Supporting Information

For

[Cu(NHC)(OR)] (R = C(CF₃)₃) complexes for N-H and S-H bond activation and as pre-catalysts in the Chan-Evans-Lam coupling

Tommaso Ruggiero ^[a], Kristof Van Hecke ^[a], Catherine S. J. Cazin ^[a], Steven P. Nolan ^[a]*

^[a]T. Ruggiero, Prof. K. Van Hecke, Prof. C. S. J. Cazin, Prof. S. P. Nolan Department of Chemistry and Center for Sustainable Chemistry, Ghent University, Krijgslaan 281 (S-3), 9000 Ghent, Belgium, E-mail: steven.nolan@ugent.be

Table of Contents

| General information | 3 |
|--|----|
| Synthesis of [Cu(IPr)(OC(CF ₃) ₃)] (1) | 3 |
| Synthesis of [Cu[(IMes)(OC(CF ₃) ₃)] (2) | 4 |
| Synthesis of [Cu[(IPr ^{OMe})(OC(CF ₃) ₃)] (3) | 5 |
| Synthesis of [Cu[(IPr ^{Me})(OC(CF ₃) ₃)] (4) | 5 |
| Synthesis of [Cu[(IPr*)(OC(CF ₃) ₃)] (5) | 6 |
| Synthesis of [Cu[(BIAN-IPr)(OC(CF ₃) ₃)] (6) | 7 |
| Synthesis of [Cu[(BIAN-IMes)(OC(CF ₃) ₃)] (7) | 7 |
| Synthesis of [Cu[(SIPr)(OC(CF ₃) ₃)] (8) | 8 |
| Synthesis of [Cu[(SIMes)(OC(CF ₃) ₃)] (9) | 9 |
| Synthesis of [Cu[(IPr)(chlorzoxazonyl)] (21) | 9 |
| Synthesis of [Cu(IPr)(NTf ₂)] (10) | 10 |
| Synthesis of [Cu(IPr)(SPhMe)] (11) | 10 |
| General procedure for Chan-Evans-Lam catalytic reactions | 11 |
| Unsuccessful reactivity tests between [Cu(IPr)(OC(CF ₃) ₃)] (1) and various substrates | |
| Unsuccessful reactions of [Cu(IPr)(OC(CF ₃) ₃)] (1) with silanes leading to Cu-H species. ^a | 13 |
| Single-Crystal X-ray Diffraction Analysis | |
| [Cu(IPr)(OC(CF ₃) ₃)] (1) | 14 |
| [Cu[(IPr ^{OMe})(OC(CF ₃) ₃)] (3) | 15 |
| [Cu[(IPr*)(OC(CF ₃) ₃)] (5) | 16 |
| [Cu[(IPr)(chlorzoxazonyl)] (21) | |
| List of metals bearing Nonafluoro-tert-butyl alkoxide as a ligand in complexes or salts | 19 |
| Notes and references | |
| NMR Spectra | 21 |

General information

All reactions were performed in glass vials under air, unless otherwise mentioned. Solvents and all other reagents were purchased and used as received without any additional purification, except for K₂CO₃, which was finely grinded (using a mortar and pestle) and dried under high vacuum before use. ¹H and ¹³C-{1H} apt and ¹⁹F NMR spectra were recorded in C₆D₆ or CDCl₃ using Bruker 300, 400 and 500 MHz spectrometers. Chemical shifts (ppm) in ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra are referenced to the residual solvent peak (C₆D₆: δ H=7.16 ppm, δ C=128.06 ppm); (CDCl₃: δ H=7.26 ppm, δ C=77.16 ppm); (DMSO-d₆: δ H=2.50 ppm, δ C=39.52 ppm) ¹H NMR splitting patterns are abbreviated as follows: broad signal (br), singlet (s), doublet (d), triplet (t), doublet of doublets (dd), doublet of triplets (dt), triplet of triplets (tt), quartet (q), quintet (quint), heptet (hept), multiplet (m). [(IPr)CuCl] ¹, [(SIPr)CuCl] ¹, [(IMes)CuCl] ¹, [(SIMes)CuCl] ¹, [(IPr^{Me})CuCl] ², [(IPr^{Me})CuCl] ², [(BIAN-IPr)CuCl] ³, [(BIAN-IMes)CuCl] ⁴, [(IPr)CuOH] ⁵ were synthesized following the procedures described in the literature. All the HRMS analyses were conducted in MeCN as solvent and the measurement could only detect [Cu(NHC)(MeCN)]⁺ and [Cu(NHC)₂]⁺ as the main peaks unless otherwise stated.

Synthesis of [Cu(IPr)(OC(CF₃)₃)] (1)



Procedure A

In a 4 mL vial equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and a septum-equipped cap were added [Cu(IPr)(Cl)] (100 mg, 0.205 mmol), potassium carbonate (85 mg, 0.615 mmol), EtOH (1 mL) and nonafluoro-tert-butyl alcohol (31.3 µL, 0.225 mmol). The mixture was left to stir for 1 hour at 25 °C. Then the solvent was evaporated under vacuum, and the residue was then microfiltered and filtered over a syringe microfilter using toluene (3 mL). The solution was subsequently concentrated and pentane (10 mL) was added to precipitate the product, which was collected by filtration, washed with pentane (2x5 mL) and dried under high vacuum. The final product was obtained as a white solid in 95% yield (133 mg).

Procedure B

In a 20 mL vial equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and a septum-equipped cap were added [Cu(IPr)(OH)] (500 mg, 1.066 mmol), EtOH (3 mL) and nonafluoro-tert-butyl alcohol (163 µL, 1.171 mmol). The mixture was left stirring for 1 hour at 25 °C. Then the solvent was evaporated under vacuum and pentane (10 mL) was added to precipitate the product, which was collected by filtration, washed with pentane (2x5 mL) and dried under high vacuum. The final product was obtained as a white solid in 98% yield (717 mg).

