 (s), 1432 (s), 1454 (s), 1497 (vs), 1526 (vs), 1613 (m), 1646 (w), 2876 (w), 2935 (w) , 2977 (w) cm⁻¹.

Figure S22: ¹H NMR spectrum (in THF-*d*8, 300 K, 400.13 MHz) of **4**.

Figure S23: ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum (in THF- d_8 , 300 K, 100.62 MHz) of 4.

Figure S24: FT-IR spectrum (neat) of **4**.

Compound 5: 1 (100 mg, 367 µmol, 3.00 eq.) was dissolved in toluene (2 mL) and BCl₃ (14.3 mg, 122 µL,

122 μ mol, 1 M in *n*-hexane, 1.00 eq.) was added at –78 °C to the stirring solution. The reaction mixture was stirred for 16 h at room temperature and the colorless precipitate was filtered off. The solid was washed with DCM (1 x 4 mL) and dried *in vacuo*. **5** was isolated in a 19.4% (36.0 mg, 71.1 µmol) yield. Due to its low solubility, compound **7** was not characterized via ¹³C NMR spectroscopy.

¹H NMR (400.13 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): $\delta = 8.92$ (d, ³*J*_{HH} = 5.4 Hz, 2H, CH_{aryl}), 7.45 (br, 2H, CH_{aryl}), 4.55 (sept, ³J_{HH} = 7.0 Hz, 2H, *i*Pr-CH), 2.45 (s, 6H, imidazole-CH₃), 1.53 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 7.0$ Hz, 6H, *i*Pr-CH₃), 1.40 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 7.0$ Hz, 6H, *i*Pr-CH₃) ppm.

¹¹B NMR (128.38 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ = 7.7 (s), 5.8 (s) ppm.

¹¹B{¹H}</sub> **NMR** (128.38 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ = 7.7 (s), 5.8 (s) ppm.

Figure S25: ¹H NMR spectrum (in DMSO-*d*6, 300 K, 400.13 MHz) of **5**.

200 190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 $^{1}_{40}$ 50

Figure S26: ¹¹B NMR spectrum (in DMSO- d_6 , 300 K, 128.38 MHz) of 5.

 $\times\frac{7.7}{5.8}$

 -60 -70 -80 -90 -100 -110 -120 -130 -140 -150 -160 -170 -180 $\frac{1}{30}$ $\frac{1}{20}$ $\frac{1}{10}$ $\frac{0}{1}$ 70^{-1} 60 $\frac{1}{50}$ $^{+}$ 40 $-10 - 20$ -30 -40 -50

Figure S27: ¹¹B{¹H} NMR spectrum (in DMSO- d_6 , 300 K, 128.38 MHz) of 5.

Compound 6: 1 (55.0 mg, 202 µmol, 2.00 eq.) was dissolved in THF (5 mL) and PdCl₂(PhCN)₂ (38.7 mg,

101 µmol, 1.00 eq.) was added to the stirring solution. The reaction mixture was stirred for 16 h at room temperature and the yellow precipitated was filtered off and dried *in vacuo*. **6** was isolated in 96.0% (70.0 mg, 96.9 µmol) yield.

¹**H NMR** (400.03 MHz, MeCN-*d*₃): $\delta = 7.75-7.77$ (m, 4H, CH_{aryl}), 6.01–6.03 (m, 4H, CH_{aryl}), 4.34 (sept, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 6.9$ Hz, 4H, *i*Pr-CH), 2.18 (s, 12H, imidazole-CH3), 1.35 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 7.0 \text{ Hz}, 24\text{H}, i\text{Pr-CH}_3$) ppm.

13C{¹**H**} **NMR** (100.60 MHz, MeCN-*d*₃): $\delta = 162.4$ (s, NC_q(CH_{aryl})₂), 151.9 (s, CH_{aryl}), 130.4 (s, N₃C_q), 121.0 (s, imidazole-Cq), 113.4 (s, CHaryl), 48.8 (s, *i*Pr-CH), 21.2 (s,

 $iPr\text{-}CH_3$), 10.0 (s, imidazole-CH₃) ppm.

HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for $[C_{64}H_{98}Cl_4N_{16}Pd_2]^{2+} (M+H)_2^{2+}$ 723.24739, found 723.24852.

Elemental analysis: calculated (%) for $[C_{32}H_{48}Cl_2N_8Pd]$: C 53.23, H 6.70, N 15.52, found C 52.95, H 6.62, N 15.37.

Figure S28: ¹H NMR spectrum (in MeCN-*d*3, 300 K, 400.03 MHz) of **6**.

Figure S29: ¹³C{ ¹H} NMR spectrum (in MeCN-*d*3, 300 K, 100.60 MHz) of **6**.

Compound 7: 1 (16.3 mg, 59.8 µmol, 2.00 eq.) was dissolved in toluene (5 mL) and $[PdBr_2(BiPr)]_2^6$

(28.0 mg, 29.9 µmol, 1.00 eq.) was added to the stirred solution. The reaction mixture was stirred for 16 h at room temperature and the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. **7** was isolated in a quantitative yield (44.4 mg, 59.8 µmol) as a yellow solid.

¹H NMR (400.03 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.33–8.35 (m, 2H, CH_{aryl}), 7.53– 7.55 (m, 2H, benzimidazole-CHaryl), 7.15–7.17 (m, 2H, benzimidazole-CH_{aryl}), 6.39 (sept, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 7.0 \text{ Hz},$ 2H, benzimidazole-*i*Pr-CH), 6.11–6.13 (m, 2H, CHaryl), 4.43 (sept, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 7.0$ Hz, 2H, imidazole-*i*Pr-CH), 2.18 (s, 6H, imidazole-CH₃),

1.77 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 7.1 \text{ Hz}$, 12H, benzimidazole-*i*Pr-CH₃), 1.38 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}}$ = 7.1 Hz, 12H, imidazole-*i*Pr-CH₃) ppm.

