Electronic Supplementary Information for

# *Meso-\beta, \beta-\beta' Trifused Porphyrins: Synthesis, Spectral, Electrochemical and DFT Studies and Their Femtosecond Third-Order Nonlinear Optical Properties*

Amir Sohel Bulbul,<sup>a</sup> Jhansi Mogilipuri,<sup>b</sup> Venugopal Rao Soma,<sup>\*c,d</sup> and Muniappan Sankar<sup>\*a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Roorkee, 247667, India

<sup>b</sup>Sri Sathya Sai University for Human Excellence, Navanihal, 585313, Karnataka

<sup>c</sup>DRDO Industry Academia – Centre of Excellence (DIA-CoE; formerly ACRHEM), University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad 500046, India

<sup>d</sup>School of Physics, University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad 500046, India

\*Authors for Correspondence: soma\_venu@uohyd.ac.in; m.sankar@cy.iitr.ac.in

	Table of Contents	Page
		No.
	Experimental Section	S4-
		<b>S</b> 8
Fig. S1	(a) Basic skeleton of ZnTFPMB(MN) <sub>2</sub> showing labelled carbon atoms, (b)	S9
	displacement of porphyrin 24-core atoms from the mean plane of	
	ZnTFPMB(MN) <sub>2</sub> in angstroms.	
Table S1	Crystallographic data of ZnTFPMB(MN) <sub>2</sub> .	S9
Table S2	Selected average bond lengths and angles of ZnTFPMB(MN) <sub>2</sub> .	S10
Fig. S2	Comparative absorption spectra of compounds indicated in CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> at 298 K.	S11
Fig. S3	Comparative absorption spectra of compounds indicated in CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> at 298 K.	S11
Fig. S4	Comparative absorption spectra of compounds indicated in CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> at 298 K.	S12
Fig. S5	Comparative emission spectra of compounds indicated in CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> at 298 K.	S12
Fig. S6	Comparative emission spectra of compounds indicated in CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> at 298 K.	S13
Fig. S7	Comparative emission spectra of compounds indicated in CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> at 298 K.	S13
Fig. S8	Comparative fluorescence lifetime decay profile of H <sub>2</sub> TPCMB(IND) <sub>2</sub> and	S14
	$H_2TPCMB(MN)_2.$	

Fig. S9	Fluorescence lifetime decay profile of $H_2$ TFPMB(IND) <sub>2</sub> .	S14
Fig. S10	Fluorescence lifetime decay profile of ZnTFPMB(IND) <sub>2</sub> .	S15
Fig. S11	<sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of H <sub>2</sub> TPCMB(IND) <sub>2</sub> in CDCl <sub>3</sub> at 298 K.	S15
Fig. S12	<sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of H <sub>2</sub> TFPMB(IND) <sub>2</sub> in CDCl <sub>3</sub> at 298 K.	S16
Fig. S13	<sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of ZnTFPMB(IND) <sub>2</sub> in CDCl <sub>3</sub> at 298 K.	S16
Fig. S14	<sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of ZnTFPMB(MN) <sub>2</sub> in CDCl <sub>3</sub> at 298 K.	S17
Fig. S15	<sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of ZnTFPMB(VCN) <sub>2</sub> in CD <sub>3</sub> OD+CDCl <sub>3</sub> at 298 K.	S17
Fig. S16	<sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of ZnTFPMB(VCN) <sub>2</sub> in CD <sub>3</sub> OD+CDCl <sub>3</sub> at 298 K.	S18
Fig. S17	MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of H <sub>2</sub> TPCMB(IND) <sub>2</sub> in positive ion mode at	S18
	298 K.	
Fig. S18	MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of H <sub>2</sub> TFPMB(IND) <sub>2</sub> in positive ion mode at	S19
	298 K.	
Fig. S19	MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of CuTFPMB(IND) <sub>2</sub> in positive ion mode at	S19
	298 K.	
Fig. S20	MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of ZnTFPMB(IND) <sub>2</sub> in positive ion mode at	S20
	298 К.	
Fig. S21	MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of CuTFPMB(MN) <sub>2</sub> in positive ion mode at 298	S20
	К.	
Fig. S22	MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of ZnTFPMB(MN) <sub>2</sub> in positive ion mode at 298	S21
	К.	
Fig. S23	MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of CuTFPMB(VCN) <sub>2</sub> in positive ion mode at	S21
	298 К.	
Fig. S24	MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of $ZnTFPMB(VCN)_2$ in positive ion mode at	S22
	298 К.	
Fig. S25	Cyclic voltammograms of IND-appended porphyrinoids in CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> at 298 K	S22
	using TBAPF <sub>6</sub> as a supporting electrolyte. Scan rate = $100 \text{ mV/s}$ .	
Fig. S26	Cyclic voltammograms of MN-appended porphyrinoids in CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> at 298 K	S23
	using TBAPF <sub>6</sub> as a supporting electrolyte. Scan rate = $100 \text{ mV/s}$ .	
Fig. S27	Complete FMO profile of compounds indicated.	S23
<b>Fig. S28</b>	Complete FMO profile of compounds indicated.	S24
Fig. S29	Complete FMO profile of compounds indicated.	S24
Fig. S30	Optimized geometry (top view a, b, c) and (side view d, e, f) of	S25
	$H_2TFPMB(IND)_2$ , CuTFPMB(IND) <sub>2</sub> and ZnTFPMB(IND) <sub>2</sub> respectively.	

