Supporting Information

C–H Amination Chemistry Mediated by Trinuclear Cu(I) Sites Supported by a Ligand Scaffold Featuring an Arene Platform and Tetramethylguanidinyl Residues[†]

Meenakshi Sharma,^a Reece M. Fritz,^a Himanshu Bhatia,^a Joseph O. Adebanjo,^b Zhou Lu,^{b,c} Mohammad A. Omary,^b Thomas R. Cundari,^{b,*} Amitava Choudhury,^a and Pericles Stavropoulos^{a,*}

^{*a*} Department of Chemistry, Missouri University of Science and Technology, Rolla, MO 65409, USA.

^{b.} Department of Chemistry, University of North Texas, Denton, TX 76203, USA.

^c Present address: Department of Chemistry, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 76203, USA.

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel.: (+1) 573-341-7220, Fax: (+1) 573-341-

6033. E-mail: pericles@mst.edu

Table of Contents

General Considerations	S3
Synthesis and Characterization of Ligands and Metal Compounds	S4
Other Physical Measurements	S7
X-ray crystallography	S7
Catalytic and Mechanistic Studies	S11
(a) Catalytic Styrene Aziridination Procedure	S11
(b) General Catalytic Amination Procedure	S11
(c) Hammett Plots	S13
(d) Kinetic Isotope Effect	S14
Computational Methods	S14
¹ H and ¹³ C NMR Spectra	S18
References	S24

List of Tables

Table S1. Summary of Crystallographic Data for Compounds 1 and 2 S8
Table S2. Summary of Crystallographic Data for Amination Products cis-1,4-Me2-1-NHTces-
Cyclohexane, trans-1,4-Me2-1-NHTces-Cyclohexane, 1,3-(NHTces)2-Adamantane and 1-NHTces-3-
Cl-Adamantane
Table S3. Optimization of Catalytic Amination Reaction of Ethylbenzene Mediated by
$[(TMG_3 trphen-Arene)Cu_3(\mu-Cl)_3] (2)S12$
Table S4. Competitive Amination Reactions of para-substituted Ethylbenzenes vs. Ethylbenzene
by PhI=NTces in the presence of [(TMG ₃ trphen-Arene)Cu ₃ (µ-Cl) ₃] (2)S13

List of Figures

Figure S1. ORTEP diagram of cis-1,4-Me2-1-NHTces-Cyclohexane, trans-1,4-Me2-1-NHTces-
Cyclohexane, 1,3-(NHTces) ₂ -Adamantane and 1-NHTces-3-Cl-Adamantane drawn with 40%
thermal ellipsoids
Figure S2. Computed Relative Free Energies (BP86-D3BJ/def2-TZVP/gas) of cis- and trans-1,4-
Me ₂ -1-NHTces-Cyclohexane Conformers
Figure S3. Optimized [(TMG ₃ trphen-Arene)Cu ₃ (μ -Cl) ₃] with nitrene docked, optimized in a
closed-shell singlet ¹ [Cu]NR and a broken-symmetry singlet ^{BS} [Cu]NRS16
Figure S4. Optimized structure of $[(TMG)_3$ trphen-Arene)Cu ₃ (μ -Cl) ₃] (2) with side and front view
(TPSSh/def2-TZVP/gas)
Figure S5. (a) Front and (b) side view of spin density profile for broken-symmetry state ^{BS} [Cu]NR
(TPSSh/def2-TZVP/gas; Isovalue = 0.002 a.u.)

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Considerations. All operations were performed under anaerobic conditions under a pure dinitrogen or argon atmosphere, using Schlenk techniques on an inert gas/vacuum manifold or in a dry box (O_2 , $H_2O < 1$ ppm). Anhydrous diethyl ether, methylene chloride, acetonitrile, tetrahydrofuran, hexane, pentane, benzene, toluene, dimethylformamide, dimethylacetamide, and dimethyl sulfoxide were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Millipore). Solvents were degassed by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles. Unless otherwise noted, all other reagents were purchased at the highest purity available or synthesized according to the literature procedures noted in the text.

