Supplementary Information

The Role of Nano-Biochar Reduce the Impact of Phenanthrene on Wheat Photosynthesis

Menghan Cui¹, Jin Zhang¹, Chenghao Huang², Shuangyuan Xu², Bożena Czech³, Jiangang Han^{1,4}, Yu Shen^{1,*}, Xinhua Zhan^{2,*}

¹ Co-Innovation Center for the Sustainable Forestry in Southern China National Positioning Observation Station of Hung-tse Lake Wetland Ecosystem in Jiangsu Province; College of Ecology and Environment, Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing 210037, China;

² College of Resources and Environmental Sciences, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing 210095, China;

³ Department of Radiochemistry and Environmental Chemistry, Institute of Chemical Sciences, Faculty of Chemistry, Maria Curie-Skłodowska University in Lublin, Lublin 20-031, Poland;
⁴ School of Chemical Engineering and Materials, Changzhou Institute of Technology, Changzhou 213032, China.

* Corresponding Authors Yu Shen, Ph.D., Email: <u>yushen@njfu.edu.cn/sheyttmax@hotmail.com;</u>

Xinhua Zhan, Ph.D., Email:

xhzhan@njau.edu.cn.

Section 1: The Characteristics of nBCs.

In this study, the biochar was primarily derived from rice and corn straws were selected and pyrolyzed at 350 °C, 500 °C, and 650 °C. Subsequently, the biochar materials underwent nanosizing treatment, followed by ethanol washing and other methods to remove potential polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) residues from the biochar surface. Finally, the nanosized biochar was ground using a ball mill to obtain nano-scale biochar materials. Therefore, this section mainly focuses on characterizing these six types of nBCs and evaluating their adsorption performance for phenanthrene over time, ultimately selecting the optimal nBC material for further experimental research.



Figure S1. TEM images of six types of nBC.

(Note, the nBC prepared from corn straw at 350°C, 500°C, and 650°C (A - C), the nBC prepared from rice straw at 350°C, 500°C, and 650°C (D, E, F), respectively; nBC, nanobiochar.)



Figure S2. (A, B) FTIR, (C, D) XRD spectra, and (E, F) XPS whole pattern of nBC. (Note, RB650, RB500, RB350 represent the nBC prepared from rice straw at 650 °C, 500 °C and 350 °C, and CB650, CB500, CB350 represent nBC prepared from corn stover at 650 °C, 500 °C and 350 °C, respectively; nBC, nano-biochar.)

Label	Description	Hydrated Particle Size	Polydispersity Index	Zeta Potential
Luovi	Description	(nm)	(PdI)	(mV)
Nano rice biochar - 350°C treated	RSB 350	853.2 ± 12.4	0.38 ± 0.04	-43.8 ± 4.3
Nano rice biochar - 500°C treated	RSB 500	740.0 ± 12.2	0.34 ± 0.03	-47.5 ± 2.5
Nano rice biochar - 650°C treated	RSB 650	715.0 ± 14.2	0.31 ± 0.03	-52.5 ± 5.4
Nano corn biochar - 350°C treated	CSB 350	959.7 ± 9.2	0.41 ± 0.05	-38.5 ± 4.2
Nano corn biochar - 500°C treated	CSB 500	850.0 ± 13.2	0.36 ± 0.04	-42.5 ± 3.5
Nano corn biochar - 650°C treated	CSB 650	825.0 ± 15.8	0.33 ± 0.03	-47.5 ± 5.5

Table S1. Biochar nanoparticles colloidal stability

Section 2: Adsorption Kinetics of PHE on nBCs.

Investigation of the time-dependent adsorption performance of nBCs for PHE. Six nano-biochar materials, prepared as described above, were individually added at a concentration of 1.0 mg L⁻¹ to a solution containing 1.0 mg L⁻¹ phenanthrene. Adsorption experiments were conducted at 25 °C and 200 rpm. During the adsorption process, samples were taken at 0.25, 1, 2, 4, 8, 12, 24, 48, and 96 h, centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 10 minutes, and the supernatant was collected to determine the residual PHE concentration. By comparing the adsorption rates of phenanthrene at checkpoints, the nBCs with the optimal adsorption performance for phenanthrene was selected. Each treatment was performed in triplicate times.



Figure S3. Adsorption kinetic of PHE by six types of nBC.