	The unfused <i>meso</i> -phenyl groups are omitted for clarity in the side views.						
Fig. S31	Optimized geometry (top view a, b, c) and (side view d, e, f) of	S25					
	H <sub>2</sub> TFPMB(MN) <sub>2</sub> , CuTFPMB(MN) <sub>2</sub> and ZnTFPMB(MN) <sub>2</sub> respectively. The						
	unfused <i>meso</i> -phenyl groups are omitted for clarity in the side views.						
Fig. S32	Optimized geometry (top view a, b, c) and (side view d, e, f) of	S26					
	H <sub>2</sub> TFPMB(VCN) <sub>2</sub> , CuTFPMB(VCN) <sub>2</sub> and ZnTFPMB(VCN) <sub>2</sub> respectively.						
	The unfused <i>meso</i> -phenyl groups are omitted for clarity in the side views.						
Table S3	Selected average bond lengths and angles of synthesized IND-appended	S27					
	porphyrinoids.						
Table S4	Selected average bond lengths and angles of synthesized MN-appended	S28					
	porphyrinoids.						
Table S5	Selected average bond lengths and angles of synthesized $\pi$ -extended trifused	S29					
	porphyrins.						
Table S6	Theoretically calculated ground state dipole moment and HOMO-LUMO	S30					
	energy gap of synthesized porphyrinoids.						
Fig. S33	Comparative (theoretical and experimental) absorption spectra of	S30					
	$H_2$ TPCMB(IND) <sub>2</sub> .						
Fig. S34	Comparative (theoretical and experimental) absorption spectra of	S31					
	$H_2$ TFPMB(IND) <sub>2</sub> .						
Fig. S35	Comparative (theoretical and experimental) absorption spectra of	S31					
	CuTFPMB(IND) <sub>2</sub> .						
Fig. S36	Comparative (theoretical and experimental) absorption spectra of	S32					
	$ZnTFPMB(IND)_2$ .						
Fig. S37	Comparative (theoretical and experimental) absorption spectra of	S32					
	$H_2$ TPCMB(MN) <sub>2</sub> .						
Fig. S38	Comparative (theoretical and experimental) absorption spectra of	S33					
	$H_2$ TFPMB(MN) <sub>2</sub> .						
Fig. S39	Comparative (theoretical and experimental) absorption spectra of	S33					
	CuTFPMB(MN) <sub>2</sub> .						
Fig. S40	Comparative (theoretical and experimental) absorption spectra of	S34					
	ZnTFPMB(MN) <sub>2</sub> .						
Fig. S41	Comparative (theoretical and experimental) absorption spectra of	S34					
	H <sub>2</sub> TFPMB(VCN) <sub>2</sub> .						

Fig. S42	Comparative (theoretical and experimental) absorption spectra of	S35
	CuTFPMB(VCN) <sub>2</sub> .	
Fig. S43	Comparative (theoretical and experimental) absorption spectra of	S35
	$ZnTFPMB(VCN)_2$ .	
Table S6	Calculated electronic excitation energies, major orbital contribution,	S36
	theoretical and experimental absorption maxima, and oscillatory strength of investigated porphyrins.	
	References	S37

#### **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

#### **Chemicals and Instruments**

Benzaldehyde and Pyrrole were purchased from SRL India and TCI Chemicals, respectively. Metal salts, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, N-bromosuccinimide (NBS) and DDQ were purchased from SRL, India. Malononitrile, Indane-1,3-dione, Methylacrylate and TBACN were taken from Sigma Aldrich and used as received. TBAPF<sub>6</sub> is purchased from Sigma Aldrich. The chloroform, dichloromethane, hexane and toluene solvents were distilled or dried before use. HPLC-grade solvent methanol, DMF and DMSO were purchased from Merck and used without further purification. Silica gel (100-200 mesh) was purchased from Finar Chemicals, India. TBAPF<sub>6</sub> was recrystallized three times from hot ethanol and dried under a vacuum. NBS was recrystallized from hot water and dried for 12 h at 70 °C under vacuum. Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> was purchased from Loba Chemie and used as received. Precoated thin layered silica gel chromatographic plates were purchased from E. Merck.

The electronic absorption spectra were recorded using a UV-1800 Shimadzu UV-Vis Spectrophotometer with a pair of 1 cm path-length quartz cells. The emission spectra were recorded on a HORIBA Fluoromax spectrofluorometer using a quartz cell of 10 mm path length. Fluorescence lifetime data was collected on the Edinburg FLS-1000-xs-t instrument with picosecond pulsed diode laser sources of wavelength 450 nm. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded using a JEOL ECX 500 MHz spectrometer using CDCl<sub>3</sub> and CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> as a solvent. Bruker Ultraflextreme-TN MALDI-TOF mass spectrometer was used to record mass spectra. The single crystal X-ray diffraction data were collected on a Bruker Apex-II CCD diffractometer. The cyclic voltammetry (CV) studies were carried out using CH instruments

(CHI 7044E). A three-electrode assembly that consisted of a platinum working electrode, Ag/AgCl as a reference electrode, and Pt wire as a counter electrode was used. The porphyrin concentration was maintained at  $\sim$ 1 mM during electrochemical measurements. The whole experiment was performed under an inert atmosphere.

A femtosecond pulsed laser carried out the NLO experiments [M/s Coherent, Libra] with a pulse width of  $\sim$ 50 fs, a repetition rate of 1 kHz, and a wavelength of 800 nm. A lock-in amplifier and a photodiode were used to gather the signals using the LabVIEW program. We employed the 1D translational stage to scan the sample within the Rayleigh range to collect NLO absorption and refraction data, and more information is available in our earlier reports.<sup>1,2</sup>

#### Synthesis of trans-Mono Benzochlorin; H2TPCMB(IND)2

1,3-Indanedione (106 mg, 0.725 mmol) and  $K_2CO_3$  (100 mg, 0.724 mmol) were dissolved in 3 ml of DMSO and purged with argon for 10 min. To this, 60 mg H<sub>2</sub>TPP(NO<sub>2</sub>)MB (0.072 mmol) was added and the resulting solution was stirred at 80 °C for 3 hours. The reaction was monitored by TLC and UV-Vis spectroscopy. After the completion of the reaction, the solution was allowed to cool to room temperature, and 15 ml CHCl<sub>3</sub> was added. The resulting mixture was washed three times with brine solution and the organic layer was separated and passed over anhydrous sodium sulphate. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the crude product was purified using silica gel column chromatography using CHCl<sub>3</sub> as an eluent. The desired compound was recrystallized using CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH (3:1) mixture.