 $^{13}C{^1H}$ } **NMR** (100.60 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 162.7$ (s, PdC_{benzimidazole}), 161.1 (s, NC_q(CH_{aryl})₂), 151.4 (s, CH_{aryl}), 133.7 (s, benzimidazole-Cq), 129.1 (s, N3Cq), 122.0 (s, benzimidazole-CHaryl), 119.7 (s, imidazole-Cq), 112.5 (s, CHaryl), 112.4 (s, benzimidazole-CHaryl), 54.4 (s, benzimidazole-*i*Pr-CH), 47.9 (s, imidazole-*i*Pr-CH), 21.2 (s, imidazole-*i*Pr-CH3), 20.7 (s, benzimidazole-*i*Pr-CH3), 10.0 (s, imidazole-CH3) ppm.

HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for $[C_{29}H_{43}N_6Br_2Pd]^+ (M+H)^+$ 741.09378, found 741.09561.

Figure S31: ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum (in CDCl₃, 300 K, 100.60 MHz) of 7.

Compound 8: 1 (16.4 mg, 59.8 µmol, 2.00 eq.) was dissolved in toluene (5 mL) and $[PdBr_2(BiPr)]_2^6$

(28.0 mg, 29.9 µmol, 1.00 eq.) was added to the stirring solution. The reaction mixture was stirred for 16 h at room temperature and the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. **8** was isolated in a quantitative yield (44.4 mg, 59.8 µmol) as a yellow solid.

¹H NMR (400.13 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 8.36-8.38$ (m, 2H, pyridine-CHaryl), 7.52–7.58 (m, 2H, benzimidazole-CHaryl), 7.15–7.19 $(m, 2H,$ benzimidazole-CH_{aryl}), 6.39 (sept, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 7.0$ Hz, 2H, *i*Pr-CH), 6.03–6.05 (m, 2H, pyridine-CH_{aryl}), 3.50 (s, 4H, imidazole-CH₂), 1.77 (d, ³J_{HH} = 7.0 Hz, 12H, *i*Pr-CH₃), 1.27 (s, 18H,

 $6x$ *t*Bu-CH₃) ppm.

¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 162.6$ (s, N₃C_q), 162.6 (s, PdC_{benzimidazole), 157.6 (s, NC_q(CH_{aryl})₂),} 151.4 (s, CH_{aryl}), 133.7 (s, benzimidazole-C_q), 122.0 (s, benzimidazole-CH_{aryl}), 113.0 (s, CH_{aryl}), 112.6 (s, benzimidazole-CHaryl), 56.2 (s, *t*Bu-Cq), 54.4 (s, *i*Pr-CH), 42.6 (s, imidazole-CH2), 28.6 (s, *t*Bu-CH3), 20.7 $(s, iPr\text{-}CH_3)$ ppm.

HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for $[C_{29}H_{45}N_6Br_2Pd]^+ (M+H)^+$ 743.1081, found 743.1077.

Figure S32: ¹H NMR spectrum (in CDCl3, 300 K, 400.03 MHz) of **8**.

Figure S33: ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum (in CDCl₃, 300 K, 100.60 MHz) of **8**.

Preparation of Compounds **9**-**13**

Compound 9: Pyridine-*N*-methyl iodide was synthesized according to a literature-known procedure.⁷ The white solid was dried *in vacuo* at 160 °C for 16 h prior to use in demethylation reactions.

¹**H NMR** (400.23 MHz, CD₃CN) δ = 8.86–8.88 (m, 2H, CH_{aryl}), 8.53 (tt, *J*_{HH} = 7.8, 1.5 Hz, 1H, CH_{aryl}), 8.05 (t, *J*_{HH} = 7.1 Hz, 2H, CH_{aryl}), 4.39 (s, 3H, CH₃) ppm.

Figure S34: ¹H NMR spectrum (in MeCN-*d*3, 298.1 K, 400.23 MHz) of **9**.

Compound 10: 4-Picoline-*N*-methyl iodide was synthesized according to a literature-known procedure.⁸ The off-white solid was dried *in vacuo* at 160 °C for 16 h prior to use in demethylation r reactions. \odot

¹H NMR (400.23 MHz, CD₃CN) δ = 8.74–8.77 (m, 2H, CH_{arvl}), 7.86 (d, *J*_{HH} = 6.2 Hz, 2H, CH_{arvl}), 4.32 (s, 3H, N–CH3), 2.59 (s, 3H, CH3) ppm.

Figure S35: ¹H NMR spectrum (in MeCN-*d*3, 298.1 K, 400.23 MHz) of **10**.

Compound 11: 4-Dimethylaminopyridine-*N*-methyl iodide was synthesized according to a \vert literature-known procedure⁹ using toluene as solvent for the reaction. The off-white solid was dried *in vacuo* at 160 °C for 16 h prior to use in demethylation reactions.

1H NMR (400.23 MHz, CD₃CN) δ = 7.98–8.02 (m, 2H, CH_{aryl}), 6.85–6.89 (m, 2H, CHaryl), 3.90 (s, 3H, CH3), 3.17 (s, 6H, N(CH3)2).

Figure S36: ¹H NMR spectrum (in MeCN-*d*3, 298.1 K, 400.23 MHz) of **11**.

