# **Supplementary Information**

Sea urchin-like plasma Ag/CAU-17@MoS<sub>2</sub> core-shell S-scheme heterojunctions with broad spectrum response and enhanced photothermal-photocatalysis

Xinyue Liu<sup>a</sup>, Zipeng Xing<sup>a\*</sup>, Na Zhang<sup>a</sup>, Tao Cheng<sup>a</sup>, Bo Ren<sup>a</sup>, Weizi Chen<sup>a</sup>, Zibin

Wang<sup>a</sup>, Zhenzi Li<sup>b,\*</sup>, Wei Zhou<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Heilongjiang Provincial Key Laboratory of Environmental Nanotechnology, School of Chemistry and Materials Science, Heilongjiang University, Harbin 150080, P. R. China., Tel: +86-451-8660-8616, Fax: +86-451-8660-8240,

Email: xingzipeng@hlju.edu.cn

<sup>b</sup> Shandong Provincial Key Laboratory of Molecular Engineering, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Qilu University of Technology (Shandong Academy of Sciences), Jinan, Shandong, 250353, P. R. China Email: zzli@glu.edu.cn; zwchem@hotmail.com

## **Experimental section**

## Materials

Bismuth nitrate pentahydrate (Bi(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O) was purchased from Tianjin Kemer Chemical Reagent Co., LTD. The 1, 3, 5-benzoic acid (H<sub>3</sub>BTC), sodium molybdate dihydrate (Na<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub>•2H<sub>2</sub>O), and thiouroid (CH<sub>4</sub>N<sub>2</sub>S) were purchased from Aladdin BioTechnologies Inc. Methanol (MeOH) and Silver nitrate (AgNO<sub>3</sub>) were purchased from Shanghai Maclin Biochemical Technology Co., LTD.

## Characterizations

The powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were acquired on a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer by using Cu K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 1.5406$  Å). Scanning electron micros-copy (SEM) images were obtained with a Philips XL-30-ESEM-FEG instrument operating at 20 kV. Transmission electron microscope (TEM) JEOL JEM-2010 at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV was also used to record the electron micrographs of the samples. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was measured on a PHI-5700 ESCA instrument with Al-K $\alpha$  X-ray source. UV-vis diffuse reflection spectra (DRS) were recorded on a UV-vis spectrophotometer (UV-2550, Shimadzu) with an integrating sphere attachment, and BaSO<sub>4</sub> was used as the reference material. Fourier transform infrared spectra (FT-IR) were detected with a PerkinElmer spectrum one system. The N<sub>2</sub> adsorption-desorption isotherms at 77 K were collected on an AUTOSORB-1 (Quantachrome Instruments) nitrogen adsorption apparatus. Surface area was estimated by BET method and pore-size distribution was measured from the adsorption branch of the isotherm using the Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) method. The steady-state photoluminescence (PL) spectra were measured with a PE LS 55 spectrofluoro-photometer at excitation wavelength of 325 nm. Scanning Kelvin probe (SKP) measurements (SKP5050 system, Scotland) were performed at normal laboratory conditions. The electron spin resonance (ESR) spectra under visible light irradiation were tested with ESR spectrometer (Bruker model A300). The temperature of the sample was measured using the Testo 865 infrared thermograph.

## **Photocatalytic degradation**

In order to test the photocatalytic ability under visible light irradiation (light source is 300 W Xenon lamp), we degrade Persistent Organic Dyes Rhodamine B at room temperature ( $20 \pm 2 \text{ °C}$ ). In a typical experiment, 30 mg of photocatalyst and 15 mg of PMS was added to a Rhodamine B solution (30 mL, 10 mg L<sup>-1</sup>), and then the suspension was placed in the dark for 30 min to ensure adsorption equilibrium. The suspension was irradiated with a 300 W Xenon lamp equipped with a filter ( $\lambda \geq 420$ nm). The residual concentration of Rhodamine B was analyzed by a T6 UV-Vis spectrophotometer.