**H<sub>2</sub>TPCMB(IND)<sub>2</sub>:** Yield: 74% (57 mg, 0.053 mmol), UV/vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{max}$  (nm) (ε × 10<sup>-3</sup> M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>): 414(sh) (83), 436 (344), 519 (22), 564 (4), 616 (8), 674 (40). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ(ppm): 8.60 – 8.59 (m, 2H, β-H), 8.25 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H, β-H), 8.22 (d, *J* = 4.7 Hz, 2H, *meso*-Ph-H), 8.03 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H, *meso*-Ph-H), 7.97 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H, *meso*-Ph-H), 7.94 – 7.82 (m, 8H, *meso*-Ph-H), 7.77 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H, *meso*-Ph-H), 7.72 – 7.68 (m, 4H, *meso*-Ph-H), 7.56 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H, IND-Ph-H), 7.50 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H, IND-Ph-H), 7.45 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H, *i*ND-Ph-H), 7.30 – 7.28 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 4H, iND-Ph-H & Benzo-CH), 4.88 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 2H, β-CH of Chlorin), 3.89 (s, 6H, -COOCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.26 (d, *J* = 2.9 Hz, 2H, -CH of Chlorin), -1.78 (s, 2H, inner NH). MALDI-TOF-MS. Found: m/z 1071.807 ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>) Calcd: m/z 1071.332. Elemental analysis calcd. for C<sub>70</sub>H<sub>46</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>8</sub>: C, 78.49; H, 4.33; N, 5.23%. Found: C, 77.54; H, 3.83; N, 4.47%.

Synthesis of Trifused Mono Benzoporphyrin; H<sub>2</sub>TFPMB(IND)<sub>2</sub> and Its Metal Complexes; MTFPMB(IND)<sub>2</sub> where M = Cu(II) and Zn(II)

 $40 \text{ mg H}_2\text{TPCMB}(\text{IND})_2$  was dissolved in 10 mL of CHCl<sub>3</sub>. To this, 10 equiv. DDQ was added and the resulting reaction mixture was refluxed for 10 hours. The progress of the reaction was monitored by UV-Vis and TLC. After completion of the reaction, the mixture was washed three times with water to remove excess DDQ. The organic layer was passed over anhydrous sodium sulfate and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using CHCl<sub>3</sub> as an eluent.

**H**<sub>2</sub>**TFPMB(IND)**<sub>2</sub>: Yield: 85% (34 mg, 0.032 mmol), UV/vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{max}$  (nm) (ε × 10<sup>-3</sup> M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>): 478 (406), 572 (22), 619 (21), 650 (10), 714 (9). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ (ppm): 9.52 (d, *J* = 4.6 Hz, 2H, β-H), 8.72 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 2H, β-H), 8.17 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H, Fused-*meso*-Ph-H), 8.10 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 4H, Fused-*meso*-Ph-H), 8.05 – 7.97 (m, 4H, *meso*-Ph-H), 7.97 – 7.86 (m, 6H, *meso*-Ph-H), 7.83 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 4H, *meso*-Ph-H & IND-Ph-H), 7.49 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H, IND-Ph-H), 7.30 (s, 2H, Benzo-CH), 7.04 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H, IND-Ph-H), 6.91 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H, IND-Ph-H), 3.90 (s, 6H, -COOCH<sub>3</sub>), -0.27 (s, 2H, inner NH). MALDI-TOF-MS. Found: m/z 1065.647 ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>) Calcd: m/z 1065.285. Elemental analysis calcd. for C<sub>70</sub>H<sub>40</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>8</sub>: C, 78.94; H, 3.79; N, 5.26%. Found: C, 77.82; H, 3.12; N, 4.52%.

10 mg of H<sub>2</sub>TFPMB(IND)<sub>2</sub> was dissolved in 5 mL of CHCl<sub>3</sub> in two different round bottom flasks. To this, 10 equiv. of M(OAc)<sub>2</sub>.xH<sub>2</sub>O (M = Cu(II) and Zn(II)) in 1 mL of MeOH was added and the resulting solution was refluxed for 1 hour. After completion of the reaction, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude products were redissolved in CHCl<sub>3</sub> and washed twice with water to remove excess metal salts. The organic layer was separated and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. The desired metal complexes were purified by silica gel column chromatography using acetone/CHCl<sub>3</sub> (1:99) as an eluent and recrystallized using CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH (3:1) mixture.

**CuTFPMB(IND)**<sub>2</sub>: Yield: 85% (9 mg, 0.008 mmol), UV/vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{max}$  (nm) ( $\epsilon \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}^{-1}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>): 480 (363), 606 (32), 651 (15). MALDI-TOF-MS. Found: m/z 1126.677 ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>) Calcd: m/z 1126.199. Elemental analysis calcd. for C<sub>70</sub>H<sub>38</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>8</sub>Cu: C, 74.63; H, 3.40; N, 4.97%. Found: C, 73.73; H, 2.90; N, 4.12%.

