Supplementary Information (SI) for Energy & Environmental Science. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2025

Supplementary Information

Exploiting thiolate/disulfide redox couples toward large-scale

electrochemical carbon dioxide capture and release

Xiaoxin Li¹, Chao Deng¹, Rong Chen¹, Xu Li¹, Furong Xie¹, Zinan Wu¹, Yu Xie², Song Wang³, Guo-Ming Weng^{1,*}

¹ Shanghai Key Laboratory of Hydrogen Science & Center of Hydrogen Science, School of Materials Science and Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240 P. R. China

² Key Laboratory of Material Simulation Methods & Software of Ministry of Education, Key Laboratory of Physics and Technology for Advanced Batteries of Ministry of Education, College of Physics, Jilin University, Changchun 130012 P. R. China ³ Institute of Theoretical Chemistry, College of Chemistry, Jilin University, Changchun 130023 P. R. China

* Email: guoming.weng@sjtu.edu.cn

molecule	Structure	Solubility (M)	Solvent	Ref.
1,4-NQ		0.56	[emim][tcm]	1
LQ		Completely	Diglyme	2
AzPy		0.59 M	DMSO with 0.5M LiTFSI	3
BDS		2 M	DMF	4
FDS		Completely	DMF	This work
PDS		5.0 M	DMF	This work
PDS		3.5 M	DMF with 0.5 M NaTFSI	This work

Table S1. Solubility of reported redox-active molecules for ECCR.

Notes:

LQ and FDS are liquid. Therefore, they are miscible with aprotic solvent.

Abbreviations of molecule in Table S1.

1,4-NQ: 1,4-napthoquinone

[emim][tcm]: 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium tricyanomethanide

LQ: 2,3-Di-(2-(2-methoxy)ethoxy)-1,4-naphthoquinone

AzPy: Azopyridine

DMSO: Dimethyl sulfoxide

LiTFSI: Lithium bis(trifluoromethanesulphonyl)imide

BDS: Benzyl disulfide

FDS: 4-fluorophenyl disulfide

DMF: N, N-dimethyl formamide

PDS: Phenyl disulfide

NaTFSI: Sodium bis(trifluoromethanesulphonyl)imide



Figure S1. The Natural Population Analysis (NPA) charges. The NPA charges of different thiolate anion, obtained from Nature Bond Orbitals (NBO). BS⁻: benzyl thiolate, PS⁻: phenyl disulfide, OS⁻: 4-methoxyphenyl thiolate, MS⁻: 4-methylphenyl thiolate, AS⁻: 4-aminodiphenyl thiolate, FS⁻: 4-fluorophenyl thiolate, CS⁻: 4-cyanopheyl thiolate.

The NPA charges of S atom in PS⁻ are -0.540, which is less than that of BS⁻ (-0.689). After substituting the H atom by electron-donating groups, the NPA charges of S atom increase. While the H is substituted by electron-withdrawing group, the NPA charges of S atom decrease.

S atom in thiolate anion	NPA charges
BS-	-0.689
PS-	-0.540
MS ⁻	-0.548
OS-	-0.546
AS ⁻	-0.570
FS ⁻	-0.546
CS-	-0.452

Table S2. Summary of NPA charges of S atom in Figure S1 for different thiolate anions.



Figure S2. HOMO and LUMO energy level diagram. Visualized HOMO and LUMO distributions and corresponding energy values of CO_2 and different thiolate anions. The LUMO energy of CO_2 here is positive, while the widely acceptance value should be negative.⁵ When B3LYP/6-311+G(d, p) was used to perform the calculation, the negative energy of CO_2 LUMO (-0.54 eV) was obtained. Although the B3LYP functional is suitable for small molecule CO_2 , the ω B97XD functional with van der Waals corrections is more suitable for investigated disulfides with π system. Therefore, we proceeded all calculation by using ω B97XD functional, in order to maintain consistency in the used functional.

Thiolate anion	HOMO-LUMO gap (eV)	Binding energy to CO_2 (Δ H, kJ mol ⁻¹)
BS⁻	3.43	-71.09
PS⁻	3.58	-27.62
MS-	3.52	-29.26
OS-	3.61	-35.94
AS-	3.32	-25.27
FS⁻	3.68	-20.58
CS-	4.36	-17.26

Table S3. Summary of HOMO-LUMO gap between thiolate anions and CO₂, as well as the binding energy of corresponding CO₂ adducts.

Note: more DFT calculation details are shown in Supplementary Note 2.



Figure S3. (a) CV of 20%, 100% O₂ (pale blue, dark blue curves) and 5 mM ferrocene (gray curve) at a measuring window of $-0.4 \sim -1.2$ V and $1 \sim 0$ V, respectively. (b) CV of 100% O₂ at a measuring window of $-0.4 \sim -2.15$ V. The cyclic voltammograms were collected in DMF with 0.1 M TBAP as the supporting electrolyte at a scan rate of 10 mV s⁻¹. The O_2 was firstly reduced to $O_2^{\bullet-}$ at around -0.8 V, and the $O_2^{\bullet-}$ was further reduced to O_2^{2-} when the applied potential increase to -2.0 V.⁶

Table S4. Half wave poten	Table S4. Half wave potential ($E_{1/2}$) of O_2/O_2^- versus different reference electrodes.						
Species Reference	E _{1/2} (V) for 20% O ₂	$E_{1/2}(V)$ for 100% O_2	E _{1/2} (V) for ferrocene				
Ag/AgCl	-0.800	-0.793	0.535				
SCE	-0.844	-0.837	0.491				
Fc ⁺ /Fc	-1.335	-1.328	0				

Notes: For the readers' information, a derivate of naphthoquinone with liquid phase reported by Diederichsen et al. exhibits a more positive reduction potential than that of $O_2/O_2^{\bullet-}$ (~ -1.2 V vs. Fc⁺/Fc) in aprotic solution under room temperature.²



Figure S4. (a) cyclic voltammogram for electrochemical stability of electrolyte. The CV was performed under N_2 without disulfide in the electrolyte. The electrolyte started to decompose at around -3.00 V. (b) Cyclic voltammograms of 20 mM 4-methoxyphenyl disulfide (ODS) under N_2/CO_2 with 5 mM ferrocene at scan rate of 10 mV s⁻¹ (c) Cyclic voltammograms of 20 mM 4-nitrophenyl disulfide (NDS) under N_2 with/without 5 mM ferrocene at scan rate of 100 mV s⁻¹.



