

1. MATERIALS AND METHODS

1.1 Materials and Chemicals

3 The aqueous suspension of pristine polystyrene (PS) nanoplastics (25 g·L⁻¹) was purchased from Tianjin Junyijia Technology Co., Ltd. According to the supplier, the PS nanoplastics have an approximate diameter of 100 nm. To remove any potential additives and surfactants, such as sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), the PS nanoplastics were centrifuged at 40,000 rpm for 40 minutes (Optima XE-100, Beckman, USA). The supernatant was carefully discarded, and the pellet was washed with deionized water. This centrifugation and rinsing procedure was repeated five times. Following the centrifugation and rinsing process, the PS nanoplastics were 10 diluted to a final concentration of 10 $g \cdot L^{-1}$ using deionized water. The prepared PS nanoplastic 11 suspension was then stored in brown glass bottles at 4°C for future use.

12 Na₂S·9H₂O, NaNO₃, and NH₃·H₂O (25 % w/v in H₂O) were purchased from Aladdin 13 Biological Technology (Shanghai, China). NaCl, NaOH, HCl, and CaCl₂ were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Suwannee River Humic Acid (SRHA, Standard III, 3S101H) and Suwannee River Fulvic Acid (SRFA, Standard III, 3S101F) were supplied by the International Humic Substances Society. The total organic carbon (TOC) content of SRHA and SRFA was determined using a TOC analyzer (Shimadzu, Japan).

1.2 Sulfide- and UV-induced aging experiments

 To closely replicate the environmental conditions that nanoplastics experience in real-world environments, well-established weathering treatments (e.g., sulfide- and UV-aging) were chosen to achieve a representative degree of aging comparable to naturally weathered 22 nanoplastics.^{1, 2} For sulfide-induced aging treatment, a specific volume of stock polystyrene (PS) nanoplastic suspension was added to a Tris buffersolution (10 mM, pre-adjusted to neutral pH) to obtain a final PS nanoplastic concentration of 10 mg/L. This suspension was purged 25 with nitrogen (N_2) for at least 30 minutes to create an oxygen-deficient environment. 26 Immediately before use, a sulfide stock solution was prepared by dissolving $Na₂S·9H₂O$ in the 27 Tris buffer. This sulfide solution was added to the PS suspension to achieve a $Na₂S$ concentration of 0.1 mM. The reactor was then sealed with minimal headspace and placed on

1 an orbital shaker at 160 rpm and 25 \degree C in the dark for 7 days. After the sulfide treatment period, the suspension of PS nanoplastics was centrifuged using an Optima XE-100 (Beckman, USA) 3 and rinsed with deionized water five times to eliminate any residual $Na₂S·9H₂O$. Each centrifugation cycle was conducted at 40,000 rpm for 40 minutes. The cleaned PS nanoplastics 5 were then diluted to a final concentration of 10 $g \cdot L^{-1}$ using deionized water and sonicated to ensure even dispersion. The prepared sulfide-aged PS nanoplastics suspension was subsequently stored in brown glass bottles at 4 °C for future experiments. The samples that underwent sulfide treatment are designated as PS-S.

 For UV-induced aging treatment, a specific amount of the PS stock suspension was added 10 to a sodium nitrate (NaNO₃) solution to achieve a final concentration of 0.2 g·L⁻¹ PS 11 nanoplastics and 5 mM NaNO₃. The resulting PS nanoplastics suspension was transferred to a 50 mL quartz photoreaction tube. The tube was placed in a photochemical reaction apparatus (XPA-7, Xujiang Electromechanical Plant, Nanjing, China) and irradiated with a 500 W mercury lamp (UVA, wavelength 365 nm) for 6 hours at a temperature of 25°C, with continuous stirring using the internal magnetic stirrer to ensure thorough mixing. Following UV irradiation, the suspension of PS nanoplastics was processed through centrifugation and rinsing steps similar to those described earlier for PS-S mentioned. The resulting UV-aged PS 18 nanoplastics stock suspension (10 g·L⁻¹) was also transferred to brown glass bottles and stored 19 at 4 °C for future use. The samples treated with UV irradiation are referred to as PS-UV.

