Supplementary Information (SI) for Dalton Transactions. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2025

General Remarks

All manipulations were carried out under an argon atmosphere using either Schlenk-line techniques or glove boxes. All solvents were purified by standard methods. Trace amounts of water and oxygen remaining in the solvents were thoroughly removed by bulb-to-bulb distillation from potassium mirror prior to use. All crystallizations were performed at room temperature unless otherwise indicated. ¹H, ¹³C{¹H}, and ²⁷Al{¹H} NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker AVANCE-400 spectrometer (¹H: 400 MHz; ¹³C: 101 MHz; ²⁷Al: 104 MHz) and a Bruker AVANCE-600 spectrometer (¹H: 600 MHz; ¹³C{¹H}: 151 MHz). Signals arising from residual protons of C₆D₅H (7.16 ppm) and from ¹³C nuclei in C₆D₆ (128.0 ppm) were used as the internal standards for the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra, respectively. The signal arising from Al(NO₃)₃ (0.0 ppm) was used as an external standard for the ²⁷Al NMR spectra. High-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) data were obtained on a JEOL JMS-T100LP (DART) mass spectrometer. All melting points were determined on a Büchi Melting Point Apparatus M-565 and are uncorrected. The dimer of 1-Lithium-2,5-bis(3,5-di-*t*-butylphenyl)ferrocene ((Fc*Li)₂) was prepared according to literature procedures.^{S1} Fc*H was identified on the basis of the spectral data identical to those reported in the literature.^{S1}

Experimental Procedure

· Synthesis of Ferrocenyldichloroalumane THF complex 2a·(thf).

In a glovebox, AlCl₃ (7.0 mg, 0.052 mmol) was added to a benzene solution (2 mL) of (Fc*Li)₂ (30 mg, 0.027 mmol) at room temperature (r.t.), where the reaction mixture was stirred for 1.5 h. After the reaction mixture became an orange suspension, the supernatant benzene solution was removed by decantation and the remaining orange solid was washed with benzene (*ca.* 10 mL). Then, THF (*ca.* 10 mL) was added to the resulting solid and the suspension was stirred at r.t. for 5 min, before the superfluous THF was removed under reduced pressure. Then, benzene (*ca.* 10 mL) was added to the resulting orange solid, and the thus obtained solution was filtered, before all volatiles were removed from the filtrate under reduced pressure, which provided **2a·(thf)** as an orange solid (25 mg) that was contaminated with Fc*H (53% NMR yield). **2a·(thf)**: orange solid, mp. 141 °C (decomp.).¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆): δ [ppm] = 0.58 (br, THF-4H), 1.43 (s, *t*Bu-36H), 3.40 (br, THF-4H), 4.28 (s, Cp-5H), 4.86 (s, Cp- β -2H), 7.43 (t, *J* = 1.6 Hz, Dtp-*p*-2H), 7.86 (d, *J* = 1.6 Hz, Dtp-*o*-4H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, C₆D₆): δ [ppm] = 24.1 (CH₂), 31.9 (CH₃), 35.1 (C), 72.1 (CH), 72.3 (CH), 72.3 (CH₂), 99.3 (C), 120.8 (CH), 124.9 (C), 141.1 (C), 150.2 (C) (signal from C₁ was not observed); ²⁷Al NMR (104 MHz, C₆D₆): δ [ppm] = 101.2 (br). HRMS(APCI), *m/z*: Found: 730.2988 ([M]⁺), calcd. for C₄₂H₅₇OAlCl₂Fe ([M]⁺): 730.2953.



Figure S1. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, r.t.) spectrum of 2a·(thf).



Figure S2. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, C₆D₆, r.t.) spectrum of 2a·(thf).



Figure S3. ²⁷AI NMR (104 MHz, C₆D₆, r.t.) spectrum of 2a·(thf).

