# Supporting Information

## **Dual Mechanism with Graded Energy Storage in Long-term Aqueous**

# **Zinc-Ion Batteries Achieved by Polymer/Vanadium Dioxide Cathode**

Zhihang Song,*#a* Yi Zhao,*#b* Huirong Wang,*<sup>a</sup>* Anbin Zhou,*<sup>a</sup>* Xiaoyu Jin,*<sup>a</sup>* Yongxin Huang,*\* ac* Li Li,*acd* Feng Wu,*acd* Renjie Chen*\* acd*

a Beijing Key Laboratory of Environmental Science and Engineering, School of Materials Science & Engineering, Beijing Institute of

*Technology, Beijing 100081, China, E-mail: chenrj@bit.edu.cn (R. Chen); huangyx@bit.edu.cn (Y. Huang).*

b State Key Laboratory of Chemical Engineering, College of Chemistry, Beijing University of Chemical Technology, Beijing 100029, China.

*c Advanced Technology Research Institute (Jinan), Beijing Institute of Technology, Jinan 250300, China.*

*d Collaborative Innovation Center of Electric Vehicles in Beijing, Beijing 100081, China*

*# These authors contributed equally to this work*

#### **Experimental Section/Methods**

#### **Experimental Procedures**

In a typical synthesis, 0.364 g of  $V_2O_5$  powder was dissolved in 60 mL deionized water, continue stirring until  $V_2O_5$  is completely dissolved and the solution is aurantium. A total of 0.2088 g NDA powder was added in two separate batches, stirring continuously for 40 minutes, and the solution turned chartreuse. Transfer the solution to 100ml Teflon lining and hydrothermal, the temperature was raised to 140 °C for 24 h. After the hydrothermal treatment, the autoclave was cooled down to room temperature naturally and the precipitate was collected by vacuum filtration, washed with deionized water, and dried at 80 °C.

#### **Material characterization**

The morphology of the electrode was conducted on Hitachi S4800 field emission scanning electron microscope with an acceleration voltage of 5 kV. The TEM data of the samples were collected by Thermo Fisher Talos F200S G2. The crystalline structure of the active materials was characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD) on Rigaku SmartLab SE with a Cu Kα source. Raman spectroscopy was acquired on ThermoFisher DXR2 xi with a 532 nm lasersource. Infrared spectra were obtained on Thermo Scientific Nicolet iS50 FTIR. The chemical compositions of the active materials were measured by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) on Thermo Scientific ESCALAB 250Xi. The content of elements in the powder was measured by ICP-OES/MS on Agilent 5110(OES).

#### **Electrode fabrication and electrochemical testing**

The as-prepared VO@NDA powder were directly mixed with conductive carbon black conductive agent and PVDF binder at a ratio of 7:2:1, and a small amount of 1-Methyl-2-pyrrolidinone (NMP) was added as solvent. The slurry is coated on the carbon cloth collector fluid and dried as cathodes for aqueous ZIBs. The VO<sub>2</sub> and V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> cathodes are prepared in a similar manner. The anode is a zinc foil with a diameter of 11 mm and a thickness of 70  $\mu$ m. Two electrolytes, 2M Zn(CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> aqueous solution with and without 0.2M KI, were prepared for comparison, named otf@I and otf. The separator is a glass fiber membrane with a diameter of 19 mm. The above materials were assembled into CR2032-type coin cell in order to be tested. CV measurements were conducted using a CorrTest CS2350H electrochemical workstation (Wuhan CorrTest Instrument Corp., Ltd. China) at variousscan rates  $(0.6, 0.8, 1.0, 1.2, 1.4$  and  $1.6$  mV s<sup>-1</sup>) with a scan range of  $0.4-1.6$  V. EIS was measured by a CHI660E

electrochemical workstation at a frequency of 100 kHz–0.01 Hz.

#### **Analytical method**

The GITT and galvanostatic charge/discharge measurements were executed on Neware battery testing system with a voltage range of 0.4 to 1.6 V. For the GITT experiment, a constant current density (0.5 A  $g^{-1}$ ) was applied to the cell for 30 seconds, followed by 5 minutes of open-circuit relaxation, and the steps were repeated until the voltage reached 0.4 V or 1.6 V. The diffusion coefficient of  $Zn^{2+}$  was calculated according to the following equation:

$$
D = \frac{4}{\pi \tau} \left(\frac{R_s}{3}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\Delta E_s}{\Delta E_t}\right)^2
$$

where  $\tau$  refers to the duration time (30 s in this test) of the current pulse,  $R_s$  is the radius of the active material powder, ΔE<sup>s</sup> and ΔE<sup>t</sup> correspond to the steady-state potential change (V) caused by the current pulse and the direct voltage change (V) before relaxation, respectively, as shown in Fig. S15.