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on Varian XL-400, Varian INOVA/ UNITY 400 MHz Unity Plus, and a Bruker Avance III 800 MHz NMR spectrometers. IR spectra were obtained on a Perkin-Elmer 883 IR spectrometer and FT-IR spectra on Nicolet Nexus 470 and 670, Magna 750 FT-IR ESP, and Shimadzu IR-Affinity-1 spectrometers. UV-vis spectra were obtained on a Hewlett-Packard 8452A diode array, Varian Cary 50, and Varian Cary 300 spectrophotometers. HRMS data were collected on a Thermo Fisher Scientific LTQ-Orbitrap XL hybrid mass spectrometer, using the Orbitrap analyzer for the acquisition of high-resolution accurate mass data. Samples were infused using the integrated syringe pump at 3 μL/min and ionization was *via* the electrospray source with source settings at their defaults. In general, settings for the ion optics were determined automatically during the regular tuning and calibration of the instrument. For highresolution data, the Orbitrap analyzer is set to a resolution of 100000. Microanalyses were done on an in-house Perkin-Elmer 2400 CHN analyzer. Other physical measurements are noted below. Synthesis and Characterization of Ligands and Metal Compounds



Chlorotetramethylformamidinium chloride. To a cooled solution of 1,1,3,3-tetramethyl urea (6.96 g, 59.9 mmol) in toluene (15 mL) at 0 °C, oxalyl chloride (7.68 ml, 89.9 mmol) was slowly added. The temperature of the reaction mixture was kept at 0 °C throughout the addition of oxalyl chloride. After the addition was complete, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature and stirred overnight. The white solid was collected by filtration and washed with diethyl ether (20 mL). The product was dried under vacuum (yield = 8.5 g, 83%).



5'-(2-aminophenyl)-[1,1':3',1''-terphenyl]-2,2''-diamine.¹ 2-Aminophenyl boronic acid pinacol ester (500 mg, 1 mmol), 1,3,5-tribromobenzene (215 mg, 0.3 mmol), CyJohnPhos (72 mg, 9 mol%), Pd(OAc)₂ (15 mg, 3 mol%), and Ba(OH)₂•8H₂O were charged in an oven-dried resealable Schlenk flask containing a magnetic stir bar. The Schlenk flask was tightly capped with a rubber septum and then evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen. Dry dioxane (25 mL) was added and the suspension was stirred and heated at 90 – 95 °C for 24 hours. The reaction was monitored by TLC for completion, and after completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature and filtered through a thin bed of Celite. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica

(MeOH-CH₂Cl₂, 2:98) to afford 5'-(2-aminophenyl)-[1,1':3',1"-terphenyl]-2,2"-diamine as a light brown solid (110 mg, 41%). ¹H NMR (CD₃CN, 1.94 ppm): δ 7.44 (s, 3H, aryl), 7.18-7.15 (d, 3H, aryl), 7.11-7.09 (t, 3H, aryl), 6.79-6.73 (m, 6H, aryl), 4.19 (br, 6H, NH₂). ¹³C NMR (CD₃CN, 118.26, 1.32 ppm): δ 145.6, 141.7, 131.1, 129.4, 128.8, 127.5, 118.6, 116.3. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): v3434, 3351, 3028, 1612, 1495, 1450, 1409, 1296, 1059, 895, 801, 750, 621, 454. UV-Vis (CH₂Cl₂): λ_{max} (ε (M⁻¹ cm⁻¹)) 225 (10750).



(TMG₃trphen-Arene)•1.5CH₂Cl₂ (1). 5'-(2-aminophenyl)-[1,1':3',1"-terphenyl]-2,2"diamine (300 mg, 0.855 mmol) was dissolved in acetonitrile (15 mL) at room temperature. A solution of chlorotetramethylformamidinium chloride (465 mg, 3 mmol) in acetonitrile (10 mL) was slowly added at 0 – 5 °C. Triethylamine (268 mg, 3 mmol) was added, and the mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature and was further stirred overnight. The solvent was evacuated to dryness and the resulting residue was stirred with water (20 mL). The reaction mixture was basified with NH₄OH solution (15 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (20 mL). The organic layer was concentrated to give an oily mass of 1 which solidified on cooling (yield = 347 mg, 63%). ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 5.32 ppm): δ 7.50 (s, 3H, aryl), 7.28 (dd, 3H, *J* = 7.6, 1.6 Hz, aryl), 7.14 (dt, 3H, *J* = 7.6, 1.6 Hz, aryl), 6.89 (dt, 3H, *J* = 7.4, 1.2 Hz, aryl), 6.69 (d, 3H, *J* = 7.9, aryl), 2.47 (s, 36H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 53.84 ppm): δ 158.3, 149.8, 140.7, 133.5, 130.8, 128.0, 127.8, 123.4, 120.4. 39.4. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): v 3063, 2997, 2916, 2883, 2796, 2160, 2045, 1575, 1553, 1498, 1479, 1375, 1233, 1135, 1016, 886, 749, 443. UV-Vis (CH₂Cl₂): λ_{max} (ε (M⁻¹ cm⁻¹)) 225 (20310). Elem. Anal. calcd. for C₃₉H₅₁N₉ (1 – 1.5 DCM): C, 72.52; H, 7.96, N, 19.52. Found: C, 72.49; H, 7.93, N, 19.58.