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 7.49 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H, CH_{Ar}), 7.30 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H, CH_{Ar}), 7.11 (s, 2H, CH_{Imid}), 2.58 (hept, J = 6.8 Hz, 4H, CH), 1.27 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 12H, CH₃), 1.21 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 12H, CH₃).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 180.48 (Cu-*C*), 145.55 (*C*_{Ar}), 134.77 (*C*_{Ar}), 130.53 (*C*H_{Ar}), 124.38 (*C*H_{Ar}), 123.43 (*C*H_{Imid}), 122,30 (d, J_{CF}= 295 Hz, *C*F₃), 28.84 (*C*H), 24.30 (*C*H₃), 24.06 (*C*H₃).

¹⁹**F NMR** (377 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ -76.21.

HRMS: calculated m/z for $[Cu(IPr)(MeCN)]^+ = 492.24400$; found 492.24225.

Synthesis of [Cu[(IMes)(OC(CF₃)₃)] (2)



In a 4 mL vial equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and a septum-equipped cap were added [Cu(IMes)(Cl)] (100 mg, 0.247 mmol), potassium carbonate (102.4 mg, 0.741 mmol), EtOH (1 mL) and nonafluoro-tert-butyl alcohol (38 μ L, 0.272 mmol). The mixture was left to stir for 1 hour at 25 °C. Then the solvent was evaporated under vacuum, and the residue was then microfiltered and filtered over a syringe microfilter using toluene (3 mL). The solution was subsequently concentrated and pentane (10 mL) was added to precipitate the product, which was collected by filtration, washed with pentane (2x5 mL) and dried under high vacuum. The final product was obtained as a white solid in 92% yield (138 mg).

¹**H** NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 6.98 (s, 2H, CH_{Imid}), 6.89 (s, 4H, CH_{Ar}), 2.41 (s, 6H, CH₃), 1.66 (s, 12H, CH₃).

¹³**C** NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 177.65 (Cu-*C*), 139.66 (*C*_{Ar}), 134.53 (2*C*_{Ar}), 129.32 (*C*H_{Ar}), 123.98 (d, J_{CF}= 298 Hz, *C*F₃), 122.75 (*C*H_{Imid}), 21.22 (*C*H₃), 16.96 (*C*H₃).

¹⁹**F NMR** (377 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ -76.70.

HRMS: calculated m/z for [Cu(IMes)(MeCN)]⁺ = 408.15010; found 408.14911

calculated m/z for $[Cu(IMes)_2]^+ = 671.31750$; found 671.31585

Synthesis of [Cu[(IPr^{OMe})(OC(CF₃)₃)] (3)



In a 4 mL vial equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and a septum-equipped cap were added $[Cu(IPr^{OMe})(Cl)]$ (100 mg, 0.182 mmol), potassium carbonate (75.6 mg, 0.547 mmol), EtOH (1 mL) and nonafluoro-tert-butyl alcohol (28 µL, 0.201 mmol). The mixture was left stirring for 1 hour at 25 °C. Then the solvent was evaporated under vacuum, and the residue was then microfiltered and filtered over a syringe microfilter using toluene (3 mL). The solution was subsequently concentrated, and pentane (10 mL) was added to precipitate the product, which was collected by filtration, washed with pentane (2x5 mL) and dried under high vacuum. The final product was obtained as a white solid in 78% yield (107 mg).

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 7.06 (s, 2H, CH_{Imid}), 6.78 (s, 4H, CH_{Ar}), 3.89 (s, 6H, OCH₃), 2.54 (hept, J = 6.8 Hz, 4H, CH), 1.25 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 12H, CH₃), 1.19 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 12H, CH₃).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 181.43 (Cu-*C*), 160.93 (*C*_{Ar}-OCH₃), 147.10 (*C*_{Ar}), 128.00 (*C*_{Ar}), 123.80 (*C*H_{Imid}), 123.18 (d, J_{CF}= 468 Hz, *C*F₃), 109.72 (*C*H_{Ar}), 55.64 (OCH₃), 29.01 (*C*H), 24.21 (*C*H₃), 23.98 (*C*H₃).

¹⁹F NMR (377 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ -76.19.

HRMS: calculated m/z for $[Cu(IPr^{OMe})(MeCN)]^+ = 552.26513$; found 552.26426

Synthesis of [Cu[(IPr^{Me})(OC(CF₃)₃)] (4)



In a 4 mL vial equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and a septum-equipped cap were added $[Cu(IPr^{Me})(Cl)]$ (150 mg, 0.290 mmol), potassium carbonate (120.2 mg, 0.870 mmol), EtOH (1.5 mL) and nonafluoro-tert-butyl alcohol (44 µL, 0.319 mmol). The mixture was left stirring for 1 hour at 25 °C. Then the solvent was evaporated under vacuum, and the residue was then microfiltered and filtered over a syringe microfilter using toluene (3 mL). The solution was subsequently concentrated and pentane (10 mL) was added to precipitate the product, which was collected by filtration, washed with pentane (2x5 mL) and dried under high vacuum. The final product was obtained as a white solid in 72% yield (150 mg).

