Supporting Information

Mesoporous Metal-Organic Frameworks NH₂-MIL-

101(Cr) as an Efficient Photocatalyst for the

Epoxidation of Styrene

Xue-Zhi Wang,[‡] Bing-Chen Cai,[‡] Yi-Jie Zhou, Chuang-Wei Zhou, Ming-Min Wu, Xian-Chao Zhou, Fu-Li Wang^{*} and Xiao-Ping Zhou^{*}, Dan Li

Materials and experimental procedures

Materials and physical measurements

All reagents and solvents were purchased from commercial sources (Alfa Aesar, J&K and Macklin) and used without further purification. The GC-MS data was carried out on an Agilent 7890B GC analyzer. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) experiments were performed using a Rigaku Ultima IV diffractometer (40 kV, 40 mA, Cu K α , $\lambda = 1.5418$ Å) from 3° to 30° with a step of 0.02° at a scan speed of 10° min⁻¹. Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) was recorded on Nicolet Avatar 360 FT-IR spectrophotometer. Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) signals were performed using a Bruker A300 spectrometer (Germany) at room temperature under visible-light irradiation using a 300 W Xe lamp. X-ray photoelectron spectrum (XPS) was performed by a Thermo ESCALAB 250XI system. The morphology characterizations were taken by TEM on a JEM-1400Flash equipment (JEOL, Japan). Surface areas of the materials were obtained based on adsorption data analyzed by Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) methods . The photochemical reactions were performed with an HHX-WL LED flow reactor (12 W).

Synthesis of MOF

Synthesis of MIL-101(Cr)¹. The Cr(NO₃)₃·9H₂O (3.00 g, 7.50 mmol) and terephthalic acid (1.25 g, 7.50 mmol) were dissolved in H₂O (30 mL). The MIL-101(Cr) was obtained through of put this suspension into a Teflon-lined autoclave bomb kept in an oven at 220 °C for 18 h. The mixture was cooled down to room temperature, the precipitate was obtained by centrifugation and washed three times each with deionized water, methanol, and acetone, then redispersed in ethanol and heated to 50 °C stir overnight. At last, precipitated by centrifugation and dried in a vacuum oven at 150 °C for 12 h.

Synthesis of NH₂-MIL-101(Cr)². The Cr(NO₃)₃·9H₂O (0.80 g, 2.00 mmol) and 2-aminoterephthalic acid (0.14 g, 2.00 mmol) were dissolved in H₂O (15 mL) containing 0.2 g NaOH. The NH₂.MIL-101(Cr) was obtained through of put this suspension into a Teflon-lined autoclave bomb kept in an oven at 150 °C for 12 h. The mixture was cooled down to room temperature, the precipitate was obtained by centrifugation and washed three times each with deionized water, DMF, and methanol, then obtained green powder was precipitated by centrifugation and dried in a vacuum oven at 120 °C for 12 h.

Synthesis of MIL-101(Fe)³. The FeCl₃·6H₂O (0.68 g, 2.50 mmol) and terephthalic acid (0.21 g, 1.24 mmol) were each dissolved in DMF (15 mL). The MIL-101(Fe) was obtained through of put this suspension into a Teflon-lined autoclave bomb kept in an oven at 110 °C for 24 h. The mixture was cooled down to room temperature, the precipitate was obtained by centrifugation and washed three times with DMF, then obtained orange powder was redispersed in ethanol and heated to 50 °C stir overnight. At last, precipitated by centrifugation and dried in a vacuum oven at 150 °C for 12 h.

Synthesis of NH₂-MIL-101(Fe)⁴. The FeCl₃·6H₂O (0.68 g, 2.50 mmol) and 2-aminoterephthalic acid (0.22 g, 1.24 mmol) were dissolved in DMF (15 mL). The NH₂-MIL-101(Fe) was obtained through of put this suspension into a Teflon-lined autoclave bomb kept in an oven at 110 °C for 24 h. The mixture was cooled down to room temperature, the precipitate was obtained by centrifugation and washed three times with DMF, then obtained brown powder was redispersed in ethanol and heated to 50 °C stir overnight. At last, precipitated by

centrifugation and dried in a vacuum oven at 150 °C for 12 h.

Synthesis of MIL-100(Fe)⁵. The FeCl₃·6H₂O (0.38 g, 1.40 mmol) and trimesic acid (0.27 g, 1.30 mmol) were dissolved in H₂O (10 mL) containing 3 mL HCl. The MIL-100(Fe) was obtained through of put this suspension into a Teflon-lined autoclave bomb kept in an oven at 130 °C for 72 h. The mixture was cooled down to room temperature, the precipitate was obtained by centrifugation and washed three times each with deionized water and DMF, then obtained light orange powder was redispersed in ethanol and heated to 60 °C stir overnight. At last, precipitated by centrifugation and dried in a vacuum oven at 100 °C for 12 h.