[(TMG₃trphen-Arene)Cu₃(μ-Cl)₃]•2.56CH₂Cl₂ (2). To a suspension of CuCl (115.03 mg, 1.16 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was added a solution of **1** (250 mg, 0.387 mmol) in THF (5 mL) at room temperature. The solution was stirred for 24 hours. The volatiles were removed under vacuum and the residue was redissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) and stirred for 6 hours. The solution was filtered and concentrated to give a brown-colored powder **2** (yield = 265 g, 73%). The compound was recrystallized from CH₂Cl₂ to obtain X-ray-quality crystals. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 5.32 ppm): δ7.50 (s, 3H, aryl), 7.35 (d, 3H, J = 7.4 Hz, aryl), 7.26 (t, 3H, J = 7.7 Hz, aryl), 7.06 (t, 3H, J = 7.4 Hz, aryl), 6.48 (d, 3H, J = 7.7 Hz, aryl), 2.78 (s, 36H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 53.84 ppm): 166.6, 148.6, 140.4, 133.4, 130.6, 129.4 (intense), 122.3, 121.9, 40.5. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): v 2926, 2792, 2114, 1518, 1455, 1392, 1329, 1283, 1202, 1155, 1029, 925, 854, 754, 619, 496, 450. UV-Vis (CH₂Cl₂): λ_{max} (ε (M⁻¹ cm⁻¹)) 225 (39270). Elem. Anal. calcd. for C₃₉H₅₁Cl₃Cu₃N₉ (**2** – 2.56 DCM): C, 49.68; H, 5.45, N, 13.37. Found: C, 49.62; H, 5.42, N, 13.40.

Other Physical Measurements

X-ray crystallography. Intensity data sets for all the compounds were collected on a Bruker Smart Apex, a Bruker Apex II or a Rigaku 4-circle diffractometer using graphite monochromated Mo ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) or Cu ($\lambda = 1.54178$ Å) K α radiation from a fine focus sealed tube X-ray source. Suitable crystals were selected and mounted on a glass fiber using super glue. The datasets were collected at low temperatures (100 - 220 K) and room temperature for metal complexes and purely organic compounds, respectively, employing a scan of 0.3° in ω with an exposure time of 20 s/frame using Apex III or SMART software.^{2,3} The cell refinement and data reduction were carried out with SAINT, while the program SADABS was used for the absorption correction.⁴ The structures were solved by direct methods using SHELXS-97 and difference Fourier syntheses.⁵ Full-matrix least-squares refinement against |F²| was carried out using the SHELXTL-PLUS⁴ suite of programs. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were placed geometrically and held in the riding mode during the final refinement. Note that for the crystal structure solution of compounds 1 and 2, SQUEEZE has been used to treat the scattered e-density from the disordered solvent molecules. From the residual electron density and electron count from the solvent-accessible volume, the number of additional disordered molecules were calculated and added to the final formula.⁶ All structures were finally refined with SHELX-2014 using SHELXle.⁷ Crystallographic data are collected in Table S1 and S2. ORTEP diagrams are shown in Figures 2,

3

and

S1.

	(TMG ₃ trphen-Arene)•1.5CH ₂ Cl ₂	[(TMG ₃ trphen-Arene)-
	(1)	Cu ₃ (µ-Cl) ₃]•2.56CH ₂ Cl ₂ (2)
formula	$C_{40.50}H_{54}Cl_3N_9$	$[C_{39}H_{51}Cl_3Cu_3N_9, 2.56(CH_2Cl_2)]_2$
$M_{ m r}$	773.27	2320.53
crystal system	Monoclinic	Triclinic
space group	P21/c	$P^{\overline{1}}$
<i>a</i> (Å)	10.0570(15)	18.1859(16)
<i>b</i> (Å)	24.826(4)	18.3235(16)
<i>c</i> (Å)	17.435(3)	20.8638(18)
α (deg)	90	84.278
β (deg)	105.177	65.979
γ (deg)	90	60.494
$V(Å^3)$	4201.2(11)	5482.1(8)
Ζ	4	2
$D_{\text{calcd}} (\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3})$	1.223	1.406
<i>T</i> (K)	173(2)	173(2)
λ (Å)	0.71073	0.71073
$\mu \text{ (mm}^{-1})$	0.258	1.586
R_1^a (I>2sigma(I))	0.0904	0.0565
wR_2^b (I>2sigma(I)) 0.1241	0.0887

Table S1. Summary of Crystallographic Data for Compounds 1 and 2 $\,$

 ${}^{a}R_{1} = \Sigma ||F_{o}| - |F_{c}|| / \Sigma |F_{o}|. {}^{b}wR_{2} = [\Sigma w (F_{o}^{2} - F_{c}^{2})^{2} / \Sigma w (F_{o}^{2})^{2}]^{1/2}$