**ZnTFPMB(IND)**<sub>2</sub>: Yield: 76% (8 mg, 0.007 mmol), UV/vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{max}$  (nm) ( $\varepsilon \times 10^{-3}$  M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>): 459(sh) (39), 485 (189), 537 (9), 614 (10), 661 (4). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ (ppm): 9.55 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 2H,  $\beta$ -H), 8.75 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 2H,  $\beta$ -H), 8.23 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H, Fused-*meso*-Ph-H), 8.13 (s, 4H, Fused-*meso*-Ph-H), 8.03 (dt, J = 7.3, 3.7 Hz, 4H, Fused-*meso*-Ph-H & IND-Ph-H), 7.95 – 7.81 (m, 10H, Unfused-*meso*-Ph-H), 7.54 – 7.49 (m, 4H, Benzo-CH &

IND-Ph-H), 7.07 - 7.02 (m, 2H, IND-Ph-H), 6.96 - 6.91 (m, 2H, IND-Ph-H), 3.92 (s, 6H, - COOCH<sub>3</sub>). MALDI-TOF-MS. Found: m/z 1127.527 ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>) Calcd: m/z 1127.198. Elemental analysis calcd. for C<sub>70</sub>H<sub>38</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>8</sub>Zn: C, 74.51; H, 3.39; N, 4.96%. Found: C, 73.32; H, 2.95; N, 4.02%.

Synthesis and characterization data of  $H_2TPCMB(MN)_2$ ,  $H_2TFPMB(MN)_2$  and  $H_2TFPMB(VCN)_2$  will be found in recently reported literature by our group.<sup>3</sup>

#### Synthesis of MTFPMB(MN)<sub>2</sub> where M = Cu(II) and Zn(II)

10 mg of H<sub>2</sub>TFPMB(MN)<sub>2</sub> was dissolved in 5 mL of CHCl<sub>3</sub> in two different round bottom flasks. To this, 10 equiv. of M(OAc)<sub>2</sub>.xH<sub>2</sub>O (M = Cu(II) and Zn(II)) in 1 mL of MeOH was added and the resulting solution was refluxed for 1 hour. After completion of the reaction, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude products were redissolved in CHCl<sub>3</sub> and washed twice with water to remove excess metal salts. The organic layer was separated and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. The desired metal complexes were purified by silica gel column chromatography using acetone/CHCl<sub>3</sub> (1:99) as an eluent and recrystallized using CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH (3:1) mixture.

**CuTFPMB(MN)**<sub>2</sub>: Yield: 85% (9 mg, 0.009 mmol), UV/vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{max}$  (nm) ( $\epsilon \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ): 481 (256), 612 (20), 659 (22). MALDI-TOF-MS. Found: m/z 965.752 ([M]<sup>+</sup>) Calcd: m/z 965.169. Elemental analysis calcd. for C<sub>58</sub>H<sub>30</sub>N<sub>8</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Cu: C, 72.08; H, 3.13; N, 11.59%. Found: C, 71.16; H, 2.70; N, 10.82%.

**ZnTFPMB(MN)**<sub>2</sub>: Yield: 84% (9 mg, 0.009 mmol), UV/vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{max}$  (nm) ( $\epsilon \times 10^{-3}$  M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>): 462(sh) (88), 488 (359), 623 (18), 674 (21). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 9.47 (d, *J* = 4.7 Hz, 2H,  $\beta$ -H), 8.70 (d, *J* = 4.7 Hz, 2H,  $\beta$ -H), 8.47 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H, Fused-*o*-Ph-H), 8.33 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H, Fused-*p*-Ph-H), 8.02 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 4H, Fused-*m*-Ph-H), 7.91 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H, Unfused-*meso*-Ph-H), 7.84 (dt, *J* = 14.9, 7.6 Hz, 6H, Unfused-*meso*-Ph-H), 7.69 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H, Unfused-*meso*-Ph-H), 7.36 (s, 2H, Benzo-CH), 3.84 (s, 6H, -COOCH<sub>3</sub>). MALDI-TOF-MS. Found: m/z 967.732 ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>) Calcd: m/z 967.168. Elemental analysis calcd. for C<sub>58</sub>H<sub>30</sub>N<sub>8</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Zn: C, 71.94; H, 3.12; N, 11.57%. Found: C, 70.83; H, 2.76; N, 10.73%.

## Synthesis of $\pi$ -Extended Trifused Porphyrins; MTFPMB(VCN)<sub>2</sub> where M = Cu(II) and Zn(II)

10 mg of H<sub>2</sub>TFPMB(VCN)<sub>2</sub> was dissolved in 5 mL of CHCl<sub>3</sub> in two different round bottom flasks. To this, 10 equiv. of  $M(OAc)_2.xH_2O$  (M = Cu(II) and Zn(II)) in 1 mL of MeOH was

added and the resulting solution was refluxed for 1 hour. After completion of the reaction, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude products were redissolved in CHCl<sub>3</sub> and washed twice with water to remove excess metal salts. The organic layer was separated and dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The desired metal complexes were purified by silica gel column chromatography using CHCl<sub>3</sub> as eluent and recrystallized using CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH (3:1) mixture.

**CuTFPMB(VCN)**<sub>2</sub>: Yield: 84% (9 mg, 0.010 mmol), UV/vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{max}$  (nm) ( $\epsilon \times 10^{-3}$  M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>): 334 (39), 441 (77), 517 (50), 730 (180), 786 (15), 854 (22). MALDI-TOF-MS. Found: m/z 913.454 ([M]<sup>+</sup>) Calcd: m/z 913.162. Elemental analysis calcd. for C<sub>56</sub>H<sub>30</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Cu: C, 73.55; H, 3.31; N, 9.19%. Found: C, 72.82; H, 2.92; N, 8.75%.