#### **DFT method**

All the DFT calculations were conducted based on the Vienna Ab-inito Simulation Package (VASP). The exchangecorrelation effects were described by the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) functional within the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) method. The core-valence interactions were accounted by the projected augmented wave (PAW) method. The energy cutoff for plane wave expansions was set to 480 eV, and the 3×3×1 Monkhorst-Pack grid k-points were selected to sample the Brillouin zone integration. The vacuum space is adopted 15 Å above the surfaces to avoid periodic interactions. The structural optimization was completed for energy and force convergence set at  $1.0 \times 10^{-4}$  eV and 0.02 eV Å $^{-1}$ , respectively.

The adsorption energy can be calculated according to the following formula:

#### Eads=E(A+B)-E(A)-E(B)

where Eads represents the adsorption energy, E(A+B) is the calculated energy of adsorption configuration, E(A) and E(B) mean the calculated energy of substrate and adsorbent respectively.

The Gibbs free energy change (ΔG) of each step is calculated using the following formula:

#### ∆G=∆E+∆ZPE-T∆S

where ΔE is the electronic energy difference directly obtained from DFT calculations, ΔZPE is the zero point energy difference, T is the room temperature (298.15 K) and ΔS is the entropy change. ZPE could be obtained after frequency calculation by:

$$
\mathsf{ZPE} = \frac{1}{2} \sum hvi
$$

And the TS values of adsorbed species are calculated according to the vibrational frequencies:

$$
\text{TS} \ = \ k_{\text{B}}\text{T}[\sum_{\text{k}} \text{ln}(\frac{1}{1-e^{-\text{h}{v}/{k_{\text{B}}}}\text{T}}) + \sum_{\text{k}} \frac{\text{h}{v}}{k_{\text{B}}\text{T}}\frac{1}{(e^{\text{h}{v}/{k_{\text{B}}}}\text{T}}+1]
$$

# **Supplementary Figures and Tables**



**Fig. S1** Morphology and composition of VO@NDA, mapping of V, O, C, and N elements.



### **Fig. S2** The element proportion of VO@NDA.



**Fig. S3** HRTEM image of VO@NDA.



**Fig. S4** FTIR spectra of V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and VO@NDA



**Fig. S5** O 1s high-resolution XPS spectra for the VO@NDA.



**Fig. S6** C 1s high-resolution XPS spectra for the VO@NDA.



currents of VO@NDA. (e) Contribution area distribution of pseudo-capacitance of VO@NDA. (f) The contribution ratio of the pseudo-capacitance and diffusion-limited capacities at different scan rates of VO@NDA.



Fig. S8 (a) EIS profiles of the VO2 and VO@NDA cathodes in Zn(CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> electrolytes without 0.2M KI, respectively; (b) EIS profiles of the VO<sub>2</sub> and

VO@NDA cathodes in  $Zn(CF_3SO_3)_2$  electrolytes with 0.2M KI, respectively.



**Fig. S9** Charge and discharge curve of VO@NDA and VO<sub>2</sub> at 0.1A  $g^{-1}$ , respectively.



Fig. S10 Rate performance test of VO<sub>2</sub>.



**Fig. S11** Cycling stability test curve of  $VO<sub>2</sub>$  at 2 A  $g<sup>-1</sup>$ .



**Fig. S12** Cycling stability test curve of  $VO<sub>2</sub>$  at 10 A g<sup>-1</sup>.



Figure S13. Charge and discharge curve of VO@NDA at 0.5~10 A g<sup>-1</sup> without I contained electrolytes.



**Figure S14.** The charge and discharge curves of VO@NDA cathodes at (a) 0.1M and (b) 0.4M KI, respectively.



**Fig. S15** I 3d high-resolution XPS spectra for the VO<sub>2</sub> electrodes.







**Fig. S17** Illustration of adsorption of  $\Gamma$ ,  $I_3$ ,  $I_2$  at site A and B.



Fig. S18 DOS diagram of SiteA and B adsorbing I<sup>-</sup>,I<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>,I<sub>2</sub> states