Synthesis of UiO-66⁶. The ZrCl₃ (1.16 g, 5.00 mmol) and terephthalic acid (1.64 g, 10.00 mmol) were dissolved in H_2O (80 mL). The UiO-66 was obtained through of put this suspension into a Teflon-lined autoclave bomb kept in an oven at 120 °C for 24 h. The mixture was cooled down to room temperature, the precipitate was obtained by centrifugation and washed three times with DMF, then obtained white powder was dried in a freeze dryer at 0 °C for 12 h.

Synthesis of MOF-74⁷. The Mg(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (2.05 g, 8.00 mmol) and 2,5-dihydroxyterephthalic acid (0.50 g, 2.50 mmol) were dissolved in a 15:1:1 (v/v/v) mixture of DMF (200 mL), ethanol (13.5 mL), and water (13.5 mL). The MOF-74 was obtained through of put this suspension into a Teflon-lined autoclave bomb kept in an oven at 125 °C for 26 h. The mixture was cooled down to room temperature, Fresh methanol was used to exchange the DMF and replenished six times over 3 days, then obtained yellow powder was dried in a vacuum oven at 250 °C for 15 h.

Synthesis of MOF-801⁸. The ZrCl₃ (16.0 g, 50 mmol), fumaric acid (5.8 g, 50 mmol) and formic acid (70 mL) were dissolved in DMF (20 mL). The MOF-801 was obtained through of put this suspension into a Teflon-lined autoclave bomb kept in an oven at 130 °C for 6 h. The mixture was cooled down to room temperature, the precipitate was obtained by centrifugation and washed three times each with DMF and methanol, then fresh methanol was used to exchange the DMF and replenished three times over 3 days. At last precipitated by centrifugation and dried in a vacuum oven at 150 °C for 24 h.

Synthesis of MOF-808⁸. The ZrOCl₂·8H₂O (0.16 g, 0.50 mmol), 1,3,5-benzenetricarboxylic acid (0.11 g, 0.50 mmol) and formic acid (70 mL) were dissolved in a 1:1 (v/v) mixture of DMF (20 mL) and ethanol (20 mL). The MOF-808 was obtained through of put this suspension into a Teflon-lined autoclave bomb kept in an oven at 130 °C for 48 h. The mixture was cooled down to room temperature, the precipitate was obtained by centrifugation and washed three times with DMF per day for three days, then acetone was used to exchange the DMF and replenished three times over 3 days. At last precipitated by centrifugation and dried in a vacuum oven at 150 °C for 24 h.

Synthesis of styrene derivatives⁹



Potassium vinyltrifluoroborate (2.0 mmol 1.0 equiv.), $Pd(PPh_3)_2Cl_2$ (0.02 mmol 1.0 mol%) and Cs_2CO_3 (6.0 mmol 3.0 equiv.) were sequentially dissolved into a mixed solution of deionized water (2.5 mL) and tetrahydrofuran (22.5 mL). Then, 3-bromo-1-benzothiophene (2 mmol 1.0 equiv.) was added to the above solution. The solution was then placed in a Schlenk flask, subjected to three freeze-pump-thaw cycles to remove air from the reaction solution and create a nitrogen atmosphere. Finally, it was placed in an oil bath at 85 °C and stirred under reflux for 24 hours. After the reaction was completed, it was cooled to room temperature and the clarified filtrate was collected by filtration. The filtrate was extracted three times with dichloromethane and a small amount of saturated salt water, and the lower yellow liquid was collected. Then, it was dried over MgSO₄. The final product was separated by silica gel column chromatography using a 50:1 (v/v) mixture of petroleum ether and ethyl acetate as the mobile phase. Finally, the excess solvent was removed with a rotary evaporator, and the product was characterized by ¹H NMR.

The synthesis procedure for 5-ethenyl-1,3-benzodioxole is the same as above.

Photocatalytic epoxidation of styrene

The styrene (0.20 mmol), catalyst (3 mg) and 30%H₂O₂ (0.4 mL) were dispersed in a 1:1 (v/v) mixture of DMF (1.5 mL) and CH₃CN (1.5 mL). Then exposed the reactor to HHX-WL LED flow reactor (12 W) with blue LED light (λ = 450 nm, 12 W) and monitored the reaction progress by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC–MS) method.