Figure S5. Cyclic voltammetry of 2-PyDS and 4-PyDS. (a) and (b) are the Cyclic voltammograms of 20mM 2,2'-dipyridinyl disulfide (2-PyDS) and 4,4'-dipyridinyl disulfide (4-PyDS) in DMF with 0.1 M TBAP, respectively, under N_2 and CO_2 . As shown by dash line, there is one more reduction peak when the scan window was extended. This should result from the reduction of pyridine-N after forming CO_2 adducts. (c) CV curves of 4-PyDS at different cycle number under N_2 atmosphere. The CV curve can't overlap with each other, which should result from the unstability of dipyridine disulfide.^{7, 8}

Molecule	Condition	E _{pc} (V vs. Fc ⁺ /Fc)	Е _{ра} (V <i>vs</i> . Fc ⁺ /Fc)	$\Delta E_{pa} = E_{pa} (CO_2) - E_{pa}(N_2)$
2,2'-dipyridinyl	N_2	-1.905	-0.634	0.282
disulfide (2-PyDS)	CO ₂	-2.096	-0.351	0.285
4,4'-dipyridinyl	N_2	-1.496	-0.582	0.067
disulfide (4-PyDS)	CO ₂	-1.676	-0.515	0.007

Table S5. Peak potential of 2-PyDS and 4-PyDS.

Molecule	Condition	Epc	E _{pa}	ΔE_{cell}	ΔG	ΔE_{pa}
	Condition	(V)	(V)	(V)	kJ mol ⁻¹ CO ₂	(V)
	Li ⁺ (N ₂)	-2.140	-0.742	-	-	0.429
PD8	Li ⁺ (CO ₂)	-2.141	-0.314	1.827	176	0.428
	$Na^{+}(N_2)$	-2.139	-0.732	-	-	0 292
	Na ⁺ (CO ₂)	-2.125	-0.450	1.675	162	0.282
EDC	$Li^+(N_2)$	-2.002	-0.725	-	-	0.380
rD5	Li ⁺ (CO ₂)	-2.037	-0.336	1.701	164	0.389
	$Na^{+}(N_2)$	-2.002	-0.718	-	-	0.200
	Na ⁺ (CO ₂)	-2.016	-0.509	1.507	145	0.209
CD C	$Li^+(N_2)$	-1.294	-0.668	-	-	0.022
CDS	Li ⁺ (CO ₂)	-1.314	-0.646	0.668	64	0.022
	$Na^+(N_2)$	-1.274	-0.695	-	-	0.022
	Na ⁺ (CO ₂)	-1.291	-0.673	0.618	60	0.022

Table S6. Peak potential, ΔE_{cell} and ΔG for PDS, FDS and CDS with either 0.1 M LiTFSI or NaTFSI as the supporting electrolyte.



Figure S6. Cyclic voltammograms of 20 mM CDS with either 0.1 M LiTFSI (gray curves) or 0.1 M NaTFSI (dark blue curves) as the supporting electrolyte in DMF, under the atmosphere of N_2 (solid curves) and CO_2 (dash curves).



Figure S7. Cyclic voltammograms and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) for the systems with PDS and FDS. CV of 20 mM PDS (a) and FDS (b) in 0.1 TBAP with/without H₂O under N₂. (c) and (d) are the EIS spectrum of the systems with PDS and FDS, respectively, with/without H₂O under different applied potential. Experimental details: working electrode, glass carbon; Counter electrode, Pt foil; reference, Ag/AgCl. Sane rate for CV, 10 mv s⁻¹. Frequency range for EIS, 100 Hz to 1 MHz. The larger radius of EIS spectra, the larger charge transfer resistance. Note that the applied potentials for EIS were calculated by plus 30 mV with E_{pc} , and the E_{pc} was obtained from the corresponding CV curve.



Figure S8. Cyclic voltammograms of the system with PDS at different scan rates. (a) and (b) are CV curves of 20 mM PDS under N_2 and CO_2 , respectively. Information about the colorful labels and curves: 10 mV s⁻¹ (gray), 50 mV s⁻¹ (orange), 100 mV s⁻¹ (blue) and 500 mV s⁻¹ (green).



Figure S9. Comparison of the standard redox potential from DFT calculation and experiment results for different disulfides. The red circle, blue square and gray hexagon symbols represent the potential of electrochemical processes EP 1, EP 2 and EP 3, respectively, corresponding to Figure 4 in main text. The filled and unfilled symbols represent the potential from experimental results and DFT calculation, respectively.

disulfido -	EP 1 (V v	vs. Fc ⁺ /Fc)	EP 2 (V vs. Fc^+/Fc)		EP 3 (V <i>v</i>	vs. Fc ⁺ /Fc)
uisuillue	DFT	Exp.	DFT	Exp.	DFT	Exp.
BDS	-2.571	-2.765	-0.732	-1.078	-0.781	-0.348
PDS	-2.025	-2.072	-0.728	-0.743	-1.066	-0.670
MDS	-2.027	-2.109	-0.841	-0.79	-1.154	-0.702
ODS	-2.064	-2.155	-0.954	-0.744	-1.236	-0.688
ADS	-2.280	-2.265	-1.172	-0.958	-1.428	-0.868
FDS	-2.025	-1.971	-0.718	-0.736	-1.061	-0.666
CDS	-1.821	-1.428	-0.410	-0.583	-0.924	-0.554

Table S7. Summary and comparison of the standard redox potential from DFT calculation and experiment results for different disulfides.