¹**H** NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 7.47 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H, CH_{Ar}), 7.29 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H, CH_{Ar}), 2.45 (hept, J = 6.9 Hz, 4H, CH), 1.91 (s, 6H, CH_{3 Imid}), 1.23 (dd, J = 9.1, 6.9 Hz, 24H, CH₃).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 176.69 (Cu-*C*), 145.83 (*C*_{Ar}), 133.22 (*C*_{Ar}), 130.36 (*C*H_{Ar}), 126.23 (*C*H_{Imid}), 124.44 (*C*H_{Ar}), 122.33 (d, J_{CF}= 296 Hz, *C*F₃), 28.73 (*C*H), 24.79 (*C*H₃), 23.50 (*C*H₃), 9.69 *C*H_{3 Imid}).

¹⁹F NMR (377 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ -76.22.

HRMS: calculated m/z for $[Cu(IPr^{Me})(MeCN)]^+ = 520.27530$; found 520.27427

Synthesis of [Cu[(IPr*)(OC(CF₃)₃)] (5)



In a 20 mL vial equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and a septum-equipped cap were added $[Cu(IPr^*)(Cl)]$ (200 mg, 0.197 mmol), potassium carbonate (82 mg, 0.593 mmol), EtOH (3 mL) and nonafluoro-tert-butyl alcohol (30 µL, 0.217 mmol). The mixture was left to stir for 2 hours at 25 °C. Then the solvent was evaporated under vacuum, and the residue was then microfiltered and filtered over a syringe microfilter using toluene (3 mL). The solution was subsequently concentrated and pentane (10 mL) was added to precipitate the product, which was collected by filtration, washed with pentane (2x5 mL) and dried under high vacuum. The final product was obtained as a white solid in 95% yield (227 mg).

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 7.24 – 7.16 (m, 12H, (Ph)CH_{Ar}), 7.16 – 7.09 (m, 12H, (Ph)CH_{Ar}), 7.09 – 7.01 (m, 8H, (Ph)CH_{Ar}), 6.91 – 6.78 (m, 12H, CH_{Ar} + (Ph)CH_{Ar}), 5.58 (s, 2H, CH_{Imid}), 5.26 (s, 4H, CH), 2.24 (s, 6H, CH₃).

¹³**C** NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 180.21 (Cu-*C*), 143.36 (*C*_{Ar}), 142.60 (*C*_{Ar}), 140.88 (*C*_{Ar}), 140.09 (*C*_{Ar}), 134.25 (*C*_{Ar}), 130.14 (*C*H_{Ar}), 129.77 (*C*H_{Ar}), 129.42 (*C*H_{Ar}), 128.66 (*C*H_{Ar}), 128.42 (*C*H_{Ar}), 126.79 (*C*H_{Ar}), 126.73 (*C*H_{Ar}), 122.55 (d, J_{CF}= 295 Hz, *C*F₃), 123.39 (*C*H_{Imid}), 121.09, 51.54 (*C*H), 21.92 (*C*H₃).

¹⁹F NMR (377 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ -75.97.

HRMS: calculated m/z for $[Cu(IPr^*)]^+ = 975.37395$; found 975.37146

Synthesis of [Cu[(BIAN-IPr)(OC(CF₃)₃)] (6)



In a 4 mL vial equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and a septum-equipped cap were added [Cu(BIAN-IPr)(Cl)] (100 mg, 0.163 mmol), potassium carbonate (68 mg, 0.490 mmol), EtOH (1mL) and nonafluoro-tert-butyl alcohol (25 µL, 0.179 mmol). The mixture was left to stir for 16 hours at 25 °C. Then the solvent was evaporated under vacuum, and the residue was then microfiltered and filtered over a syringe microfilter using toluene (3 mL). The solution was subsequently concentrated and pentane (10 mL) was added to precipitate the product, which was collected by filtration, washed with pentane (2x5 mL) and dried under high vacuum. The final product was obtained as a pale orange solid in 85% yield (113 mg).

¹**H** NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 7.79 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H, CH_{Naph top}), 7.59 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H, CH_{Ar}), 7.46 – 7.38 (m(t+d), 6H, t=CH_{Naph} + d=CH_{Ar}), 7.00 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H, CH_{Naph down}), 2.85 (hept, J = 6.8 Hz, 4H, CH), 1.31 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 12H, CH₃), 1.11 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 12H, CH₃).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 185.75 (Cu-*C*), 145.56 (*C*_{Ar}), 138.94 (N-*C*=C), 133.52 (N-*C*_{Ar}), 130.92 (*C*_{middle}(C)₃), 130.78 (*C*H_{Ar}), 129.96 (*C*_{down}(C)₃), 128.44 (*C*H_{Naph top}), 127.93 (*C*H_{Naph middle}), 125.58 (*C*_{top}(C)₃), 124.68 (*C*H_{Ar}), 122.34 (d, J_{CF}= 296 Hz, *C*F₃), 121.16 (*C*H_{Naph down}), 29.02 (*C*H), 24.21 (*C*H₃), 24.01 (*C*H₃).

¹⁹F NMR (377 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ -76.17.

HRMS: calculated m/z for [Cu(BIAN-IPr)(MeCN)]⁺ = 617.281312; found 617.28284.

Synthesis of [Cu[(BIAN-IMes)(OC(CF₃)₃)] (7)



In a 4 mL vial equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and a septum-equipped cap were added [Cu(BIAN-IMes)(Cl)] (100 mg, 0.189 mmol), potassium carbonate (78.5 mg, 0.568 mmol), EtOH (1mL) and nonafluoro-tert-butyl alcohol (29 μ L, 0.208 mmol). The mixture was left to stir for 16 hours at 25 °C. Then the solvent was evaporated under vacuum, and the residue was then microfiltered and filtered over a syringe microfilter using toluene (3 mL). The solution was subsequently concentrated and pentane (10 mL) was added to precipitate the product, which was collected by

filtration, washed with pentane (2x5 mL) and dried under high vacuum. The final product was obtained as a yellow solid in 81% yield (111 mg).