**ZnTFPMB(VCN)<sub>2</sub>:** Yield: 75% (8 mg, 0.009 mmol), UV/vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{max}$  (nm) ( $\epsilon \times 10^{-3}$  M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>): 340 (30), 444 (66), 525 (44), 748 (14), 805 (16), 844 (19). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD+CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ (ppm): 9.02 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H, Fused-*meso*-Ph-H), 8.96 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H, Fused-*meso*-Ph-H), 8.69 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 2H,  $\beta$ -H), 8.05 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 2H,  $\beta$ -H), 7.98 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H, Fused-*meso*-Ph-H), 7.90 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 6H, Unfused-*meso*-Ph-H), 7.84 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H, Fused-*meso*-Ph-H), 7.76 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 4H, Unfused-*meso*-Ph-H), 6.98 (s, 2H, Benzo-CH), 3.85 (s, 6H, -COOCH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD+CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ (ppm): 169.06, 155.85, 139, 133.48, 133.39, 132.30, 131.41, 129.69, 129.04, 128.39, 127.88, 125.59, 125.51, 118.01, 110.58, 52.59. MALDI-TOF-MS. Found: m/z 914.505 ([M]<sup>+</sup>) Calcd: m/z 914.162. Elemental analysis calcd. for C<sub>56</sub>H<sub>30</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Zn: C, 73.41; H, 3.30; N, 9.17%. Found: C, 72.10; H, 2.72; N, 8.59%.



Fig. S1 (a) Basic skeleton of  $ZnTFPMB(MN)_2$  showing labeled carbon atoms, (b) displacement of porphyrin 24-core atoms from the mean plane of  $ZnTFPMB(MN)_2$  in angstroms.

	ZnTFPMB(MN) <sub>2</sub>
Empirical Formula	C <sub>61</sub> H <sub>38</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> N <sub>8</sub> O <sub>6</sub> Zn
Formula Wt.	1150.71 g/mol
Crystal System	Triclinic
Space Group	PĪ
a (Å)	9.431(3)
b (Å)	16.704(6)
c (Å)	19.162(7)
α(°)	64.511(15)
$\beta$ (°)	81.957(16)
γ (°)	85.757(16)
Volume (Å <sup>3</sup> )	2697.8(16)
Z	2
$D_{calcd}$ (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	1.417
Wavelength (Å)	0.71073
T (K)	296(2)
No. of total reflns.	34685
No. of Indepnt. reflns.	9264
R	0.0818
GOOF	0.997
CCDC	2392230

Table S1 Crystallographic Data of ZnTFPMB(MN)<sub>2</sub>.

Bond Length (Å)				
M-N	1.380(5)			
M-N'	2.038(3)			
N-C <sub>a</sub>	1.375(5)			
N'- $C_{\alpha}$	1.380(5)			
$C_{\alpha}-C_{\beta}$	1.451(6)			
$C_{\alpha}$ ,- $C_{\beta}$ ,	1.441(6)			
$C_{\beta}-C_{\beta}$	1.386(6)			
$C_{\beta}$ - $C_{\beta}$ ,	1.346(6)			
$C_{\alpha}$ - $C_m$	1.415(6)			
$C_{\alpha}$ - $C_m$	1.416(5)			
$\Delta C_{\beta}(A)$	0.728			
Δ24 (Å)	0.560			
Δ38 (Å)	0.562			
ΔΜ	0.367			
Bond A	ngles (°)			
M-N-C <sub>a</sub>	126.37(2)			
M-N'- $C_{\alpha}$ ,	125.82(3)			
N-M-N	162.66(14)			
N'-M-N'	162.82(14)			
$N-C_{\alpha}-C_{m}$	124.37(3)			
N'- $C_{\alpha}$ '- $C_m$	125.27(4)			
$N-C_{\alpha}-C_{\beta}$	110.17(3)			
N'- $C_{\alpha}$ '- $C_{\beta}$ '	108.45(4)			
$C_{\beta}-C_{\alpha}-C_{m}$	125.35(4)			
$C_{\beta}$ - $C_{\alpha}$ - $C_m$	126.02(4)			
$C_{\alpha}$ - $C_m$ - $C_{\alpha}$ ,	124.37(4)			
$C_{\alpha}$ - $C_{\beta}$ - $C_{\beta}$	106.42(4)			
$C_{\alpha}$ ,- $C_{\beta}$ ,- $C_{\beta}$ ,	107.75(4)			
$C_{\alpha}$ -N- $C_{\alpha}$	106.80(3)			
$C_{\alpha}$ ·- $N-C_{\alpha}$ ·	107.45(2)			

 $\label{eq:constraint} Table \ S2 \ Selected \ average \ bond \ lengths \ and \ angles \ of \ ZnTFPMB(MN)_2.$ 



Fig. S2 Comparative absorption spectra of compounds indicated in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 298 K.



Fig. S3 Comparative absorption spectra of compounds indicated in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 298 K.



Fig. S4 Comparative absorption spectra of compounds indicated in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 298 K.



Fig. S5 Comparative emission spectra of compounds indicated in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 298 K.



Fig. S6 Comparative emission spectra of compounds indicated in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 298 K.



Fig. S7 Comparative emission spectra of compounds indicated in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 298 K.



Fig. S8 Comparative fluorescence lifetime decay profile of  $H_2TPCMB(IND)_2$  and  $H_2TPCMB(MN)_2$ .



Fig. S9 Fluorescence lifetime decay profile of H<sub>2</sub>TFPMB(IND)<sub>2</sub>.



Fig. S10 Fluorescence lifetime decay profile of ZnTFPMB(IND)<sub>2</sub>.







Fig. S12 <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of H<sub>2</sub>TFPMB(IND)<sub>2</sub> in CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 298 K.



Fig. S13 <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of ZnTFPMB(IND)<sub>2</sub> in CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 298 K.



Fig. S14 <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of ZnTFPMB(MN)<sub>2</sub> in CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 298 K.







Fig. S16 <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of ZnTFPMB(VCN)<sub>2</sub> in CD<sub>3</sub>OD+CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 298 K.



Fig. S17 MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of H<sub>2</sub>TPCMB(IND)<sub>2</sub> in positive ion mode at 298 K.



Fig. S18 MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of H<sub>2</sub>TFPMB(IND)<sub>2</sub> in positive ion mode at 298 K.



Fig. S19 MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of CuTFPMB(IND)<sub>2</sub> in positive ion mode at 298 K.