Note:

(1) The details of DFT calculation method were shown in supplementary note 2.

(2) The experimental redox potentials of the above disulfides were estimated by the equation $E_{1/2} = E_{pe} + 30 \text{ mV} = E_{pa} - 30 \text{mV}$

(3) The redox potentials of EP 1 and EP 2 processes obtained from DFT calculation match well the experimental results, while the redox potentials of EP 3 process have some deviation.



Figure S10. Identification of CO₂ adducts with Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) Spectrometry. (a) CV curve of 20 mM PDS in DMSO with 0.1M TBAP by using a H-cell with continuous CO₂ bubbling (10 mL min⁻¹). (b) Chronoamperometry curve (bulk electrolysis) at -1.8 V vs. Pt with continuous CO₂ bubbling at a flow rate of 10 mL min⁻¹. The fluctuation of i-t curve should result from the bubbling of CO₂. The color change is negligible and thus can't be observed, since the conjugated system of PS⁻ or PSCO₂⁻ is too small. (c) FTIR spectra for DMSO and solution of PDS, TBAP and CO₂ adducts (PSCO₂⁻) in DMSO. (d) The enlarged FTIR spectra of CO₂ adducts are to show that the C=O bond stretching vibration should not result from signal noise, because the signal noise can not reach about 85% transmittance. Note: The PSCO₂⁻ in catholyte was collected from bulk electrolysis in a H-Cell (details in Electrochemical experiments of main text). Then the catholyte was dropped on KBr single crystal wafer with a thickness of 1 mm, and formed a liquid layer between two KBr slices. After capturing CO₂, new absorption peak at 1574 cm⁻¹ appears, which could be assigned to C=O bond stretching

vibration. Thus, the FTIR results confirmed the formation of CO₂ adducts, i.e., PSCO₂^{-.4,9}



Figure S11. Performance of CO_2 capture and release cycles in a flow cell with PDS as the sorbent.

(a) CO₂ reading curve of 19 repeated capture and release cycles at the exit of PDS tank.

(b) The selected corresponding voltage-capacity curves.

(c) The top panel, CO_2 reading for selected capture and release cycles. The bottom panel, cumulative amount of captured and released CO_2 in selected cycles. For capture (discharge) process, the DS was reduced to react with CO_2 at 5 mA for 60 min and then rested for 115 min. For release (charge) process, CO_2 adducts were oxidized to release CO_2 and regenerate DS, at 5 mA to 1.35 V followed by a 100 min constant voltage of 1.35 V hold and a 60 min rest.

(d) Release/capture efficiency (blue square), CO_2 capacity utilization (yellow circle), columbic efficiency (green hexagon), and time of constant current charge (CCC) (gray pentagon) for 19 cycles shown in (a). The CO₂ capacity utilization efficiency was about 100% in the first cycle, and then gradually decrease and hover around 75%. The release/capacity efficiency showed a trend of decrease firstly and then increase in cycles as columbic efficiency, and have an average efficiency of ~78%. In the 18th cycle, the release/capacity efficiency and columbic efficiency returned to ~96% and ~91%, respectively, suggesting the good stability and reversibility of the PDS in CO₂ capture-release cycle.



Figure S12. Flow system for CO₂ capture and release. The main part of the CO₂ capture and release system are shown in this photo. Noted that the durable peristaltic pump tubes (Chem-Durance; Masterflex) and PTFE tubes ($\emptyset = 3 \text{ mm}$) were used in our system, to avoid the undesirable corrosion of tube by organic electrolyte.



Figure S13. Redox-active substance as the counter electrolyte to balance the charge. (a) Cyclic voltammogram of Fc1N112-TFSI in DMF. In this test, 0.1 M TBAP and Ag/AgCl were used as the supporting electrolyte and the reference electrode, respectively. **(b)** Illustration of the redox reaction for Fc1N112-TFSI. This is also reported elsewhere.¹⁰



Figure S14. Electrochemical CO₂ capture and release using CDS as the sorbent. (a) CO_2 reading for one cycle using CDS. (b) The corresponding voltage-capacity curve. For CO_2 capture, the CDS was reduced at 5 mA for 60 min followed by 90 min rest. For CO_2 release, the adducts were oxidized at 5 mA to 0.9 V followed by 60 min constant voltage hold at 0.9 V, and then rested for another 90 min. The CO₂ reading curve did not show any change during discharge or charge process, which demonstrated again that the CDS with a stronger electron-withdrawing group could not capture CO_2 .



Figure S15. Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometer (GC-MS) for the electrolyte of the FDS tank before and after cycling. (a) and **(b)** are gas chromatograms for the electrolyte of the FDS tank before and after cycling, respectively. The appearance of ferrocene and its derivate after cycling indicated that the crossover phenomenon between the FDS and counter compartments. Moreover, some new peaks indicated the parasitic reaction could take place during the cycling experiment.

(c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), (i) and (j) are standard (blue line) and experimental (red line) mass spectra for N, N-dimethylformamide, 4-fluorothiophenol, ferrocene, methyl ferrocene, S-(4-fluorophenyl) N, N-dimethylcarbamothioate, isomeride of S-(4-fluorophenyl) N, N-dimethylcarbamothioate (a tentative guess) and FDS, respectively, with the corresponding molecular structure at the bottom of the figure.

