# Supporting information

Synthesis of Two-dimensional Bismuth Molybdenum Oxide (2D-BMO) nanosheets and their application as fluorescence probes for the detection of explosive nitroaromatic compound

Shams Ur Rehman<sup>1</sup>, Sivakumar Musuvadhi Babulal<sup>1</sup>, Muhammad Mustafa<sup>1</sup>, Hui-Fen Wu\*<sup>1, 2, 3,4,5,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, National Sun Yat-Sen University, Kaohsiung, 70, Lien-Hai Road, Kaohsiung, 80424, Taiwan

<sup>2</sup>School of Pharmacy, College of Pharmacy, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung, 807, Taiwan

<sup>3</sup>Institute of Medical Science and Technology, National Sun Yat-Sen University, Kaohsiung, 80424, Taiwan

<sup>4</sup>School of Medicine, College of Medicine, National Sun Yat-Sen University, Kaohsiung, 80424, Taiwan

<sup>5</sup>Institute of Precision Medicine, National Sun Yat-Sen University, Kaohsiung, 80424, Taiwan

<sup>6</sup>Institute of BioPharmaceutical Sciences, National Sun Yat-Sen University, 80424, Taiwan

\*Corresponding author, Phone: +886-7-5252000-3955; Fax: +886-7-5253909

Email: <u>hwu@faculty.nsysu.edu.tw</u> (Prof H.-F. Wu)

# 2.3. Instruments

The optical properties of the nanomaterials were assessed using an Evolution 201 UV-Vis spectrometer (Thermo SCIENTIFIC, USA). The morphology and structural characteristics were analyzed with a JEOL 3010 AEM High-resolution transmission electron microscope (HRTEM) and selected area electron diffraction (SAED) (JEOL, Japan). The crystallinity of the nanosheets was further investigated through X-ray diffraction (XRD, Bruker D8 Advance, Philips, Netherlands). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was conducted to determine the chemical composition and oxygen vacancies, utilizing Auger electron spectroscopy with a field emission gun (JEOL, Japan). Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) characterization was done by Seiko, Model: HV-300. The surface area and pore size of the nanosheets were measured using the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method.



Fig. S1 (a) TEM and (b) HRTEM images of the 2D-BMO nanosheets



Fig. S2 (a) EDS spectra and (b) DLS to detect the size of the 2D-BMO nanosheets.



**Fig. S3** (a) Emission spectra of the 2D-BMO nanosheets against excitation (310-370 nm), (b) excitation and emission spectra of the 2D-BMO nanosheets

### S1. Quantum yield equation and calculation

The quantum yield of the synthesized material was calculated using the equation as below:

$$\varphi_{ZnO_{2D-BMO}} = \varphi_{Q.S} \times \frac{F(AUC)_{2D-BMO}}{F(AUC)_{Q.S}} \times \frac{Absorbance_{Q.S}}{Absorbance_{2D-BMO}} \times \frac{\eta_{2D-BMO}}{\eta_{Q.S}}$$

where  $\varphi$  is Quantum yield, Q.S. = Quinine sulfate (reference), F (AUC) = Fluorescence Area under the curve, Absorbance = Absorbance at 370 nm,  $\eta$  = Solvent refractive index of the sample (water: 1.333).

The quantum yield of 2D-BMO was calculated using the values as:

 $\varphi_{Q.S} = 54.6\%$ ,  $F(AUC)_{2D-BMO} = 10866.9$ ,  $F(AUC)_{Q.S} = 60,260.0$ , Absorbance<sub>Q.S</sub> = 2.9, Absorbance<sub>2D-BMO</sub> = 0.7,  $\eta_{2D-BMO} = 1.4$ , and  $\eta_{Q.S} = 1.3$  (water as a solvent)

# S2. Quenching efficiency equation

The quenching efficiency (QE) of ferritin and all other interfering biomolecules was calculated using the equation below:

$$QE = \frac{(I_0 - I)}{I_0} \times 100$$

Where  $I_0$  and I are the fluorescence intensities of 2D-BMO nanosheets without and with analyte, respectively.

## **S3. LOD calculations**

The LOD values for PA and 2,4-DNPH were calculated by the following formulas.

$$LOD = 3.3 * SD/S$$



## SD = Intercept/1000<sup>1</sup>

#### **Calculation for PA:**

Slope = 0.00142

Intercept = 0.951

SD = 0.950/1000 = 0.00095

LOD = 3.3\*0.000951/0.00142 = 2.21 nM

## **Calculation for 2,4-DNPH:**

Slope = 0.00135

Intercept = 0.9405

SD = 0.9405/1000 = 0.0009405

LOD = 3.3\*0.000941/0.00135 = 2.30 nM

# S.4 Quenching constant (Ksv) calculation

Stern-Volmer equation:

 $F_0/F = 1 + Ksv[Q]$ 

 $F_0$  and F indicate the fluorescence intensities before and after introducing the quencher, respectively, Ksv is the quenching constant, and [Q] indicates the quencher concentration<sup>2,3</sup>.