Additional characterization data



Figure S1. (a) PXRD patterns of synthesized MOF-101(Fe) and NH₂-MIL-101(Fe). (b) FT-IR spectra of MIL-101(Fe) and NH₂-MIL-101(Fe).



Figure S2. PXRD patterns of simulated MIL-100(Fe) and synthesized MIL-100(Fe).



Figure S3. PXRD patterns of simulated UiO-66 and synthesized UiO-66.



Figure S4. PXRD patterns of simulated MOF-74 and synthesized MOF-74.



Figure S5. PXRD patterns of simulated MOF-801 and synthesized MOF-801.



Figure S6. PXRD patterns of simulated MOF-801 and synthesized MOF-808.



Figure S7. ¹H NMR spectra of the 5-ethenylbenzo[1,3]dioxole.



Figure S8. ¹H NMR spectra of the 3-Vinylbenzo[b]thiophene.



Figure S9. Pore width distribution of NH₂-MIL-101(Cr).



Figure S10. Comparison of conversion rates and selectivity for the oxidation of styrene using H_2O_2 as an oxidant with reported MOF materials. References for each material are as follows: Nd-PMOFs¹⁰, $Cu_{0.25}$ - $Co_{0.75}$ -MOF¹¹, $Mn_{0.1}Cu_{0.9}$ -MOF¹², Ce-MOF-589¹³, CPO-27-Mn¹⁴, Co-MOF¹⁵, $Zn_{1.5}Co_1$ -ZIF¹⁶, Cu-MOF-74¹⁷, NH₂-MIL-101(Fe)@NTU¹⁸, $Mn_{29.39}Fe_{70.61}$ -MOF-74¹⁹, Cu-MOF²⁰, Ce-MOF²¹, 0.5 ZSM-5@Co-MOF²², HKUST-1(Cu)-45²³.



Figure S11. (a) PXRD patterns of NH₂-MIL-101(Cr) before and after five catalytic cycles. (b) FT-IR spectra of NH₂-MIL-101(Cr) before and after five catalytic cycles.



Figure S12. (a) TEM image of Fresh NH₂-MIL-101(Cr). (b) TEM image of NH2-MIL-101(Cr) after five catalytic cycles.



Figure S13. EPR spectra of the mixture NH_2 -MIL-101(Cr) with O_2 as oxidant using (a) TEMP, (b) and (c) DMPO as radical scavengers under visible-light irradiation and dark conditions respectively.



Figure S14. XPS results of MIL-100(Cr) (a) before and (b) after catalysis.