	<i>cis</i> -1,4-Me ₂ -1- NHTces- Cyclohexane	<i>trans</i> -1,4-Me ₂ -1- NHTces- Cyclohexane	1,3-(NHTces) ₂ - Adamantane	1-NHTces-3-Cl- Adamantane
formula	$C_{10}H_{18}Cl_3NO_3S$	$C_{10}H_{18}Cl_3NO_3S$	$C_{14}H_{20}Cl_6N_2O_6S_2$	$C_{12}H_{17}Cl_4NO_3S$
$M_{ m r}$	338.66	338.66	589.14	397.12
crystal system	Monoclinic	Monoclinic	Orthorhombic	Monoclinic
space group	P21/c	P21/n	Pbcn	P21
<i>a</i> (Å)	10.639(4)	6.0757(2)	6.2671(3)	10.3350(19)
<i>b</i> (Å)	6.217(2)	10.6419(3)	21.0667(10)	6.6003(11)
<i>c</i> (Å)	22.819(8)	22.7905(7)	17.0969(8)	11.873(2)
α (deg)	90	90	90	90
β (deg)	93.285(5)	93.3490(10)	90	92.336(6)
γ (deg)	90	90	90	90
$V(Å^3)$	1506.8(9)	1469.32(8)	2257.25(19)	809.2(2)
Z	4	4	4	2
$D_{\text{calcd}} (\text{g cm}^{-3})$	1.493	1.531	1.734	1.630
<i>T</i> (K)	298(2)	173(2)	173(2)	173(2)
λ (Å)	0.71073	0.71073	0.71073	0.71073
$\mu \; (mm^{-1})$	0.746	0.765	0.982	0.867
$R_1{}^a$	0.0626	0.0278	0.0336	0.0913
(I>2sigma(I))				
wR_2^b	0.1283	0.0674	0.0818	0.2357
(I>2sigma(I))				

Table S2. Summary of Crystallographic Data for Amination Products *cis*-1,4-Me₂-1-NHTces-
Cyclohexane, *trans*-1,4-Me₂-1-NHTces-Cyclohexane, 1,3-(NHTces)₂-Adamantane
and 1-NHTces-3-Cl-Adamantane

^{*a*} $R_1 = \Sigma ||F_o| - |F_c|| / \Sigma |F_o|$. ^{*b*} $wR_2 = [\Sigma w (F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2 / \Sigma w (F_o^2)^2]^{1/2}$



Figure S1. ORTEP diagram of *cis*-1,4-Me₂-1-NHTces-Cyclohexane, *trans*-1,4-Me₂-1-NHTces-Cyclohexane, 1,3-(NHTces)₂-Adamantane and 1-NHTces-3-Cl-Adamantane drawn with 40% thermal ellipsoids. Selective interatomic distances [Å] and angles [°] for *cis*-1,4-Me₂-1-NHTces-Cyclohexane: C(1)–N(1) = 1.500(4), C(1)–C(7) = 1.522(5), C(4)–C(8) = 1.530(5), N(1)–S(1) = 1.581(3), S(1)–O(2) = 1.427(3), C(1)–N(1)–S(1) = 127.4(2). For *trans*-1,4-Me₂-1-NHTces-Cyclohexane: C(1)–N(1) = 1.5051(14), C(1)–C(7) = 1.5278(16), C(4)–C(8) = 1.5220(19), N(1)–S(1) = 1.5940(10), S(1)–O(2) = 1.4198(9), C(1)–N(1)–S(1) = 125.98(7). For 1,3-(NHTces)₂-Adamantane: C(1)–N(1) = 1.486(2), N(1)–S(1) = 1.5904(15), S(1)–O(2) = 1.4135(14), C(1)–N(1)–S(1) = 1.521(16), C(5)–Cl(4) = 1.815(14), N(1)–S(1) = 1.561(11), S(1)–O(2) = 1.426(10), C(1)–N(1)–S(1) = 127.2(9).



Figure S2. Computed Relative Free Energies (BP86-D3BJ/def2-TZVP/gas) of *cis*- and *trans*-1,4-Me₂-1-NHTces-Cyclohexane Conformers

Catalytic and Mechanistic Studies

(a) Catalytic Styrene Aziridination Procedure. A 20 mL screw-cap vial containing a small magnetic bar was charged in sequence with catalyst 2 (11.8 mg, 0.0125 mmol), N-(p-tolylsulfonyl)imido]phenyliodinane (93.3 mg, 0.25 mmol), molecular sieves (5Å) (20 mg), styrene (208.3 mg, 2.0 mmol) and CH_2Cl_2 (0.300 g). The reaction mixture was stirred vigorously at 30 °C for 2.0 hours. After completion of the reaction, the aziridination product (yield: 82%) was purified by column chromatography (silica gel) and quantified by ¹H NMR (in CDCl₃) versus an internal standard (4'-methoxyacetophenone).