Fig. S20 MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of ZnTFPMB(IND)<sub>2</sub> in positive ion mode at 298 K.



Fig. S21 MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of CuTFPMB(MN)<sub>2</sub> in positive ion mode at 298 K.



Fig. S22 MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of ZnTFPMB(MN)<sub>2</sub> in positive ion mode at 298 K.



Fig. S23 MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of CuTFPMB(VCN)<sub>2</sub> in positive ion mode at 298 K.



Fig. S24 MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of ZnTFPMB(VCN)<sub>2</sub> in positive ion mode at 298 K.



Fig. S25 Cyclic voltammograms of IND-appended porphyrinoids in  $CH_2Cl_2$  at 298 K using TBAPF<sub>6</sub> as a supporting electrolyte. Scan rate = 100 mV/s.



Fig. S26 Cyclic voltammograms of MN-appended porphyrinoids in  $CH_2Cl_2$  at 298 K using TBAPF<sub>6</sub> as a supporting electrolyte. Scan rate = 100 mV/s.



Fig. S27 Complete FMO profile of compounds indicated.



Fig. S28 Complete FMO profile of compounds indicated.



Fig. S29 Complete FMO profile of compounds indicated.



**Fig. S30** Optimized geometry (top view a, b, c) and (side view d, e, f) of  $H_2$ TFPMB(IND)<sub>2</sub>, CuTFPMB(IND)<sub>2</sub> and ZnTFPMB(IND)<sub>2</sub> respectively. The unfused *meso*-phenyl groups are omitted for clarity in the side views.



**Fig. S31** Optimized geometry (top view a, b, c) and (side view d, e, f) of  $H_2$ TFPMB(MN)<sub>2</sub>, CuTFPMB(MN)<sub>2</sub> and ZnTFPMB(MN)<sub>2</sub> respectively. The unfused *meso*-phenyl groups are omitted for clarity in the side views.



**Fig. S32** Optimized geometry (top view a, b, c) and (side view d, e, f) of H<sub>2</sub>TFPMB(VCN)<sub>2</sub>, CuTFPMB(VCN)<sub>2</sub> and ZnTFPMB(VCN)<sub>2</sub> respectively. The unfused *meso*-phenyl groups are omitted for clarity in the side views.

	H <sub>2</sub> TPCMB(IND) <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> TFPMB(IND) <sub>2</sub>	ZnTFPMB(IND) <sub>2</sub>	CuTFPMB(IND) <sub>2</sub>			
Bond Length (Å)							
M-N			2.078	2.018			
M-N'			2.040	1.988			
N-C <sub>a</sub>	1.372	1.374	1.387	1.387			
N'-C <sub>α'</sub>	1.388	1.384	1.394	1.392			
Ο <sub>α</sub> -Ο <sub>β</sub>	1.505	1.469	1.463	1.460			
$C_{\alpha}^{,-}C_{\beta}^{,-}$	1.437	1.437	1.456	1.454			
$C_{\beta}-C_{\beta}$	1.486	1.403	1.413	1.412			
$C_{\beta}$ ,- $C_{\beta}$ ,	1.374	1.377	1.377	1.375			
$C_{\alpha}-C_{m}$	1.407	1.410	1.416	1.409			
$C_{\alpha}$ ,- $C_m$	1.408	1.411	1.421	1.414			
$\Delta C_{\beta}$ (Å)	0.277	0.776	0.705	0.804			
Δ24 (Å)	0.156	0.586	0.535	0.619			
Δ38 (Å)		0.597	0.546	0.624			
ΔΜ			0.002	0.000			
		Bond Angle	s (°)				
M-N-C <sub>a</sub>			125.91	126.16			
M-N'- $C_{\alpha'}$			125.96	126.28			
N-M-N			179.86	179.92			
N'-M-N'			178.27	178.45			
$N-C_{\alpha}-C_{m}$	125.03	125.24	125.40	125.40			
N'- $C_{\alpha}$ '- $C_m$	128.00	126.29	125.80	125.65			
$N-C_{\alpha}-C_{\beta}$	110.64	110.56	109.36	109.71			
N'- $C_{\alpha}$ '- $C_{\beta}$ '	106.22	106.23	108.77	109.08			
$C_{\beta}-C_{\alpha}-C_{m}$	124.30	124.10	125.20	124.76			
$C_{\beta}$ ,- $C_{\alpha}$ ,- $C_{m}$	125.75	127.23	125.33	125.01			
$C_{\alpha}$ - $C_{m}$ - $C_{\alpha}$ ,	126.09	123.97	124.28	122.44			
$C_{\alpha}$ - $C_{\beta}$ - $C_{\beta}$	104.26	105.92	106.55	106.46			
$C_{\alpha}$ ,- $C_{\beta}$ ,- $C_{\beta}$ ,	108.44	108.30	107.41	107.29			
$C_{\alpha}$ -N- $C_{\alpha}$	109.25	107.01	108.18	107.67			
$\overline{C_{\alpha}}$ ,-N- $C_{\alpha}$ ,	110.68	110.87	107.55	107.12			

Table S3Selected average bond lengths and angles of synthesized IND-appendedporphyrinoids.