- D.-Y. Hong, Y. K. Hwang, C. Serre, G. Férey and J.-S. Chang, Porous Chromium Terephthalate MIL-101 with Coordinatively Unsaturated Sites: Surface Functionalization, Encapsulation, Sorption and Catalysis, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2009, **19**, 1537-1552.
- Y. Li, Z. Xu and W.-X. Wang, Effective flocculation of harmful algae Microcystis aeruginosa by nanoscale metal–organic framework NH2-MIL-101(Cr), *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2022, 433, 134584.
- D. Wang, R. Huang, W. Liu, D. Sun and Z. Li, Fe-Based MOFs for Photocatalytic CO2 Reduction: Role of Coordination Unsaturated Sites and Dual Excitation Pathways, ACS Catalysis, 2014, 4, 4254-4260.
- R. Liu, L. Chi, X. Wang, Y. Wang, Y. Sui, T. Xie and H. Arandiyan, Effective and selective adsorption of phosphate from aqueous solution via trivalent-metals-based amino-MIL-101 MOFs, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2019, **357**, 159-168.
- P. Küsgens, M. Rose, I. Senkovska, H. Fröde, A. Henschel, S. Siegle and S. Kaskel, Characterization of metal-organic frameworks by water adsorption, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.*, 2009, **120**, 325-330.
- M. J. Katz, Z. J. Brown, Y. J. Colón, P. W. Siu, K. A. Scheidt, R. Q. Snurr, J. T. Hupp and O. K. Farha, A facile synthesis of UiO-66, UiO-67 and their derivatives, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, 49, 9449-9451.
- A. R. Millward and O. M. Yaghi, Metal–Organic Frameworks with Exceptionally High Capacity for Storage of Carbon Dioxide at Room Temperature, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2005, **127**, 17998-17999.
- H. Furukawa, F. Gándara, Y.-B. Zhang, J. Jiang, W. L. Queen, M. R. Hudson and O. M. Yaghi, Water Adsorption in Porous Metal–Organic Frameworks and Related Materials, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2014, **136**, 4369-4381.
- C. Zheng, F. Yan, Y. Liu, R. Chen, K. Zheng, H. Xiao, X.-X. Li, Y.-S. Feng and S. Fan, Regioselective Alkylpolyfluoroarylation of Styrenes by Copper-Catalyzed C(sp3)–H and C(sp2)–H Double Activation, *Org. Lett.*, 2022, 24, 5462-5467.
- Y. Qi, C. Zheng, Z. Cai, Z. Cheng, T. Yu, X.-X. Li, S. Fan and Y.-S. Feng, 3D Lanthanide Neodymium Porphyrin Metal– Organic Framework for Photocatalytic Oxidation of Styrene, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2023, 62, 8315-8325.
- 11. Y. Fu, L. Xu, H. Shen, H. Yang, F. Zhang, W. Zhu and M. Fan, Tunable catalytic properties of multi-metal–organic frameworks for aerobic styrene oxidation, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2016, **299**, 135-141.
- F. Wang, X.-G. Meng, Y.-Y. Wu, H. Huang, J. Lv and W.-W. Yu, A Highly Efficient Heterogeneous Catalyst of Bimetal-Organic Frameworks for the Epoxidation of Olefin with H2O2. *Journal*, 2020, 25.
- 13. Y. B. N. Tran and P. T. K. Nguyen, Lanthanide metal–organic frameworks for catalytic oxidation of olefins, *New J. Chem.*, 2021, **45**, 2090-2102.
- 14. H.-F. Yao, Y. Yang, H. Liu, F.-G. Xi and E.-Q. Gao, CPO-27-M as heterogeneous catalysts for aldehyde cyanosilylation and styrene oxidation, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2014, **394**, 57-65.
- 15. L. Hamidipour and F. Farzaneh, Cobalt metal organic framework as an efficient heterogeneous catalyst for the oxidation of alkanes and alkenes, *Reaction Kinetics, Mechanisms and Catalysis*, 2013, **109**, 67-75.
- 16. Y. Xiao, W. Guo, H. Chen, H. Li, X. Xu, P. Wu, Y. Shen, B. Zheng, F. Huo and W. D. Wei, Ultrathin 2D Cu-porphyrin MOF nanosheets as a heterogeneous catalyst for styrene oxidation, *Materials Chemistry Frontiers*, 2019, **3**, 1580-1585.
- J. G. Flores, M. Díaz-García, I. A. Ibarra, J. Aguilar-Pliego and M. Sánchez-Sánchez, Sustainable M-MOF-74 (M = Cu, Co, Zn) prepared in methanol as heterogeneous catalysts in the synthesis of benzaldehyde from styrene oxidation, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 2021, **298**, 122151.
- M. Cai, Y. Li, Q. Liu, Z. Xue, H. Wang, Y. Fan, K. Zhu, Z. Ke, C.-Y. Su and G. Li, One-Step Construction of Hydrophobic MOFs@COFs Core–Shell Composites for Heterogeneous Selective Catalysis, *Advanced Science*, 2019, 6, 1802365.
- K. Yuan, T. Song, D. Wang, Y. Zou, J. Li, X. Zhang, Z. Tang and W. Hu, Bimetal–organic frameworks for functionality optimization: MnFe-MOF-74 as a stable and efficient catalyst for the epoxidation of alkenes with H2O2, *Nanoscale*, 2018, 10, 1591-1597.
- P. Cancino, V. Paredes-García, P. Aguirre and E. Spodine, A reusable Cull based metal–organic framework as a catalyst for the oxidation of olefins, *Catalysis Science & Technology*, 2014, 4, 2599-2607.
- 21. H. N. Abdelhamid, G. A.-E. Mahmoud and W. Sharmouk, A cerium-based MOFzyme with multi-enzyme-like activity for the

disruption and inhibition of fungal recolonization, Journal of Materials Chemistry B, 2020, 8, 7548-7556.

- 22. H. Guo, X. Lu, J. He, H. Zhang, H. Zhang, Y. Dong, D. Zhou and Q. Xia, Co-MOF nanosheet supported on ZSM-5 with an improved catalytic activity for air epoxidation of olefins, *Materials Chemistry and Physics*, 2023, **294**, 127001.
- 23. P. Wang, Z. Liu, Y. Xu, S. Li, H. Huang and S. Wang, Construction of Cu/MOF composites via controlling nucleation strategy with high catalytic activity, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.*, 2023, **349**, 112430.