1.3 Characterization of nanoplastics

 The physical dimensions and morphologies of the pristine, sulfide-aged, and UV-aged nanoplastics were characterized with scanning electron microscopy (SEM, S-3400 N II, Hitachi, Japan) and transmission electron microscope (TEM, JEM-2100, JEOL, Japan). The particle size and its distribution were determined using ImageJ software by analyzing at least 200 particles in the SEM images of nanoplastics. The functional groups and chemical composition of nanoplastics were analyzed by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR, TENSOR 37, Bruker, Germany) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, PHI 5000 VersaProbe, Tokyo, Japan). The relative surface hydrophobicity of nanoplastics was evaluated by measuring the contact angle (OCA-20, Dataphysics Instruments GmbH, Germany). The zeta

 potential of nanoplastics over a range of electrolyte concentrations and pH were determined using a Litesizer 500 instrument (Anton Paar).

1.4 Aggregation kinetics of PS nanoplastics

 Time-resolved dynamic light scattering (DLS, Litesizer 500 instrument, Anton Paar) was 5 employed to measure the increase in average hydrodynamic diameter (D_h) of PS nanoplastics as a function of time under different solution chemistries at a temperature of 25 °C. All the solutions (including PS nanoplastics suspension, deionized water, and electrolyte solution) were adjusted to the same pH condition before test. Several pH conditions (pH 5, pH 7, and pH 9) were chosen to study the effect of initial pH on nanoplastics aggregation. The remaining experiments were conducted at a pH of 6. The concentration of PS nanoplastics was selected to be 10 mg/L, a level reported in several prior studies and considered environmentally relevant as it may be found in contaminated natural water systems. For each aggregation experiment, 0.5 mL of a 30 mg/L PS nanoplastics suspension was added to a pre-cleaned disposable polystyrene cuvette. Specific volumes of deionized water and electrolyte solution were then added to achieve a total solution volume of 1.5 mL with the desired electrolyte concentration. The cuvette was briefly vortexed for several seconds before being promptly placed into the chamber of the dynamic light scattering (DLS) instrument to commence measurement. The hydrodynamic diameter of the PS nanoplastics was recorded, with the autocorrelation function collected over a period of 20 seconds. Each aggregation experiment was conducted for up to 60 minutes, during which a significant increase in Dh was observed. The apparent aggregation 21 rate coefficient (k_{app}) was determined from the increase in the D_h as follows:

$$
k_{app} = \frac{1}{D_h(0)} \left(\frac{dD_h(t)}{dt}\right)_{t \to 0} \tag{S1}
$$

22 Where *t* represents the experimental time and $D_h(0)$ is the hydrodynamic radius of the PS nanoplastics in a stable suspension. The aggregation kinetics of PS nanoplastics under various 1 salt solution conditions were quantified by calculating the attachment efficiency (α) using the 2 following equation:

$$
\alpha = \frac{k_{app}}{k_{app(fast)}}
$$
 (S2)

 where the subscript "fast" denotes fast or diffusion-controlled aggregation of nanoplastics, which was achieved at high NaCl concentrations (e.g., 600 and 1000 mM). An attachment efficiency value close to unity signifies that the system is unstable and the nanoplastics undergo rapid aggregation. In contrast, smaller attachment efficiency values indicate a more stable system with slower particle aggregation.

8 The attachment efficiencies (α) for PS nanoplastics in NaCl solutions can be predicted 9 based on the classic Derjaguin-Landau-Verwey-Overbeek (DLVO) theory using following 10 equation for two identical sphere-sphere interactions:

$$
\alpha = \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} \beta(h) \frac{\exp[i\theta] \left[V_{T}(h)/k_{B}T\right]}{(2R+h)^{2}} dh}{\int_{0}^{\infty} \beta(h) \frac{\exp[i\theta] \left[V_{A}(h)/k_{B}T\right]}{(2R+h)^{2}} dh}
$$
\n(S3)