· Synthesis of Ferrocenyldibromoalumane THF complex 2b·(thf)

In a glovebox, AlBr₃ (14 mg, 0.053 mmol) was added to a toluene solution (2 mL) of (Fc*Li)₂ (30 mg, 0.027 mmol) at r.t., where the reaction mixture was stirred for 1.5 h. After the reaction mixture became an orange suspension, the supernatant toluene solution was removed by decantation and the resulting orange solid was washed with toluene (*ca.* 10 mL). Then, THF (*ca.* 10 mL) was added to the resulting solid and the suspension was stirred at r.t. for 5 min, before the superfluous THF was removed under reduced pressure. Subsequently, toluene (*ca.* 10 mL) was added to the resulting orange solid, and the thus obtained solution was filtered, before all volatiles were removed from the filtrate under reduced pressure to generate **2b**·(**thf**) as an orange solid (35 mg) contaminated with Fc*H (74% ¹H NMR yield). Single crystals suitable for SC-XRD analysis were grown by slow evaporation of a saturated solution of **2b**·(**thf**) in C₆D₆ at r.t. **2b**·(**thf**): orange solid, mp. 136 °C (decomp.). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆): δ [ppm] = 0.58 (br, THF-4H), 1.44 (s, *t*Bu-36H), 3.43 (br, THF-4H), 4.29 (s, Cp-5H), 4.84 (s, Cp- β -2H), 7.43 (d, *J* = 1.6 Hz, Dtp-*p*-2H), 7.87 (d, *J* = 1.6 Hz, Dtp-*o*-4H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, C₆D₆): δ [ppm] = 24.0 (CH₂), 31.9 (CH₃), 35.2 (C), 72.0 (CH), 72.2 (CH), 72.7 (CH₂), 99.2(C), 120.9 (CH), 125.9 (CH), 140.1 (C), 150.3(C) (signal from C₁ was not observed); ²⁷Al NMR (104 MHz, C₆D₆): δ [ppm] = 93.8 (br). Despite several attempts of measurements, sufficient HRMS and MS data were not obtained, probably due to the lability of the compound.



Figure S4. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, r.t.) of **2b**·(**thf**).







Figure S6. ²⁷Al NMR (104 MHz, C₆D₆, r.t.) of **2b**·(thf).

· Synthesis of Bis(ferrocenyl)chloroalumane 3a.

AlCl₃ (9.0 mg, 0.067 mmol) was added to a toluene solution (5 mL) of (Fc*Li)₂ (73 mg, 0.064 mmol) in a Schlenk flask capped with a J-Young Teflon valve at r.t., and the reaction mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 16 h. After the reaction mixture became an orange suspension, the reaction mixture was filtered and the remaining solid was extracted with toluene (3 × 3 mL). The combined filtrates were dried under reduced pressure, and the thus obtained solid was washed with hexane (*ca.* 10 mL). After evaporation to dryness, **3a** was obtained as a pale-yellow solid (70 mg) contaminated with Fc*H (63% ¹H NMR yield). Crystals suitable for SC-XRD analysis were obtained by slow evaporation of a saturated solution of **3a** in toluene at r.t. **3a**: orange solid, mp. 138 °C (decomp.). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆): δ [ppm] = 1.26 (s, *t*Bu-36H), 1.28 (s, *t*Bu-36H), 4.33 (s, Cp-5H), 4.83 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, Cp- β -2H), 4.89 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, Cp- β -2H), 7.38 (t, *J* = 1.8 Hz, Dtp-*p*-2H), 7.45 (t, *J* = 1.8 Hz, Dtp-*p*-2H), 7.57 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, Dtp-*o*-4H), 7.94 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, Dtp-*o*-4H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, C₆D₆): δ [ppm] = 31.8 (CH₃), 31.9 (CH₃), 34.9 (C), 35.1 (C), 72.4 (CH), 76.3 (CH), 76.4 (CH), 96.2 (C), 101.9 (C), 121.5 (CH), 121.9 (CH), 123.5 (CH), 125.1 (CH), 139.7 (C), 140.6 (C), 150.7 (C), 150.8 (C) (signal from C₁ was not observed). Despite several attempts of measurements, sufficient HRMS and MS data were not obtained, probably due to the lability of the compound.



Figure S7. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, r.t.) of 3a.



Figure S8. $^{13}C{^{1}H}$ NMR (101 MHz, C₆D₆, r.t.) of **3a**.