	H <sub>2</sub> TPCMB(MN) <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> TFPMB(MN) <sub>2</sub>	ZnTFPMB(MN) <sub>2</sub>	CuTFPMB(MN) <sub>2</sub>			
Bond Length (Å)							
M-N			2.073	2.013			
M-N'			2.045	1.993			
N-C <sub>a</sub>	1.383	1.366	1.388	1.388			
Ν'-C <sub>α</sub> ,	1.383	1.383	1.394	1.393			
C <sub>α</sub> -C <sub>β</sub>	1.487	1.443	1.459	1.457			
$C_{\alpha}, -C_{\beta},$	1.461	1.463	1.458	1.455			
$C_{\beta}-C_{\beta}$	1.491	1.413	1.429	1.408			
$C_{\beta}, -C_{\beta},$	1.360	1.360	1.415	1.374			
$C_{\alpha}$ - $C_{m}$	1.395	1.406	1.418	1.412			
$C_{\alpha}$ ,- $C_m$	1.416	1.414	1.421	1.413			
$\Delta C_{\beta}$ (Å)	0.390	0.745	0.713	0.784			
Δ24 (Å)	0.243	0.553	0.530	0.598			
Δ38 (Å)		0.559	0.533	0.598			
ΔΜ			0.000	0.000			
		Bond Angles	(°)				
M-N-C <sub>a</sub>			125.79	126.05			
M-N'- $C_{\alpha'}$			125.96	126.29			
N-M-N			180.00	180.00			
N'-M-N'			177.66	178.06			
$N-C_{\alpha}-C_{m}$	126.04	126.24	125.80	125.79			
N'- $C_{\alpha}$ '- $C_m$	126.45	125.65	125.77	125.62			
$N-C_{\alpha}-C_{\beta}$	106.11	106.55	109.01	109.35			
N'- $C_{\alpha}$ '- $C_{\beta}$ '	110.52	110.19	108.78	109.09			
$C_{\beta}-C_{\alpha}-C_{m}$	127.79	127.11	125.13	124.69			
$C_{\beta}$ ,- $C_{\alpha}$ ,- $C_{m}$	122.95	123.93	125.35	125.04			
$C_{\alpha}$ - $C_{m}$ - $C_{\alpha}$ ,	125.00	123.71	124.00	120.66			
$C_{\alpha}$ - $C_{\beta}$ - $C_{\beta}$	104.96	107.55	106.78	106.68			
$C_{\alpha}$ ,- $C_{\beta}$ ,- $C_{\beta}$ ,	106.73	106.80	107.43	107.31			
$C_{\alpha}$ -N- $C_{\alpha}$	113.44	111.78	108.41	109.40			
$C_{\alpha}$ ,-N- $C_{\alpha}$ ,	105.44	105.90	107.47	107.06			

**Table S4** Selected average bond lengths and angles of synthesized MN-appendedporphyrinoids.

	H <sub>2</sub> TFPMB(VCN) <sub>2</sub>	ZnTFPMB(VCN) <sub>2</sub>	CuTFPMB(VCN) <sub>2</sub>				
Bond Length (Å)							
M-N		2.086	2.034				
M-N'		2.067	2.020				
N-C <sub>a</sub>	1.381	1.391	1.392				
N'-C <sub>α'</sub>	1.383	1.396	1.396				
C <sub>α</sub> -C <sub>β</sub>	1.441	1.458	1.456				
$C_{\alpha}$ ,- $C_{\beta}$ ,	1.461	1.452	1.449				
$C_{\beta}-C_{\beta}$	1.445	1.443	1.439				
$C_{\beta}$ ,- $C_{\beta}$ ,	1.362	1.376	1.375				
C <sub>a</sub> -C <sub>m</sub>	1.411	1.420	1.412				
$C_{\alpha}$ ,- $C_{m}$	1.422	1.427	1.419				
$\Delta C_{\beta}$ (Å)	0.709	0.606	0.680				
Δ24 (Å)	0.364	0.317	0.380				
Δ <b>38</b> (Å)	0.336	0.318	0.360				
ΔΜ		0.062	0.000				
	Bo	ond Angles (°)					
M-N-C <sub>a</sub>		125.32	125.70				
M-N'- $C_{\alpha}$		125.12	125.49				
N-M-N		177.17	178.95				
N'-M-N'		175.74	175.07				
$N-C_{\alpha}-C_{m}$	126.18	125.74	125.75				
N'- $C_{\alpha}$ '- $C_{m}$	124.97	125.56	125.22				
$N-C_{\alpha}-C_{\beta}$	106.99	109.53	109.87				
N'- $C_{\alpha}$ ,- $C_{\beta}$ ,	110.22	109.00	109.35				
$C_{\beta}-C_{\alpha}-C_{m}$	126.67	124.65	124.23				
$C_{\beta}$ ,- $C_{\alpha}$ ,- $C_{m}$	124.75	125.39	125.32				
$C_{\alpha}$ - $C_m$ - $C_{\alpha}$ ,	123.29	123.43	121.82				
$C_{\alpha}$ - $C_{\beta}$ - $C_{\beta}$	106.94	106.11	106.06				
$C_{\alpha}^{,-}C_{\beta}^{,-}C_{\beta}^{,-}$	106.77	107.39	107.26				
$C_{\alpha}$ -N- $C_{\alpha}$	111.83	108.32	107.06				
$C_{\alpha}$ ,-N- $C_{\alpha}$ ,	105.75	107.01	106.41				

Table S5 Selected average bond lengths and angles of synthesized  $\pi$ -extended trifused porphyrins.

Table S6	Theoretic	ally calculate	d ground stat	e dipole mom	ent and HOM	O-LUMO	energy g	gap
of the synt	thesized p	orphyrinoids						

Porphyrinoids	Theoretically calculated	HOMO-LUMO
	Dipole moment (D)	Energy Gap (eV)
H <sub>2</sub> TPCMB(IND) <sub>2</sub>	10.62	2.485
H <sub>2</sub> TFPMB(IND) <sub>2</sub>	10.27	2.337
CuTFPMB(IND) <sub>2</sub>	10.58	2.253
ZnTFPMB(IND) <sub>2</sub>	10.49	2.292
$H_2TPCMB(MN)_2$	6.74	2.343
H <sub>2</sub> TFPMB(MN) <sub>2</sub>	11.59	2.337
CuTFPMB(MN) <sub>2</sub>	11.74	2.357
ZnTFPMB(MN) <sub>2</sub>	11.85	2.340
H <sub>2</sub> TFPMB(VCN) <sub>2</sub>	9.38	1.992
CuTFPMB(VCN) <sub>2</sub>	8.60	1.933
ZnTFPMB(VCN) <sub>2</sub>	8.78	1.955



Fig. S33 Comparative (theoretical and experimental) absorption spectra of H<sub>2</sub>TPCMB(IND)<sub>2</sub>.