**Fig. S19** Schematic illustration of selected steps of the GITT curve during discharging.

Cathode Capacity (V) Capacity (V) Reference VO<sub>2</sub> and  $\overline{O_2}$  and  $\overline{O_3}$  and  $\overline{O_4}$  and  $\overline{O_5}$  and  $\overline{O_2}$  and  $\overline{O_3}$  and  $\overline{O_4}$  and  $\overline{O_5}$  and  $\overline{O_2}$  and  $\overline{O_3}$  and  $\overline{O_4}$  and  $\overline{O_5}$  and  $\overline{O_6}$  and  $\overline{O_7}$  and  $Mg_{0.34}V_2O_5$  nH<sub>2</sub>O 2  $N_{10,25}V_2O_5$  nH<sub>2</sub>O 3  $V_5O_{12}$  6H<sub>2</sub>O and  $V_5O_{12}$  6H<sub>2</sub>O and  $V_6$  6H<sub>2</sub>O and  $V_7$  6H<sub>2</sub>O and  $V_8$  6H<sub>2</sub>O and  $V_9$  6H<sub>2</sub>O and  $V_8$  6H<sub>2</sub>O and  $V_9$  6  $PANI-V_2O_5$  5 VO<sup>2</sup> 0.45H2O 0.655 6  $V_2O_5@$  PEDOT 0.66  $\overline{7}$  $PEDOT-NH_aV_3O_8$  8 w-VO<sub>2</sub> 9 and 2012 9 an  $PANI-V_2O_5$  0.7 10 H-doped of VO<sub>2</sub> 0.7 11  $Mn_{0.15}V_2O_5$  nH<sub>2</sub>O  $12$  $NaV_3O_8$  1.5H<sub>2</sub>O 0.74 13  $\rm ZnV_6O_{16}$  ·8H<sub>2</sub>O  $\rm 14$ This work 0.76

**Table S1** Median voltage comparison of modified vanadium oxides.

#### **References**

- 1 Z. Li, S. Ganapathy, Y. Xu, Z. Zhou, M. Sarilar, M. Wagemaker, *Advanced Energy Materials* **2019**, 9, 1900237.
- 2 F. Ming, H. Liang, Y. Lei, S. Kandambeth, M. Eddaoudi, H. N. Alshareef, *ACS Energy Letters* **2018**, 3, 2602.
- 3 J. Li, K. McColl, X. Lu, S. Sathasivam, H. Dong, L. Kang, Z. Li, S. Zhao, A. G. Kafizas, R. Wang, D. J. L. Brett, P. R. Shearing, F. Corà, G. He, C. J. Carmalt, I. P. Parkin, *Advanced Energy Materials* **2020**, 10, 2000058.
- 4 N. Zhang, M. Jia, Y. Dong, Y. Wang, J. Xu, Y. Liu, L. Jiao, F. Cheng, *Advanced Functional Materials* **2019**, 29, 1807331.
- 5 S. Liu, H. Zhu, B. Zhang, G. Li, H. Zhu, Y. Ren, H. Geng, Y. Yang, Q. Liu, C. C. Li, *Advanced Materials* **2020**, 32, 2001113.
- 6 K. Zhu, T. Wu, S. Sun, W. van den Bergh, M. Stefik, K. Huang, *Energy Storage Materials* **2020**, 29, 60.
- 7 Z. Yao, Q. Wu, K. Chen, J. Liu, C. Li, *Energy & Environmental Science* **2020**, 13, 3149.
- 8 D. Bin, W. Huo, Y. Yuan, J. Huang, Y. Liu, Y. Zhang, F. Dong, Y. Wang, Y. Xia, *Chem* **2020**, 6, 968.
- 9 J. Yang, H. Tian, Y. Li, H. Li, S. Li, H. Yang, M. Ding, X. Wang, P.-Y. Chen, *Energy Storage Materials* **2022**, 53, 352.
- 10 S. Chen, K. Li, K. S. Hui, J. Zhang, *Advanced Functional Materials* **2020**, 30, 2003890.
- 11 K. Guan, K. Duan, G. Yang, L. Tao, H. Zhang, H. Wan, R. Yang, J. Zhang, H. Wang, H. Wang, *Materials Today Advances* **2022**, 14, 100230.
- 12 H. Geng, M. Cheng, B. Wang, Y. Yang, Y. Zhang, C. C. Li, *Advanced Functional Materials* **2020**, 30, 1907684.
- 13 F. Wan, L. Zhang, X. Dai, X. Wang, Z. Niu, J. Chen, *Nature Communications* **2018**, 9, 1656.
- 14 K. Zhu, W. Jiang, Z. Wang, W. Li, W. Xie, H. Yang, W. Yang, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2023**, 62, e202213368.