(b) General Catalytic Amination Procedure. In a standard method for copper-catalyzed amination, a tiny magnetic stirrer was placed in a flame-dried 20 mL screw cap vial. To this vial, catalvst [(TMG₃trphen-Arene)Cu₃(μ -Cl)₃] (2) (11.8)mg, 0.0125 mmol). 2.2.2trichloroethyl(phenyl- λ_3 -iodanylidene)sulfamate (PhINTces) (214.4 mg, 0.500 mmol) and molecular sieves (5Å) (20 mg) were added sequentially. A solution of the substrate (0.250 mmol) in a mixture of α, α, α -trifluorotoluene and HFIP (10:1 v/v, 300 mg) was added to the vial that contained the solids. The reaction was stirred at 30 °C for 24 hours. After completion of the reaction, the products were purified by column chromatography (silica gel) to remove excess substrate and catalyst components using a mixture of hexane and ethyl acetate, and were quantified by ¹H NMR (in CDCl₃) versus an internal standard (4'-methoxyacetophenone). All products are known compounds,⁸ with the exception of the following products:

Trans-1,4-Me₂-1-NHTces-cyclohexane: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 7.26 ppm): δ 4.64 (s, 2H, Tces), 4.48 (br, 1H, NH), 2.06-1.99 (m, 2H, CH₂), 1.63-1.55 (m, 2H, CH₂), 1.46 (s, 3H, Me), 1.41-1.31 (m, 3H, CH₂, CH), 1.24-1.16 (m, 2H, CH₂), 0.93 (d, 3H, J= 6.4 Hz, Me). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 77.16, ppm): 93.8, 78.1, 57.4, 37.7, 31.7, 30.2, 28.6, 22.2. Elem. Anal. calcd. for C₁₀H₁₈Cl₃NSO₃: C, 35.46; H, 5.36, N, 4.14. Found: C, 35.49; H, 5.39, N, 4.11.

1,3-(NHTces)₂-adamantane: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 7.26 ppm): δ 4.63 (s, 4H, Tces), 4.60 (br, 2H, NH), 2.35 (m, 2H, CH), 2.26 (br, 2H, CH₂), 1.97 (d, 8H, J = 3.0 Hz, CH₂), 1.62 (t, 2H, J = 3.3 Hz, CH₂). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 77.16, ppm): 93.5, 78.4, 56.9, 47.4, 41.1, 34.3, 29.9. Elem. Anal. calcd. for C₁₄H₂₀Cl₆N₂S₂O₆: C, 28.54; H, 3.42, N, 4.75. Found: C, 28.49; H, 3.40, N, 4.78.

1-NHTces-3-Cl-adamantane: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 7.26 ppm): δ 4.66 (br, 1H, NH), 4.63 (s, 2H, Tces), 2.37 (br, 2H, CH₂), 2.33 (m, 2H, CH), 2.07 (br, 4H, CH₂), 1.97 (br, 4H, CH₂) 1.61 (m, 2H, CH₂). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 77.16, ppm): 93.5, 78.4, 66.1, 57.8, 52.1, 46.1, 40.8, 34.0, 31.7. Elem. Anal. calcd. for C₁₂H₁₇Cl₄NSO₃: C, 36.29; H, 4.31, N, 3.53. Found: C, 36.27; H, 4.29, N, 3.56.

Table	S3.	Optimization	of	Catalytic	Amination	Reaction	of	Ethylbenzene	Mediated	by
[(TMG	3trph	en-Arene)Cu ₃ (μ-C	$(1)_3](2)$						

R	Solvent	Catalyst 2 (mol %)	Yield (%)
Ts	CH_2Cl_2	5	7
Ts	PhCl	5	9
Ts	PhCF ₃	5	10
Tces	PhCF ₃	5	18
Tces	PhCF ₃ /HFIP (10:1 v/v)	5	42
Tces	PhCl/HFIP (10:1 v/v)	5	43
Tces	1,2-Difluorobenzene/HFIP (10:1 v/v)	5	39
Tces	PhCF ₃ /HFIP (10:1 v/v)	2.5	37
Tces	PhCF ₃ /HFIP (10:1 v/v)	10	33

Reaction conditions: **2**, 0.0125 mmol (5 mol% or as stated above); ethylbenzene, 0.25 mmol; PhI=NR, 0.50 mmol (R = Ts, Tces); solvent as noted above (300 mg); MS 5 Å, 20 mg; t = 12 h.