Compound 12: To a toluene (10 mL) solution of **2** (500 mg, 1.82 mmol, 1.0 eq.) iodomethane (0.113 mL,

1.82 mmol, 1.0 eq.) was slowly added dropwise at room temperature. The resulting suspension was allowed to stir for 3 h, then filtered off and the residue was washed with diethyl ether (3 x 10 mL). The product was dried *in vacuo* at 110 °C for 16 h prior to use in demethylation reactions and obtained as a white solid in 85% yield (644 mg, 1.55 mmol).

¹**H** NMR (400.23 MHz, CD₃CN) δ = 7.55–7.58 (m, 2H, CH_{aryl}), 6.22–6.26 (m, 2H, CH_{aryl}), 3.70 (s, 4H, CH2), 3.67 (s, 3H, NCH3), 1.27 (s, 18H, *t*Bu-CH3) ppm.

¹³C{¹H} NMR (100.62 MHz, CD₃CN) δ = 165.9 (s, N₃C_q), 159.0 (s, NC_q(CH_{aryl})₂), 142.5 (s, CH_{aryl}), 113.5 (s, CHaryl), 57.3 (s, *t*Bu-Cq), 44.3 (s, NCH3), 44.1 (s, imidazole-CH2), 28.6 (s. *t*Bu-CH3) ppm.

 -3.70 8 8 8 8 8 8 9
6 9 9 9 9 9 9 $\frac{1}{8.0}$ $\frac{1}{6.0}$ $\frac{1}{7.5}$ 6.5 7.0
f1 (ppm) $\frac{4.11}{3.13}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $2.00 - 1$ $-95 \frac{1}{7.5}$ 6.5 6.0 5.5
f1 (ppm) 12.5 12.0 $\frac{1}{8.0}$ 7.0 5.0 4.5 4.0 $\frac{1}{3.5}$ 3.0 $\frac{1}{2.5}$ 11.5 11.0 10.5 10.0 9.5 9.0 $_{\rm 8.5}$ 2.0 1.5 1.0 0.5 0.0

HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for $[C_{17}H_{29}N_4]^+$ (M-I⁻)⁺ 289.2387, found 298.2382.

Figure S37: ¹H NMR spectrum (in MeCN-*d*3, 298.1 K, 400.23 MHz) of **12**.

Figure S38: ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum (in MeCN- d_3 , 298.1 K, 100.62 MHz) of 12.

Compound 13: To a toluene (10 mL) solution of $1(210.0 \text{ mg}, 0.770 \text{ mmol}, 1.0 \text{ eq.})$ a 0.765 M stock solution

of iodomethane in toluene (1.01 mL, 0.770 mmol, 1.0 eq.) was slowly added dropwise at room temperature. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 16 h which resulted in the formation of a yellow oil that was separated from the toluene solution. The volatiles were removed *in vacuo* at 70 °C. Et₂O (10 mL) was added to the viscous oil and the suspension was sonicated for 20 minutes before the solvent was filtered off. This procedure was repeated

three times to remove residual toluene from the oil yielding a yellow solid. Compound **13** was then dried *in*

vacuo at 120 °C for 16 h to fully remove the residual toluene and was isolated as yellow solid in 58% yield (0.183 mg, 0.447 mmol).

¹**H** NMR (400.23 MHz, CD₃CN) δ = 7.57 (d, *J*_{HH} = 7.5 Hz, 2H, CH_{aryl}), 6.26 (d, *J*_{HH} = 7.2 Hz, 2H, CH_{aryl}), 4.31 (hept, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 7.0 Hz, 2H, *i*Pr-CH), 3.70 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 2.21 (s, 6H, imidazole-CH₃), 1.35 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 7.0 \text{ Hz}, 12\text{H}, i\text{Pr-CH}_3$) ppm.

¹³C{¹H} NMR (100.62 MHz, CD₃CN) δ = 164.0 (s, NC_q(CH_{aryl})₂), 148.5 (s, N₃C_q), 142.5 (s, CH_{aryl}), 122.2 (s, imidazole-Cq), 113.4 (s, CHaryl), 49.2 (s, *i*Pr-CH), 44.3 (s, NCH3), 20.9 (s, *i*Pr-CH3), 9.8 (s, imidazole- $CH₃$).

HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for $[C_{17}H_{28}N_4]^+$ (M-I)⁺ 287.2230 found 287.2230.

Figure S39: ¹H NMR spectrum (in MeCN-*d*3, 298.1 K, 400.23 MHz) of **13**.

Figure S40: ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum (in MeCN- d_3 , 298.1 K, 100.62 MHz) of 13.

Demethylation reactions of LB–Me iodide salts **9-12**

General procedure: Demethylation reactions were carried out under inert atmosphere and using 1 eq. of **1** (~15 mg) and 1 eq. of the *N*-methylated iodide salt **9-12**. Also, the same protocol was used for demethylation reactions of 1 eq. DMAP (~15 mg) with 1 eq. of the iodide salts **9** and **10**, respectively. MeCN-*d*³ was used as the solvent and PTFE-sealed NMR tubes were employed to allow heating higher than the boiling point of the solvent. The reaction progress was monitored by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. The following figures show the stacked NMR spectra of the demethylation reactions after heating the respective reaction mixture at a given temperatures for a given time. After prolonged heating at 160 °C, all reaction mixtures turned orange or brown, yet the analysis of the ¹H NMR spectra revealed only minor amounts of impurities that are indicative of side reactions.

Demethylation reaction using DMAP as Lewis-base.

(1)

Figure S41: Stacked ¹H NMR spectra of the demethylation reaction of 9 with DMAP in MeCN- d_3 recorded after heating at a given temperature for a given time. The aromatic ¹H signals (left) are depicted with a 7fold scaling for better visibility of the signals. Characteristic resonances corresponding to the consumption of **9** and the formation of **11** are highlighted in dotted frames.