(Note, 350R, 500R, and 650R represent nBC made from rice straw fired at 350 °C, 500 °C, and 650 °C, and 350C, 500C, and 650C represent nBC made from corn stover fired at 350 °C, 500 °C, and 650 °C, respectively; nBC, nano-biochar; PHE, phenanthrene.)

Section 3: The Growth and SPAD of the Wheat Seedings under PHE Treatments.

The biomass data corroborated our visual observations and provided quantitative evidence of the effects of PHE stress and nBC treatment on wheat seedling growth after 30 days (Table S2).

Treatment	Shoot Fresh	Root Fresh	Shoot Dry	Root Dry
	Weight	Weight	Weight	Weight
Control	3.52 ± 0.15 a	$1.28\pm0.08~a$	$0.42\pm0.03~a$	0.15 ± 0.01 a
nBC	$3.61 \pm 0.18 \ a$	$1.33\pm0.09\ a$	$0.44\pm0.03\ a$	0.16 ± 0.01 a
PHE	$2.48\pm0.22~\text{c}$	$0.89\pm0.07~\text{c}$	$0.29\pm0.02\ c$	$0.10\pm0.01~{ m c}$
PHE + 0.5 nBC	$2.89\pm0.19~\text{b}$	$1.05\pm0.08\ b$	$0.34\pm0.02\;b$	$0.12\pm0.01~b$
PHE + 1.0 nBC	3.18 ± 0.17 ab	$1.16\pm0.09\ ab$	$0.38\pm0.03 \text{ ab}$	0.14 ± 0.01 ab

Table S2. Fresh and dry weights of per wheat seedlings under different treatments at day 9.

(Note, Data are means \pm SD (n = 3); Different letters indicate significant differences among treatments (p < 0.05))

A Chlorosis Severity Index (CSI) was calculated based on the affected area percentage and reduction in green intensity. The CSI values confirmed the visual observations.

Chlorosis Severity Index (CSI) Calculation is followed the equation below,

$$CSI = (Ac/At) \times (1 - GIc/GIh) \times 100$$

where,

Ac, Area showing chlorosis (pixels); At, Total leaf area (pixels); GIc, Green intensity

of chlorotic area; and GIh, Green intensity of healthy tissue.

And the result was shown below,

Table S3. Chlorosis Severity Index (CSI) of wheat seedlings under different

treatments				
Treatment	Day 7	Day 9		
Control	2.1±0.3 a	2.3±0.4 a		
nBC	2.3±0.4 a	2.4±0.3 a		
PHE	45.6±3.2 d	68.7±4.1 d		
PHE+0.5nBC	31.2±2.8 c	42.3±3.5 c		
PHE+1.0nBC	18.4±2.1 b	25.6±2.8 b		

Note, values represent mean \pm SD (n=3). Different superscript letters indicate significant

differences between treatments (p < 0.05).

Relative chlorophyll content (SPAD) is one of the most important biochemical parameters affecting crop growth, and it is also an indicator of the photosynthetic capacity of plants as well as an important indicator for evaluating crop growth. The portable handheld multifunctional plant photosynthesis meter Photosynq MultiseQ v2.0 was utilized to determine the SPAD values of wheat seedling leaves.

The formulas developed by Wood et al. (1993) to calculate chlorophyll content from SPAD values as below,

(1) $C_{Chla} = 0.05 \times SPAD - 0.27$	(1)
(2) $C_{Chl b} = 0.013 \times SPAD + 0.04$	(2)
(3) $C_{total Chl} = 0.062 \times SPAD - 0.24$	(3)

Among them:

 C_{Chl} is the chlorophyll content (in mg g⁻¹); and SPAD is the measured SPAD value.





(Note, CK, control; nBC, 1.0 mg L⁻¹ nBC; PHE, 1.0 mg L⁻¹ PHE; PHE+0.5 nBC, 1.0 mg L⁻¹ PHE + 0.5 mg L⁻¹ nBC; PHE+1.0 nBC, 1.0 mg L⁻¹ PHE + 1.0 mg L⁻¹ nBC; different letters

indicate significant differences (p < 0.05).)