· Synthesis of Bis(ferrocenyl)chloroalumane THF complex 3a (thf)

THF (5 mL) was added to **3a** and the obtained mixture was stirred for 5 min at r.t., before the excess THF was removed under reduced pressure to give **3a**·(**thf**) as an orange solid including a small amount of Fc*H. **3a(thf)**: orange solid. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆): δ [ppm] = 0.72-0.75 (m, THF-4H), 1.19 (s, *t*Bu-18H), 1.39 (s, *t*Bu-18H), 1.47 (s, *t*Bu-18H), 1.55 (s, *t*Bu-18H), 3.77-3.79 (m, THF-2H), 3.91-3.93 (m, THF-2H), 4.04 (s, Cp-5H), 4.30 (s, Cp-5H), 4.43 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, Cp- β -1H), 4.56 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, Cp- β -1H),

4.65 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, Cp-β-1H), 4.74 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, Cp-β-1H), 7.22 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, Dtp-p-1H), 7.40 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, Dtp-p-1H), 7.50 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, Dtp-p-1H), 7.51 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, Dtp-p-1H), 7.54 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, Dtp-o-2H), 7.60 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, Dtp-o-2H), 7.76 (br, Dtp-o-2H), 8.24 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, Dtp-o-2H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, C₆D₆): δ [ppm] = 24.3 (CH₂), 31.9 (CH₃), 32.0 (CH₃), 32.3 (CH₃), 32.3 (CH₃), 34.9 (C), 35.1 (C), 35.1 (C), 35.4 (C), 71.2 (CH), 71.8 (CH), 72.9 (CH₂), 74.4 (CH), 75.3 (CH), 76.5 (CH), 77.2 (CH), 98.2 (C), 99.6 (C), 100.3 (C), 102.2 (C), 120.1 (CH), 121.2 (CH), 121.3 (CH), 121.9 (CH), 125.1 (CH), 126.1 (CH), 127.2 (CH), 141.1 (C), 141.9 (C), 142.0 (C), 142.3 (C), 148.9 (C), 149.3 (C), 149.3 (C), 149.4 (C), (signal from *o*-carbons of the Dtp group was not observed). HRMS (DART), *m/z*: Found: 1257.6256 ([M+H]⁺), calcd. for C₈₀H₁₀₆OAlCIFe ([M+H]⁺): 1257.6525.



Figure S9. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, r.t.) of **3a**·(**thf**).



Figure S10. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, C₆D₆, r.t.) of **3a**·(**thf**).

· Synthesis of Bis(ferrocenyl)bromoalumanes 3b and 4b.

In a glovebox, AlBr₃ (36 mg, 0.14 mmol) was added to a toluene solution (3 mL) of $(Fc^*Li)_2$ (150 mg, 0.132 mmol) in a vial (20 mL) at r.t., and the reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. for 2 h. The orange supernatant was removed by decantation and the orange precipitate was extracted with toluene (3 × 3 mL). All toluene fractions were combined and filtered, before the solvent was removed from the filtrate under reduced pressure to afford a mixture of bis(ferrocenyl)bromoalumane 3b and 4b (3b: 79%; 4b: 13% as judged by the ¹H NMR spectrum) as orange solids (150 mg). The mixture of **3b** and **4b** was washed with hexane (ca. 10 mL) and after evaporation to dryness, **3b** was obtained as a pale-yellow solid (72 mg) contaminated with Fc*H (43% as judged by the ¹H NMR spectrum). The hexane fraction of the mixture of 3b and 4b was filtered and the solvent of the filtrated was evaporated over 2 weeks at r.t. to give a few single crystals of **4b**. **3b**: ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, C₆D₆): δ [ppm] = 1.24 (s, *t*Bu-36H), 1.28 (s, *t*Bu-36H), 4.39 (s, Cp-5H), 4.83 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, Cp- β -2H), 4.90 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, Cp- β -2H), 7.37 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, Dtp-p-2H), 7.46 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, Dtp-*p*-2H), 7.55 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, Dtp-*o*-4H), 7.95 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, Dtp-*o*-4H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, C₆D₆): δ [ppm] = 31.8 (CH₃), 31.9 (CH₃), 34.9 (C), 35.1 (C), 72.5 (CH), 76.1 (CH), 76.7 (CH), 96.3 (C), 102.3 (C), 121.6 (CH), 122.1 (CH), 123.4 (CH), 125.2 (CH), 139.8 (C), 140.6 (C), 150.86 (C), 150.89 (C), (signal from C₁ was not observed). **4b**: ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, C₆D₆): δ [ppm] = 1.35 (s, *t*Bu-36H), 1.36 (s, *t*Bu-36H), 3.33 (t, J = 1.6 Hz, Fc*'-Cp1- α -2H), 4.13 (t, J = 1.6 Hz, Fc*'-Cp1- β -2H), 4.39 (s, Fc*-Cp-5H), 4.68 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, Fc*'-Cp2-2H), 4.95 (s, Fc*- β -2H), 5.45 (t, J = 1.4 Hz, Fc*'-Cp2-1H), 7.35 (t, J = 1.4 H 1.8 Hz, Fc*'-Dtp-*p*-2H), 7.40 (t, *J* = 1.8 Hz, Fc*-Dtp-*p*-2H), 7.44 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, Fc*'-Dtp-*o*-4H), 7.96 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, Fc*-Dtp-o-4H); ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR (101 MHz, C₆D₆): δ [ppm] = 31.7 (CH₃), 31.8 (CH₃), 35.0 (C), 35.2 (C), 66.5 (CH), 71.6 (CH), 72.0 (CH), 78.8 (CH), 79.5 (CH), 88.7 (C), 99.1 (C), 120.7 (CH), 121.5 (CH), 121.9 (CH), 123.7 (CH), 137.5 (C), 141.0 (C), 150.9 (C), 151.9 (C), (signals from C1 and C39 were not observed). Despite several attempts of measurements, sufficient HRMS and MS data were not obtained, probably due to the lability of the compound.