¹H NMR analysis shows that the transfer of the methyl group is 98.9 % complete after continuous heating at 160 °C for a total of 162 h.

Figure S42: Stacked ¹H NMR spectra of the demethylation reaction of 10 using DMAP in MeCN- d_3 recorded after heating at a given temperature for a given time. The aromatic ¹H signals (left) are depicted with a 13-fold scaling for better visibility of the signals. Characteristic resonances corresponding to the consumption of **10** and the formation of **11** are highlighted in dotted frames.

¹H NMR analysis shows that the transfer of the methyl group is 94.6 % complete after continuous heating at 160 °C for a total of 162 h.

Demethylation reaction using 1 as Lewis-base.

(3)

Figure S43: Stacked ¹H NMR spectra of the demethylation reaction of **9** using **1** in MeCN-*d*³ recorded after heating at a given temperature for a given time. The aromatic and some aliphatic ¹H signals (left) are depicted with a 10-fold scaling for better visibility of the signals. Characteristic resonances corresponding to the consumption of **9** and the formation of **13** are highlighted in dotted frames.

In the ¹H NMR spectra of the reaction mixture, the methyl resonance of **9** overlaps with the signal from the *iso*-propyl group of **1**. ¹H NMR analysis shows that the transfer of the methyl group is quantitative after continuous heating at 160 °C for a total of 162 h.

Figure S44: Stacked ¹H NMR spectra of the demethylation reaction of **10** using **1** in MeCN-*d*³ after heating at given a given temperature for a given time. The aromatic ¹H signals (left) are depicted with a 7-fold scaling for better visibility of the signals. Characteristic resonances corresponding to the consumption of **10** and the formation of **13** are highlighted in dotted frames.

¹H NMR analysis shows that the transfer of the methyl group is 92.5 % complete after continuous heating at 160 °C for a total of 162 h.

Figure S45: Stacked ¹H NMR spectra of the demethylation reaction of **11** using **1** as in MeCN-*d*³ recorded after heating at a given temperature for a given time. The aromatic ¹H signals (left) are depicted with an 8-fold scaling for better visibility. Characteristic resonances corresponding to the consumption of **11** and the formation of **13** are highlighted in dotted frames.

¹H NMR analysis shows that the transfer of the methyl group is 14.8 % complete after continuous heating at 160 °C for a total of 162 h.

Figure S46: Stacked ¹H NMR spectra of the demethylation reaction of **12** using **1** in MeCN-*d*³ recorded after heating at a given temperature for a given time. The aromatic and aliphatic ¹H signals (left) are depicted with a 10-fold scaling for better visibility of the signals. Characteristic resonances corresponding to the consumption of **12** and **1** are highlighted in dotted frames.

After heating at 160 °C for a total of 147 h, no demethylation of **12** and formation of **13** is observed. The ratio of the relative intensities in the ¹H NMR for **1** and **12** remain unchanged.

Determination of the Huynh Electronic Parameter **Determination of HEP of 1**

Figure S47: ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum (in CDCl₃, 300 K, 100.60 MHz) of 7 internally referenced to the solvent residue signal at 77.7 ppm relative to TMS.

Figure S48: ¹H-¹³C{¹H} HMBC NMR spectrum (in CDCl₃, 298.2 K, 400.03 MHz, 100.60 MHz) of **7** internally referenced to the solvent residue signal of CDCl₃ relative to TMS. The ${}^{3}J_{CH}$ coupling of the CH-isopropyl proton with the carbene carbon atom of the reporter ligand B*i*Pr is highlighted (blue frame), confirming the assignment of the carbene resonance.

Determination of HEP of 2

Figure S49: ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum (in CDCl₃, 300 K, 100.60 MHz) of 8 internally referenced to the solvent residue signal at 77.7 ppm relative to TMS.

Figure S50: ¹H-¹³C{¹H} HMBC NMR spectrum (in CDCl₃, 298.2 K, 400.03 MHz, 100.6 MHz) of **8** internally referenced to the solvent residue signal of CDCl₃ relative to TMS. The ${}^{3}J_{CH}$ coupling of the CH-isopropyl proton with the carbene carbon atom of the reporter ligand B*i*Pr is highlighted (blue frame), confirming the assignment of the carbene resonance.

Computational Details

Calculation of TEP values of DMAP, 1 and 2:

The TEP values for DMAP, **1** and **2** of the respective [Ni(CO)3**L]** complex were calculated following the procedure reported by Gusev.¹⁰ The calculations were carried out using the mPW1PW91 functional in Gaussian $09¹¹$. As basis set, 6-311+G(d,p) was used for C, H, N, O and 6-311+G(2d) was used for Ni. Geometry optimizations and frequency calculations were performed using the ultrafine integration grid. The following tables list the XYZ coordinates of the optimized geometries of the respective [Ni(CO)3**L**] complexes.