Note that (1) the standard mass spectra of S-(4-fluorophenyl) N, N-dimethylcarbamothioate and FDS are not included in the NIST mass spectral library; (2) the formation of a little amount of S-(4-fluorophenyl) N, N-dimethylcarbamothioate demonstrate the minor side reaction of FS⁻ with DMF; (3) the founding of Fc and it's derivates demonstrate the occurrence of crossover phenomenon.

Peaks	Retention time (min)	Identification	Molecular weights
1	3.800	N, N-dimethylformamide	73
2	6.532	4-fluorothiophenol	128
3	14.566	Ferrocene	186
4	15.425	Methyl ferrocene	200
5	19.666	S-(4-fluorophenyl) N, N- dimethylcarbamothioate	199
6	20.423	Isomeride of S-(4- fluorophenyl) N, N- dimethylcarbamothioate (a tentative guess)	199
7	23.696	4-fluorophenyl disulfide	254

Table S8. Identification of GC-MS shown in Figure S15 for electrolyte of FDS tank.



Figure S16. Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometer (GC-MS) for the electrolyte of the PDS tank before and after cycling.

(a) and (b) are gas chromatograms for the electrolyte of the PDS tank before and after cycling, respectively. The appearance of ferrocene and its derivates after cycle indicated that the crossover phenomenon between the DS and counter chambers. Meanwhile, some new peaks indicated the parasitic reaction could occur during the cycling test.(c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), (i) and (j) are standard (blue line) and experimental (red line) mass spectra for N, N-dimethylformamide, thiophenol, ferrocene, methyl ferrocene, S-phenyl N, N-dimethylcarbamothioate, isomeride of S-phenyl N, N-dimethylcarbamothioate (a tentative guess) and PDS, respectively, with the corresponding molecular structure at the bottom of the figure.

Note that (1) the formation of a little amount of S-phenyl N, N-dimethylcarbamothioate demonstrates the existence of minor side reaction of PS⁻ with DMF; (2) the founding of Fc and it's derivates demonstrate the occurrence of crossover phenomenon.

Peaks	Retention time (min)	Identification	Molecular weights
1	4.062	N, N-dimethylformamide	73
2	6.633	Thiophenol	110
3	14.672	Ferrocene	186
4	15.437	Methyl ferrocene	200
5	20.118	S-phenyl N, N- dimethylcarbamothioate	181
6	20.744	Isomeride of S-phenyl N, N- dimethylcarbamothioate (a tentative guess)	181
7	24.82	Phenyl disulfide	218

Table S9. Identification of GC-MS presented in Figure S16 for electrolyte of PDS tank.



Figure S17. (a) A photograph showing a piece of fresh nafion membrane $(3.3 * 3.3 \text{ cm}^2)$ and a piece of used nafion membrane $(4.5 * 4.5 \text{ cm}^2)$. The expansion rate of the nafion membrane is about 186.0% after treatment, which could be the reason for crossover phenomenon of electrolytes. There were yellow substances absorbed on nafion membrane after use. The yellow substances might be the ferrocene derivates absorbed on nafion membrane, and they could cause the increase of cell resistance during the cycling experiment. (b) The corresponding voltage-capacity curves of selected cycles. The applied voltage for constant current discharge (CCD) gradually increased after each cycle, which could be resulted from the increase of cell resistance, the crossover of electrolytes and the complicated parasitic reaction during cycling (Figures S15 and S16).



Figure S18. Performance of CO₂ capture and release cycles in a flow cell with FDS under different constant current discharge (CCD). (a) CO₂ readings curves at the gas outlet of FDS tank over 3 capture and release cycles at CCD of 2.5 mA. For capture (CCD) process, the FDS was reduced to react with CO₂ at 2.5 mA for 120 min and then rested for 90 min. For release (charge) process, CO₂ adducts (FSCO₂⁻) were oxidized to release CO₂ and regenerate FDS, at 5 mA to 1.35 V followed by a 100 min constant voltage of 1.35 V hold and an 80 min rest. (b) The voltagecapacity curves for capture-release cycles shown in (a). (c) Release/capture efficiency (blue square), CO₂ capacity utilization (yellow circle) and columbic efficiency (green hexagon) for 3 cycles shown in (a). (d) CO₂ readings curves at the gas outlet of FDS tank over 3 capture and release cycles at CCD of 10 mA. For capture (CCD) process, the FDS was reduced to react with CO₂ at 10 mA for 30 min and then rested for 150 min. For release (charge) process, CO₂ adducts (FSCO₂⁻) were oxidized to release CO₂ and regenerate FDS, at 10 mA to 1.35 V followed by a 105 min constant voltage of 1.35 V hold and a 90 min rest. (e) The voltage-capacity curves for capture-release cycles shown in (d). (f) Release/capture efficiency (blue square), CO₂ capacity utilization (yellow circle) and columbic efficiency (green hexagon) for 3 cycles shown in (d).

Note: the other experimental conditions are same as the **Figure 5** one in main text unless otherwise noted.



Figure S19. Performance of CO₂ capture and release cycles in a flow cell with FDS under different CO₂ concentration. (a) CO₂ readings curves at the gas outlet of FDS tank over 3 capture and release cycles under 9.9% CO₂. For capture (CCD) process, the FDS was reduced to react with CO₂ at 5 mA for 60 min and then rested for 110 min. For release (charge) process, CO₂ adducts (FSCO₂⁻) were oxidized to release CO₂ and regenerate FDS, at 5 mA to 1.35 V followed by a 105 min constant voltage of 1.35 V hold and a 30 min rest. (b) The voltage-capacity curves for capturerelease cycles shown in (a). (c) Release/capture efficiency (blue square), CO₂ capacity utilization (yellow circle) and columbic efficiency (green hexagon) for 3 cycles shown in (a). (d) CO₂ readings curves at the gas outlet of FDS tank over 3 capture and release cycles under 3.0% CO₂. For capture (CCD) process, the FDS was reduced to react with CO₂ at 5 mA for 60 min and then rested for 120 min. For release (charge) process, CO₂ adducts (FSCO₂⁻) were oxidized to release CO₂ and regenerate FDS, at 5 mA to 1.35 V followed by an 80 min constant voltage of 1.35 V hold and a 40 min rest. (e) The voltage-capacity curves for capturerelease cycles shown in (d).