## Photocatalytic hydrogen evolution

The photocatalytic hydrogen evolution was tested in an online photocatalytic hydrogen evolution system (Au Light, Beijing, CEL-SPH2N) at room temperature. In a typical process, 50 mg of photocatalysts were suspended in 100 mL aqueous closed gas circulation reaction cell which include 80 mL deionized water and 20 mL of methanol used as the sacrificial reagent. Afterward, the suspension was purged with N<sub>2</sub> for several times to remove O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>. After that, the suspension solution was irradiated by a 300 W Xeon-lamp equipped with an AM 1.5 G filter (Oriel, USA), and use an on-line gas chromatography (SP7800, TCD, molecular sieve 5 Å, N<sub>2</sub> carrier, Beijing Keruida, Ltd) to analyze the hydrogen periodically with the interval of every 1h.

#### **Photoelectrochemical measurements**

Photoelectrochemical measurements of photocatalysts were detected in a threeelectrode system with the CHI760E electrochemical workstation. The electrolyte selected KOH solution (1 M), the reference electrode selected Ag/AgCl and the opposite electrode selected Pt. Then, 0.1 g of photocatalysts were mixed with 3 mL of ethanol at stirring for 10 min, and sprayed on the FTO-glass of  $1 \times 2$  cm<sup>2</sup> further heating and drying. The photocurrent tests were extra monitored under AM 1.5G light

exposure.



Figure S1. Standard XRD pattern of CAU-17.



Figure S2. The XPS full scan of ACM.



Figure S3. SEM image of MoS<sub>2</sub>.



Figure S4. SEM image of CAU-17.



Figure S5. SEM image of ACM.



Figure S6. EDX spectrum of ACM.



Figure. S7. (a) Ultraviolet spectra of CAU-17,  $MoS_2$ , CM and ACM, and band gap energies of CAU-17 and  $MoS_2$  (b).



Figure S8. Pore size distributions for CAU-17, CM and ACM.



Figure. S9. TOC removal rates for tetracycline degradation in different samples.



Figure S10. Quasi-first-order kinetic fitting curve (a) and apparent rate constant(b) for photocatalytic degradation of tetracycline by different photocatalysts.



Figure S11. XRD patterns of ACM before and after the photocatalytic reaction.



**Figure. S12.** Long-term stability test of photocatalytic degradation of tetracycline (20 cycles, 40 hours).



Figure S13. EPR signals of DMPO-  $\cdot O_2^-$  in the presence of CAU-17, MoS<sub>2</sub>, and ACM in light.



Figure S14. Mott-Schottky diagrams of CAU-17 (a) and  $MoS_2$  (b).



Figure. S15. Comparison of possible charge transfer mechanisms (type II and type S) of ACM under visible light irradiation.



Figure S16. In situ XPS spectra of O 1s by ACM under dark ultraviolet irradiation.

Samples	$\tau_1(ns)$	$A_1$	$\tau_2(ns)$	$A_2$	τ(ns)
CAU-17	0.80	0.59	4.15	0.27	3.18
$MoS_2$	0.51	0.61	2.59	0.18	1.76
СМ	0.80	0.64	4.40	0.24	3.22
ACM	1.03	0.66	5.04	0.26	3.67

 Table S1. Results of the exponential decay-fitted parameters for the fluorescence

 lifetimes of as-prepared samples.

hydrogen production.					
Photocatalyst	BET surface surface	H <sub>2</sub> evolution rate	Normalized the		
	$(m^2 g^{-1})$		relationship		
CAU-17	83.31 m <sup>2</sup> g <sup>-1</sup>	26.3 µmol h <sup>-1</sup> g <sup>-1</sup>	0.316		
СМ	93.91 m <sup>2</sup> g <sup>-1</sup>	274.4 µmol h <sup>-1</sup> g <sup>-1</sup>	2.921		
ACM	108.26 m <sup>2</sup> g <sup>-1</sup>	326.5 µmol h <sup>-1</sup> g <sup>-1</sup>	3.016		