Atom	X	Y	$\mathbf Z$
\mathcal{C}	4.17903	1.27055	-0.46486
\overline{C}	4.74731	0.0422	-0.54451
$\mathbf N$	2.88564	1.10494	0.04913
${\bf N}$	3.80825	-0.88102	-0.08299
\overline{C}	2.67111	-0.22702	0.29714
\overline{C}	2.03252	2.14462	0.64808
\overline{C}	2.66771	2.71454	1.91291
$\mathbf H$	2.90739	1.9161	2.61694
$\boldsymbol{\mathrm{H}}$	1.96471	3.39476	2.399
$\mathbf H$	3.5801	3.27463	1.70186
\mathcal{C}	1.60994	3.21678	-0.34825
H	1.13218	1.61251	0.94808
H	2.42599	3.88952	-0.6124
H	0.81729	3.8215	0.09683
$\rm H$	1.21347	2.77026	-1.26129
\overline{C}	3.92945	-2.33361	0.08828
C	4.86505	-2.68707	1.23919
H	5.904	-2.42574	1.02725
H	4.82704	-3.76288	1.4238
H	4.55855	-2.17624	2.15329
\overline{C}	4.26895	-3.05586	-1.20927
H	2.9188	-2.61977	0.38542
H	5.30263	-2.90049	-1.5205
H	3.60813	-2.7389	-2.01783
H	4.13017	-4.12982	-1.06484
${\bf N}$	1.67404	-0.82947	0.90088
\overline{C}	0.36406	-0.61127	0.63467
\overline{C}	-0.14861	-0.06954	-0.56668
\overline{C}	-1.50985	0.05197	-0.74865
$\overline{\rm N}$	-2.42703	-0.3126	0.15829

Table 5: XYZ coordinates of the optimized geometry for [Ni(CO)₃1].

\mathcal{C}	-0.61165	-1.01191	1.57185
C	-1.94965	-0.84346	1.29759
Ni	-4.45997	-0.10516	-0.191
C	-4.69921	1.28385	-1.29841
\overline{O}	-4.92965	2.16205	-1.99345
\mathcal{C}	-5.00203	-1.65458	-0.93088
$\mathbf O$	-5.40552	-2.61135	-1.40495
\mathcal{C}	-5.26131	0.20695	1.38356
$\mathbf O$	-5.8377	0.4199	2.34688
\mathcal{C}	4.7314	2.57366	-0.92129
C	6.0794	-0.32915	-1.09113
H	0.52025	0.23477	-1.36312
H	-1.89659	0.46005	-1.67488
H	-0.29782	-1.45083	2.50978
H	-2.69422	-1.14754	2.02414
H	4.69607	3.34166	-0.14727
H	4.1976	2.95652	-1.79531
H	5.77553	2.44848	-1.20584
H	6.62422	-0.99855	-0.42427
H	6.68818	0.56484	-1.21969
H_{\rm}	6.00271	-0.81815	-2.06583

Table 6: XYZ coordinates of the optimized geometry for [Ni(CO)₃2].

Atom	X	Y	Z
C	2.85839	0.01226	0.01471
C	2.11192	1.20739	0.01858
\overline{C}	0.7344	1.15473	0.02253
$\overline{\rm N}$	0.02083	0.02119	0.02376
C	2.10457	-1.17857	0.0137
\overline{C}	0.72778	-1.11698	0.0181
Ni	-2.05841	-0.00354	-0.00495
C	-2.66331	1.62891	0.42534
\mathcal{O}	-3.12915	2.63644	0.69472
\overline{C}	-2.52983	-0.45882	-1.68109
\overline{O}	-2.89418	-0.73827	-2.72598
C	-2.59235	-1.21328	1.21124
\overline{O}	-3.00394	-1.95917	1.9714
\overline{N}	4.21781	0.008	0.01232
C	4.94625	1.2583	0.00532
H	4.72195	1.85282	-0.88679
H	6.01344	1.04853	0.00867
$\boldsymbol{\mathrm{H}}$	4.71915	1.86263	0.88981
C	4.93863	-1.2467	3.40E-04
H	4.70786	-1.85316	0.88241
$\mathbf H$	6.00706	-1.04339	0.00456
H	4.71083	-1.83627	-0.89416
H	2.59197	2.17529	0.01816
H	0.16394	2.07557	0.02419
$\boldsymbol{\mathrm{H}}$	2.57872	-2.14935	0.00937
H	0.14947	-2.0333	0.01606

Table 7: XYZ coordinates of the optimized geometry for $[Ni(CO)₃(DMAP)]$.

Calculation of the MCA values of 1, 2 and DBN:

The methyl cation affinity (MCA) represents the reaction enthalpy for the schematic transformation shown in equation (1).

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\oplus \\
\text{LB}\n\end{array}\n\qquad\n\begin{array}{ccc}\n\oplus \\
\text{MCA} \\
\text{LB} + \text{Me}\n\end{array}\n\qquad\n\tag{1}
$$

MCA values were calculated at the MP2(FC)/6-31+G(2d,p)//B98/6-31G(d) level of theory using Gaussian 09:¹¹ Geometry optimizations at the B98/6-31G(d) level of theory were performed for the free Lewis Base (LB), the respective methyl-adduct (LB–Me⁺) and free Me⁺ cation. By frequency calculations, the optimized structure was verified to only have real harmonic frequencies. The single point energies were calculated at the MP2(FC)/6-31+G(2d,p) level of theory using the optimized geometries. These values were corrected by the "thermal correction to enthalpy" factor obtained from the geometry optimization. For validation of our calculations, we determined the MCA value for DBN (1,5- diazabicyclo(4.3.0)non-5-en) which is known in literature.¹² The obtained MCA values are listed in table 7.

Table 8: Calculated MCA values for DBN, **1** and **2**. Literature value for DBN given in parentheses.

DBN **1 2** MCA / kJ mol-1 611.4 (611.3)¹² 659.2 624.8

The XYZ coordinates of the optimized geometries are listed below in the following tables.