Note: the other experimental conditions are same as the **Figure 5** one in main text unless otherwise noted.



Figure S20. Performance of CO₂ capture and release cycles in a flow cell with PDS and PSNa.

(a) CO_2 readings at the gas outlet of PDS tank over 31 capture and release cycles for ~90 h of operation. Within these cycles, only 6th,7th, 8th, 30th and 31st cycles include rest processes to calculate the amount of capture/release CO_2 .

(b) Schematic drawing of the CO₂ capture-release flow system. The PDS tank filled with PTFE beads was consecutively inputted with 20% CO₂ in N₂ at a flow rate of 2 standard cubic centimeters per minute (sccm), with a CO₂ sensor connected to the gas outlet. The PDS tank contained 5 ml DMF electrolyte with 0.2 M PDS and 0.5 M NaTFSI as the sorbent and supporting electrolyte, respectively. On the opposite side, the sodium phenyl thiolate (PSNa) tank was composed of 10 ml 0.1 M PSNa and 0.5 M NaTFSI. Since the PSNa tank was kept under CO₂ atmosphere, the PSNa will react with CO₂ generating sodium phenyl thiocarbonate (PSCO₂Na). Both liquid electrolytes were flowed through the electrochemical cell (2×2 cm² electrode area) at a flow rate of 4 ml min⁻¹. Carbon felts were used as the working electrode (WE) and counter electrode (CE).

(c) The upper one is overlays of selected capture and release cycles from (a) with the indication of capture and release steps by the shaded regions; the one below is the cumulative amount of captured and released CO_2 in selected cycles. For CCD process, the PDS was reduced to react with CO_2 at 5 mA for 60 min and then rested for 110 min. While on the opposite side, the PSCO₂Na was oxidized to release CO_2 and reproduces PDS. For the charge process, CO_2 adducts (PSCO₂⁻) in PDS tank were oxidized to release CO_2 and regenerate PDS, at 5 mA to 1.35 V followed by a 40 min constant voltage of 1.35 V hold and a 60 min rest. In the PSNa tank, the PDS was reduced and then captured CO_2 forming PSCO₂Na again.

(d) Release/capture efficiency (blue square), CO_2 capacity utilization (yellow circle) and Columbic efficiency (green hexagon) for the cycles with rest processes shown in (a).

(e) The voltage-capacity curves for selected capture-release cycles.

(f) The energy consumption of CCD processes through integrating the voltage-capacity curves. CCD energy (gray square) for all cycles, and CCD energy (green circle) for the cycles with rest process.



Figure S21. Performance of CO₂ capture and release cycle in a flow cell with FDS by using diglyme as solvent.

(a) photographs showing a piece of fresh nafion membrane $(3.3 * 3.3 \text{ cm}^2)$ and a piece of used nafion membrane $(3.5 * 3.5 \text{ cm}^2)$. The expansion rate of the nafion membrane is about 112.5% after treatment, which is much smaller than that of 186.0% in DMF solvent (Figure S17). The smaller expansion rate can help to alleviate the crossover of electrolyte. Thus, in this experiment one membrane was used. There were green substances absorbed on nafion membrane after use. The green substances might be the ferrocene derivates absorbed on nafion membrane, and they could cause the increase of cell resistance during the cycling experiment.

(b) CO_2 readings at the gas outlet of FDS tank for one capture and release cycle. For capture (discharge) process, the FDS was reduced to react with CO_2 at 5 mA for 60 min and then rested for 110 min. For release (charge) process, CO_2 adducts (FSCO₂⁻) were oxidized to release CO_2 and regenerate FDS, at 5 mA to 1.35 V followed by a 25 min constant voltage of 1.35 V hold and a 50 min rest.

(c) The voltage-capacity curve for CO_2 capture-release cycle shown in (b). The applied voltage was larger than that when using DMF as solvent. That means the ionic conductivity of membrane decrease when using diglyme as solvent, which leads to higher energy consumption.

(d) GC-MS spectra of electrolyte in FDS tank after cyclic experiment. The MS peaks of diglyme and FDS are obvious, and ferrocene derivates may be too less to be detected. That indicates that the membrane with smaller expansion rate is beneficial to alleviating the crossover problem. Meanwhile, there is not any side product was found, which means that the side reaction between thiolate anion and solvent can be avoided by choosing suitable solvent.

Note: the other experimental conditions are same as the **Figure 5** one in main text unless otherwise specified.