¹**H** NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 7.79 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H, CH_{Naph top}), 7.41 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H, CH_{Naph}), 7.05 – 6.99 (m=d+s, 6H, CH_{Ar} +CH_{Naph down}), 2.50 (s, 6H, CH₃), 1.84 (s, 12H, CH₃).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 182.63 (Cu-C), 140.03 (*C*_{Ar}), 138.24 (N-*C*=C), 134.44 (*C*_{Ar}), 133.33 (N-*C*_{Ar}), 130.81 (*C*_{middle}(C)₃), 129.87 (*C*_{down}(C)₃), 129.75 (*C*H_{Ar}), 128.91 (*C*H_{Naph top}), 127.95 (*C*H_{Naph middle}), 124.61 (*C*_{top}(C)₃), 123.70 (d, J_{CF}= 298 Hz, *C*F₃), 121.38 (*C*H_{Naph down}), 21.38 (*C*H₃), 17.31 (*C*H₃).

¹⁹F NMR (377 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ -76.37.

HRMS: calculated m/z for [Cu(BIAN-IMes)(MeCN)]⁺ = 532.18140; found 532.18081.

calculated m/z for $[Cu(BIAN-IMes)_2]^+ = 919.38010$; found 919.37822.

Synthesis of [Cu[(SIPr)(OC(CF₃)₃)] (8)



In a 20 mL vial equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and a septum-equipped cap were added [Cu(SIPr)(Cl)] (200 mg, 0.408 mmol), potassium carbonate (169.5 mg, 1.225 mmol), EtOH (3mL) and nonafluoro-tert-butyl alcohol (63 µL, 0.449 mmol). The mixture was left to stir for 2 hours at 25 °C. Then the solvent was evaporated under vacuum, and the residue was then microfiltered and filtered over a syringe microfilter using toluene (3 mL). The solution was subsequently concentrated and pentane (10 mL) was added to precipitate the product, which was collected by filtration, washed with pentane (2x5 mL) and dried under high vacuum. The final product was obtained as a white solid in 88% yield (248 mg).

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 7.41 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H, CH_{Ar}), 7.25 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H, CH_{Ar}), 4.00 (s, 4H, CH₂), 3.05 (hept, J = 6.9 Hz, 4H, CH), 1.33 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 24H, CH₃).

¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 203.63 (Cu-*C*), 146.60 (*C*_{Ar}), 134.74 (*C*_{Ar}), 129.83 (*C*H_{Ar}), 124.73 (*C*H_{Ar}), 122.24 (d, J_{CF}= 296 Hz, *C*F₃), 53.90 (*C*H₂), 29.01 (*C*H), 24.74 (*C*H₃), 24.34 (*C*H₃).

¹⁹F NMR (377 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ -76.25.

HRMS: calculated *m/z* for [Cu(SIPr)(MeCN)]⁺ = 494.25965; found= 494.25910.

Synthesis of [Cu[(SIMes)(OC(CF₃)₃)] (9)



In a 20 mL vial equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and a septum-equipped cap were added [Cu(SIMes)(Cl)] (200 mg, 0.493 mmol), potassium carbonate (204.5 mg, 1.479 mmol), EtOH (3mL) and nonafluoro-tert-butyl alcohol (76 µL, 0.542 mmol). The mixture was left stirring for 1 hour at 25 °C. Then the solvent was evaporated under vacuum, and the residue was then microfiltered and filtered over a syringe microfilter using toluene (3 mL). The solution was subsequently concentrated and pentane (10 mL) was added to precipitate the product, which was collected by filtration, washed with pentane (2x5 mL) and dried under high vacuum. The final product was obtained as a white solid in 90% yield (270 mg).

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 6.96 (s, 4H, CH_{Ar}), 3.93 (s, 4H, CH₂), 2.31 (s, 6H, CH₃), 2.28 (s, 12H, CH₃).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 202.88 (Cu-*C*), 138.80 (*C*_{Ar}), 135.67 (*C*_{Ar}), 135.13 (*C*_{Ar}), 129.76 (*C*H_{Ar}), 123.78, 120.86, 51.09 (*C*H₂), 21.11 (*C*H₃), 17.85 (*C*H₃).

¹⁹F NMR (377 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ -76.34.

HRMS: calculated m/z for [Cu(SIMes)(MeCN)]⁺ = 410.16575; found 410.16631.

calculated m/z for $[Cu(SIMes)_2]^+ = 675.34880$; found 675.34897.

Synthesis of [Cu[(IPr)(chlorzoxazonyl)] (21)



In a 20 mL vial equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and a septum-equipped cap were added $Cu[(IPr)(OC(CF_3)_3)]$ (1) ((100 mg, 0.145 mmol), toluene (3 mL) and chlorzoxazone (24.7 mg, 0.145 mmol). The mixture was left to stir for 24 hours at 110 °C. After the mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature, the solvent was evaporated under vacuum. Recrystallization in DCM/pentane and drying under vacuum afforded a white powder. The product was obtained in 99% yield (89.1 mg).

¹**H** NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 7.57 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H, CH_{Ar}), 7.37 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H, CH_{Ar}), 7.23 (s, 2H, CH_{Imid}), 6.73 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H, CH_{Chlor}), 6.63 (dd, J = 8.2, 2.2 Hz, 1H, CH_{Chlor}), 5.70 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H, CH_{Chlor}), 2.62 (hept, J = 6.9 Hz, 4H, CH), 1.31 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 12H, CH₃), 1.27 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 12H, CH₃).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 180.63 (Cu-*C*), 163.51 (N-CO₂), 145.81 (*C*_{Ar Chorz}), 145.13 (*C*_{Ar IPr}), 142.77 (*C*_{Ar Chorz}), 134.35 (*C*_{Ar IPr}), 131.17 (*C*H_{Ar IPr}), 126.84 (*C*_{Ar Chorz}), 124.51 (*C*H_{Ar IPr}), 123.52 (*C*H_{Imid}), 118.21 (*C*H_{chorz}), 110.68 (*C*H_{chorz}), 108.31 (*C*H_{chorz}), 28.98 (*C*H), 24.93 (*C*H₃), 24.04 (*C*H₃).