Atom	X	Y	Z
${\bf N}$	-0.23229	0.64773	-0.01525
$\mathbf C$	-0.19468	-0.73863	0.02563
\mathcal{C}	0.97928	1.41423	0.19755
\mathcal{C}	2.15305	0.61763	-0.39448
H_{\rm}	1.14352	1.6072	1.27308
H	0.8776	2.39078	-0.29635
C	2.13887	-0.83181	0.13103
H_{\rm}	3.10313	1.11016	-0.15112
H	2.05409	0.61038	-1.4881
${\bf N}$	0.83524	-1.49949	0.06602
H	2.48761	-0.8519	1.17664
H	2.85919	-1.43397	-0.43907
\mathcal{C}	-1.63347	-1.23691	0.04742
$\mathbf C$	-2.46909	0.01888	-0.28342
H	-1.85732	-1.61196	1.05555
H	-1.77818	-2.06742	-0.64966
C	-1.56886	1.18234	0.18855
H	-3.45013	0.02824	0.20215
H	-2.62443	0.09539	-1.36622
H	-1.75186	1.43036	1.2503
H	-1.71446	2.10066	-0.39632

Table 10: XYZ coordinates of the optimized geometry for DBN-Me⁺.

H	-2.99157	0.07265	-0.4484
C	1.35691	1.43027	0.00433
\mathcal{C}	2.56963	0.50949	-0.27641
H	1.42953	1.92624	0.98243
H	1.22828	2.20875	-0.75359
\mathcal{C}	2.10327	-0.88774	0.17878
H	3.46852	0.83673	0.25087
H	2.78922	0.49958	-1.34865
H	2.3912	-1.11961	1.21281
H	2.45893	-1.69523	-0.46893
C	-1.57656	2.1667	0.02866
H	-0.74922	2.86924	0.12952
H	-2.264	2.31339	0.86896
H	-2.10961	2.3661	-0.90788

Table 11: XYZ coordinates of the optimized geometry for **1**.

H_{\rm}	-3.83848	-2.50901	-0.76922
H	-2.7013	-3.71544	-1.37983
H_{\rm}	-2.7774	-2.11649	-2.14476
\overline{C}	-1.80843	-2.81174	1.16019
H	-0.76143	-2.44139	-0.67588
H_{\rm}	-1.75369	-3.90327	1.06573
H	-2.74454	-2.5679	1.67712
H_{\rm}	-0.97071	-2.48192	1.78497
\mathcal{C}	0.24615	2.41849	-0.43514
C	1.03454	2.23063	-1.74187
H	1.85584	1.51784	-1.63838
H	1.45958	3.20185	-2.02425
H	0.3794	1.89312	-2.55255
C	1.14676	2.80412	0.74954
H	-0.44483	3.2508	-0.60655
H	1.67004	3.74037	0.51824
H	1.89583	2.03244	0.9495
H	0.55492	2.96004	1.66

Table 12: XYZ coordinates of the optimized geometry for **1–**Me**⁺** .

H	-3.01676	-2.64736	1.68023
H	-3.53002	-2.84556	-0.00553
C	-0.57854	-2.24499	-0.62492
\overline{C}	-1.24831	-2.74273	-1.91605
H	-2.25687	-3.13051	-1.73882
H	-0.64931	-3.55558	-2.34254
H	-1.30881	-1.93756	-2.65629
\mathcal{C}	-0.40624	-3.34049	0.43753
H	0.41698	-1.88349	-0.8922
H	0.29123	-4.09412	0.05467
H	-1.34465	-3.85208	0.66982
H	0.01026	-2.93369	1.36632
$\mathbf C$	-1.64938	2.58251	0.00601
C	-1.59575	3.04703	-1.45724
H	-0.68569	2.69105	-1.94866
H	-1.60789	4.14251	-1.48839
H	-2.46432	2.67986	-2.01592
\mathcal{C}	-0.49887	3.12984	0.86348
H	-2.58728	2.93462	0.44429
H	-0.57236	4.22259	0.90245
H_{\rm}	0.47603	2.87119	0.44018
H	-0.55525	2.74902	1.89007
\overline{C}	5.64161	-0.2562	0.84789
H	6.26374	0.59063	0.54637
H	6.02679	-1.17476	0.39212
H	5.67904	-0.34887	1.93518

Table 13: XYZ coordinates of the optimized geometry for **2**.

\mathcal{C}	-2.49284	-1.54631	1.03784
\overline{C}	-3.84278	-1.7162	0.73952
H	-4.50754	-2.15912	1.48227
H	-1.6324	-0.16943	-1.94587
H	-4.05427	-0.57069	-2.29372
$\mathbf H$	-2.08915	-1.85714	1.99814
\overline{C}	-0.27501	2.37963	0.38799
\overline{C}	0.83267	2.9156	1.33162
$\mathbf H$	1.23923	2.10434	1.94899
$\mathbf H$	0.41527	3.67327	2.00639
$\mathbf H$	1.66017	3.38684	0.78779
C	-0.84373	3.51968	-0.48406
H	-0.07734	4.00606	-1.0992
$\mathbf H$	-1.2872	4.29137	0.15678
$\boldsymbol{\mathrm{H}}$	-1.62264	3.1301	-1.14911
\overline{C}	-1.42074	1.84563	1.26539
H	-1.09333	1.03694	1.92524
$\boldsymbol{\mathrm{H}}$	-2.25897	1.48829	0.66298
$\boldsymbol{\mathrm{H}}$	-1.7786	2.67023	1.89403
\overline{C}	2.53689	-1.5641	0.10312
\overline{C}	4.03536	-1.5021	-0.26001
$\boldsymbol{\mathrm{H}}$	4.19494	-1.41894	-1.34195
$\boldsymbol{\mathrm{H}}$	4.50931	-2.43276	0.07178
H_{\rm}	4.55093	-0.67266	0.23914
\mathcal{C}	2.40676	-1.77361	1.62902
$\mathbf H$	2.90179	-0.95796	2.17266
H	2.8859	-2.71691	1.92033
$\boldsymbol{\mathrm{H}}$	1.35416	-1.80763	1.92095
\overline{C}	1.90159	-2.74127	-0.67024
$\boldsymbol{\mathrm{H}}$	1.98455	-2.5761	-1.7519
$\boldsymbol{\mathrm{H}}$	0.84741	-2.85795	-0.41162
$\boldsymbol{\mathrm{H}}$	2.43249	-3.66963	-0.4236

Table 14: XYZ coordinates of the optimized geometry for **2**–Me⁺ .