Table S10. Summary of selected redox-active molecules for electrochemical CO ₂ capture and release.							
Moleculo	Estimated theoretical energy	Demonstrated energy	Demonstrated	Deconintion/advantage	Dof		
Molecule	consumption (kJ/mol CO ₂)	consumption (kJ/mol CO ₂)	system/device	Description/advantage	Kei.		
		36-55	Flow system with flow	high capture capacity of $0.86-1.41 \text{ mol } L^{-1}$ and an			
		50-55	cell	extremely low degradation rate of <0.01% per day			
	Mechanism: Charge-CO ₂ captu	re and energy storage	^{3H} Discharge—CO ₂ release and energy de	slivery so ₃ H			
1,8-ESP	HC05 C032	OH- HO35 N + 2 0 1,8-ESP	CO2 H2O	HO ₃ S, H - 2 (e) 78-1.8-ESP SOLH	11		
	$co_{2} \qquad H_{2}o \qquad HO_{3}S \qquad H_{3}o \qquad HO_{3}S \qquad H_{3}o \qquad HO_{3}S \qquad H_{3}o $						
AO		254-830	Custom-made gas cell	Heterogeneous CO ₂ capture with AQ solid electrode.	12		
		234-030		Perform under pure CO_2 at 0.1 A g ⁻¹ .	12		
	~34		Parallel passage	Heterogeneous CO ₂ capture with PAQ solid electrode.			
PAQ	(Ionic liquid of [Bmim][TF ₂ N])	~40-90	electrochemical cell	Performed under 10% CO ₂ at ionic liquid electrolyte	13		
	(10000 11400 01 [20000][11 21 3])			with faradic efficiency of 60%-90%			
тсо	21.2-34.7		H-Cell with glass frit	Performed under 10% CO ₂ with ethanol as additive.	14		
	$(0.2 \text{ M TBAPF}_6 \text{ in DMF solutions})$		II Con whit glass int	Light sensitivity for TCQ.			
		35-220	Continuous flow system	0.1 MPa pure CO_2 capture and release at current of 0.4-			
		55 220	with two flow cells	1.4 mA cm ⁻²			
LQ	Mechanism:	$+e^{i}$	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} $		2		
		- e ⁻	• e ⁱ	ð			

A_D.	~ 16 (0.1 M TBAPF ₆ in DMSO electrolyte)	120	Flow system with flow cell	Performed under 18.5% CO ₂ + 3% O ₂ at 2 mA cm ⁻² . Only considering energy for CO ₂ capture Performed under 18.5% CO ₂ + 5% O ₂ at 2 mA cm ⁻² .	-	
AZFY	Mechanism:		+ 2e', +2CO ₂	Only considering energy for CO ₂ capture	3	
BDS	~200 (Ionic liquid of BMP TFSI)	_	H-Cell with glass frit	Performed under 300 ppm CO_2 in N_2 in DMF solution	4	
PDS	141 (0.1 M TBAP in DMF electrolyte)	146 (first cycle) 183.7 (average of 19 cycles) 128 (average of 5 cycles within 31cycles)	Flow system with flow	Performed under 20% CO ₂ at 1.25 mA cm ⁻² . (Only considering energy for CO ₂ capture) Use CO ₂ adduct as counter electrolyte. Achieve CO ₂ capture and release with a single energy input.	-	
FDS	133 (0.1 M TBAP in DMF electrolyte)	153(Firs cycle) 200 (average of 25 cycle) 161 (First cycle) 212 (average of 11cycle)	cell	Perform under 20% CO ₂ at 1.25 mA cm ⁻² . (Only considering energy for CO ₂ capture) Perform under 20% CO ₂ with 0.1 M H ₂ O at 1.25 mA cm ⁻² . (Only considering energy for CO ₂ capture).	This work	
	Mechanism:			P 2)+ e'		
	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\$					

Abbreviations of molecule in Table S10 are listed below: 1,8-ESP: 2,2'-(phenazine-1,8-diyl)bis(ethane-1-sulfonate); AQ: anthraquinone; PAQ: polyanthraquinone; [Bmim][TF₂N]: 1-butyl-3- methylimidazolium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide TCQ: 2,3,5,6-tetrachloro-p-benzoquinone (TCQ); LQ: 2,3-Di-(2-(2-methoxy)ethoxy)-1,4-naphthoquinone AzPy: azopyridine; BDS: benzyl disulfide; MP TFSI: 1-butyl-1-methylpyrrolidinium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide FDS: phenyl disulfide; FDS: 4-fluorophenyl disulfides.

Supplementary Note 1 | potential organodisulfide candidates





Diisopropyl xanthogen polysulfide (DIXPS)



Polyethylene hexasulfide (PEHS)

Phenyl hexasulfide (PHS)



Dimethyl disulfide (DMDS)

The above show some representative organodisulfides for lithum-sulfur battery. Diisopropyl xanthogen polysulfide (DIXPS) and Phenyl hexasulfide (PHS) are symmetric organopolysidues.^{15, 16} They could have better electrochemical performance due to their longer sulfur chain, which leads to higher electrochemical activity. However, the insoluble Li₂S is generated during their discharge process. Polyethylene hexasulfide (PEHS) can be used as a solid electrode for heterogeneous CO₂ capture,¹⁷ but it also faces the problem of generating insoluble Li₂S. Dimethyl disulfide (DMDS) with simple structure makes the investigation of its CO₂ capture and release mechanism easy,¹⁸ but it's redox performance is difficult to be tuned via molecular engineering due to its simple structure.

Supplementary Note 2 | DFT calculations

The binding energy was obtained from the change of the enthalpy for the binding of thiolate (RS⁻) to CO₂, as the equation below:

$$\Delta H = H_{RSCO_{2}^{-}} - (H_{RS^{-}} + H_{CO_{2}})$$
(S1)

Where, ΔH is binding energy. $H_{RSCO_2^-}$, H_{RS^-} and $H_{CO_2^-}$ are the enthalpy of thiocarbonate (RSCO_2^-), RS⁻, and CO_2, respectively.

The standard redox potentials of different disulfides were calculated by the difference of Gibbs free energies. The equations are as followed:

$$\Delta G = G_{red} - G_{ox} \tag{S2}$$

$$E^0 = \Delta G / - e \tag{S3}$$

Where, G_{red} and G_{ox} is the reduction and oxidation state species, respectively. ΔG is the difference between G_{red} and G_{ox} . E⁰ is the standard redox potential.