HRMS: calculated m/z for [Cu(IPr)(MeCN)]⁺ = 492.24400; found 492.24340.

Synthesis of [Cu(IPr)(NTf₂)] (10)



In a 4 mL vial equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and a septum-equipped cap were added $[Cu[(IPr)(OC(CF_3)_3)]$ (1) (30 mg, 0.044 mmol), EtOH (1 mL) and trifluoromethanesulfonimide (7.9 μ L, 0.044 mmol). The mixture was left stirring for 1 hour at 25 °C. Then the solution was subsequently concentrated and pentane (10 mL) was added to precipitate the product, which was collected by filtration, washed with pentane (2x5 mL) and dried under high vacuum. The final product was obtained as a white solid in 95% yield (31 mg). NMR spectra corresponded to the results reported in the literature.⁵

¹**H** NMR (300 MHz, Benzene-d₆) δ 7.22 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H, CH_{Ar}), 7.05 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H, CH_{Ar}), 6.30 (s, 2H, CH_{Imid}), 2.47 (hept, J = 6.7 Hz, 4H, CH), 1.33 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 12H, CH₃), 1.03 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 12H, CH₃).

¹³C NMR (126 MHz, Benzene-d₆) δ 145.62 (*C*_{Ar}), 134.44 (*C*_{Ar}), 131.01 (*C*H_{imid}), 124.47 (*C*H_{Ar}), 123.41 (*C*H_{Ar}), 120 (d, J = 322 Hz, *C*F₃), 29.01 (*C*H), 24.28 (d, *C*H₃).

¹⁹F NMR (471 MHz, Benzene-d₆) δ -76.65.

Synthesis of [Cu(IPr)(SPhMe)] (11)



In a 4 mL vial equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and a septum-equipped cap were added $[Cu[(IPr)(OC(CF_3)_3)]$ (30 mg, 0.044 mmol), EtOH (1 mL) and p-thiocresol, (5.3 µL, 0.044 mmol). The mixture was left stirring for 1 hour at 25 °C. Then the solution was subsequently concentrated and pentane (10 mL) was added to precipitate the product, which was collected by filtration, washed with pentane (2x5 mL) and dried under high vacuum. The final product was obtained as a white solid in 96% yield (24 mg). NMR spectra corresponded to the results reported in the literature.⁵

¹**H** NMR (300 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 7.52 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H, CH_{Ar}), 7.31 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H, CH_{Ar}), 7.14 (s, 2H, CH_{imid}), 6.55 (dd, J = 28.8, 8.0 Hz, 4H, CH_{cresol}), 2.61 (hept, J = 6.9 Hz, 4H, CH), 2.14 (s, 3H, PhCH₃), 1.28 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 12H, CH₃), 1.23 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 12H, CH₃).

¹³C NMR (75 MHz, Benzene-d₆) δ 182.37 (Cu-*C*), 145.82 (*C*_{Ar}), 142.69 (*C*-S), 133.60 (*C*_{Ar}), 132.48 (*C*H_{cresol}), 130.74 (*C*H_{imid}), 128.61 (*C*H_{cresol}), 125.06 (*C*H_{cresol}), 124.40 (*C*_{Ar}), 122.72 (*C*_{Ar}), 29.02 (*C*H), 25.02 (*C*H₃), 23.81 (*C*H₃), 21.07 (*C*H_{3 cresol}).

General procedure for Chan-Evans-Lam catalytic reactions

Synthesis of N-Phenyl-imidazole (24)



In a 20 mL vial equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and a septum-equipped cap were added phenylboronic acid (60.9 mg, 0.5 mmol, 2eq.), imidazole (17 mg, 0.25 mmol, 1 eq.), base (0.5 mmol, 2 eq.), the solvent (1-3 mL) and $[Cu[(IPr)(OC(CF_3)_3)]$ (1) or [Cu[(IPr)(Cl)] as catalyst (0.00625-0.01250 mmol, 2.5-5 mol%). The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at the indicated temperature for the indicated time. After the mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature, the solution was filtered with a syringe microfilter, and the solvent was evaporated under vacuum. The resulting residue was then purified by flash column chromatography on silica-gel using petroleum ether/ethyl acetate in a 1:1 ratio as the eluent to afford corresponding product N-phenyl-imidazole as a light-yellow oil (R_f = 0.22). NMR spectra corresponded to the results reported in the literature. ⁶

¹**H** NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 8.26 (s, 1H, N-CH-N), 7.74 (s, 1H, N-CH-CH), 7.65 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H, CH_{Ar}), 7.51 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H, CH_{Ar}), 7.35 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H, CH_{Ar}), 7.12 (s, 1H, N-CH-CH).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 136.95 (CH_{imid}), 135.54 (CH_{imid}), 129.92 (C_{Ar}), 129.88 (CH_{Ar}), 126.89 (CH_{Ar}), 120.35 (CH_{Ar}), 118.02 (CH_{Ar}).