Atom	X	v	7
		0.003	0.00001
H	0.94867	0.5464	-0.00001
H	-0.94867	0.54641	-0.00001
	-0.00001	-1.09464	-0.00001

Table 15: XYZ coordinates of the optimized geometry for Me⁺.

X-ray Diffraction Studies

General information: Single-crystal X-ray diffraction data were collected on a Bruker APEX-II CCD diffractometer or on a Bruker D8 quest Photon III diffractometer using Mo-K_a radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). Crystals were selected under oil, mounted on nylon loops and then immediately placed in a cold stream of N_2 on a diffractometer. Using Olex2,¹³ the structures were solved with the Superflip^{14–16}, Olex2.solve¹⁷, ShelXS¹⁸, ShelXD¹⁸ or ShelXT¹⁹ using charge flipping, direct, or dual methods. The refinement was done with ShelXL¹⁹ using Least Squares minimization or Olex2.refine¹⁷ using Gauss-Newton minimization.

Crystallographic data have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication no. CCDC- 2354124 (**1**), CCDC- 2354125 (**2**), CCDC- 2354126 (**3**), CCDC-2354127 (**4**), CCDC- 2354128 (**5**), CCDC- 2354129 (**6**), CCDC- 2354130 (**7**) and CCDC- 2354131 (**8**), CCDC- 2354132 (**12**) and CCDC- 2354133 (**13**). These data can be obtained free of charge via https://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures (or from the CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: (+44) 1223-336-033; or deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

Single-crystal X-ray structure analysis of 1:

Colorless single crystals of **1** were obtained by storing an *n*-hexane solution of **1** at –34 °C. The singlecrystal X-ray structure analysis revealed that **1** crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group *P*212121. The asymmetric unit contains one molecule of **1**.

Figure S51: Molecular view of **1** in the solid state with thermal ellipsoid plot at the 50% levels of probability. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Single-crystal X-ray structure analysis of 2:

Colorless single crystals of **2** were obtained by storing an *n*-hexane solution of **2** at –34 °C. The singlecrystal X-ray structure analysis revealed that **2** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group *P*21/*c*. The asymmetric unit contains one molecule of **2**.

Figure S52: Molecular view of **2** in the solid state with thermal ellipsoid plot at the 50% levels of probability. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Single-crystal X-ray structure analysis of 3:

Colorless single crystals of 3 were obtained by the diffusion of *n*-hexane into a CHCl₃ solution of 3 at –34 °C. The single-crystal X-ray structure analysis revealed that **3** crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group $Pna2_1$. The asymmetric unit contains one molecule of 3. One BF_4^- anion is disordered over two positions (occupancy 0.8 : 0.2).Compound **3** was refined as a 2-component inversion twin (BASF 0.3(10)).

Figure S53: Molecular view of **3** in the solid state with thermal ellipsoid plot at the 50% levels of probability. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Single-crystal X-ray structure analysis of 4:

Colorless single crystals of **4** were obtained by the diffusion of *n*-hexane into a THF solution of **4** at ambient temperature. The single-crystal X-ray structure analysis revealed that **4** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group *C*2/*c*. The asymmetric unit contains one molecule of **4** and half a THF molecule. One *iso*-propyl group is disordered over two positions (occupancy 0.7:0.3).

Figure S54: Molecular view of **4** in the solid state with thermal ellipsoid plot at the 50% levels of probability. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Single-crystal X-ray structure analysis of 5:

Colorless single crystals of **5** were obtained by the diffusion of *n*-pentane into a DCM solution of **5** at –34 °C. The single-crystal X-ray structure analysis revealed that **5** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group *P*21*/m*. The asymmetric unit contains half a molecule of **5**.

Figure S55: Molecular view of **5** in the solid state with thermal ellipsoid plot at the 50% levels of probability. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

 $-59-$

Single-crystal X-ray structure analysis of 6:

Yellow single crystals of **6** were obtained by the diffusion of *n*-hexane into a THF solution of **6** at –34 °C. The single-crystal X-ray structure analysis revealed that **6** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group *P*21*/c*. The asymmetric unit contains half a molecule of **6**. One *iso*-propyl group is disordered over two positions (occupancy 0.8 : 0.2).

Figure S56: Molecular view of **6** in the solid state with thermal ellipsoid plot at the 50% levels of probability. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Single-crystal X-ray structure analysis of 7:

Yellow single crystals of **7** were obtained by the diffusion of *n*-hexane into a CDCl₃ solution of **7** at -34 °C. The single-crystal X-ray structure analysis revealed that **7** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group *P*21*/c*. The asymmetric unit contains one molecule of 7 and two CDCl₃ molecules.

Figure S57: Molecular view of **7** in the solid state with thermal ellipsoid plot at the 50% levels of probability. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

 $-61-$

Single-crystal X-ray structure analysis of 8:

Yellow single crystals of 8 were obtained by the diffusion of *n*-hexane into a DCM/CHCl₃ solution of 8 at –34 °C. The single-crystal X-ray structure analysis revealed that **8** crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group *P*ca21. The asymmetric unit contains one molecule of **8** and one DCM molecule.

