## Synthesis, Characterization and Chemical Bonding Analysis of the Quaternary Cyanamides Li<sub>2</sub>MnHf<sub>2</sub>(NCN)<sub>6</sub> and Li<sub>2</sub>MnZr<sub>2</sub>(NCN)<sub>6</sub>

Hicham Bourakhouadar, <sup>a</sup> Juan Medina-Jurado, <sup>a</sup> Peter C. Müller, <sup>a</sup> Alex J. Corkett\*<sup>a</sup> and Richard Dronskowski\*<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Chair of Solid-State and Quantum Chemistry, Institute of Inorganic Chemistry, RWTH Aachen University, 52056 Aachen, Germany

## Supporting Information



**Figure S1.** Rietveld fit of  $Li_2MnZr_2(NCN)_6$  to PXRD data (Cu K<sub>a1</sub>), showing observed (red), calculated (black) and difference (blue) intensities. Bragg positions of  $Li_2MnZr_2(NCN)_6$  (violet) and 20.1(1) wt% ZrO<sub>2</sub> baddeleyite  $P2_1/c$  (blue) are denoted by vertical markers.

**Table S1.** CHN results of  $Li_2MnHf_2(NCN)_6$  using ZnNCN and  $Li_2MnZr_2(NCN)_6$  compared totheoretical values corrected for the presence of HfO2 and ZrO2.

		С	Н	N
Li <sub>2</sub> MnHf <sub>2</sub> (NCN) <sub>6</sub>	Theoretical (wt. %)	9.9	0	23.2
	Experimental (wt. %)	8.8	0	18.9
Li <sub>2</sub> MnZr <sub>2</sub> (NCN) <sub>6</sub>	Theoretical (wt. %)	12.8	0	29.9
	Experimental (wt. %)	12.9	0	26.3

Table S2. Crystallographic data and fractional coordinates for Li<sub>2</sub>MnZr<sub>2</sub>(NCN)<sub>6</sub>.<sup>a</sup>

Atom		x	У	Z	OCC.	$U_{ m iso}$		
						$(10^2 \text{ Å}^2)$		
Li1	2 <i>d</i>	1/3	2/3	1/2	0.944(1)	0.92(4)		
Zr2	2d	1/3	2/3	1/2	0.056(1)	0.92(4)		
Mn	1 <i>b</i>	0	0	1/2	1	1.38(14)		
Zr1	2c	1/3	2/3	0	0.944(1)	0.92(4)		
Li2	2c	1/3	2/3	0	0.056(1)	0.92(4)		
N1	6 <i>k</i>	0.5945(15)	0	0.8687(7)	1	2.9(2)		
N2	6 <i>k</i>	0.3217(14)	0	0.3849(8)	1	2.9(2)		
С	6 <i>k</i>	0.628(3)	0	0.736(1)	1	2.9(2)		
Trigonal, $P^{\bar{3}}1m$ (No. 162), $Z=1$ , $a=5.94791(12)$ Å, $c=9.6403(3)$ Å; $R_{wp}=5.84\%$ ,								
$R_{\rm p} = 4.14\%, \chi^2 = 4.647, R_{\rm wpb} = 8.45\%$								

<sup>*a*</sup> Standard deviations are given in parentheses.