(c) Hammett Plots. The general procedure for the amination of benzylic substrates was conducted with the assistance of catalyst [(TMG₃trphen-Bz)Cu₃(μ -Cl)₃] (2) (11.8 mg, 0.0125 mmol). The reaction mixture was composed of 1.0 mmol of ethylbenzene, 1.0 mmol of *p*-X-ethylbenzene (X = Me, MeO, F, Cl, Br, CF₃, NO₂) and PhINTces (2 mmol) in a PhCF₃/HFIP mixture (10:1 v/v). The reaction vial was sealed tightly and wrapped with aluminum foil to protect the reaction mixture from light. The reactions were stirred for 6 hours. At the end of the reaction, the mixture was flash chromatographed on silica gel (CH₂Cl₂) in order to recover the benzylic aminated products and evaluate their ratio (Table S4) by quantitative ¹H-NMR analysis in CDCl₃.

X	$\sigma_{ m p}$	σ^{+}	$k_{\rm X}/k_{\rm H}$	$\log\left(k_{\rm X}/k_{\rm H}\right)$
MeO	-0.27	-0.78	3.2834	0.516
Me	-0.17	-0.31	0.8139	0.089
F	0.06	-0.07	0.8576	-0.067
Н	0	0	0	0
Cl	0.23	0.11	0.6675	-0.175
Ι	0.18	0.11	0.8268	-0.082
Br	0.23	0.15	0.6360	-0.197
CF ₃	0.54	0.61	0.1719	-0.76
NO ₂	0.78	0.79	0.1464	-0.83

Table S4. Competitive Amination Reactions of para-substituted Ethylbenzenes vs. Ethylbenzene by PhI=NTces in the presence of $[(TMG_3trphen-Arene)Cu_3(\mu-Cl)_3]$ (2)

Reaction conditions: **2**, 0.0125 mmol; ethylbenzene, 1.0 mmol; *p*-X-ethylbenzene, 1.0 mmol; PhI=NTces, 2 mmol; PhCF₃/HFIP (10:1 v/v, 300 mg); MS 5 Å, 20 mg; t = 6 h.

(d) Kinetic Isotope Effects. The amination of (S)-(+)-1-Phenylethane-*d* (99.8 atom % D, 40.15 mg, 0.375 mmol) (synthesized by following a literature report)⁹ by PhINTces (107.2 mg, 0.25 mmol), mediated by [(TMG₃trphen-Arene)Cu₃(μ -Cl)₃] (2) (11.8 mg, 0.0125 mmol), was conducted according to the general procedure for the amination of ethylbenzene by PhINTces, in a PhCF₃/HFIP (10:1 v/v, 0.500g) solvent mixture. The reaction was quenched after 24 hours, and the reaction mixture was flash chromatographed on silica gel with hexane/ethyl acetate (95:5 v/v) to recover the aminated product. The ratio of d₀/d₁ amines is evaluated by ¹H NMR (CD₃Cl₃, 120 s relaxation delay) by integrating the CH(D) multiplet against the CH₂ (NTces) and CH₃ peak clusters of the products. An internal integration of the CH₃ peak cluster also permits an independent evaluation of the d₀/d₁ ratio.

Computational Methods

The structures were optimized with ORCA^{10,11} with the M06¹² functional in conjunction with the def2-TZVPP basis set.¹³ Optimized structures were minima, as no imaginary vibrational frequencies were found. ADF 2022^{14,15} was used to calculate the energy decomposition analysis (EDA)¹⁶ with the level of theory PBE exchange-correlation functional (XC) and D3(BJ) dispersion correction¹⁷ with TZ2P basis set. In contrast, Multiwfn¹⁸ with the M06-D4^{12,17,19} functional was used to calculate the natural orbitals for chemical valence (NOCV)¹³ and Independent Gradient Model Based on Hirshfeld Partition (IGMH). ^{16,20} EDA provides a qualitative and quantitative chemical bond analysis by breaking the overall bond energy (ΔE) into two major segments, the preparation energy (ΔE_{prep}) and the interaction energy (ΔE_{int}).^{16,20} The ΔE_{prep} (preparation energy) refers to the energy required to deform the original geometry of the two fragments to the equilibrium geometry and activate their valence electronic configuration.¹⁶ The interaction energy (ΔE_{int}) is the addition of energy of the attractive classical electrostatic interaction, the repulsive Pauli interaction energy, the dispersion interaction, and the orbital interaction energy.¹⁶ The orbital interaction energy describes the charge transfer and the polarization effect. Independent Gradient Model Based on Hirshfeld Partition (IGMH) was defined using the actual electron density of the fragments. The electron density based on Hirschfeld $\rho_i^{hirsh}(r)$ is expressed as $\rho_i^{hirsh}(r) = \rho(r)w_i(r)$ where ρ is the actual electron density and $w_i(r)$ the Hisrshfeld weighting function of the atom i.¹⁹

$$w_{i}(r) = \frac{\rho_{i}^{\text{free}}(r)}{\rho^{\text{promolecular}}(r)}$$

The complexes were divided into two neutral fragments: the first is $Cu_3(\mu_2-Cl_3)$ while the other is phenyl moieties. All fragments have a singlet multiplicity. The direction of the charge flow between fragments is encoded from white to red. Only significant orbital interactions are shown.