# Quenching constant for PA (Ksv<sub>PA</sub>)

The calculated Stern-Volmer equation for the PA given above is:

$$y = 0.0014x + 0.950$$

so the calculated Ksv<sub>PA</sub> value is 0.0014

# Quenching constant for PA (Ksv<sub>PA</sub>)

The calculated Stern-Volmer equation for the PA given above is:

$$y = 0.00135x + 0.950$$

so the calculated Ksv<sub>2,4-DNPH</sub> value is 0.00135



**Fig. S4** (a) PA, and PA with the 2D-BMO nanosheets, (b) 2,4-DNPH, and 2,4-DNPH with the 2D-BMO nanosheets UV-visible absorption spectra



**Fig. S5** (a-c) Zeta potential of 2D-BMO nanosheets, 2D-BMO nanosheets with the PA, and 2D-BMO nanosheets with the 2,4-DNPH respectively.



**Fig. S6** (a,b) AFM topography and height profile, (c,d) DLS size of 2D-BMO with the PA and 2D-BMO with the 2,4-DNPH respectively.



**Fig. S7** Real sample studies of PA in (a) lake water, and (b) river water. Real sample studies of 2,4-DNPH in (c) lake water, and (d) river water.



**Fig. S8** Absorption spectra of nitroaromatic and excitation and emission spectra of the 2D-BMO nanosheets

Material	Method	Nitroaromatic	LOD	References
		compound		
Zn-MOF	Fluorescence	2,4-DNPH	100 nM	2
		PA	500nM	
BODIPY	Fluorescence	2,4-DNPH	1.06 µM	4
derivatives			-	
p-PABA-	Electrochemical	2,4-DNPH	0.08 µM	5
MnO <sub>2</sub>				
CdTe QDs	Fluorescence	2,4-DNPH	0.23 ng/mL	6
Thiobarbituric	HPLC-UV	2,4-DNPH	0.25 ng/ml	
acid based				
2D ONs	Fluorescence	2,4-DNPH	0.1 μM	3
Carbon	Fluorescence	PA	0.25 μM	7
nanoparticles				
NCDs Malic	Fluorescence	PA	33 nM	8
acid and Urea				
palladium-	Fluorescence	PA	0.2 μM	9
based macro-				
cycles				
PFAM	Fluorescence	PA	57.8 nM	10
Phen-SnO <sub>2</sub>	Fluorescence	PA	0.011 µM (11	11
Nanosheets			nm)	
2D-BMO	Fluorescence	2,4-DNPH	2.30 nM	This work
nanosheets		PA	2.21 nM	

**Table S1.** Comparison of different chemosensors with 2D-BMO nanosheets fromliterature for the detection of PA and 2,4-DNPH

## References

- 1 D.Dabur, P.Rana and H.-F.Wu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces.
- 2 E.Zhang, P.Ju, Z.Zhang, H.Yang, L.Tang, X.Hou, J.You and J.Wang, Spectrochim. Acta Part A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc., 2019, **222**, 117207.
- 3 D.Dabur, Y. C.Chiu and H.-F.Wu, J. Ind. Eng. Chem.
- 4 D.Wang, L.Marin and X.Cheng, New J. Chem., 2022, 46, 20699–20710.
- 5 W. A.Adeosun, A. M.Asiri and H. M.Marwani, *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.*, 2020, **206**, 111171.
- 6 Q.Wang, X.Wang and Y.Wu, *Photochem. Photobiol.*, 2019, **95**, 895–900.
- 7 X.Sun, J.He, Y.Meng, L.Zhang, S.Zhang, X.Ma, S.Dey, J.Zhao and Y.Lei, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, **4**, 4161–4171.
- 8 M. K.Mahto, D.Samanta, M.Shaw, M. A. S.Shaik, R.Basu, I.Mondal, A.Bhattacharya and A.Pathak, *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.*, 2023, **6**, 8059–8070.
- 9 S.Kumar, R.Kishan, P.Kumar, S.Pachisia and R.Gupta, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2018, **57**, 1693–1697.
- 10 A. S.Tanwar, S.Hussain, A. H.Malik, M. A.Afroz and P. K.Iyer, *Acs Sensors*, 2016, 1, 1070–1077.
- 11 D.Dabur, Y.-T.Chan and H.-F.Wu, *Environ. Sci. Nano*, 2023, **10**, 3208–3219.