Paired Sample T-test	Day	T-statistic	P-value	Conclusion	
Chlorophyll <i>a</i>	Day 1vs Day1.1	0.244466	0.810416	Reject the null	
	Day 3 vs Day3.1	0.079482	0.937774	hypothesis (No	
	Day 5 vs Day5.1	-0.096010	0.924873	statistically significant	
	Day 7 vs Day7.1	-0.074877	0.941371	each two sets of	
	Day 9 vs Day9.1	-0.026344	0.979354	measurements)	
	Day 1vs Day1.1	-0.372192	0.715322	Reject the null	
	Day 3 vs Day3.1	2.364263	0.005631	hypothesis (No	
Chlorophyll <i>b</i>	Day 5 vs Day5.1	-1.611507	0.129377	statistically significant	
	Day 7 vs Day7.1	-0.713350	0.487351	each two sets of	
	Day 9 vs Day9.1	-1.028433	0.321187	measurements)	
	Day 1vs Day1.1	0.054193	0.957546	Reject the null	
	Day 3 vs Day3.1	-1.912142	0.076541	hypothesis (No statistically significant difference between	
Total chlorophyll	Day 5 vs Day5.1	0.339714	0.739114		
	Day 7 vs Day7.1	-0.0759054	0.946041	each two sets of	
	Day 9 vs Day9.1	-1.177454	0.067044	measurements)	

Table S4. The Paired Sample T-test of measured chlorophyll content and chlorophyll content

 obtained from SPAD values.

Section S4. Data Analysis

Data	Test	Statistic	p-value	Conclusion
- Chlorophyll a -	Shapiro-Wilk (Normality)	0.968436	0.057911	Reject null hypothesis (Data is normally distributed)
	Welch's ANOVA	4.315984	0.006481	Reject null hypothesis (Data is normally distributed)
	Chi-square test of independence	16.0	1.0	Reject the null hypothesis (Two variables are independent)
	Shapiro-Wilk (Normality)	0.988821	0.756031	Reject null hypothesis (Significant difference between groups)
Chlorophyll b	Welch's ANOVA	8.581324	0.000065	Reject null hypothesis (Data is normally distributed)
	Chi-square test of independence	16.0	1.0	Reject the null hypothesis (Two variables are independent)
Total chlorophyll	Shapiro-Wilk (Normality)	0.982779	0.401402	Reject null hypothesis (Significant difference between groups)
	Welch's ANOVA	6.865857	0.000363	Reject null hypothesis (Data is normally distributed)
	Chi-square test of independence	10.0	1.0	Reject the null hypothesis (Two variables are independent)
PHE ⁻ concentration of root -	Shapiro-Wilk (Normality)	0.943325	0.135477	Reject null hypothesis (Data is normally distributed)
	Welch's ANOVA	5.895114	0.000024	Reject null hypothesis (Significant difference between groups)
	Chi-square test of independence	8.0	1.0	Reject the null hypothesis (Two variables are independent)
	Shapiro-Wilk Test (Normality)	0.877011	0.000196	Reject null hypothesis (Data is normally distributed)
PHE concentration of shoot	Levene's Test (Variance Homogeneity)	2.10211	0.158206	Reject null hypothesis (Levene's Test hypothesis is valid)
	Chi-square test of independence	8.0	1.0	Reject the null hypothesis (Two variables are independent)
Fv/Fm	Shapiro-Wilk Test (Normality)	0.976021	0.165389	Reject null hypothesis (Data is normally distributed)
	Levene's Test (Variance Homogeneity)	0.006925	0.934269	Reject null hypothesis (Levene's Test hypothesis is valid)

Table S5 Data Tests.

(1) Data Normality, ANOVA, and Independence Check.

	Chi-square test of independence	16.0	1.0	Reject the null hypothesis (Two variables are independent)
Ф _{РSII}	Shapiro-Wilk Test (Normality)	0.972161	0.097076	Reject null hypothesis (Data is normally distributed)
	Levene's Test (Variance Homogeneity)	0.139275	0.711814	Reject null hypothesis (Levene's Test hypothesis is valid)
	Chi-square test of independence	16.0	1.0	Reject the null hypothesis (Two variables are independent)
qP	Shapiro-Wilk Test (Normality)	0.947907	0.058341	Reject null hypothesis (Data is normally distributed)
	Levene's Test (Variance Homogeneity)	0.090141	0.766216	Reject null hypothesis (Levene's Test hypothesis is valid)
	Chi-square test of independence	16.0	1.0	Reject the null hypothesis (Two variables are independent)
NPQ -	Shapiro-Wilk Test (Normality)	0.939119	0.532072	Reject null hypothesis (Data is normally distributed)
	Levene's Test (Variance Homogeneity)	1.247622	0.273501	Reject null hypothesis (Levene's Test hypothesis is valid)
	Chi-square test of independence	16.0	1.0	Reject the null hypothesis (Two variables are independent)