Figure S11. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, r.t.) of **3b**.



Figure S12. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, C₆D₆, r.t.) of **3b**.



Figure S13. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6 , r.t.) of the mixture of **3b** and **4b**.



Figure S14. ¹³C 1 H} NMR (101 MHz, C₆D₆, r.t.) of the mixture of **3b** and **4b**.

· Synthesis of Bis(ferrocenyl)bromoalumane THF complex 3b·(thf).

THF (5 mL) was added to **3b** and the obtained mixture was stirred for 5 min at r.t., before the excess THF was removed under reduced pressure to give **3b**-(**thf**) as an orange solid including a small amount of Fc*H. **3b**-(**thf**): ¹H **NMR** (400 MHz, C₆D₆): δ [ppm] = 0.74-0.80 (m, THF-4H), 1.22 (s, *t*Bu-18H), 1.38 (s, *t*Bu-18H), 1.46 (s, *t*Bu-18H), 1.56 (s, *t*Bu-18H), 3.83-3.88 (m, THF-2H), 3.92-3.97 (m, THF-2H), 4.10 (s, Cp-5H), 4.25 (s, Cp-5H), 4.45 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, Cp- β -1H), 4.60 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, Cp- β -1H), 4.63 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, Cp- β -1H), 4.72 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, Cp- β -1H), 7.22 (t, J = 1.6 Hz, Dtp-p-1H), 7.37 (t, J = 1.6 Hz, Dtp-p-1H), 7.47 (t, J = 1.6 Hz, Dtp-p-1H), 7.52 (t, J = 1.6 Hz, Dtp-p-1H), 7.53 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, Dtp-o-2H), 7.57 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, Dtp-o-2H), 7.77 (br, Dtp-o-2H), 8.18 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, Dtp-o-2H); ¹³C{¹H} **NMR** (101 MHz, C₆D₆): δ [ppm] = 24.4 (CH₂), 31.9 (CH₃×2), 32.3 (CH₃×2), 34.9 (C), 35.1 (C), 35.4 (C), 71.6 (CH), 71.9 (CH), 73.3 (CH₂), 75.2 (CH), 75.6 (CH), 76.0 (CH), 76.8 (CH), 98.6 (C), 99.2 (C), 99.9 (C), 101.4 (C), 120.3 (CH), 120.6 (CH), 121.2 (CH), 122.1 (CH), 125.7 (CH), 127.4 (CH), 141.1 (C), 141.8 (C), 141.9 (C), 142.34 (C), 149.2 (C), 149.3 (C×2) (a signal from the *o*-carbons of the Dtp group was not observed). Despite several attempts of measurements, sufficient HRMS and MS data were not obtained, probably due to the lability of the compound.



Figure S15. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, r.t.) of **3b**·(**thf**).