11 where *h* represents the separation distance between the surfaces of two particle, k_B is the 12 Boltzmann constant, *T* is the absolute temperature, *R* is the initial radius of particles in the 13 suspension, which is assumed as the measured hydrodynamic radius, and β(*h*) is a 14 dimensionless function that corrects the hydrodynamic interactions between two approaching 15 particles. According to the classic DLVO theory, $V_T(h)$ is the total interaction energy between 16 two particles, which is the sum of the van der Waals attraction, $V_A(h)$, and electrical double 17 layer repulsion, $V_R(h)$:

$$
V_T(h) = V_A(h) + V_R(h) \tag{S4}
$$

$$
\beta(h) = \frac{6(\frac{h}{R})^2 + 13(\frac{h}{R}) + 2}{6(\frac{h}{R})^2 + 4(\frac{h}{R})}
$$
(S5)

1 The van der Waals attraction, $V_A(h)$, was calculated using Gregory's expression which 2 considers the electromagnetic retardation effect:

$$
V_A(h) = -\frac{HR}{12h} \left[1 - \frac{bh}{\lambda} ln^{[m]}(1 + \frac{bh}{\lambda}) \right]
$$
 (S6)

 where *H* is the Hamaker constant between the interacting PS nanoplastics in aqueous medium (J), *R* and *h* retain their previously defined meanings, b is equal to 5.32, *λ* represents the "characteristic wavelength" of the interaction, commonly assumed to be approximately 100 6 nm.

7 The electrical double layer repulsion, $V_R(h)$, can be calculated using three common methods: constant charge approximation (CCA), linear superposition approximation (LSA), and constant potential approximation expressions. In this study, the LSA expression was employed because it produces intermediate results between the extreme assumptions of constant surface charge and constant surface potential. The LSA expression is given by:

$$
V_R(h) = -\frac{64\pi R n_{\infty} k_B T}{\kappa^2} \gamma^2 exp^{i\omega}(-\kappa h)
$$
 (S7)

12 where the bulk number density of ions, the inverse Debye length κ (m⁻¹), and γ are given by:

$$
n_{\infty} = 1000 N_A C_s \tag{S8}
$$

$$
\kappa = 2.32 \times 10^9 \left(\sum c_i z_i^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$
 (S9)

$$
\gamma = \tanh[\overline{m}](\frac{ze\varphi}{4k_BT})
$$
\n^(S10)

13 where N_A is the Avogadro number (6.02 \times 10²³ mol⁻¹), C_s is the electrolyte molar concentration 14 (mol L⁻¹), z_i denotes the valence of the ion *i*, *e* is the electron charge (-1.60×10⁻¹⁹ C), and φ is the surface potential of PS nanoplastics (V), which is approximated by zeta potential.

NPs	Total C	Total O	Total S	O/C	$C-C/C=C$	$C=0$	$C=0$
	(%)	$(\%)$	$\binom{0}{0}$	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
PS	95.91	3.92	0.17	0.04	97.90	2.10	θ
PS-UV	85.70	14.12	0.18	0.16	86.26	7.45	6.29
PS-S	92.07	7.64	0.29	0.08	90.28	7.80	1.91

Table S1 Surface chemical compositions of PS, PS-UV, and PS-S nanoplastics obtained from XPS spectra.

Fig. S1. TEM images of PS, PS-UV, and PS-S nanoplastics

Fig. S2. Zeta potential of PS, PS-UV, and PS-S nanoplastics under different pH conditions in deionized water.

Fig. S3. Aggregation kinetics of PS, PS-UV, and PS-S nanoplastics at different NaCl (a-c) and $CaCl₂$ (d-f) concentrations.

Fig. S4. Aggregation kinetics of PS, PS-UV, and PS-S nanoplastics at different pH conditions (a-c) and in the absence or presence of NOM (d-f).

Fig. S5. Zeta potential of PS, PS-UV, and PS-S nanoplastics in the presence of 120 mM NaCl with or without NOM.

References

1. Su, J.; Ruan, J.; Luo, D.; Wang, J.; Huang, Z.; Yang, X.; Zhang, Y.; Zeng, Q.; Li, Y.; Huang, W.; Cui, L.; Chen, C., Differential Photoaging Effects on Colored Nanoplastics in Aquatic Environments: Physicochemical Properties and Aggregation Kinetics. *Environmental Science & Technology* **2023,** *57*, (41), 15656-15666.

2. Zhao, M.; Zhang, T.; Yang, X.; Liu, X.; Zhu, D.; Chen, W., Sulfide induces physical damages and chemical transformation of microplastics via radical oxidation and sulfide addition. *Water Research* **2021,** *197*, 117100.