Figure S58: Molecular view of **8** in the solid state with thermal ellipsoid plot at the 50% levels of probability. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

 $-62-$

Single-crystal X-ray structure analysis of 12:

Colorless single crystals of 12 were obtained by the diffusion of Et₂O into a MeCN solution of 12 at ambient temperature. The single-crystal X-ray structure analysis revealed that **12** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group *P*21*/c*. The asymmetric unit contains one molecule of **12**. The methyl groups of the *tert*-butyl group (occupancy 0.8 : 0.2) and the iodide anion (occupancy 0.66 : 0.34) are disordered over two positions, respectively.

Figure S59: Molecular view of **12** in the solid state with thermal ellipsoid plot at the 50% levels of probability. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Single-crystal X-ray structure analysis of 13:

Colorless single crystals of 13 were obtained by the diffusion of Et_2O into a DCM/n -hexane solution of 13 at ambient temperature. The single-crystal X-ray structure analysis revealed that **13** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P21/c$. The asymmetric unit contains one molecule of 13 and one molecule of DCM.

Figure S60: Molecular view of **13** in the solid state with thermal ellipsoid plot at the 50% levels of probability. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

References

- 1 Y. Han; H. V. Huynh; G. K. Tan, *Organometallics*, **2007**, *26*, 6447–6452.
- 2 H. V. Huynh; Y. Han; R. Jothibasu; J. an Yang, *Organometallics*, **2009**, *28*, 5395–5404.
- 3 Q. Teng; P. S. Ng; J. N. Leung; H. V. Huynh, *Chem. Eur. J*, **2019**, *25*, 13956–13963.
- 4 R. A. Kunetskiy; S. M. Polyakova; J. Vavřík; I. Císařová; J. Saame; E. R. Nerut; I. Koppel; I. A. Koppel; A. Kütt; I. Leito; I. M. Lyapkalo, *Chem. Eur. J.*, **2012**, *18*, 3621–3630.
- 5 X. Zhou; K.-C. Lau; B. J. Petro; R. F. Jordan, *Organometallics*, **2014**, *33*, 7209–7214.
- 6 H. V. Huynh; Y. Han; R. Jothibasu; J. an Yang, *Organometallics*, **2009**, *28*, 5395–5404.
- 7 A.-C. C. Carlsson; J. Gräfenstein; A. Budnjo; J. L. Laurila; J. Bergquist; A. Karim; R. Kleinmaier; U. Brath; M. Erdélyi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2012**, *134*, 5706–5715.
- 8 H. Xiao; K. Xin; H. Dou; G. Yin; Y. Quan; R. Wang, *Chem. Commun.*, **2015**, *51*, 1442–1445.
- 9 S. Lall; V. Behaj; D. Mancheno; R. Casiano; M. Thomas; A. Rikin; J. Gaillard; R. Raju; A. Scumpia; S. Castro; R. Engel; J. I. Cohen, *Synthesis*, **2002**, 1530–1540.
- 10 D. G. Gusev, *Organometallics*, **2009**, *28*, 6458–6461.
- 11 Frisch, M.J., Trucks, G.W., Schlegel, H.B., Scuseria, G.E., Robb, M.A., Cheeseman, J.R., Scalmani, G., Barone, V., Mennucci, B., Petersson, G.A., Nakatsuji, H., Caricato, M., Li, X., Hratchian, H.P., Izmaylov, A.F., Bloino, J., Zheng, G., Sonnenberg, J.L., Hada, M., Ehara, M., Toyota, K., Fukuda, R., Hasegawa, J., Ishida, M., Nakajima, T., Honda, Y., Kitao, O., Nakai, H., Vreven, T., Montgomery Jr., J.A., Peralta, J.E., Ogliaro, F., Bearpark, M., Heyd, J.J., Brothers, E., Kudin, K.N., Staroverov, V.N., Kobayashi, R., Normand, J., Raghavachari, K., Rendell, A., Burant, J.C., Iyengar, S.S., Tomasi, J., Cossi, M., Rega, N., Millam, J.M., Klene, M., Knox, J.E., Cross, J.B., Bakken, V., Adamo, C., Jaramillo, J., Gomperts, R., Stratmann, R.E., Yazyev, O., Austin, A.J., Cammi, R., Pomelli, C., Ochterski, J.W., Martin, R.L., Morokuma, K., Zakrzewski, V.G., Voth, G.A., Salvador, P., Dannenberg, J.J., Dapprich, S., Daniels, A.D., Farkas, O., Foresman, J.B., Ortiz, J.V., Cioslowski, J. and Fox, D.J, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2013.
- 12 Y. Wei; G. N. Sastry; H. Zipse, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, **2008**, *130*, 3473–3477.
- 13 O. V. Dolomanov; L. J. Bourhis; R. J. Gildea; J. A. K. Howard; H. Puschmann, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, **2009**, *42*, 339–341.
- 14 L. Palatinus; G. Chapuis, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, **2007**, *40*, 786–790.
- 15 L. Palatinus; A. van der Lee, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, **2008**, *41*, 975–984.
- 16 L. Palatinus; S. J. Prathapa; S. van Smaalen, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, **2012**, *45*, 575–580.
- 17 L. J. Bourhis; O. V. Dolomanov; R. J. Gildea; J. A. K. Howard; H. Puschmann, *Acta crystallogr. A*, **2015**, *71*, 59–75.
- 18 G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta crystallogr. A*, **2008**, *64*, 112–122.
- 19 G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta crystallogr. A*, **2015**, *71*, 3–8.