Supporting Information

Micro- and nanoplastics-mediated phototransformation and bioaccessibility of fluorinated liquid crystal monomer in aquatic environments

Yiping Feng,^{1*} Jingyi Wu,¹ Wenhao Lao,¹ Weibiao Ye¹, Danni Guo,² Zhu Wang,^{2*} Xiaowei Wu,^{3*} and Racliffe Weng Seng Lai⁴

¹Guangdong Key Laboratory of Environmental Catalysis and Health Risk Control, School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Institute of Environmental Health and Pollution Control, Guangdong University of Technology, Guangzhou 510006, China

² Institute of Environmental Research at Greater Bay, Key Laboratory for Water Quality and Conservation of the Pearl River Delta, Ministry of Education, Guangzhou University, Guangzhou 510006, China

³School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, Nanjing, 210044, China.

⁴Department of Ocean Science and Technology, Faculty of Science and Technology, University of Macau, Macau, 999078, China

*Corresponding author: Yiping Feng, <u>ypfeng@gdut.edu.cn</u>; Zhu Wang, <u>wangzhu@gzhu.edu.cn</u>; Xiaowei Wu, 003836@nuist.edu.cn

Figures:

Fig. S1. XPS spectra of C1s, O1s, N1s of (a) PSNP, (b) PSMP, (c) MCEMP, and (d) PAMP.

Fig. S2. Adsorption isotherms for the adsorption of CEB-F on PSMPs and PSNPs fitted by the Langmuir, Freundlich, and Henry model.

Fig. S3. FTIR spectra of pure CEB-F, PSNPs, and PSNP adsorption of CEB-F.

Fig. S4. XPS survey spectra of CEB-F, PSNPs, and PSNP after adsorption of CEB-F.

Fig. S5. XPS spectra of C1s (a), O1s (b), F1s (c) of PSNP, pure CEB-F, and PSNP-CEB-F.

Fig. S6. The temperature (a) and (b) the energy versus time curves of the CEB-F and PSNPs during the final NPT MD simulation process.

Fig. S7. UV-vis spectra of 5 mg L^{-1} of PSNPs in water.

Fig. S8. UPLC-Q-Exactive Orbitrap-MS identification for the CEB-F and associated four primary phototransformation products (P1-P4).

Fig. S9. Peak areas for CEB-F and associated four primary phototransformation

products (P1-P4) when undergoes HPLC spectrum over the reaction time course.

Tables:

Table S1. Fitness of dynamics models for CEB-F sorption on different plastics.

Table S2. Fitness of isotherm parameters for CEB-F sorption on different plastics.

Table S3. Primary properties of various water samples.

Table S4. Calculated total energy of complex and each molecule and binding energy of CEB-F/PSNPs.



Fig. S1. XPS spectra of C1s, O1s, N1s of (a) PSNP, (b) PSMP, (c) MCEMP, and (d) PAMP.



Fig. S2. Adsorption isotherms for the adsorption of CEB-F on PSMPs and PSNPs fitted by the Langmuir, Freundlich, and Henry model.



Fig. S3. FTIR spectra of pure CEB-F, PSNPs, and PSNP adsorption of CEB-F.



Fig. S4. XPS survey spectra of CEB-F, PSNPs, and PSNP after adsorption of CEB-F.



Fig. S5. XPS spectra of C1s (a), O1s (b), F1s (c) of PSNP, pure CEB-F, and PSNP-CEB-F.



Fig. S6. The temperature (a) and (b) the energy versus time curves of the CEB-F and PSNPs during the final NPT MD simulation process.



Fig. S7. UV-vis spectra of 5 mg L^{-1} of PSNPs in water.



Fig. S8. UPLC-Q-Exactive Orbitrap-MS identification for the CEB-F and associated four primary phototransformation products (P1-P4).



Fig. S9. Peak areas for CEB-F and associated four primary phototransformation products (P1-P4) when undergoes HPLC spectrum over the reaction time course.

| plastics | Pseudo-first-order | | | Pseudo-second-order | | | Intraparticle diffusion model | | | |
|----------|------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---------|---------|--------|
| | $q_{\rm e}(\mu {\rm mol/g})$ | k_1 (min ⁻¹) | R ² | $q_{\rm e}$ (µmol/g) | k_2 (µmol/g/min) | R ² | k_1 | C_{I} | k_2 | C_2 |
| MCEMP | 10.8453 | 0.0193 | 0.9792 | 13.0914 | 0.0017 | 0.9444 | 1.0251 | 1.3188 | -0.1522 | 12.636 |
| PAMP | 6.9769 | 0.0062 | 0.9919 | 9.6208 | 0.0005 | 0.9915 | 0.3961 | 0.7374 | 0.1764 | 2.6603 |
| PSMP | 14.1503 | 0.6609 | 0.9977 | 14.3319 | 0.0914 | 0.9806 | 3.2035 | 5.1871 | 0.0174 | 13.8 |
| PSNP | 589.4106 | 0.0570 | 0.9969 | 672.9721 | 0.0009 | 0.0796 | 81.087 | 18.932 | -0.8264 | 589.24 |

 Table S1. Fitness of dynamics models for CEB-F sorption on different plastics.

| | Langmuir | | | Freund | Henry | | | |
|----------|------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|--|--------|----------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| Plastics | q _{max} (μmol/g) | k _L (L/µmol) | R ² | k _F (μmol/g)·(μmol/L) ⁻ ^{1/n} | 1/n | R ² | k _D (L/g) | R ² |
| PSMP | 95.1760 | 0.0049 | 0.9996 | 1.1496 | 1.0882 | 0.9928 | 1.4949 | 0.9978 |
| PSNP | 1037.3882 | 0.0029 | 0.9851 | 120.3109 | 0.6425 | 0.8708 | 42.3969 | 0.9655 |

Table S2. Fitness of isotherm parameters for CEB-F sorption on different plastics.

 Table S3. Primary properties of various water samples.

| Parameter | Unit | Pearl River | Tap water | WWTP effluent | South China |
|--------------------|--------------------|-------------|-----------|---------------|-------------|
| | | water | | water | Sca water |
| pН | - | 7.37 | 7.28 | 7.03 | 8.15 |
| TOC | mg L ⁻¹ | 4.123 | 1.037 | 5.239 | 1.235 |
| Na ⁺ | mg L ⁻¹ | 17.88 | 12.07 | 39.54 | 11289 |
| K^+ | mg L ⁻¹ | 5.01 | 3.52 | 11.37 | 403 |
| Cu^{2+} | μg L ⁻¹ | 0.83 | / | 0.62 | 47.22 |
| Mg^{2+} | mg L ⁻¹ | 4.07 | 2.11 | 8.24 | 1507 |
| Al ³⁺ | μg L-1 | 5.77 | 18.9 | 10.58 | 4.31 |
| Cl- | mg L ⁻¹ | 15.62 | 12.37 | 51.24 | 19387 |
| HCO ₃ - | mg L ⁻¹ | 5.13 | 2.87 | 3.52 | 6.57 |
| SO4 ²⁻ | mg L ⁻¹ | 29.54 | 17.39 | 42.57 | 3305 |

| | Total energy (kcal mol ⁻¹) | Binding energy (kcal mol- |
|----------|--|---------------------------|
| | Total energy (Real mor) | 1) |
| PS | 6101.0632 | - |
| CEB-F | 39.2836 | - |
| CEB-F-PS | 6091.9393 | -48.4075 |

 Table S4. Calculated total energy of complex and each molecule and binding energy of CEB-F/PSNPs.