Supplementary Note 3 | calculation of CO₂ binding constant (K_b)

Using the data obtained from cyclic voltammetry is a simple way to calculate K_b of redox active molecule. Specifically, the value of K_b can be determined by the shift in half wave potential as a function of the concentration of CO₂ ([CO₂], mol L⁻¹).^{19, 20} K_b is the inverse of the dissociation constant. The bigger the K_b value, the greater the binding affinity to CO₂.

If $K_b > 100$, the Eqn. S4 derived from the Nernst equation should be used as below.

$$E_{1/2}(CO_2) = E_{1/2}(a) + \frac{RT}{nF} \ln(K_b) + q \frac{RT}{nF} \ln \frac{[CO_2]}{[C_0]}$$
(S4)

where $E_{1/2}(CO_2)$ and $E_{1/2}(a)$ are the half wave potential of redox active sorbent in the presence and absence of CO₂, respectively. q is the number of CO₂ that binds to generated nucleophile, C₀ is the standard concentration (mol L⁻¹).

If the $1 \ll K_b < 100$, the Eqn. S4 should not be used, and the derived Eqn. S5 should be used as follows:

$$E_{1/2}(CO_2) = E_{1/2}(a) + \frac{RT}{nF} \ln \left(K_b \frac{[CO_2]}{[C_0]} + 1 \right)$$

$$E_{1/2}(CO_2) - E_{1/2}(a) = \Delta E_{pa}$$
(S6)

The reduction peak potential of RS[•] to RS⁻ can not be observed due to the intrinsic property of RSSR. Therefore, we estimated the $E_{1/2}$ by E_{pa} minus 30 mV.^{14, 21} As shown in Eqn.(S6), the peak shift of $E_{1/2}$ ($E_{1/2}$ (CO₂)- $E_{1/2}$ (a)) can be estimated by ΔE_{pa} (**Table** 1).The CO₂ concentration in DMF at 25 °C is 0.199 M under 0.1 MPa pressure and the Henry's constant (H_c) is 5.001 M⁻¹ atm.²²

In order to verify whether the above method can be used to calculated the K_b of thiolate anions, we investigated the linear correlation between $E_{1/2}$ and CO_2 concentration. The

 $E_{1/2}$ were estimated by E_{pa} minus 30 mV, and the E_{pa} were obtained from CV data of PDS under different CO₂ partial pressures (different CO₂ concentration), as shown in the **Figure S22** below. The linear plot of $E_{1/2}$ *vs*. [CO₂] shows a good linear correlation between $E_{1/2}$ and CO₂ concentration with a R² of 0.9655, which demonstrates that the above method can be used to estimate the K_b of thiolate anions.



Figure S22. Linear plot of E_{1/2} **vs [CO₂] for PDS.** The [CO₂] were calculated by henry's law from different CO₂ partial pressure (25%, 50%, 75% and 100% atm.). The E_{1/2} were estimated by E_{pa} minus 30 mV. The E_{pa} were obtained from CV measurement of PDS under DMF with 0.1 M TBAP.

Supplementary Note 4 | details of chemicals

4-fluorophenyl disulfide (FDS, 98%) was purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific. Phenyl disulfide (PDS,98%), Benzyl disulfide (BDS, 98%), Lithium bis(trifluoromet nesulfonyl)imide (LiTFSI, 99.5%) were obtained from Meryer. 4-aminodiphenyl disulfide (ADS,98%+), 2,2'-dipyridinyl disulfide (2-PyDS, 98%+), 4,4'-dipyridinyl disulfide (4-PyDS, 98%), Sodium bis(trifluoromet nesulfonyl)imide (NaTFSI, 98%+) and Tetrabutylammonium Perchlorate (TBAP, 99%+) were purchase from Adamasbeta. N, N-Dimethylformamide (99.9%, H₂O \leq 30 ppm), Dimethyl sulfoxide (99.9%, H₂O \leq 50 ppm) Innochem and 4-[(4-cyanophenyl)disulfanyl] benzonitrile (CDS, 99) were obtained from Innochem. Ferrocene (Fc, 99%) was obtained from D-chem. 4nitrophenyl disulfide (NDS, 98%) and 4-methylphenyl disulfide (MDS, 98%) were purchased from Crgent Biotech and Energy Chemical, respectively. 4-Methoxyphenyl disulfide (ODS, 98%) was obtained from Adamas-beta.

References

(1) Gurkan, B.; Simeon, F.; Hatton, T. A. Quinone Reduction in Ionic Liquids for Electrochemical CO₂ Separation. *ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering* **2015**, *3* (7), 1394-1405. DOI: 10.1021/acssuschemeng.5b00116.

(2) Diederichsen, K. M.; Liu, Y.; Ozbek, N.; Seo, H.; Hatton, T. A. Toward solvent-free continuous-flow electrochemically mediated carbon capture with high-concentration liquid quinone chemistry. *Joule* **2022**, *6* (1), 221-239. DOI: 10.1016/j.joule.2021.12.001.

(3) Li, X.; Zhao, X.; Liu, Y.; Hatton, T. A.; Liu, Y. Redox-tunable Lewis bases for electrochemical carbon dioxide capture. *Nature Energy* **2022**, *7* (11), 1065-1075. DOI: 10.1038/s41560-022-01137-z.

(4) Singh, P.; Rheinhardt, J. H.; Olson, J. Z.; Tarakeshwar, P.; Mujica, V.; Buttry, D. A. Electrochemical Capture and Release of Carbon Dioxide Using a Disulfide-Thiocarbonate Redox Cycle. *J Am Chem Soc* **2017**, *139* (3), 1033-1036. DOI: 10.1021/jacs.6b10806.