Copper nitrenes were optimized with the ORCA program,^{10,11} using the TPSSh functional²¹ with the def2-TZVP basis set with auxiliary basis def2/J and a dispersion correction that included Becke-Johnson damping.^{13,22} Non-orthogonal magnetic orbitals of the broken symmetry solution show that a broken symmetry singlet solution exists. No imaginary frequencies were observed. Spin densities were calculated at the optimized structures. Relative energies for the *cis* and *trans* conformers of 1,4-Me₂-1-NHTces-cyclohexane were calculated at the BP86-D3BJ/def2-TZVP.^{17,23}



Figure S3. Optimized [(TMG₃trphen-Arene)Cu₃(μ -Cl)₃] with nitrene docked, optimized in a closed-shell singlet ¹[Cu]NR (left), and a broken-symmetry singlet ^{BS}[Cu]NR (right). Hydrogens are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (deg) for ¹[Cu]NR: Cu(1)–N(106) = 1.990, Cu(3)–N(106) = 2.012, Cu(1)–Cu(3) = 2.751, Cu(3)–Cl(4) = 3.271, Cl(4)–N(106) = 1.810, Cu(1)–N(106)–Cu(3) = 86.85, Cu(3)–N(106)–S(109) = 113.21, Cu(1)–N(106)–S(109) = 126.59. For ^{BS}[Cu]NR: Cu(1)–N(106) = 1.826, Cu(3)–N(106) = 1.941, Cu(1)–Cu(3) = 2.962, Cu(3)–Cl(4) = 2.297, Cu(1)–N(106)–Cu(3) = 103.64, Cu(3)–N(106)–S(109) = 119.26, Cu(1)–N(106)–S(109) = 136.78, Cl(4)–Cu(3)–N(106) = 93.55.



Figure S4. Optimized structure of $[(TMG)_3$ trphen-Arene)Cu₃(μ -Cl)₃] (2) with side and front view (TPSSh/def2-TZVP/gas) (color code: Cu, gold; Cl, green, N, blue; C, dark grey; H, light grey)



Figure S5. (a) Front and (b) side view of spin density profile for broken-symmetry state ^{BS}[Cu]NR (TPSSh/def2-TZVP/gas; Isovalue = 0.002 a.u.). The blue surface indicates excess *a*-electron density and the red region indicates excess β -electron density.

¹H and ¹³C NMR Spectra

5'-(2-aminophenyl)-[1,1':3',1"-terphenyl]-2,2"-diamine



$(TMG_3 trphen-Arene) \bullet 1.5 CH_2 Cl_2$





$[(TMG_3 trphen-Arene)Cu_3(\mu-Cl)_3]\bullet 2.56 CH_2 Cl_2$





Trans-1,4-Me₂-1-NHTces-cyclohexane





1,3-(NHTces)₂-adamantane





1-NHTces-3-Cl-adamantane





References

- Clark, J. R.; Feng, K.; Sookezian, A.; White, M. C. Manganese-catalysed benzylic C(*sp*³)–H amination for late-stage functionalization. *Nat. Chem.* 2018, *10*, 583-591
- (2) Bruker's APEX3, SAINT and SHELXTL. 2017, Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- (3) Bruker's SMART 2002, Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- (4) Bruker's SAINT, SADABS, SHELXTL-PLUS, 2008, Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- (5) Sheldrick, G. M. A short history of SHELX, *Acta Cryst.* 2008, A64, 112.
- (6) Spek, A. L. PLATON SQUEEZE: A tool for the calculation of the disordered solvent contribution to the calculated structure factors. *Acta Cryst.* **2015**, *C71*, 9-18.
- (7) Sheldrick, G.M.; Hubshle, C. B.; Dittrich, B. Shelxle: A Qt graphical user interface for SHELXL, J. Appl. Cryst. 2011, 44, 1281-1284.
- (8) (a) Sharma, M.; Fritz, R. M.; Adebanjo, J. O.; Cundari, T. R.; Omary, M. A.; Choudhury, A.; Stavropoulos, P. Nitrene-Transfer Chemistry to C–H and C=C Bonds Mediated by Triangular Coinage Metal Platforms Supported by Triply Bridging Pnictogen Elements Sb(III) and Bi(III). *Organometallics* 2024, *43*, 634-652. (b) Sahoo, S. K.; Harfmann, B.; Bhatia, H.; Singh, H.; Balijapelly, S.; Choudhury, A.; Stavropoulos, P. A Comparative Study of Cationic Copper(I) Reagents Supported by Bipodal Tetramethylguanidinyl-Containing Ligands as Nitrene-Transfer Catalysts. *ACS Omega*, 2024, *9*, 15697-15708. (c) Fiori, K. W.; Du Bois, J. Catalytic Intermolecular Amination of C–H Bonds: Method Development and Mechanistic Insights. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007, *129*, 562-568. (d) Aguila, M. J. B.; Badiei, Y.