Figure S16. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, C₆D₆, r.t.) of **3b(thf)**.

· Synthesis of Bis(ferrocenyl)iodoalumanes 3c and 4c.

In a glovebox, All₃ (43 mg, 0.11 mmol) was added to a toluene solution (3 mL) of $(Fc^*Li)_2$ (114 mg, 0.100 mmol) in a vial (20 mL) at r.t., and the reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. for 19 h. The orange supernatant was removed by decantation and the orange precipitate was extracted with toluene (3 × 3 mL). All toluene fractions were combined and filtered, before the solvent was removed from the filtrate under reduced pressure to afford a mixture of bis(ferrocenyl)bromoalumane **3c** and **4c** (**3c**: 39%; **4c**: 59%

as judged by the ¹H NMR spectrum) as orange solids (149 mg). Recrystallization of a pentane solution (3 mL) of the mixture of 3c and 4c over 2 weeks at r.t. gave a few single crystals of 4c. 3c: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆): δ [ppm] = 1.23 (s, *t*Bu-36H), 1.27 (s, *t*Bu-36H), 4.45 (s, Cp-5H), 4.83 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, Cp-β-2H), 4.89 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, Cp-β-2H), 7.36 (t, J = 1.6 Hz, Dtp-*p*-2H), 7.46 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, Dtp-*p*-2H), 7.52 (d, J = 1.6Hz, Dtp-o-4H), 7.96 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, Dtp-o-4H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, C₆D₆): δ [ppm] = 31.8 (CH₃), 31.9 (CH₃), 34.8 (C), 35.0 (C), 73.0 (CH), 75.8 (CH), 77.0 (CH), 96.5 (C), 102.6 (C), 121.6 (CH), 122.3 (CH), 123.4 (CH), 125.3 (CH), 139.7 (C), 140.7 (C), 150.9 (C), 151.0 (C), (signal from C₁ was not observed). 4c: orange solids, mp. 136 °C (decomp.). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆): δ [ppm] = 1.35 (s, tBu-36H), 1.37 (s, tBu-36H), 3.32 (t, J = 1.6 Hz, Fc*'-Cp1-α-2H), 4.15 (t, J = 1.6 Hz, Fc*'-Cp1-β-2H), 4.43 (s, Fc*-Cp-5H), 4.74 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, Fc*'-Cp2-2H), 4.92 (s, Fc*- β -2H), 5.45 (t, J = 1.6 Hz, Fc*'-Cp2-1H), 7.35 (t, J = 1.6 Hz, Fc*'-Dtp-p-2H), 7.40 (t, J = 1.6 Hz, Fc*-Dtp-p-2H), 7.43 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, Fc*'-Dtp-o-4H), 7.95 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, Fc*-Dtp-o-4H); ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR (101 MHz, C₆D₆): δ [ppm] = 31.8 (CH₃), 31.9 (CH₃), 35.0 (C), 35.2 (C), 66.5 (CH), 66.6 (CH), 72.0 (CH), 72.1 (CH), 79.0 (CH), 79.7 (CH), 88.8 (C), 99.5 (C), 120.7 (CH), 121.6 (CH), 121.7 (CH), 124.0 (CH), 137.4 (C), 140.8 (C), 150.9 (C), 151.9 (C) (signals from C₁ and C₃₉ were not observed). Despite several attempts of measurements, sufficient HRMS and MS data were not obtained, probably due to the lability of the compound.

The results of other entries of the similar reactions are summarized in Table S1.

	,				
entry	Ratio of the reactants 1 : All ₃	Solvent	Temp. (°C)	Time (h)	Ratio of the products (3c : 4c)
1	1.0:1.0	toluene	60	19	1.0:0.65
2	1.0:1.0	toluene	60	69	1.0:0.50
3	1.0:1.0	toluene	r.t.	2.0	1.0:1.7
4	1.0:1.1	C_6D_6	r.t.	1.5	1.0:2.5
5	1.0:0.9	C ₆ D ₆	r.t.	1.5	1.0:0.34

Table S1. Reactions of ferrocenyl lithium dimer 1 with All₃.







Figure S18. $^{13}C{^{1}H}$ NMR (101 MHz, C₆D₆, r.t.) of 3c.



Figure S19. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, r.t.) of **4c**.



Figure S20. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, C₆D₆, r.t.) of 4c.

