Supplementary Information (SI) for Environmental Science: Nano. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2024

I Supplementary Information 2 Passivation Performance and Mechanism of a Novel Self-Healing Composite 3 Passivator on Pyrite 4 Weifeng Wu, Mengke Li, Jiang Tian, Feng Li, Yun Liu* 5 Department of Environmental Science Engineering, College of Environment and 7 Resources, Xiangtan University, Xiangtan 411105, China 8 • 9 *Corresponding author. 10 Fax: +86 731 58292231 11 Tel: +86 731 58292231 12 E-mail: liuyunscut@l63.com (Yun Liu) 13

- 14 Present address: Department of Environmental Science and Engineering, College of
- 15 Environment and Resources, Xiangtan University, Xiangtan 411105, China

17 Text S1 Electrochemical measurements methods

A three-electrode system was used in the electrochemical measurements: a 18 passivated pyrite electrode, a Pt foil sheet electrode ($10 \text{ mm} \times 10 \text{ mm}$), and a saturated 19 calomel electrode (SCE) with a Lugin capillary were used as the working electrode, 20 21 counter electrode and reference electrode, respectively. A 0.2 M Na₂SO₄ solution with 22 pH 2.0 was served as the electrolyte. The electrochemical measurements were performed on a CHI650 electrochemical workshop. To make sure the electrochemical 23 system was stable, an open circuit potential (OCP) test was performed before every 24 electrochemical experiment. ZSimpwin software was used to match the acquired EIS 25 data, which were carried out at OCPs in the frequency scope from 100 kHz to 0.01 Hz 26 with a signal amplitude of 0.005 V. The Tafel tests were performed in the OCP \pm 0.2 27 28 V/SCE range at a scan rate of 1 mV/s.

29 Text S2 Characterization

A Tecnai G2 F20 field emission transmission electron microscope (TEM) was 30 used to examine the microstructures of the pyrite specimens. The morphological 31 characteristics of the pyrite surface were analyzed using a scanning electron microscope 32 (SEM, TESCAN MIRA4). The static contact angle tests were carried out using a static 33 contact angle meter (DSA30, Germany). A Revetest scratch tester (CSM-MCT, 34 Switzerland) was utilized to conduct the scratch tests. A Fourier transform infrared 35 (FTIR) spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Model Nicolet iS5) was used to identify the 36 differences in chemical structure between the passivated and raw pyrite. X-ray 37 photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was carried out using a Thermo Scientific 38 39 ESCALAB 250Xi spectrometer with Al Kα radiation. The C1s peak with a binding 40 energy of 284.80 eV was utilized to calibrate the acquired data.

41 Text S3 8-HQ release experiment

58

The release characteristics of HH@PE-2, HH@PE-4, and HH@PE-6 in leach 42 solutions at pH 1, 3, 5 and 6.5 are illustrated in Fig. S1, respectively. The results 43 demonstrate that all the three different HH@PE samples can achieve pH response 44 release of 8-HQ. However, as can be seen from Fig. S1a, when only two polyelectrolyte 45 layers are wrapped, the release concentration of 8-HQ is still high even at pH=6.5, 46 reaching 4.61 mg/L at 3 h. Whereas when six polyelectrolyte layers were wrapped (as 47 seen in Fig. S1c), the release concentration of 8-HQ is very low under the pH=1, which 48 is only 7.55 mg/L at 3 h. This suggests that too few polyelectrolyte layers are 49 detrimental to the loading of 8-HQ under neutral environments, while too many 50 polyelectrolyte layers are detrimental to the release of 8-HQ under acidic environments. 51 For HH@PE-4 (Fig. S1b), it can be seen that the greatest release concentration of 8-52 HQ reaches 11.88 mg/L in the solution with pH=1 after 3h, while the corresponding 53 data decrease sequentially to 9.67 mg/L, 4.60 mg/L and 3.00 mg/L in the solution with 54 pH=3, 5 and 6.5, respectively. Consequently, it can be found that the HH@PE-4 can 55 realize the best pH responsive release property of 8-HQ. Therefore, HH@PE-4 was 56 selected as the nanofiller in the preparation of the composite passivator. 57



Fig. S1. Release concentration of 8-HQ in different pH solutions with (a) 2
polyelectrolyte layers, (b) 4 polyelectrolyte layers and (c) 6 polyelectrolyte layers.





64 Fig. S2. Equivalent electrical circuit model for fitting the EIS data obtained from

65 different pyrite electrodes.



Fig. S3. (a) Nyquist plots of EIS data obtained from PropS-SH, PLHP-0.5, PLHP-1.0,
PLHP-1.5 coated pyrite electrodes in 0.2 M Na₂SO₄ solution with pH 2.0; (b) Tafel
polarization curves of PropS-SH, PLHP-0.5, PLHP-1.0, PLHP-1.5 coated pyrite
electrodes in 0.2 M Na₂SO₄ solution with pH 2.0.



Fig. S4. Concentrations of (a) Total Fe and (b) SO₄²⁻ released as a function of time for
PropS-SH, PLHP-0.5, PLHP-1.0, PLHP-1.5 coated pyrite samples in the chemical
leaching solutions.

Sample	Critical load(N)
	Lc
Raw pyrite	1.98
PL coated pyrite	3.71
PLHP-1.0 coated pyrite	6.68

78 Table S1. Results of scratch adhesion tests for different coatings