M.; Warren, T. H. Mechanistic Insights into C–H Amination via Dicopper Nitrenes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 9399-9406.

- Mills, M. D.; Sonstrom, R. E.; Vang, Z. P.; Neill, J. L.; Scolati, H. N.; West, C. T.; Pate, B. H. Clark, J. R. Enantioselective Synthesis of Enantioisotopomers with Quantitative Chiral Analysis by Chiral Tag Rotational Spectroscopy. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2022, *61*, e202207275.
- (10) Neese, F. The ORCA Program System. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: Comput. Mol. Sci. 2011, 2, 73–78.
- (11) Neese, F. Software Update: The ORCA Program System, Version 4.0. Wiley Interdiscip.
 Rev.: Comput. Mol. Sci. 2017, 8, e1327.
- (12) Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. G. The M06 Suite of Density Functionals for Main Group Thermochemistry, Thermochemical Kinetics, Noncovalent Interactions, Excited States, and Transition Elements: Two New Functionals and Systematic Testing of Four M06-Class Functionals and 12 Other Functionals. *Theor. Chem. Account* **2008**, *120*, 215–241.
- (13) Weigend, F.; Ahlrichs, R. Balanced basis sets of split valence, triple zeta valence and quadruple zeta valence quality for H to Rn: Design and assessment of accuracy. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2005, 7, 3297-3305.
- (14) ADF 2022.1, SCM, Theoretical Chemistry, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- (15) te Velde, G.; Bickelhaupt, F. M.; Baerends, E. J.; Fonseca Guerra, C.; van Gisbergen, S. J.
 A.; Snijders, J. G.; Ziegler, T. Chemistry with ADF. J. Comput. Chem. 2001, 22, 931–967.
- (16) (a) Mitoraj, M. P.; Michalak, A.; Ziegler, T. A Combined Charge and Energy Decomposition
 Scheme for Bond Analysis. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2009, 5, 962–975. (b) Ziegler, T.;

Rauk, A. Carbon Monoxide, Carbon Monosulfide, Molecular Nitrogen, Phosphorus Trifluoride, and Methyl Isocyanide as σ Donors and π Acceptors. A Theoretical Study by the Hartree-Fock-Slater Transition-State Method. *Inorg. Chem.* **1979**, *18*, 1755–1759. (c) Ziegler, T.; Rauk, A. A Theoretical Study of the Ethylene-Metal Bond in Complexes between Cu⁺, Ag⁺, Au⁺, Pt⁰ or Pt²⁺ and Ethylene, Based on the Hartree-Fock-Slater Transition-State Method. *Inorg. Chem.* **1979**, *18*, 1558–1565.

- (17) Grimme, S.; Ehrlich, S.; Goerigk, L. Effect of the Damping Function in Dispersion Corrected Density Functional Theory. J. Comput. Chem. 2011, 32 (7), 1456–1465.
- (18) Lu, T.; Chen, F. Multiwfn: A Multifunctional Wavefunction Analyzer. J. Comput. Chem.
 2012, 33, 580–592.
- (19) Lu, T.; Chen, Q. Independent gradient model based on Hirshfeld partition: A new method for visual study of interactions in chemical systems. J. Comput. Chem. 2022, 43, 539–555.
- (20) Caldeweyher, E.; Ehlert, S.; Hansen, A.; Neugebauer, H.; Spicher, S.; Bannwarth, C.; Grimme, S. A Generally Applicable Atomic-Charge Dependent London Dispersion Correction. J. Chem. Phys. 2019, 150, 154122.
- (21) Staroverov, V. N.; Scuseria, G. E.; Tao, J.; Perdew, J. P. Comparative Assessment of a New Nonempirical Density Functional: Molecules and Hydrogen-Bonded Complexes. J. Chem. Phys. 2003, 119, 12129-12137.
- (22) Weigend, F. Accurate Coulomb-Fitting Basis Sets for H to Rn. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*2006, 8, 1057-1065.
- (23) Becke, A. D. Density-Functional Exchange-Energy Approximation with Correct Asymptotic Behavior. *Phys. Rev. A* **1988**, *38*, 3098-3100.