· Reaction of Bis(ferrocenyl)bromoalumane 3b with AlBr3.

In a glovebox, **3b** (19 mg, 0.015 mmol) and AlBr₃ (4.0 mg, 0.015 mmol) were dissolved in a J. Young NMR tube and dissolved in C₆D₆ (0.5 mL). The reaction mixture was shaken a few times and monitored for 6 days, leading to the predominant formation of ferrocenyldibromoalumane dimer Fc*'AlBr₂)₂ (**5b**). A hexane solution containing **5b** was cooled at -30 °C for 2 days to obtain a small amount of red single crystals of **5b**. ¹H **NMR** (400 MHz, C₆D₆): δ [ppm] = 1.35 (s, *t*Bu-36H), 4.40 (br, Cp- α -2H), 4.80 (br, Cp- β -

2H), 5.17 (br, Cp-1H), 5.36 (br, Cp-2H), 7.47 (br, Dtp-p-2H), 7.61 (br, Dtp-o-4H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, C₆D₆): δ [ppm] = 31.7 (CH₃), 35.0 (C), 65.3 (CH), 72.21 (CH), 81.9 (CH), 88.4 (CH), 121.8 (CH), 122.0 (CH), 150.9 (C), 151.3(C) (a signal from C₁ and was not observed). Despite several attempts of measurements, sufficient HRMS and MS data were not obtained, probably due to the lability of the compound.



Figure S21. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, r.t.) of 5b.



Figure S22. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, C₆D₆, r.t.) of **5b**.

X-Ray Crystallographic Analyses

Single crystals of **2b**·(**thf**), **3a**, **4b**, **4c**, and **5b** were obtained as described in the previous section (*vide supra*). Intensity data of **2b**·(**thf**), **4b**, **4c**, and **5b** were collected on a Bruker APEX-II system using Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å), while those of **3a** were collected on the BL02B1 beamline of SPring-8 (proposal numbers: 2023A1539, 2023A1771, 2023A1785, 2023A1794, 2023A1859, 2023A1925, 2023B1675, 2023B1806, 2023B1878, and 2024A1857) on a PILATUS3 X CdTe 1M camera using synchrotron radiation ($\lambda = 0.4132$ Å). The structures were solved using SHELXT-2018^{S2} and refined by a full-matrix least-squares method (LSQ) on F² for all reflections using SHELXL-2018^{S3} and the Yadokari-XG software package.^{S4} All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically, while the positions of all hydrogen atoms were calculated geometrically and refined as riding models. Supplementary crystallographic data were deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC) under deposition numbers CCDC-2401680 (**2b**·(**thf**)), 2401681 (**3a**), 2401682 (**4b**), 2401683 (**4c**) and 2403232 (**5b**); these can be obtained free of charge via <u>www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request.cif</u>.

Compound	2b·(thf)	3 a	4b	4c	5b
Formula	C51H66AlBr2FeO	C76H98AlClFe2	C79H105AlBrFe2	C79H105AllFe2	C ₃₈ H ₄₉ AlBrFe ₂
Molecular Weight	937.68	1185.67	1273.21	1320.20	748.42
Temperature / K	103	103	103	103	103
λ (Å)	0.71073	0.4139	0.71073	0.71073	0.71073
Crystal size / mm ³	0.20×0.12×0.10	0.10×0.10×0.10	0.15×0.10×0.07	0.10×0.08×0.04	0.10×0.08×0.04
Crystal system	Triclinic	Monoclinic	Monoclinic	Monoclinic	Triclinic
Space group	<i>P</i> -1 (#2)	<i>P</i> 2 ₁ / <i>c</i> (#14)	<i>C</i> 2 (#5)	<i>C</i> 2 (#5)	<i>P</i> -1 (#2)
<i>a</i> /Å	9.8469(2)	27.6731(12)	30.2977(8)	41.545(6)	9.6531(6)
b/Å	14.9905(3)	22.0774(6)	11.2247(2)	11.2552(4)	13.4278(9)
c /Å	16.4903(3)	11.0682(3)	24.8238(6)	24.773(3)	14.0646(9)
α /deg	103.731(2)	90	90	90	89.361(5)
eta / deg	90.9670(10)	100.582(3)	122.980(4)	142.79(3)	81.596(5)
γ / deg	92.201(2)	90	90	90	85.812(5)
$V/\text{\AA}^3$	2361.97(8)	6647.1(4)	7081.8(4)	7006(3)	1798.7(2)
Ζ	2	4	4	4	2
μ / mm $^{-1}$	2.064	0.130	1.027	0.909	2.689
$D_{ m calcd.}$ / g \cdot cm $^{-3}$	1.318	1.185	1.194	1.252	1.382
$ heta_{ m max}$	28.641	15.591	28.702	29.144	26.373
Refl./restr./param.	11275/0/517	15228/0/805	17050/73/824	17624/115/803	7331/66/407
Completeness	99.9	99.9	99.9	99.9	99.8
GOF	1.017	1.124	1.025	1.503	1.126
R_1 (I>2 σ (I))	0.0262	0.0832	0.0345	0.0807	0.1355
wR_2 (I>2 σ (I))	0.0659	0.1609	0.0744	0.1311	0.2051
R_1 (all data)	0.0290	0.1349	0.0417	0.1404	0.2521
wR_2 (all data)	0.0673	0.1827	0.0771	0.1511	0.2467
Largest diff. peak and hole $/e \cdot Å^{-3}$	0.754, -0.423	0.836, -0.891	0.402, -0.275	1.351, -0.940	1.017, -0.650
CCDC number	2401680	2401681	2401682	2401683	2403232