Unsuccessful reactivity tests between [Cu(IPr)(OC(CF₃)₃)] (1) and various substrates



Unsuccessful reactions of [Cu(IPr)(OC(CF₃)₃)] (1) with silanes leading to Cu-H species. ^a

| $ \begin{array}{c} $ | + (EtO) ₃ SiH or PMHS 4 eq. | × → | |
|--|---|--------|----------|
| Entry | Solvent | Т (°С) | Time (h) |
| 1 | Toluene | 25 | 24 |
| 2 | Toluene | 80 | 2 |
| 3 | MeCN | 25 | 24 |
| 4 | MeCN | 70 | 2 |

^{*a*} Reaction conditions: 1 (0.0145 mmol, 1 eq.) PMHS or (EtO)₃SiH (0.0580 mmmol, 4 eq.), under air.

Single-Crystal X-ray Diffraction Analysis

Complex 1 was crystallized by vapor diffusion of pentane into a solution of 3 mg of 1 in diethyl ether at 4-5 °C as colorless blocks. Complex 3 was crystallized by vapor diffusion of hexane into a solution of 3 mg of 3 in dichloromethane at 4-5 °C as yellowish blocks. Complex 5 was crystallized by vapor diffusion of pentane into a solution of 3 mg of 5 in tetrahydrofuran at 4-5 °C as colorless blocks. Compound 21 was crystallized by vapor diffusion of pentane into a solution of 6 mg of 21 in dichloromethane at 4-5 ° C as colorless plates. Crystals were covered in silicon oil and mounted on LithoLoops at ambient conditions. The diffraction data were collected at 100 K with a Rigaku Oxford Diffraction SuperNova diffractometer, using Cu K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.54184$ Å). The intensity data were collected and processed using CrysAlis PRO software.7 Data solution and model refinement were performed using Olex2-1.5 and all software packages within.⁸ The structures were solved by direct methods with the program SHELXT 2018/2 9 and refined by full-matrix least-squares method on F² with SHELXL 2018/3.¹⁰ The carbon-bound hydrogen atoms were refined as riding on their carriers and their displacement parameters were set equal to 1.5 Ueq(C) for the methyl groups and 1.2 Ueq(C) for the remaining H atoms. CCDC 2392994-2392997 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures.

[Cu(IPr)(OC(CF₃)₃)] (1) CCDC deposition number 2392994



Figure S1. Molecular structure of (1). Thermal displacement ellipsoids shown at the 50% probability level. H-atoms are omitted for clarity. There are two molecules in the asymmetric unit. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°): Cu1-O1.8343(12); Cu1-C1 1.8532(16); Cu2-O2 1.8353(14); Cu2-C32 1.8618(17); N3-C32-N4 103.9(1); N1-C1-N2 103.8(1).

| Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement for 1. | | |
|--|--|--|
| Empirical formula | $C_{31}H_{36}CuF_9N_2O$ | |
| Formula weight | 687.17 | |
| Temperature/K | 100(2) | |
| Crystal system | monoclinic | |
| Space group | P2 ₁ /c | |
| a/Å | 24.8398(6) | |
| b/Å | 12.8584(2) | |
| c/Å | 20.9327(4) | |
| $\alpha/^{\circ}$ | 90 | |
| β/° | 106.149(2) | |
| <u>γ/°</u> | 90 | |
| Volume/Å ³ | 6422.1(2) | |
| Ζ | 8 | |
| $\rho_{calc}g/cm^3$ | 1.421 | |
| µ/mm ⁻¹ | 1.671 | |
| F(000) | 2832.0 | |
| Crystal size/mm ³ | 0.24 	imes 0.17 	imes 0.09 | |
| Radiation | $CuK\alpha \ (\lambda = 1.54184)$ | |
| 20 range for data collection/c | 7.41 to 147.684 | |
| Index ranges | $-29 \le h \le 30, -15 \le k \le 14, -25 \le l \le 25$ | |
| Reflections collected | 61519 | |

| Independent reflections | 12798 [$R_{int} = 0.0381$, $R_{sigma} = 0.0254$] |
|---|---|
| Data/restraints/parameters | 12798/20/823 |
| Goodness-of-fit on F ² | 1.032 |
| Final R indexes $[I \ge 2\sigma(I)]$ | $R_1 = 0.0373, wR_2 = 0.0976$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $R_1 = 0.0459, wR_2 = 0.1034$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å ⁻³ | 0.74/-0.35 |

[Cu[(IPr^{OMe})(OC(CF₃)₃)] (3)

CCDC deposition number 2392995



Figure S2. Molecular structure of (3). Thermal displacement ellipsoids shown at the 50% probability level. H-atoms are omitted for clarity. There are two molecules in the asymmetric unit. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°): Cu1-O1 1.8302(16); Cu1-C1 1.858(2); Cu2-O2 1.8403(18); Cu2-C2 1.861(2); N3-C2-N4 104.0(2); N1-C1-N2 103.8(2).

Table 2 Crystal data and structure refinement for 3.

| Empirical formula | $C_{36}H_{47}CuF_9N_2O_3$ |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Formula weight | 790.29 |
| Temperature/K | 100(2) |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | $P2_1/n$ |
| a/Å | 20.4331(4) |
| b/Å | 19.1734(3) |
| c/Å | 21.8553(4) |
| $\alpha/^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| β/° | 114.525(2) |
| $\gamma/^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/Å ³ | 7789.8(3) |
| Ζ | 8 |
| $\rho_{calc}g/cm^3$ | 1.348 |

| µ/mm ⁻¹ | 1.487 |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| F(000) | 3288.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ³ | $0.28 \times 0.16 \times 0.08$ |
| Radiation | $CuK\alpha \ (\lambda = 1.54184)$ |
| 2Θ range for data collection/° | 6.404 to 147.644 |
| Index ranges | $-19 \le h \le 25, -23 \le k \le 23, -26 \le l \le 26$ |
| Reflections collected | 75383 |
| Independent reflections | 15547 [$R_{int} = 0.0450, R_{sigma} = 0.0318$] |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 15547/284/1001 |
| Goodness-of-fit on F ² | 1.023 |
| Final R indexes [I>= 2σ (I)] | $R_1 = 0.0497, wR_2 = 0.1279$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $R_1 = 0.0646, wR_2 = 0.1388$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å-3 | 1.00/-0.71 |