 Table S2. The ratio between the specific surface of the catalyst and the rate of

Photocatalyst	Light source	H <sub>2</sub> evolution rate	Refs.
Ag-In-S QDs/ Co(bpy) <sub>3</sub> <sup>2+</sup>	300 W Xe lamp	3.13 µmol h <sup>-1</sup> g <sup>-1</sup>	[1]
	(λ>420 nm)		
Bi-OVs-Bi <sub>4</sub> O <sub>5</sub> Br <sub>2</sub>	300 W Xe lamp	67.9 µmol h <sup>-1</sup> g <sup>-1</sup>	[2]
	(λ>420 nm)		
$Bi_2S_3/g$ - $C_3N_4$	300 W Xe lamp	236.1 µmol h <sup>-1</sup> g <sup>-1</sup>	[3]
	(λ>420 nm)		
Co <sub>1.8</sub> -BFO	300 W Xe lamp	321.9 µmol h <sup>-1</sup> g <sup>-1</sup>	[4]
	(λ>420 nm)		
$C\text{-}MoS_2/g\text{-}C_3N_4$	300 W Xe lamp	157.14 μmol h <sup>-1</sup> g <sup>-1</sup>	[5]
	(λ>420 nm)		
$MoS_2/g$ - $C_3N_4$	300 W Xe lamp	280 µmol h <sup>-1</sup> g <sup>-1</sup>	[6]
	(λ>420 nm)		
Pt-P@CN	300 W Xe lamp	138 μmol h <sup>-1</sup> g <sup>-1</sup>	[7]
	(λ>420 nm)		
ACM	300 W Xe lamp	326.5 µmol h <sup>-1</sup> g <sup>-1</sup>	This work
	(λ>420 nm)		

Table S3. The photocatalytic  $H_2$  evolution rates of different photocatalysts.

Samples	E <sub>g</sub> (eV)	CB (V)	VB (V)
CAU-17	3.70	-0.43	3.27
$MoS_2$	1.62	-0.61	1.01

**Table S4.** The band gap energies ( $E_g$ ), conduction band (CB) and valence band (VB)potentials (NHE) for CAU-17 and MoS<sub>2</sub>.

[1] L. Shi, X. Ren, Z. Zhang, Q. Wang, Y. Li, J. Ye, Non-stoichiometric Ag-In-S quantum dots for efficient photocatalytic CO<sub>2</sub> reduction: Ag/In molar ratio dependent activity and selectivity, J. Catal., 401 (2021) 271-278.

[2] L. Zhao, W. Fang, X. Meng, L. Wang, H. Bai, C. Li, In-situ synthesis of metal Bi to improve the stability of oxygen vacancies and enhance the photocatalytic activity of Bi<sub>4</sub>O<sub>5</sub>Br<sub>2</sub> in H<sub>2</sub> evolution, J. Alloys Compd., 910 (2022) 164883.

[3] Y. Li, F. Rao, J. Zhong, J. Li, In-situ fabrication of  $Bi_2S_3/g-C_3N_4$  heterojunctions with boosted  $H_2$  production rate under visible light irradiation, Fuel, 341 (2023) 127629.

[4] Y. Wang, M. Yang, K. Cui, L. Zhang, X. Wang, S. Ge, J. Yu, Z. Cheng, Band Engineering of BiFeO<sub>3</sub> Nanosheet for Boosting Hydrogen Evolution by Synergetic Piezo-photocatalysis, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 12 (2024) 2300-2312.

[5] X. Wei, X. Zhang, S. Ali, J. Wang, Y. Zhou, H. Chen, G. Zhang, J. Qi, D. He, Carbon intercalated  $MoS_2$  cocatalyst on g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> photo-absorber for enhanced photocatalytic H<sub>2</sub> evolution under the simulated solar light, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 48 (2023) 13827-13842. [6] Y. Liu, X. Xu, H. Li, Z. Si, X. Wu, R. Ran, D. Weng, A Facile One Step Synthesis of MoS<sub>2</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> Photocatalyst with Enhanced Visible Light Photocatalytic Hydrogen Production, Catal. Lett., 152 (2022) 972-979.

[7] X. Zhou, Y. Liu, Z. Jin, M. Huang, F. Zhou, J. Song, J. Qu, Y.-J. Zeng, P.-C.

Qian, W.-Y. Wong, Solar-Driven Hydrogen Generation Catalyzed by g-C3N4 with Poly(platinaynes) as Efficient Electron Donor at Low Platinum Content, Adv. Sci. Lett., 8 (2021) 2002465.