Table S1. Crystal d	lata and data o	collection of 2b·(thf), 3a, 4b	, 4c and 5b .
---------------------	-----------------	--------------------	--------------	-----------------------------



Figure S23 Molecular structure of **5b** in the crystalline state with thermal ellipsoids at 50% probability; hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Theoretical Calculations

Theoretical calculations for the geometry optimizations and frequency calculations of **3a-c** and **4a-c** were carried out using the Gaussian 16 (Revision C.01) program package.^{S5} Geometry optimizations were performed at the B3PW91-D3(BJ) level using basis sets described in the text. Minimum energies for the optimized structures were confirmed by frequency calculations. Energies were corrected for the zero-point energy (ZPE) term at the optimization level (E_{zero}). Computational time was generously provided by the Supercomputer Laboratory at the Institute for Chemical Research (Kyoto University). Computations were also carried out using resources of the Research Center for Computational Science, Okazaki, Japan (Projects: 24-IMS-C377/24-IMS-C397). The coordinates of the optimized structures are included in the corresponding .xyz files as supporting information.

 \cdot Estimation of the electrophilicity of the bis(ferrocenyl)haloalumanes.

The theoretically calculated LUMO levels of **3a-c** and **4a-c** (Figure S24) are highly negative, indicating their expected electrophilicity.



Figure S24 KS-LUMOs [B3PW91-D3(BJ)/def2TZVPP] of (a) 3a, (b) 3b, (c) 3c, (d) 4a, (e) 4b, (f) 4c.

References

[S1] T. Sasamori, Y. Suzuki, and N. Tokitoh, Organometallics 2014, 33, 6696-6699.

- [S2] I. Usón and G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Cryst. Sect. D. 2018, 74, 106-116.
- [S3] (a) G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Cryst., 2015, A71, 3-8. (b) G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Cryst., 2015, C71, 3-8.
- [S4] Wakita, K. (2001). Yadokari-XG. Software for Crystal Structure Analyses. Release of Software (Yadokari-XG 2009) for Crystal Structure Analyses, Kabuto, C., Akine, S., Nemoto, T. and Kwon, E. J. Cryst. Soc. Jpn., 2009, 51, 218-224.
- [S5] Gaussian 16, Revision C.01, M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, X. Li, M. Caricato, A. V. Marenich, J. Bloino, B. G. Janesko, R. Gomperts, B. Mennucci, H. P. Hratchian, J. V. Ortiz, A. F. Izmaylov, J. L. Sonnenberg, D. Williams-Young, F. Ding, F. Lipparini, F. Egidi, J. Goings, B. Peng, A. Petrone, T. Henderson, D. Ranasinghe, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. Gao, N. Rega, G. Zheng, W. Liang, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, K. Throssell, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. J. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. N. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. A. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. P. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, C. Adamo, R. Cammi, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, and D. J. Fox, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, **2016**.