[Cu[(IPr*)(OC(CF₃)₃)] (5)

CCDC deposition number 2392996



Figure S3. Molecular structure of (5). Thermal displacement ellipsoids shown at the 50% probability level. H-atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°): Cu1-O1 1.8369(18); Cu1-C1 1.861(2); N1-C1-N2 103.8(2).

| Table 3 Crystal data and structure refinement for 5. | |
|--|-------------------------|
| Empirical formula | $C_{73}H_{56}CuF_9N_2O$ |
| Formula weight | 1211.73 |
| Temperature/K | 293(2) |

| Crystal system | monoclinic |
|---|--|
| Space group | $P2_1/n$ |
| a/Å | 13.5204(2) |
| b/Å | 20.7179(3) |
| c/Å | 21.7926(2) |
| α/° | 90 |
| β/° | 106.1960(10) |
| γ/° | 90 |
| Volume/Å ³ | 5862.15(14) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{calc}g/cm^3$ | 1.373 |
| μ/mm^{-1} | 1.174 |
| F(000) | 2504.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ³ | $0.17 \times 0.15 \times 0.11$ |
| Radiation | Cu Ka ($\lambda = 1.54184$) |
| 20 range for data collection/° | 6.002 to 147.556 |
| Index ranges | $-16 \le h \le 14, -25 \le k \le 25, -27 \le l \le 26$ |
| Reflections collected | 56104 |
| Independent reflections | 11646 [$R_{int} = 0.0392$, $R_{sigma} = 0.0293$] |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 11646/0/777 |
| Goodness-of-fit on F ² | 1.015 |
| Final R indexes [I>=2 σ (I)] | $R_1 = 0.0519, wR_2 = 0.1377$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $R_1 = 0.0690, wR_2 = 0.1513$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å ⁻³ | 0.88/-0.46 |

[Cu[(IPr)(chlorzoxazonyl)] (21)

CCDC deposition number 2392997



Figure S4. Molecular structure of (21). Therma displacement ellipsoids shown at the 50% probability level. H-atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°): Cu1-N3 1.888(6); Cu1-C1 1.875(6); N1-C1-N2 103.4(4).

| Table 4 Crystal data and str | ructure refinement for 21. |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Empirical formula | $C_{136}H_{156}Cl_4Cu_4N_{12}O_8$ |
| Formula weight | 2482.68 |
| Temperature/K | 293(2) |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | $P2_1/c$ |
| a/Å | 10.8667(5) |
| b/Å | 19.1255(7) |
| c/Å | 16.0322(7) |
| a/° | 90 |
| β/° | 107.662(5) |
| $\gamma/^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/Å ³ | 3174.9(2) |
| Ζ | 1 |
| $\rho_{calc}g/cm^3$ | 1.298 |
| μ/mm ⁻¹ | 2.006 |
| F(000) | 1304.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ³ | 0.23 	imes 0.2 	imes 0.1 |
| Radiation | Cu Ka ($\lambda = 1.54184$) |
| 2@ range for data collection/° | 7.406 to 133.194 |
| Index ranges | $-12 \le h \le 12, -22 \le k \le 20, -19 \le l \le 19$ |
| Reflections collected | 28433 |
| Independent reflections | 5591 [$R_{int} = 0.0774, R_{sigma} = 0.0527$] |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 5591/0/378 |
| Goodness-of-fit on F ² | 1.017 |

| Final R indexes $[I \ge 2\sigma(I)]$ | $R_1 = 0.0904, wR_2 = 0.1705$ |
|---|-------------------------------|
| Final R indexes [all data] | $R_1 = 0.1130, wR_2 = 0.1808$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å ⁻³ | 1.23/-0.88 |

List of metals bearing Nonafluoro-tert-butyl alkoxide as a ligand in complexes or salts

| s-block | Li ¹¹ , Na ¹² , K ¹³ , Mg ¹⁴ , Ca ¹⁵ , Sr ¹⁵ , Ba ¹⁵ |
|---------|--|
| p-block | Sn ¹⁶ , Tl ¹⁷ |
| d-block | Sc ¹⁸ , V ¹⁹ , Fe ²⁰ , Co ¹³ , Ni ²¹ , Zn ²² , Nb ²³ |
| f-block | Ce ²⁴ , Nd ²⁴ , Eu ¹² , Dy ²⁵ , U ²⁶ |

Mo, W, Pd, Cu and Al has been already cited in the manuscript.