Fig. S34 Comparative (theoretical and experimental) absorption spectra of H<sub>2</sub>TFPMB(IND)<sub>2</sub>.



Fig. S35 Comparative (theoretical and experimental) absorption spectra of CuTFPMB(IND)<sub>2</sub>.



Fig. S36 Comparative (theoretical and experimental) absorption spectra of ZnTFPMB(IND)<sub>2</sub>.



Fig. S37 Comparative (theoretical and experimental) absorption spectra of H<sub>2</sub>TPCMB(MN)<sub>2</sub>.



Fig. S38 Comparative (theoretical and experimental) absorption spectra of H<sub>2</sub>TFPMB(MN)<sub>2</sub>.



Fig. S39 Comparative (theoretical and experimental) absorption spectra of CuTFPMB(MN)<sub>2</sub>.



Fig. S40 Comparative (theoretical and experimental) absorption spectra of ZnTFPMB(MN)<sub>2</sub>.



Fig. S41 Comparative (theoretical and experimental) absorption spectra of H<sub>2</sub>TFPMB(VCN)<sub>2</sub>.



Fig. S42 Comparative (theoretical and experimental) absorption spectra of CuTFPMB(VCN)<sub>2</sub>.



Fig. S43 Comparative (theoretical and experimental) absorption spectra of ZnTFPMB(VCN)<sub>2</sub>.

**Table S7** Calculated electronic excitation energies, major orbital contribution, theoretical andexperimental absorption maxima, and oscillatory strength of investigated porphyrins.

Porphyrinoids	Excited	Contribution	Coefficient	λ	Oscillatory	Experimental
	state		(%)	(nm)	strength (f)	$\lambda_{max}$ (nm) in
	energy					$CH_2Cl_2$
	(eV)					
$H_2$ TPCMB(IND) <sub>2</sub>	2.8836	HOMO→LUMO+3	44	430	1.8419	
	2.8571	HOMO-1→LUMO+3	46	434	1.1174	436
	2.1186	HOMO→LUMO	58	585	0.0669	674
$H_2$ TFPMB(IND) <sub>2</sub>	2.6872	HOMO-1→LUMO+1	48	461	2.017	478
	2.0334	HOMO→LUMO+1	54	610	0.1587	619
	1.8875	HOMO→LUMO	56	657	0.096	714
CuTFPMB(IND) <sub>2</sub>	2.6082	HOMO-1→LUMO+2	44	475	1.765	480
	1.9954	HOMO→LUMO	59	621	0.0901	651
ZnTFPMB(IND) <sub>2</sub>	2.6132	HOMO→LUMO+4	44	474	1.2390	485
	1.9434	HOMO→LUMO	57	638	0.1036	661
H <sub>2</sub> TPCMB(MN) <sub>2</sub>	3.0609	HOMO-3→LUMO	62	405	0.7326	407
	2.8068	HOMO→LUMO+1	57	442	1.2945	428
	2.1437	HOMO-1→LUMO	60	578	0.0742	610
	2.1005	HOMO→LUMO	66	590	0.2246	666
H <sub>2</sub> TFPMB(MN) <sub>2</sub>	2.6500	HOMO-1→LUMO+1	63	468	0.7737	
	2.6070	HOMO-1→LUMO	57	476	2.1071	486
	1.9629	HOMO→LUMO	64	632	0.1851	730
CuTFPMB(MN) <sub>2</sub>	2.5879	HOMO→LUMO+1	53	479	2.0147	481
	1.9548	HOMO→LUMO	59	634	0.1376	659
ZnTFPMB(MN) <sub>2</sub>	2.6480	HOMO-1→LUMO	56	468	2.1938	488
	1.9164	HOMO→LUMO	65	647	0.1671	674
H <sub>2</sub> TFPMB(VCN) <sub>2</sub>	2.9917	HOMO-6→LUMO	66	414	0.4366	434
	2.5666	HOMO→LUMO+1	53	483	0.7759	480
	1.7710	HOMO-1→LUMO	69	700	0.3546	754
	1.6870	HOMO→LUMO	70	735	0.2381	840
CuTFPMB(VCN) <sub>2</sub>	2.7712	HOMO-1→LUMO+1	44	447	0.3511	441
	2.6865	HOMO-1→LUMO+3	46	462	0.6502	
	2.4793	HOMO→LUMO+1	41	500	0.3315	517
	1.6628	HOMO-1→LUMO	58	746	0.2023	730
	1.5785	HOMO→LUMO	68	785	0.1769	854
ZnTFPMB(VCN) <sub>2</sub>	2.7805	HOMO-3→LUMO	37	446	0.3826	444
	2.6359	HOMO→LUMO+1	39	470	1.2293	444
	2.4347	HOMO→LUMO+2	48	509	0.3478	525
	1.6671	HOMO-1→LUMO	67	744	0.3355	748
	1.6145	HOMO→LUMO	68	768	0.2069	844

### References

- 1 D. Banerjee, S. S. B. Moram, C. Byram, J. Rathod, T. Jena, G. K. Podagatlapalli and V. R. Soma, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2021, **569**, 151070.
- 2 K. S. Srivishnu, D. Banerjee, R. A. Ramnagar, J. Rathod, L. Giribabu and V. R. Soma, *Front. Chem.*, 2021, **9**, 713939.
- 3 A. S. Bulbul, V. Rathour, V. Ganesan and M. Sankar, *Chem. Commun.*, 2024, **60**, 3146–3149.