(5) Paparo, A.; Okuda, J. Carbon dioxide complexes: Bonding modes and synthetic methods. *Coordination Chemistry Reviews* **2017**, *334*, 136-149. DOI: 10.1016/j.ccr.2016.06.005.

(6) Vasudevan, D.; Wendt, H. Electroreduction of oxygen in aprotic media. *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry* **1995**, *392* (1-2), 69-74. DOI: 10.1016/0022-0728(95)04044-O.

(7) Ding, Y.; Chen, Y. P.; Zhang, X.; Chen, L.; Dong, Z.; Jiang, H. L.; Xu, H.; Zhou, H. C. Controlled Intercalation and Chemical Exfoliation of Layered Metal-Organic Frameworks Using a Chemically Labile Intercalating Agent. *J Am Chem Soc* **2017**, *139* (27), 9136-9139. DOI: 10.1021/jacs.7b04829.

(8) Shen, L.; Qian, Y.; Lyu, Z.; Kim, D.-H.; Kang, D. J. Two-Dimensional Metal–Organic Frameworks and Their Derivative Electrocatalysts for Water Splitting. *Applied Sciences* **2023**, *13* (16). DOI: 10.3390/app13169343.

(9) Stueber, D.; Patterson, D.; Mayne, C. L.; Orendt, A. M.; Grant, D. M.; Parry, R. W. Carbonates, Thiocarbonates, and the Corresponding Monoalkyl Derivatives. 1. Their Preparation and Isotropic 13C NMR Chemical Shifts. *Inorganic Chemistry* **2001**, *40* (8), 1902–1911. DOI: 10.1021/ic0012266.

(10) Wei, X.; Cosimbescu, L.; Xu, W.; Hu, J. Z.; Vijayakumar, M.; Feng, J.; Hu, M. Y.; Deng, X.; Xiao, J.; Liu, J.; et al. Towards High-Performance Nonaqueous Redox Flow Electrolyte Via Ionic Modification of Active Species. *Advanced Energy Materials* **2014**, *5* (1). DOI: 10.1002/aenm.201400678.

(11) Pang, S.; Jin, S.; Yang, F.; Alberts, M.; Li, L.; Xi, D.; Gordon, R. G.; Wang, P.; Aziz, M. J.; Ji, Y. A phenazine-based high-capacity and high-stability electrochemical CO2 capture cell with coupled electricity storage. *Nature Energy* **2023**, *8* (10), 1126-1136. DOI: 10.1038/s41560-023-01347-z.

(12) Hartley, N. A.; Pugh, S. M.; Xu, Z.; Leong, D. C. Y.; Jaffe, A.; Forse, A. C. Quinone-functionalised carbons as new materials for electrochemical carbon dioxide capture. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A* **2023**, *11* (30), 16221-16232. DOI: 10.1039/d3ta02213g.

(13) Voskian, S.; Hatton, T. A. Faradaic electro-swing reactive adsorption for CO₂ capture. *Energy & Environmental Science* **2019**, *12* (12), 3530-3547. DOI: 10.1039/c9ee02412c.

(14) Barlow, J. M.; Yang, J. Y. Oxygen-Stable Electrochemical CO₂ Capture and Concentration with Quinones Using Alcohol Additives. *J Am Chem Soc* **2022**, *144* (31), 14161-14169. DOI: 10.1021/jacs.2c04044.

(15) Bhargav, A.; Bell, M. E.; Karty, J.; Cui, Y.; Fu, Y. A Class of Organopolysulfides As Liquid Cathode Materials for High-Energy-Density Lithium Batteries. *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces* **2018**, *10*

(25), 21084-21090. DOI: 10.1021/acsami.8b06803.

(16) Bhargav, A.; Manthiram, A. Xanthogen Polysulfides as a New Class of Electrode Material for Rechargeable Batteries. *Advanced Energy Materials* **2020**, *10* (37). DOI: 10.1002/aenm.202001658.

(17) Bhargav, A.; Chang, C.-H.; Fu, Y.; Manthiram, A. Rationally Designed High-Sulfur-Content Polymeric Cathode Material for Lithium–Sulfur Batteries. *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces* 2019, *11*(6), 6136-6142. DOI: 10.1021/acsami.8b21395.

(18) Chen, S.; Dai, F.; Gordin, M. L.; Yu, Z.; Gao, Y.; Song, J.; Wang, D. Functional Organosulfide Electrolyte Promotes an Alternate Reaction Pathway to Achieve High Performance in Lithium–Sulfur Batteries. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2016**, *55* (13), 4231-4235. DOI: 10.1002/anie.201511830.

(19) Seo, H. Molecular redox-active organic materials for electrochemical carbon capture. *MRS Communications* **2023**, *13* (6), 994-1008. DOI: 10.1557/s43579-023-00454-y.

(20) Schmidt, M. H.; Miskelly, G. M.; Lewis, N. S. Effects of redox potential, steric configuration, solvent, and alkali metal cations on the binding of carbon dioxide to cobalt(I) and nickel(I) macrocycles. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **1990**, *112* (9), 3420–3426. DOI: 10.1021/ja00165a027.

(21) Elgrishi, N.; Rountree, K. J.; McCarthy, B. D.; Rountree, E. S.; Eisenhart, T. T.; Dempsey, J. L. A Practical Beginner's Guide to Cyclic Voltammetry. *Journal of Chemical Education* **2017**, *95* (2), 197-206. DOI: 10.1021/acs.jchemed.7b00361.

(22) Gennaro, A.; Isse, A. A.; Vianello, E. Solubility and electrochemical determination of CO₂ in some dipolar aprotic solvents. *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry and Interfacial Electrochemistry* **1990**, 289 (1-2), 203-215. DOI: 10.1016/0022-0728(90)87217-8.