Notes and references

- 10. Santoro, A. Collado, A. M. Z. Slawin, S. P. Nolan and C. S. J. Cazin, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 10483.
- 2M. Elie, G. U. Mahoro, E. Duverger, J.-L. Renaud, R. Daniellou and S. Gaillard, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2019, **893**, 21–31.
- 3I. Ibni Hashim, N. V. Tzouras, W. Janssens, T. Scattolin, L. Bourda, S. Bhandary, K. Van Hecke, S. P. Nolan and C. S. J. Cazin, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2022, **28**, e202201224.
- 4T. Pan, Y. Wang, F.-S. Liu, H. Lin and Y. Zhou, Polyhedron, 2021, 197, 115033.
- 5G. C. Fortman, A. M. Z. Slawin and S. P. Nolan, Organometallics, 2010, 29, 3966-3972.
- 6M. Wen, C. Shen, L. Wang, P. Zhang and J. Jin, RSC Adv., 2014, 5, 1522–1528.
- 7 Rigaku Oxford Diffraction (version 1.171.42.49) Rigaku Corporation, Oxford, UK 2022.
- 80. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard and H. Puschmann, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2009, **42**, 339–341.
- 9G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. Struct. Chem., 2015, 71, 3-8.
- 10G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C Struct. Chem., 2015, 71, 3-8.
- 11A. Reisinger, N. Trapp and I. Krossing, Organometallics, 2007, 26, 2096–2105.
- 12W. D. Buchanan and K. Ruhlandt-Senge, Chem. Eur. J., 2013, 19, 10708-10715.
- 13S. A. Cantalupo, J. S. Lum, M. C. Buzzeo, C. Moore, A. G. DiPasquale, A. L. Rheingold and L. H. Doerrer, *Dalton Trans.*, 2010, **39**, 374–383.
- 14J. Long, S. Tan, J. Wang, F. Xiong, L. Cui, Q. An and L. Mai, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2023, **62**, e202301934.
- 15W. D. Buchanan, M. A. Guino-o and K. Ruhlandt-Senge, Inorg. Chem., 2010, 49, 7144-7155.
- 16L. Ferro, P. B. Hitchcock, M. P. Coles, H. Cox and J. R. Fulton, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, **50**, 1879–1888.
- 17J. S. Lum, L. Tahsini, J. A. Golen, C. Moore, A. L. Rheingold and L. H. Doerrer, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 6374–6384.
- 18D. B. Culver, W. Huynh, H. Tafazolian and M. P. Conley, *Organometallics*, 2020, **39**, 1112–1122.

19V. J. Murphy and H. Turner, Organometallics, 1997, 16, 2495–2497.

- 20S. E. N. Brazeau, F. Pope, V. L. Huang, C. Anklin, A. L. Rheingold and L. H. Doerrer, *Polyhedron*, 2020, **186**, 114609.
- 21J. Petit, N. Saffon-Merceron, L. Magna and N. Mézailles, *Organometallics*, 2021, **40**, 4133–4142.
- 22J. M. Delgado-Collado, M. Gallardo-Villagrán, E. Álvarez, J. Cámpora and A. Rodríguez-Delgado, *Dalton Trans.*, 2023, **52**, 9940–9951.
- 23N. Srisupap, K. Wised, K. Tsutsumi and K. Nomura, ACS Omega, 2018, 3, 6166–6181.
- 24C. M. Kotyk, J. E. Weber, A. S. Hyre, J. McNeely, J. H. S. K. Monteiro, M. Domin, G. J. Balaich, A. L. Rheingold, A. De Bettencourt-Dias and L. H. Doerrer, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2020, **59**, 9807–9823.
- 25J. Long, A. O. Tolpygin, D. M. Lyubov, N. Yu. Rad'kova, A. V. Cherkasov, Y. V. Nelyubina, Y. Guari, J. Larionova and A. A. Trifonov, *Dalton Trans.*, 2021, **50**, 8487–8496.
- 26P. Roussel, P. B. Hitchcock, N. D. Tinker and P. Scott, Inorg. Chem., 1997, 36, 5716-5721.

NMR Spectra

[Cu(IPr)(OC(CF₃)₃)] (1) ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d)



[Cu(IPr)(OC(CF₃)₃)] (1) ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d)

[Cu(IPr)(OC(CF₃)₃)] (1) 2D HSQC



[Cu(IPr)(OC(CF₃)₃)] (1) 2D COSY





[Cu(IPr)(OC(CF₃)₃)] (1) 2D HMBC



[Cu[(IMes)(OC(CF₃)₃)] (2) ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d)



[Cu[(IMes)(OC(CF₃)₃)] (2) ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d)



S25



[Cu[(IMes)(OC(CF₃)₃)] (2) 2D HMBC





-10 -20 -30 -40 -50 -60 -70 -80 -90 -100 -110 -120 -130 -140 -150 -160 -170 -180 -190 ppm











[Cu[(IPr^{Me})(OC(CF₃)₃)] (4) ¹⁹F NMR (377 MHz, Chloroform-d)







[Cu[(IPr^{Me})(OC(CF₃)₃)] (4) 2D HMBC













[Cu[(BIAN-IPr)(OC(CF₃)₃)] (6) ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d)



[Cu[(BIAN-IPr)(OC(CF₃)₃)] (6) 2D COSY















S40





[Cu[(SIPr)(OC(CF₃)₃)] (8) ¹⁹F NMR (377 MHz, Chloroform-d)









7.5

7.0

6.5

6.0

5.5

8.0

5.0

4.5

4.0

ppm

3.5

3.0

2.5

2.0

1.5

1.0

0.5

mdd

-0.5

0.0

[Cu[(SIMes)(OC(CF₃)₃)] (9) ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d)









[Cu[(SIMes)(OC(CF₃)₃)] (9) 2D HSQC





[Cu[(IPr)(chlorzoxazonyl)] (21) ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d)





[Cu[(IPr)(chlorzoxazonyl)] (21) 2D HMBC



[Cu(IPr)(NTf₂)] (10) ¹H NMR (300 MHz, Benzene-d₆)



[Cu(IPr)(NTf₂)] (10) ¹⁹F NMR (471 MHz, Benzene-d₆)



[Cu(IPr)(NTf₂)] (10) ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, Benzene-d₆)







[Cu(IPr)(SPhMe)] (11) ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, Benzene-d₆)





N-Phenyl-imidazole (24) ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Dimethylsulfoxide-d₆)

N-Phenyl-imidazole (24) ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, Dimethylsulfoxide-d₆)



